

# Old City of Salamanca

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Old City of Salamanca

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details


### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Old Quarter of the City	40.965 / -5.664	48.93	16.288	65.218	1988
Colegio de los Irlandeses	40.965 / -5.67	0.48	16.288	16.768	1988
Iglesia de San Marcos	40.97 / -5.664	0.04	16.288	16.328	1988
Iglesia de Sancti Spiritus	40.965 / -5.659	0.23	16.288	16.518	1988
Convento de las Claras	40.962 / -5.66	0.83	16.288	17.118	1988
Casa-Convento de Santa Teresa	40.968 / -5.665	0.05	16.288	16.338	1988
Iglesia de San Juan Barbalos	40.968 / -5.666	?	?	0	1988
Iglesia de San Cristobal	40.964 / -5.659	0.04	16.288	16.328	1988
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>50.6</b>	<b>114.016</b>	<b>164.616</b>	

### Comment

381-007 San Juan de Barbalos Church: we should add a geographical date which is not listed: Property (Ha): 0.03 Buffer Zone (Ha): 16,288

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Old City of Salamanca. Map showing the inscribed property.	2012	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- [Salamanca, patrimonio local, patrimonio mundial \(only in spanish\)](#)
- [Salamanca \(World Heritage Cities of Spain\)](#)
- [Salamanca](#)
- [Patrimonio de la Humanidad en España \(in Spanish only\)](#)
- [Ciudades Patrimonio de la Humanidad de España](#)
- [Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte \(in Spanish\)](#)

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

**2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	✗	
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	✗	
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✗

2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

## 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

## 2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

## 2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

## 2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

## 2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

## 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

<b>2.7.1</b>	<b>1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.2</b>	<b>Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.3</b>	<b>Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.4</b>	<b>Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.5</b>	<b>UNESCO Global Geoparks</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

## 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

Contact and cooperation with these programs is carried out through the Public Prosecutor's Office of the State Party because, it is not a current of ownership problem of declared asset (there are no war problems) or it is not a type of asset and neither and exceptional universal values.

## 2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Not aware

## 2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

## 2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

## 2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

## 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

##### Brief synthesis

Salamanca is an ancient university town situated in the west of Spain in the Autonomous Community of Castilla and León. The Carthaginians first conquered the city in the 3rd century B.C. It then became a Roman settlement before being ruled by the Moors until the 11th century. The university, one of the oldest in Europe, reached its high point during Salamanca's Golden Age. The city's historic centre has important Romanesque, Gothic, Moorish, Renaissance, and Baroque monuments. The Plaza Mayor, with its galleries and arcades, is particularly impressive.

Beginning with the Roman Bridge that spans the River Tormes southwest of the city, numerous structures still testify to the two thousand year-old history of antique Salmantica. The remarkable examples include the Old Cathedral and San Marcos (12th century), the Salina and the Monterrey Palaces (16th century), and above all the Plaza Mayor (1729-1755). But the city owes its most essential features to the University. The remarkable group of buildings in Gothic, Renaissance, and Baroque styles, which, from the 15th to 18th centuries, rose to the institution that proclaimed itself "Mother of Virtues, Sciences, and the Arts" makes Salamanca an exceptional example of an old university town in the Christian world, such as Oxford and Cambridge.

The Cathedral School of Salamanca existed as far back as the late 12th century. The oldest university building in Salamanca, now the Rectorate, is the old Hospital del Estudio, built in 1413, with the final element of the building programme begun in 1533.

Salamanca provides one of the oldest examples of university facilities conceived as such rather than as colleges. However, the city also boasted many colleges, which were generally charitable institutions with close ties to the University.

Most of these buildings are located in the Old Quarter of the city. However, other monuments, located in the surroundings of the protected core area, are also part of the property. All are magnificent examples of religious architecture belonging to different styles: the Romanesque churches of San Marcos, San Juan de Barbalos, and San Cristóbal, the convents of Las Claras and Santa Teresa, the Gothic-Renaissance church of Sancti Spiritus, and the Colegio de los Irlandeses.

**Criterion (i):** The Plaza Mayor of Salamanca, built as a result of a solemn decision by King Philip V in 1710, is a unique artistic achievement in Baroque art, and considered by many the heart of the Golden City (*La Dorada*). Begun in 1729 according to plans drawn by Alberto de Churriguera, and finished in 1755 by Andrés García de Quiñones, and with contributions from Nicolás de Churriguera and José de Lara de Churriguera, it is one of the most important urban ensembles of 18th century Europe.

**Criterion (ii):** With the Plaza Mayor, the Clerecía (the Jesuit seminary), the college of Calatrava, the Colegio San Ambrosio, the churches of San Sebastián and Santa Cruz de Cañizares, the New Cathedral, and San Esteban, Salamanca is one of the essential art centres of the Churriguera family dynasty of architects, decorators and sculptors of Catalonia. The "churrigueresque" style exerted considerable influence in the 18th century not only in the Iberian Peninsula, but also in Latin America.

**Criterion (iv):** Although founded later than those of Bologna, Paris, and Oxford, the University of Salamanca had already established itself as one of the best academic institutions in Europe by 1250. It conserves an admirable architectural heritage that illustrates the diverse functions of a university institution in the Christian world. The Hospital del Estudio, the Escuelas Mayores, the Escuelas Menores, and the various colleges, which multiplied between the 15th and 18th centuries, form a group of exceptional coherence within a historic city also remarkable for its numerous civil and religious monuments.

##### Integrity

Salamanca is a serial property consisting of the Old Quarter of the City and seven outlying component parts: Colegio de los Irlandeses, Iglesia de San Marcos, Iglesia de Sancti Spiritus, Convento de Las Claras, Casa-Convento de Santa Teresa, Iglesia de San Juan de Barbalos and Iglesia de San Cristóbal.

The inscribed property covers an area of 51 ha, with a 130 ha buffer zone, and contains all the necessary attributes to express the property's Outstanding Universal Value. These key features include all the monuments related to the University and also highly important examples of Baroque art in Spain, particularly the Plaza Mayor. The key attributes illustrate the history of Salamanca and bear witness to its primary function as a university town.

Their recognition as classified monuments has helped to preserve them properly and to retain material integrity, as any intervention is required to safeguard their characteristics. However, regulatory measures and provisions in planning tools will need to be strictly enforced to ensure that potential threats derived from new construction and developments are effectively addressed.

##### Authenticity

The Old City of Salamanca has retained key attributes of authenticity in terms of form, design, materials, and substance. Location and setting characteristics have also been maintained, as well as the use and function within the modern city.

As a place in continuous evolution, the Old City has also been affected by modifications such as urban infrastructure, and building renovation. Yet, these changes have been under strict administrative controls, both from the municipality and the regional government, in order to not adversely affect the Outstanding Universal Value of the property. Nevertheless, continuous attention must be placed on the property in order to ensure that future interventions do not compromise these key

attributes.

Protection and management requirements

The city of Salamanca was registered as "Historic Site" in 1951, the highest legal protection at the national level. The same legal regime, Property of Cultural Interest ( *BIC, Bien de Interés Cultural*), is applied to most of the property's component parts.

According to the existing laws of Cultural Heritage (Law 12/2002, 11 July, of Cultural Heritage of Castilla y León, Decree 37/2007, 19 April, that approves the Rules for the Protection of Cultural Heritage in Castilla y León and Law 16/1985, 25 June, of Spanish Historic Heritage), any intervention requires authorization from the Commission for Cultural Heritage of Salamanca, a body of the regional government.

Urban planning is under the responsibility of the City of Salamanca and its General Plan sets the general regulations for the entire municipality, including the historic area.

As a result of the collaboration agreement between the Council of Culture and Tourism of the Junta de Castilla y León and the City Council, a Management Plan for the property, as well as a new Urban Plan for the historic city, will be formulated and implemented. Both planning tools will function as a roadmap to set all principles and regulations that public administrations must take on account, in order to adapt their policies to the conservation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property, which must prevail over other considerations. All the existing and future sectorial plans concerning tourism, accessibility, urban planning, economic and social plans, etc. will be included in this Management Plan. To ensure that the conditions of authenticity and integrity continue to be met, it will be important to consider that all proposed new interventions for rehabilitation of development be subject to Heritage and Environmental Impact Assessments. These assessments will be crucial to ensure the protection of key attributes and their setting in the historic townscape.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	The urban planning and the monuments which bear witness of the history of the city, starting in Romanesque, Gothic, Moorish, Renaissance, and Baroque styles.	✕			
3.2.2	University buildings: Escuelas Mayores, Escuelas Menores, Hospital del Estudio, Colegio de los Irlandeses, Colegio de San Ambrosio, Colegio de Calatrava.	✕			
3.2.3	Religious architecture: Old and New Cathedral, Clerecía, San Esteban, San Marcos, Sancti Spiritus, Las Claras, Casa-Convento de Santa Teresa, San Juan de Barbalos, San Cristóbal, San Sebastián and Santa Cruz de Cañizares.	✕			
3.2.4	Civil architecture: Roman Bridge, walls prerromana y medievales, María la Brava, Conchas houses (15th century), Salina and Monterrey Palaces (16th century), Plaza Mayor (18th century).	✕			
3.2.5	Urban setting and integration in surrounding landscape.	✕			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The historical city is known as a complex entity with a long story. For these reason, recover the whole real state and traces of this oldest stages – or those disappeared in recent times due to armed conflicts – mean enriching its excelptional universal values. Salamanca city has been working along these lines for several years, enriching the cultural heritage of the historic complex through the recovery and enhancement of diverse archaeological sites.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant











#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative							

#### 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Interpretive Centers have been incorporated: - Salamanca Walls Interpretive Center. - Salamanca's Caves Interpretive Space. - Archaeological park «Cerro de San Vicente» Interpretation Center. - Botanical Archaeological Park. - San Andrés Convent Snow well. - «Palacio de Monterrey» public visits - «Torre de los Anaya» Museum center. - Expansion of the museum offer of San Esteban Convent. - Expansion visit "Ieronimus" Cathedral.

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure











#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕			✕			
 Negative							

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Development of Sustainable Mobility Plan with the increase in pedestrianization roads of Historical Site and the "Buffer" Zone.

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Currently we are working in Spain World Heritage Group on actions which promote the burying of the existing aerial wiring in historical complexes.

### 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

#### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

##### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

**4.6. Physical resource extraction**

**4.6.1 - Mining**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.6.2 - Quarrying**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.6.3 - Oil and gas**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.6.4 - Water (extraction)**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

**4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric**

**4.7.1 - Wind**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.7.2 - Relative humidity**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.7.3 - Temperature**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.7.4 - Radiation/Light**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.7.5 - Dust**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--



#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

##### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
➖ Negative							

##### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			↗
➖ Negative							

##### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			↗

Negative							
----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

Gradual reconversion of convent buildings due to the loss of their original monastic activity, adapting to museum spaces and private activities of opportunity for their exploitation in the tertiary sector and equipment with the corresponding maintenance and enhancement of the building. New alternatives development that avoid the excessive concentration of tourism from traditional enclaves to other spaces of Historical Complex: Cerro San Vicente, Convento de las Claras, ...

**4.9. Other human activities**

**4.9.1 - Illegal activities**

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

**4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage**

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

**4.9.3 - Military training**

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

**4.9.4 - War**

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

**4.9.5 - Terrorism**

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

**4.9.6 - Civil unrest**

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

**4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

Application of Municipal Action Plan against vandal graffiti has slowed down this harmful trend in recent years and it is currently irrelevant.

**4.10. Climate change and severe weather events**

**4.10.1 - Storms**














- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

**4.10.2 - Flooding**

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		

Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):








- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively


The city begins to suffer more frequent extreme climatic episodes of heat waves, changes in seasonal cycles, longer or to a lesser extent droughts, torrential rains, intensity of which is a potential factor in the deterioration of real estate and the quality of urban life in the spatial scope of the historical complex, as well as the appearance of plagues or the promotion of invasive species that damage the biodiversity of their environmental environment.

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

##### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.2 - Earthquake

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕		✕			↗

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Salamanca is a geologically stable area but it can be affected by adverse ecological effects due to the influence of global climate change, such as the increase in heat waves, large external forest fires or prolonged droughts that have negative repercussions at the regional level (macro environment) in air quality and biodiversity loss in territorial ecological corridors.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕		✕		→	

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Invasive animal or plant species are not significant or especially harmful to the property, being controlled. The Ailantos (*Ailanthus altissima*) stand out as plant species with municipal eradication programs, and the American mink (*Neovison vison*) as a terrestrial animal species in the fluvial environment, equally controlled.

### 4.13. Management and institutional factors



#### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
 Negative							

#### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
 Negative							

#### 4.13.3 - Governance

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
 Negative							

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):





- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
 Negative							

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			
 Negative							

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative							

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			
 Negative							

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The current legal framework and the studies and analytics for monitoring the state of health of the property are adequate and are included in the development of the Management Plan for the Old City of Salamanca, of the P.G.O.U. in force and in the Special Plan for the Protection of the Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity of Salamanca.


















#### 4.14. Other factor(s)

##### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

The Territorial Commission for Cultural Heritage and the Spain World Heritage Cities Group are highly efficient institutions and help the Property inscribed on the World Heritage List due to its nature of guardianship, collaboration and coordination in management matters. Besides, the joint activities and actions carried out by this group represent an exchange of experiences and knowledge that can be easily replicated and good practices.

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

##### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						

4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	+	Current		Inside	Outside	→
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
	-		Potential	Inside	Outside	→
4.10.2 Flooding						
	-		Potential		Outside	→
4.10.3 Drought						
	-		Potential		Outside	↗
4.10.6 Temperature change						
	-		Potential	Inside	Outside	↗
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
	-		Potential		Outside	↗
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	-		Potential		Outside	↗
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species						
	-		Potential		Outside	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	+	Current		Inside	Outside	↗
4.13.2 Legal framework	+	Current		Inside		↗
4.13.3 Governance	+	Current		Inside		↗
4.13.4 Management activities	+	Current		Inside		↗
4.13.5 Financial resources	+	Current		Inside	Outside	↗
4.13.6 Human resources	+	Current		Inside		→
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	+	Current		Inside	Outside	↗
<b>Legend</b> <span>Current</span> <span>Potential</span> <span>Negative</span> <span>Positive</span> <span>Inside</span> <span>Outside</span>						

## 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

#### 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	<div>+</div> <div>Current</div>	<div>Inside</div>	<div>↗</div>

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant						
	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
	High capacity						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing						

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>						
	Restricted					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>						








	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	+	🚩		📍		➡

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	 	 	

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes




	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	 	 	

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor







	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going




Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

## 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Storms						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static

	Increasing
--	------------

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.2 Flooding			
			 

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes





<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.3 Drought			
			 






#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent

	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change						
						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static

✕	Increasing				
---	------------	--	--	--	--

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts							

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)							





Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing




## 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						

##### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

##### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

##### Impact - Impact on the attributes




	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

##### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

##### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing





Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework						

##### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread







Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	 		




<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major




<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	 	 	






<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	 	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

**4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor**

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

**4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)**

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	The urban planning and the monuments which bear witness of the history of the city, starting in Romanesque, Gothic, Moorish, and Baroque styles.	✕			

4.18.1.2	University buildings: Escuelas Mayores, Escuelas Menores, Hospital del Estudio, Colegio de los Irlandeses, Colegio de San Ambrosio, Colegio de Calatrava.	×			
4.18.1.3	Religious architecture: Old and New Cathedral, Clerecía, San Esteban, San Marcos, Sancti Spiritus, Las Claras, Casa-Convento de Santa Teresa, San Juan de Barbalos, San Cristóbal, San Sebastián and Santa Cruz de Cañizares.	×			
4.18.1.4	Civil architecture: Roman Bridge, walls prerromana y medieval, María la Brava y Conchas houses (15th century), Salina and Monterrey Palaces (16th century), Plaza Mayor (18th century).	×			
4.18.1.5	Urban setting and integration in surrounding landscape.	×			

## 5. Protection and Management of the Property

### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The property delimitation is complex for local community due to its irregularity and is confused with that of the Historical Site. The buffer zone, however, although it is not known administratively, is well intuited by its correspondence with the historic almoned that makes up the second medieval enclosure.

### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The old city of Salamanca is affected by several levels of legal protection:

At the national level, the law 16/1985, of June 25, 1985, of the Spanish historical heritage is the legal framework that ensures the protection of properties of cultural interest (BIC) and bestows the greater responsibility at the regional level, in this case the Junta de Castilla y León, which acts as legislator and main executor in the field of cultural heritage.

At the local level, the municipality has the responsibility for all urban areas and infrastructures, and in this context, for all buildings that are not catalogued as BIC.

- Provisions on 6 April 1951 for the protection of "the artistic historical ensemble" of the old city.
- Law 16/1985, of June 25, 1985 of the Spanish historical heritage (framework for the protection of properties of cultural interest (BIC);
- The law of July 11, 2002, for the protection of the cultural heritage of Castilla y León.
- The Decree of April 19, 2007, which sets the rules for the protection of the cultural heritage of Castilla y León.

Source: World Heritage Centre and ICOMOS Mission, 2009

#### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2007 / Plan General de Ordenación Urbana. Revisión/Adaptación 2004. / Planeamiento urbanístico de aplicación /

#### 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

#### 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

#### 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

#### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

The Management Plan for Salamanca Old City has been approved as a framework document prepared to guarantee the future conservation and good management of the property and its recognized exceptional universal value. Its P.G.O.U. development will be also implemented when drafting and approval of Special Plan for

the Protection of the Salamanca Old City concluded, together with the current Special Plan for Protection of Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity of Salamanca.

## 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

#### 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

#### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)
Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management
Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups
An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations
A management plan
An annual work plan or business plan
A visitor/visitation management plan
An environmental management framework
An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property
A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

#### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The legal document for urban planning integrated into the Spanish legal system is the General Plan of Urban Planning, Revision-Adaptation of 2004, to which the Management Plan for the Salamanca Old City, approved in October 2017 by the city council, has been added. Municipal, and the Special Plan for the Protection of Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity. The Special Plan for the Protection of the Old City of Salamanca is in the drafting phase.

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Plan de Gestión de la Ciudad Viaje de Salamanca. Tomo I Inventario del Bien (octubre 2017)	N/A	Available	2017	
Management Plan of the Old City of Salamanca. Summary Document (2011)	N/A	Available	2011	

#### 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The policy for dealing with development proposals is **fully based** on the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

#### 5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

#### 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

The policy for dealing with climate change is **fully based** on the agreed World Heritage policy

#### 5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

Development of adaptation strategies to climate change through the CENCYL Network of green cities of Interreg V-A program Cross-border cooperation Spain-Portugal POCTEP 2014-2020, Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy (2017), Special Plan for Urban Green Infrastructure of Salamanca, Life Climate Change Adaptation Project "Vía de la Plata de Salamanca", to create an exportable and replicable model of adaptation to climate change of world heritage cities.

#### 5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

The risk management policy is **fully based** on the agreed Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

#### 5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

Periodic technical meetings between Civil Protection personnel and the Fire Extinguishing Service of the Spain World Heritage Cities Group for the creation of action protocols and good practices in different scenarios of potential risks of natural disasters.

#### 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

#### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **only partially implemented**

**5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work/action plan **exists** and many of its activities are being implemented

**5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?**

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				✗	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✗	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				✗	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.15.5	Women				✗	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✗				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

**5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups**

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					✗
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✗
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.16.4	Landowners	✗				
5.3.16.5	Women					✗
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					✗
5.3.16.7	Researchers					✗
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					✗
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					✗
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				✗	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				✗	
5.3.16.12	NGOs					✗
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					✗
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify		Organizaciones -Asociaciones con discapacidades o de personas en riesgo de exclusión social.			

**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention***

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	✗				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				✗	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				✗	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				✗	

5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×				

**5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above**

**5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan**

## 6. Financial and Human Resources

### 6.1. Funding

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	10 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	10 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	20 %	8 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	20 %	8 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	40 %	80 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	2 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	2 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

**6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above**

Part of the financing funds from the Central State, the Autonomous Community and the City Council come from the European Community, which is why they are international in nature, being fully financed or co-financed in a significant percentage.

**6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

**6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

**6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.**

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

**6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good



Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?**

Training and capacity building at this property is **fully based** on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

**6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.**

**6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?**

A **site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; **all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

**6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

The training of municipal managers is continuous and transversal, especially for the areas affected to a greater or lesser extent, managed and coordinated through the Department of Human Resources. In addition, training is implemented through courses, congresses and technical meetings organized by the regional Administration, the Ministry of Culture for World Heritage managers and specific institutions such as the Spain World Heritage Cities Group.

**7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

**7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?**

Research results **are shared** widely **with active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

**7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

By municipal initiative, both technical and scientific or informative studies on the history of the Property and its cultural heritage are promoted and published, as well as recovery and enhancement actions, which are reinforced by other similar initiatives promoted both by the University of Salamanca as the Junta de Castilla y León, improving in this aspect the knowledge and values to protect of the Salamanca old city.

**8. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property**

amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	Personas del sector docente de la Enseñanza (Primaria, Media y Universitaria) y asociaciones de jubilados

**8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?**

There is a **planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

**8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?**

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Other specific groups
Asociaciones de jubilados (Universidad de la Experiencia) y en programas de Voluntariado.

**8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building**

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Fair
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	Aseos/servicios públicos

## 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

It is considered that the basis of respect and understanding of asset starts from adequate education and information, this being the best tool for the protection and conservation of its exceptional universal value. For this reason, the City Council, in coordination with Salamanca Foundation, City of Culture and Knowledge and other public administrations, has a broad inclusive educational program aimed at both the general public and the school public and other special groups.

## 9. Visitor Management

### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1.061.694 / 642.680 / 339.839 / 1.444.866 / ----- /

### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

Tourism industry

Other

Instituto Nacional de Estadística (datos oficiales de Turismo)

### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

1.64 days of average length of stay of a visitor according to the National Institute of Statistics in 2022

### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

100,73 €/día de promedio para el conjunto de las partidas / ----- / ----- / ----- / ----- /

### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Tourism, Commerce and Economic Promotion of Salamanca, S.A.A. Salamanca Tourism Strategy

### 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

In development of the Management Plan of the Old City of Salamanca, the 2020 Destination Tourism Sustainability Plan is currently in force, in turn included in a Regional Tourism Plan and has the Q for tourism quality or certification by UNE standard as DTI .

### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Through the Q in Tourism Quality (Quality Management System through the UNE standard) and through internal control systems

### 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is adequately presented and interpreted**

### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

**Fees are collected**, and make **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

A través del Plan de Sostenibilidad Turística en Destino 2020

### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Por interrelación económica de los sectores del comercio, hostelería y educación/enseñanza

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

It is intended to defocus the points of tourist concentration towards the buffer zone and the peri-urban environment, to expand the tourist spectrum of the well-declared World Heritage, avoiding crowds, with new offers and tourist packages that integrate cultural and natural heritage, helping to reinforce and understand the OUV of the registered property.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			x	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			x	
10.3.3	Character of governance			x	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			x	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			x	
10.3.6	Capacity development			x	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

The indicators included in Management Plan have been established based on the following topics linked to the OUVs: Monumental Density (Conservation), Cultural Identity (identity associated with the University, permanence of the "cultured character of the old city, permanence of the trait culture of the urban historical landscape), Urban Vitality (balance of uses, economic activities, impact of Tourism), Landscape (conservation of the environment, preservation of patrimonial landscape) and Citizenship.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is complete

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

While the State Party's recommendations have been implemented, their implementation is ongoing.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

Difficulties in its application due to the lack of assistance from specific human teams dedicated exclusively to this task.

## 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	<b>Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>		
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property <b>are known</b> by the management <b>authority but are not known</b> by local communities/landowners		✕
5.2	<b>Protective Measures</b>		
5.2.6	There is <b>acceptable capacity/resources</b> to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain		✕
5.3	<b>Management System/Management Plan</b>		
5.3.13	The management system at the property is <b>only being partially implemented</b>		✕
6.1	<b>Funding</b>		
6.1.3	The available <b>budget is acceptable</b> but <b>could be further improved</b> to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property		✕
10	<b>Monitoring</b>		
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage <b>property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved</b>		✕

Please select 0 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1	Storms	Physical and chemical injurious effects due to the action of wind and torrential rain in high areas and walls of historical buildings.	Reinforcement of protection of roofs, eaves and rainwater drainage systems.	Follow-up work on evolution of the most vulnerable buildings and the results of undertaken actions.	Short and medium term.	Ayuntamiento de Salamanca y Administración competente en materia de patrimonio cultural (Junta de Castilla y León)	It is a negative factor of a sporadic nature.
4.10.2	Flooding	Alteration due to flooding of the lower or underground areas (cellars, basements, etc.) of historical building or residence.	Preventive actions for collection or redirection of rainwater, as well as sustainable urban drainage systems (suds) that are being applied on an experimental basis in innovative projects such as Life Vía de la Plata.	Monitoring of areas prone to flooding due to torrential rains and assessment of the measures applied.	Short and medium term.	Salamanca City Council and competent Administration in matters of cultural heritage (Junta de Castilla y León)	The redevelopment of streets is being used to correct detected problems and the implementation of new systems which alternate with generalized gray infrastructures.
4.10.3	Drought	Indirect effects on the historical urban landscape due to the potential reduction or suppression of irrigation in green spaces of the historic complex.	Substitution of vegetation and irrigation systems of parks and public gardens in historic space with other native ones, more resilient to the effects of climate change, especially drought. Construction of rain gardens.	Constant through the evolution of health state of vegetation.	In the short term through the ordinary maintenance system for public parks and gardens.	Environment Department of the Salamanca City Council	Drought as a potential negative factor would exclusively affect the water resources used to irrigate green spaces and public fountains in historical urban landscape.

4.10.6	Temperature change	Extreme thermal contrast as a negative factor that affects the physical structure of building materials of the historical city (stone, wood, ceramics, ...).	Increase of specific studies on the real degree of affectation of thermal contrasts on construction materials of the historical complex.	Monitoring of the evolution of its effects on the material elements exposed to climatic rigors.	Short and medium term.	Heritage Section of the Salamanca City Council, competent Administration in matters of cultural heritage (Junta de Castilla y León) and University of Salamanca.	Due to its geographical location, Salamanca is a city that is usually exposed to extreme changes in temperature, so even though these are accentuated by climate change, they do not have a great impact on its heritage.
4.10.7	Other climate change impacts	More regular and prolonged exposure to extreme climatic events in the environment with more evident repercussions on human and social aspect of inhabitants to the registered property and their quality of life.	The fight against climate change is being carried out with the development of the Special Plan for Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity (Execution of projects such as Life Via de la Plata, EDUSI Tormes +, etc.).	In the short and medium term, verifying environmental improvement through installed environmental data monitoring sensors and associated studies for improvement and increase in urban biodiversity.	Short and medium term.	Salamanca City Council and the CENCYL network.	Climate data is being captured and processed using Machine Learning techniques to detect anomalous patterns, provide predictions and build artificial intelligence systems.
4.11	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>						
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	It is not a factor with a direct impact on the world heritage asset, but its potential existence would occasionally threaten the environmental quality of the city's territorial surroundings	Development of preventive and palliative policies in the environmental exterior of property in its territorial scope.	Assessment of the evolution of fires in recent years as a consequence of the gradual abandonment of field and effects of climate change.	Short term.	Environment and Civil Protection Area of the Junta de Castilla y León and the Fire Extinguishing Service of the Salamanca City Council	Although it is a phenomenon that does not directly affect the property, the increase in large forest fires in recent years due to the effect of climate change makes it necessary to take into account its adverse effects against the Property.
4.12	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>						
4.12.2	Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	Despite its low direct incidence against the declared property, its control is necessary, especially the plant against the ailanthus due to its ability to colonize open urban spaces.	Systematic clearing to eradicate the plant and controlled captures of animal species that constitute pests (pigeons, American mink, ..).	Through computations and sampling to analyze the evolution of invasive populations species, both animal and plant.	Short and medium term.	Municipal Environment and Health Areas.	Despite its existence and control, it is not a particularly relevant factor for the city of Salamanca.

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property <b>are known</b> by the management <b>authority</b> <b>but are not known</b> by local communities/landowners	Constant dissemination campaigns based on actions recovery and enhancement of cultural heritage and urban space.	Short term	Salamanca City Council and the Group of World Heritage Cities of Spain	The physical boundaries of the World Heritage property are somewhat irregular and blurred with the buffer area, which in principle is not considered counterproductive to treat the historic city as a whole with the utmost rigor.
5.2	Protective Measures				
5.2.6	There is <b>acceptable capacity/resources</b> to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	On the one hand, public aid to owners with economic solvency problems should be encouraged and, on the other hand, compliance with protection and conservation regulations should be more rigorously demanded from those who can comply with them.	In the short term, the development of those included in the Management Plan of the Old City.	Salamanca City Council and Regional Administration (Junta de Castilla y León).	Review of municipal regulations and ordinances through the future Spatial Protection Plan for the Old City of Salamanca.
5.3	Management System/Management Plan				

5.3.13	The management system at the property is <b>only being partially implemented</b>	Management plans as tools for the protection and conservation of cultural heritage need to be legally coupled with state and regional regulations and legislation.	In the short and medium term	State, regional and municipal administrations	Without a solid legal basis, it is not feasible to develop management systems in their entirety and means and personnel must be provided for their implementation.
6.1	<b>Funding</b>				
6.1.3	The available <b>budget is acceptable but could be further improved</b> to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Planning of actions at the declared property in all its aspects with the establishment of priorities and objectives.	Short and medium term	Salamanca City Council, Regional Administration, and State Administration.	The complexity and demands of managing World Heritage properties require a greater level of resources than in other contexts.
10	<b>Monitoring</b>				
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage <b>property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved</b>	Provision of permanent teams to constantly evaluate every one of the factors, indicators, and indices determined in the World Heritage Property.	It would be desirable to set up a short-term plan to carry out intensive monitoring from the present.	Salamanca City Council, Regional Administration, and State Administration.	The regular workload of municipal employees makes it very difficult for them to carry out their duties efficiently and continuously.

Summary - Management Needs completed

## 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

### 12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

## 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive

Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	No impact
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

Salamanca is aware of its privileged situation and recognition, of its strengths and weaknesses, but it is decisively readjusting its policies to adapt to the present times of the Anthropocene in terms of the sustainability of its vital system and adaptation and resilience to climate change. Promoting an idea of World Heritage in which the cultural and natural heritage make up the same unit to improve the quality of life and the conservation of OUVs.

## 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Development of initiatives for the identification and problems resolution generated by the forms of life and contemporary city elements in historical assets that mitigate or eliminate them, seeking effective solutions, preferably based on Nature (SUDs), for their replication in other cities. World Heritage which suffer the same problem, with exchange of experiences in their different realities. The approval of a Special Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity Plan for Salamanca and the execution of European projects such as EDUSI TORMES+ or LIFE VÍA DE LA PLATA demonstrate the will to implement a new city model for Salamanca in the 21st century, conceived as a space of environmental (sustainability and climate resilience) and cultural (heritage and citizenship) quality that promotes and improves its exceptional universal values.

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

#### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

### 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?



Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Awareness raising

### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

They involve internal monitoring of advances or setbacks in ownership management of the World Heritage Property, on which it is essential to reflect in order to carry out its self-assessment.

## 15.3. Timing and resources

### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

24 / 16 / 40 /
----------------

### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	✗	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	✗	

## 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			✗	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			✗	

### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

## 15.5. Training and Guidance

### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	Not applicable

ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

**15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?**

Yes

**15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?**

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

**15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

- **Geographic information table**

Reason for update: 381-007 San Juan de Barbalos Church: we should add a geographical date which is not listed: Property (Ha): 0.03 Buffer Zone (Ha): 16,288

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

**15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.**