Budapest, including the Banks of the Danube, the Buda Castle Quarter and Andrássy Avenue

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Budapest, including the Banks of the Danube, the Buda Castle Quarter and Andrássy Avenue

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0/0	?	?	?	
	0/0	?	?	?	
Budapest, the Banks of the Danube and the Buda Castle Quarter	47.467 / 19.067	415.1	0	415.1	1987
Andrássy Avenue and the Underground	47.489 / 19.087	57.85	239.61	297.46	2002
Total (ha)		472.95	239.61	712.56	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
The World Heritage site of Budapest, including the banks of the Danube, the Buda castle quarter and Andrassy avenue, scale 1:20000	2008	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Budapest City Hall (hungarian only)
- 2. Forster Gyula Nemzeti (in Hungarian)
- 3. Organisation of the World Heritage Cities (OWHC) website
- 4. Világörökség

Comment

- 1. Világörökség https://vilagorokseg.e-epites.hu/en/sites/budapest.html 2. Organisation of the World Heritage Cities (OWHC) website https://www.ovpm.org/city/budapest-hungary/ 3. Nemzeti Örökségvédelmi Fejlesztési Nonprofit Kft. https://nof.hu/en/ 4. Budapest World Heritage Attributes System https://astrum.lechnerkozpont.hu/bpv/
- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.7 Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

 No
- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the

Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

This stretch of the Danube has been the location of human settlement since the Palaeolithic. It was the site of the Roman city of Aquincum, situated to the north of the inscribed property which comprises parts of two originally quite separate cities: Buda on the spur on the right bank and Pest on the plain on the left bank. Pest was the first medieval urban centre, devastated in 1241-2. A few years later the castle of Buda was built on a rocky spur on the right bank by King Bela IV. Thereafter, the city reflected the history of the Hungarian monarchy. After the end of the Turkish occupation, recovery did not really begin until the 18th century. In the 19th century, the city's role as a capital was enhanced by the foundation of the Hungarian Academy, housed from 1862 in a neo-renaissance palace, and by the construction of the imposing neo-gothic Parliament building (1884–1904). W.T. Clark's suspension bridge, finalised in 1849, symbolised the reunification of Buda and Pest, which did not actually come about until 1873. The symbol of the development of the city as a modern metropolis was the radial Andrássy Avenue, which was included in the property in 2002. From 1872, the Avenue radically transformed the urban structure of Pest, together with the construction of the European continent's first underground railway beneath it in 1893-6.

As a centre for receiving and disseminating cultural influences, Budapest is an outstanding example of urban development in Central Europe, characterised by periods of devastation and revitalisation. Budapest has retained the separate structural characteristics of the former cities of Pest, Buda and Óbuda. One example thereof is the Buda Castle Quarter with its medieval and characteristically Baroque style, which are distinct from the extended and uniquely homogeneous architecture of Pest (with its historicising and art nouveau styles) which is characterised by outstanding public buildings and fitted into the ringed-radial city structure. All this is organized into a unity arising from the varied morphological characteristics of the landscape and the Danube, the two banks of which are linked by a number of bridges. The urban architectural ensemble of the Andrássy Avenue ('The Avenue') and its surroundings (Heroes' Square, the City Park, historic inner city districts and public buildings) are high-quality architectural and artistic realisations of principles of urbanism reflecting tendencies, which became widespread in the second part of the 19th century. The scenic view of the banks of the Danube as part of the historic urban landscape is a unique example of the harmonious interaction between human society and a natural environment characterised by varied morphological conditions (Gellért Hill with the Citadel and the Buda Hills partly covered with forests, the broad Danube river with its islands and Pest's flat terrain rising with a slight gradient).

Criterion (ii): Aquincum played an essential role in the diffusion of Roman architectural forms in Pannonia, then in Dacia. Buda Castle played an essential role in the diffusion of Gothic art in the Magyar region from the 14th century. In the reign of Matthias Corvinus, Buda was an artistic centre comparable, due to its influence, to that of Cracow. As a result of the unification of Pest, Buda and Óbuda in 1872-73, Budapest became once more a significant centre in the second part of the 19th and at the beginning of the 20th century due to the amount and quality of heritage built during those periods. It was a centre which absorbed, integrated and disseminated outstanding and progressive European influences of urbanism and of architecture as well as modern technological developments such as the Millennium Underground Railway, built under Andrássy Avenue, the first in Continental Europe, all of which was in line with its role as a metropolis.

Criterion (iv): Buda Castle is an architectural ensemble which, together with the nearby old district (the Buda Castle Quarter) illustrates two significant periods of history which were separated by an interval corresponding to the Turkish invasion. The Parliament is also an outstanding example of a great official building on a par with those of London, Munich, Vienna and Athens, exemplifying the eclectic architecture of the 19th century, whilst at the same time symbolising the political function of the second capital of the Austro-Hungarian Empire. Andrássy Avenue (1872–1885) and the Millennium Underground Railway (1893 – 1896) are representative examples of the implementation of planning solutions associated with the latest technical facilities of the day to meet the requirements of an emerging modern society. Architecturally, the Avenue has great integrity in its eclectic, neo-renaissance buildings.

Integrity

The delimitation of the extended property meets the requirements of integrity, since it includes the attributes of Outstanding Universal Value and their historical and structural role is preserved in the urban fabric. Despite the ruinous or missing buildings in certain parts and especially in the Buda Castle Quarter, and despite the reconstructions within the panorama of the Danube banks following World War II, the overall integrity of the property is sustained. In order to reinforce integrity, it is justified to review the delimitation on the Buda side as well as the inclusion of Margaret Island and the extension of the protected area up to the Grand Boulevard (Nagykörút). The original form of Andrássy Avenue with its buildings has been preserved reasonably well in terms of its conception and its relation to the surrounding urban environment, as well as the building fabric. Attention is also given to the preservation and appropriate design of small elements that form part of the street furniture. There are some problems, for example, in the physical condition of the buildings: wooden roof structures have suffered from humidity and metal structures have corroded, requiring maintenance and repair. There have also been some changes in the occupation, offices tending to replace the earlier residential use, which is a common problem in central urban areas. There have been problems with regard to development in the setting of the World Heritage property, both in terms of demolition and inappropriate new structures. Other challenges are the insurance of heritage-friendly traffic management and the mitigation of climate change impact on the natural and built environment (for example extreme water-levels of the Danube, air-pollution and deterioration of limestone structures).

Authenticity

In its attributes and the sum of its constituent parts, the property preserves the defining characters of the architectural heritage created by consecutive layers of historical periods. The restoration and partial reconstruction of the Buda Castle Quarter after World War II, carried out mainly between 1960 and 1980, as well as the degree of authenticity of the surviving historicising buildings are in line with the requirements of the Operational Guidelines. The majority of the replaced buildings in the panorama of the Danube banks conform to their original scales. The big public buildings, such as the Parliament, the Opera House, the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and the Market Hall, have also retained their original functions. Three of the four bridges across the Danube situated in the property have been authentically renovated. The 20th century design of the new Elisabeth Bridge fits in well into the line of bridges preserving its authentic image. Andrássy Avenue, with its trees alongside and its environment, preserve its historicity in its conception and constituent parts. The majority of public buildings have preserved their original function, however, the transformation of residential buildings into offices is an unfavourable trend. The renovated Underground Railway plays a functional role in the city infrastructure. The stations under the Avenue have retained their original features, while those in the City Park have been changed from their original position above-ground and are now built under the surface which represents a certain degree of compromise with regard to the authenticity of the railway. One of the guarantees of the property's authenticity lies in the authentic conservation of the historic urban structure and the buildings in the buffer zone.

Protection and management requirements

The World Heritage property with its buffer zone has been legally protected as a historic monuments area since 1965; this protected area was enlarged in 2005 - after the extension of the property in 2002 – under the Act on the Protection of Cultural Heritage. A great number of historic buildings as well as the bridges and the embankments are also individually protected. The proposed revision of the boundaries of the property is prompted not only by decisions of the World Heritage Committee, but also by recent evolution in the appreciation of the heritage values of the property and its surroundings, as well as by the appearance of new threats. The property and its buffer zone lie within nine administrative districts of Budapest, another municipality being that of the Capital of Budapest itself. These ten municipalities concerned have not yet established an overall management body.

Architectural Planning Juries, both at the level of the districts and at the level of the Capital of Budapest, facilitate high quality architectural developments in accordance with the values of the property. The Gyula Forster National Centre for Cultural Heritage Management is the World Heritage Management Body. Based on the national World Heritage Act of 2011, the state of conservation of the property, as well as threats and preservation measures will be regularly monitored and reported to the National Assembly, while the management plan will be reviewed at least every seven years. Once finalised and approved, the management plan and the management body provide transparent governance arrangements with clear responsibilities, where different interests can manifest themselves and where the institutional framework and methods for the cooperation of the different stakeholders are available.

A management requirement is the establishment of an urban conservation and development plan for the buffer zone, fully respecting the principal architectural and urban values of each quarter with a strict enforcement. In a complementary manner, additional funding (for example tax incentives and grants) has to be sought, and in a dynamic manner, private building investment has to be directed to rehabilitation operations and restoration rather than demolition and reconstruction. Due to the complexity of the property and its context, special attention has to be paid to developing appropriate monitoring tools and mechanisms as well as to their proper application.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Architecture		×		
3.2.2	Cultural centre	×			
3.2.3	Engineering innovations	×			
3.2.4	Landscape character		×		
3.2.5	Urbanism	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Making of the site's Management Plan is in progress. Following the guidelines of ICOMOS, the Site's OUV will be completed with attribute elements.

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

≭ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×			

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	rend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X		×	×					
Negative X		×	×					

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×	×				
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×				
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing

O Positive 🗶	×	×		
Negative				

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				
Negative							

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶		×	×				
Negative							

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive	×	×	×				
Negative							

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Relevant	Y Not referent
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×				

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
Relevant	Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
---------------------------	--

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×	×			

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×			

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×				
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

× Relevant			ı	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×				
○ Negative X		×	×				

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×		×				
Negative X		×	×				

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×	×		>		

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
Relevant	Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

positively

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×			

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×			

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×		×			

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×				

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Not relevant

evant	✗ Not relevant
-------	----------------

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

Positive				
Negative X	×	×		

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×			

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✗ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive		×	×	×			
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

★ Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×				
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×				
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				
Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant	Relevant			Not relevant			
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact			

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×				
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×				
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.40.0 Planes assumed as management benefit of the factors	and a standard and the standard for a standard for the standard and the standard for the st

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend			
4.1 Buildings and Development								
4.1.1 Housing								
			9		Œ			
4.1.2 Commercial development								
		9	9		Œ			
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	O		9	•				
			9	•				
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	O		9	•				
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure								
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•	9		•				
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure								
4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure	•	9		•				
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	•	9		•				
4.3 Services Infrastructures								
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	•		9	•				
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	•	9	9	•				

4.4 Pollution						
4.4.4 Air pollution						
		4		•		
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.5 Dust						
				•	Œ	
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
			9		Œ	
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O		a	•		
			•			
4.0.2 Conjected containing of hardings		-31				
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	•	4		•		
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	•		9	•		
			9	•		
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	9		•		
			9	•		
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
			9	•		S
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
			q		₹	
4.10.2 Elegating			-,		3	
4.10.2 Flooding						
			9		F	
4.10.3 Drought						
			9		F	
4.10.6 Temperature change						
		A		•		
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
			9		Œ	
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
			q	•	Œ	
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	©		q	@	F	
,			ď	3	3	
440.0 Lovel framework		27				
4.13.2 Legal framework	•	9		•		
4.13.3 Governance	O	9		•		
		_				
4.13.4 Management activities	O	4		•		

4.13.5 Financial re	4.13.5 Financial resources					9		•		
4.13.6 Human reso	13.6 Human resources							•		
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring acti	vities			O		9	•		
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Insi	de		Outsi	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housi	ng						
				9		Œ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.2 Commercial development			

			q	9		Œ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
past	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
^	Significant						
	Major						
Managama							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
~	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	(impact		q	()		Trenu
				q	•		
				•			
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						

×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
		Impact				
Name				_	Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities	•		9	•	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend	

4.2.1 Groui	nd transport infrastructure	•	9	•	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
×	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name	rground transport infrastructure	Impact	q	Origin	Trend
	g-and-an-special-an-an-an-an-an-an-an-an-an-an-an-an-an-		-,	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				

	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
×	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.2.5 Effect	s arising from use of transportation infrastructure	O	q	•	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
×	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.1 Water	infrastructure	•		9	•		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		-71	Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Renev	wable energy facilities	•	9	9	•		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						

×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ont response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name

4.4.4 Air	pollution			
		9	•	
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
×	Widespread			
	Il scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
×	On-going			
	Impact on the attributes			
impuot	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
^	Significant			
	Major			
Manager	ment response - Capacity of management to respond			
manager	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
~				
X Tuesd F	No capacity and / or resources			
i rend - [Developement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			

Static Increasing Trend

Origin

Impact

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.5 Dust							
			q		•	G	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		I			0-1-1-		Torrid
Name 4.7.6 Wate	er (rain/water table)	Impact			Origin		Trend
				q		G	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						

	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.1 Ritual	/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O		9	•		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						

	Decreasing					
×	Static					
^	Increasing					
	increasing .					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Socie	ty's valuing of heritage	O	9		•	
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial Sca	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
	cale - Occurence of the impact					
Tomporar	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
^	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
IIIIpact - IIII	Insignificant					
~	Minor					
×	Significant					
Managama	Major					
wanageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
**	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Irend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
	ty, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	• •		q	()	
				q	•	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					

×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	nte of tourism Ministries (Documents)	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.6.6 Impa	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	9	9	•	
				4	Q	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Toront De	velopement over the last 6 years					

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact	:		Origin	Trend
4.9.2 Delibe	rate destruction of heritage					
				9	•	S
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.1 Storms							
				9		G	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						

	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.2 Floo	ding					
			9	4	F	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	EXIGNSIVE					
	Extensive Widespread					
Temporal s	Widespread					
	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact					
Temporal s	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare					
	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic					
	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent					
×	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going					
×	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes					
X Impact - Im	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant					
×	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor					
X Impact - Im	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant					
Impact - Im	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major					
Impact - Im	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond					
Impact - Im	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major					

	Low capacity			
×	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend
4 40 2 Dec.	and the same of th			

	Increasing						
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.3 Dro	ught						
				9		(
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Мајог						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						

Name	Impact		et .		pact		mpact		act		mpact		mpact		act		Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change																	
		A		•													

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive

Increasing

×	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		Origin			Trend
4.11.2 Eart	4.11.2 Earthquake						
				9		G	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						

	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)					
			9	•	G	
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Restricted

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	•		9	•	Œ	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Leg	l framework	O		•	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Intermittent or sporadic Frequent				
×					
	Frequent				
	Frequent On-going				
	Frequent On-going pact on the attributes				
	Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant				
Impact - In	Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor				

	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		_	Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Gov	ernance	O	9		•	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Man	agement activities	•	9		•	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					

	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name	ncial resources	Impact		Origin		Trend
4. 13.3 Filla	icial resources		9	•		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Intermittent or sporadic Frequent					
Impact - In	Frequent					
Impact - In	Frequent On-going					
Impact - In	Frequent On-going pact on the attributes					
Impact - In	Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant					
Impact - Im	Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor					

	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	an resources	Impact			Origin	Trend
4. 13.6 Hulli	an resources	O	9		•	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
N					0	T
Name 4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities	Impact		q	Origin	Trend
				•		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					

	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Architecture	×			
4.18.1.2	Urbanism	×			
4.18.1.3	Landscape character	×			
4.18.1.4	Engineering innovations	×			
4.18.1.5	Cultural centre	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? Inadequacies in the buffer zones make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The property includes the Budapest, the Banks of the Danube and the Buda Castle Quarter (property component 400 Ha) inscribed in 1987 and the Andrássy Avenue and the Underground Budapest (property component: 57.85 Ha and its buffer zone: 239.61 Ha) inscribed as an extension in 2002.

The City of Budapest has 23 Districts. The World Heritage Area comprising the WH property and its buffer zone cover the area of 9 Districts. The whole World Heritage area is composed of 1369 monuments and plots with 793 individually protected buildings and 684 buildings under territorial protection.

The whole world heritage property with its buffer zone has been legally protected as a historic monuments area since 1965, the protected area was enlarged in 2005 - after the extension of the property in 2002 - under the *Act on the Protection of Cultural Heritage*. A great number of historic buildings as well as the bridges and the embankments are also individually protected.

The protection of the monuments in Hungary is based on Act No.LXIV, 2001, on the Protection of Cultural Heritage, which covers the areas of archaeology, built heritage and the protection of movable objects. This Act defines the specific responsibilities of owners with regard to state, local government and private (including Church) enterprises, and lays down rules for the protection and utilization of heritage.

A modification of this Cultural Heritage Law (Act LXIV/2001), passed by Parliament on 26 November 2012, established the new concepts of historic and national memory sites, with 36 items belonging to the historic, and nine to the national list. The amendment separates the responsibility for the preservation of archaeological heritage and historic properties, which belong to the Ministry of the Interior, from the responsibility for cultural goods, assigned to the Ministry of Human Resources.

On 14 June 2011, the Hungarian Parliament adopted Act LXXVII, 2011, on World Heritage (the World Heritage Law). The law came into force on 1 January 2012. This Act LXXVII/2011 on Hungarian World Heritage aims to put on a solid legal and institutional basis the efficient implementation of the World Heritage Convention in Hungary and to ensure the efficient management of the Hungarian sites inscribed on the World Heritage list.

The scope of this Act covers:

- a) World Heritage areas and Tentative World Heritage areas,
- b) activities related to World Heritage areas and Tentative World Heritage areas as well as concerning the outstanding universal value of World Heritage areas and the outstanding value of Tentative World Heritage areas, furthermore
- c) organisations and persons carrying out, or affected by the activities under point b).

Source: RSOUV; 2013 RMM mission report

Comment

A modification of this Cultural Heritage Law (Act LXIV/2001), passed by Parliament on 26 November 2012, established the new concepts of historic and national memory sites, with 61 (8 of which are on World Heritage site) items belonging to the historic, and 21 to the national list (2 of which are World Heritage sites). It also identifies 1 outstanding national memory site, also located in the area.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2019 / Government Decree 335/2019 (XII.23.) on the national procedure for designation of the World Heritage Site, the content requirements of World Heritage management plans and the procedure for their preparation, the World Heritage management bodies, and the right of pre-emption of the state in World Heritage sites / Governmental Decree /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Under Hungarian law, all municipalities and the capital city and all its districts are required to adopt an Urban Design Guide and a Town and Country Planning Ordinance. The districts of the World Heritage Site are specifically concerned with regulating the image of the World Heritage Site, too.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Other

If 'Other', please specify

government owned ltd.

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

An annual work plan or business plan

An environmental management framework

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

Currently, the management of the property is based on the legal regulations. A management system for the property is expected after the completion of the management plan.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Budapest World Heritage Programme	N/A	Available	1999	
"Management Plan"	N/A	Available	2001	

Comment

Unfortunately the links don't work.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The policy for dealing with development proposals is fully based on the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

Regulatory systems include legislative and regulatory measures aimed at the conservation and management of the tangible and intangible attributes of the urban heritage. All levels of government aware of their responsibility contribute to the definition, elaboration, implementation and assessment of urban heritage conservation policies. These policies are based on a participatory approach by all stakeholders and coordinated from both the institutional and sectorial viewpoints.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- **5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

No management system/plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists and it is not needed

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities		×			
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone		×			

5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×			
5.3.15.5	Women	×			
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups		×		
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify				

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities			×		
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners		×			
5.3.16.5	Women			×		
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			×		
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists			×		
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			×		
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry			×		
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×		
5.3.16.12	NGOs		×			
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups		×			
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood	×				
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

A management plan is currently being prepared. We have responded accordingly.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %

6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	100 %	100 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	0 %	60 %
6.1.6.2	Women	0 %	40 %
		Total 0 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair

Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are not shared at any level

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is no need for an education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local/municipal authorities	
Youth/children	
NGOs	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Poor
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

- 8.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building
- 9. Visitor Management
- 9.1 Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report
- 9.2 What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Tourism industry

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

- 9.4 Please provide the source of information
- 9.5 What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)
- 9.6 Please provide the source of information
- 9.7 Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

- 9.8 Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7
- 9.9 Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Hungarian Tourism Agency

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is **no contact** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

۷۵٥

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

The indicators are defined according to different principles at the property. That based on changes in the condition of the buildings in the area. More than 10,000 images of buildings are taken each year, providing a good basis for monitoring changes in condition. Besides the traditional photos, there were also helicopter and drone photos. These were used to create a geoinformatical framework.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Non-existent
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Non-existent
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Non-existent
Other specific groups	Non-existent
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

Our monitoring system is based on a geoinformatical framework where status changes can be tracked. In addition, the Hungarian World Heritage Committee has been established, whose members have outstanding theoretical and practical knowledge. It is a proposing, advising and decision-making Committee.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.3	Inadequacies in the buffer zones of the World Heritage property make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.12	No management system/plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	×
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	×
7.3	Research results are not shared at any level	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	×
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	×
9.11	Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property	×
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
Ŋ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	4.1 Buildings and Development										
4.1.1	Housing	The skyline outside of the property can be affected.	Legal regulations, and also taking into account The Guidance and Toolkit for Impact Assessments in a World Heritage Context manual.	Continuously.	Continuously.	Local municipalities, investors, chief architects, planning councils.	None.				

4.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	None.	Satisfactor	y options.		Frequently.		All year.		Local o	pperators.	None.
4.4	Pollution											
4.4.4	Air pollution	Air pollution None. Using more and more electric vehicles, including the vehicl of public transport. District heating is widely available.		cles, e vehicles nsport. ing is	Frequently.		All year. Scientifi experts		tific measurements by ts.		None.	
4.7	Local condition	ons affecting phy	sical fabric									
4.7.5	Dust	None.	Creation and ma		reque	ently.	Occas	sionally.		Clean	ning departments.	None.
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Buildings.	Developmer rainwater pi		Con	tinuously.	ly. Occasionally.			cal municipalities, aster protection units.	None.	
4.8	Social/Cultur	al uses of heritag	е									
4.8.5	Identity, social All of the relevant cohesion, criteria and hosts public holds attributes are population and community affected. The World Heri hosts public holds educational ever being a living circommunities.		c holidays, al events, also ng city with loca	social sciences.		a			municipalities, local ational educational n.	None.		
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation attribute elements of the Site are affected by visitation.		te devents of the are e affected				Continuously.		ir	ocal municipalities, nstitutions, participants f tourism sector.	None.		
4.10	Climate chan	ge and severe we	ather events									
4.10.1	Storms Occasionally it can Restitution if effect any of the attribute elements.				Occasionally. Occ		Occasionally.		m	ne state, local unicipalities, disaster otection units.	None.	
4.10.2	Flooding	Flood can ma affect the lowe of the Site and attribute elem	er parts d its	ntion.	Frequently.		ently. Oc				Disaster protection units.	None.
		Slightly the	attribute Pro			Frequently.			ar long.	1	al authorities.	None.

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management Sys	tem/Management Plan			
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.12	No management system/plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	Preparation of a management plan.	End of 2023	The site manager of the 'Budapest, including the Banks of the Danube, the Buda Castle Quarter and Andrássy Avenue' World Heritage Site	A management plan is currently being prepared under the legislation described in Government Decree 335/2019.
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	Preparation of the management system.	End of 2023	The site manager of the 'Budapest, including the Banks of the Danube, the Buda Castle Quarter and Andrássy Avenue' World Heritage Site	The management plan currently being prepared is consistent with the management system.
6.1	Funding				

6.1.10	No use hat been made the World Heritage Strategy for	e of	The application of the World Heritage strategy for capacity development in t World Heritage area		End of 2023		The site manager of the 'Budapest, including the Banks of the Danube, the Buda Castle Quarter and Andrássy Avenue' World Heritage Site	None				
	Capacity Developmenthe World Heritage property	ent at										
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plus programm place; managem implement external st and skills a not transfer	an or e in ent is eed by aff are	Preparation of the capacity building		End of 2023		End of 2023		End of 2023		The site manager of the 'Budapest, including the Banks of the Danube, the Buda Castle Quarter and Andrássy Avenue' World Heritage Site	None
7	Scientific Studies	and Re	esearch Projects									
	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned		nary of research on the World ge site. Planning new research if sary.	End of	inc Bu		site manager of the 'Budapest, ding the Banks of the Danube, the a Castle Quarter and Andrássy nue' World Heritage Site	None				
			sing the potential for sharing End of ch results.		including the E Buda Castle C		site manager of the 'Budapest, ding the Banks of the Danube, the a Castle Quarter and Andrássy nue' World Heritage Site	None				
9	Visitor Manage	ement										
9.7	strategy to ma manage visitors, and		nvene a stakeholder meeting to discuss the nagement of visitors and tourism activities d its derived impacts on the World Heritage perty.		End of 2024		The site manager of the 'Budapest, ncluding the Banks of the Danube, the Buda Castle Quarter and Andrássy Avenue' World Heritage Site	None				
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	d e r is d but ements		1	inclu Bud		The site manager of the 'Budapest, ncluding the Banks of the Danube, the Buda Castle Quarter and Andrássy Avenue' World Heritage Site	None				
9.11	Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property	, Her	ntacts between tourism operators and W ritage Site managers.	/orld	End of 2023		The site manager of the 'Budapest, ncluding the Banks of the Danube, the Buda Castle Quarter and Andrássy Avenue' World Heritage Site	None				
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Vali	better present the Outstanding Universa ue of the property to the public.	al	End of 2023	i	The site manager of the 'Budapest, ncluding the Banks of the Danube, the Buda Castle Quarter and Andrássy Avenue' World Heritage Site	None				

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

- 13.2 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts
- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

The preservation of the Outstanding Universal Values and professionalism is ensured at the highest level thanks to the legal framework. Furthermore, it contributes to the efficiency of the planning framework, which is a crucial point of settlement scenery. The State Party may require the preparation of complex World Heritage Impact Assessment documentation, including a Visual Impact Assessment (VIA), in the permitting process for interventions affecting the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage site. Several visual impact assessments have been carried out in the World Heritage site. The site managers work in close cooperation with the Municipal Architectural Design Council on projects affecting the site to ensure that they serve the Outstanding Universal Value. The World Heritage site has a GIS based database with searchable and retrievable data layers that can be accessed via a website. The 3D LOD (level of detail) 2.2 building model is also part of the database, which allows the automatic detection of changes in the roof landscape.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation

Governance

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

30/8/

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				×
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Automatic completion with the previous periodic report answers for faster and more efficient completion.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.