### Garajonay National Park

#### 1. World Heritage Property Data

#### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Garajonay National Park

#### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

#### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Garajonay National Park	28.126 / -17.237	3984	4160	8144	1986
Total (ha)		3984	4160	8144	

#### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Garajonay National Park: Limit of the Park and Peripheral Zone of Protection, scale 1:145,000	2008	

#### Comment

The maps of the property are currently being updated in the framework of the Restrospective Inventory initiative at the request of the World Heritage Center by letter of 16th December 2022.

#### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. World Heritage Sites in Spain (Tourist Office of Spain)
- 2. Parque Nacional Garajonay
- 3. Parque Nacional Garajonay
- 4. Natural site datasheet from WCMC
- 5. Ministerio de Educación (in Spanish)

#### Comment

The 5 links are to be removed, and substituted by: 1. Parque Nacional de Garajonay (MITECO website)

https://www.miteco.gob.es/es/parques-nacionales-oapn/red-parques-nacionales/parques-nacionales/garajonay/ 2. Parque Nacional de Garajonay (Gobierno de Canarias website) https://www.gobiernodecanarias.org/parquesnacionales/garajonay/es/ 3. Natural site datasheet from WCMC

http://world-heritage-datasheets.unep-wcmc.org/datasheet/output/site/garajonay-national-park/ 4. Ministerio de Cultura y Deporte - World Heritage Unit https://www.culturaydeporte.gob.es/cultura/areas/patrimonio/mc/patrimoniomundial/unesco-patrimoniomundial.html

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

# 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	×	
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

Garajonay National Park 1 of 34

#### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

Garajonay National Park is part of La Gomera Biosphere Reserve. It is also a ZEPA (Zone of special protection for birds)

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	4054 Harris Commention for the Protection of Outtons Deposits in the Front of Association (Outflier)	
2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5		
	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	UNESCO Global Geoparks  There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.1		×
	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×

Garajonay National Park 2 of 34

#### 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

# 2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

# 2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Whistled language of the island of La Gomera (Canary Islands), the Silbo Gomero (https://ich.unesco.org/en/RL/whistled-language-of-the-island-of-la-gomera-canary-islands-the-silbo-gomero-001 72?RL=00172)

# 2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

# 2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

### **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

#### **Brief synthesis**

Not far off the north-west coast of Africa lies the island of La Gomera, one of the seven islands that make up the Canary Islands archipelago in the Atlantic. These high, volcanic islands are the first to receive the rains arriving from the west, and have thus retained the remnants of a rich and luxuriant forest — the *laurisilva* or Laurel forest — on their windward peaks. Next to the Laurisilva of Madeira (Portugal), Garajonay National Park preserves an outstanding example of this unique vegetation, which remains almost permanently shrouded in clouds and mist. These forests are relict ecosystems, living remnants of the old rainforests and warm temperate forests that occupied much of Europe and North Africa during the Tertiary. Today, they are a refuge for an exceptional number of endemic species, which in many cases are also threatened.

The park covers some 11% of the island and is an important source of water for Gomera, with its network of permanently flowing streams, the best preserved in the Canary Islands. The forest hosts a great diversity of plant species, which are often surrounded by a sea of fog that gives the forest a magical aspect. These fogs are vital for the forest, producing the necessary moisture essential for the survival of this lavish green environment located within an otherwise arid island. The forest only survives thanks to the high humidity and mild temperatures, which fluctuate little during the year.

The forest is geographically unique, as remnants of this type of vegetation are only found in the Macaronesian Islands (the Canaries, Madeira and the Azores). This insular *laurisilva* is characterised by the evolution of a large number of endemic species of fauna and flora, which in some cases are threatened. Two relict and endemic species of birds, the White-tailed Laurel Pigeon and the Dark-tailed Laurel Pigeon, are endemic to the Canaries. On La Gomera, they are largely restricted to the national park where, as their names suggest, they live in the Laurel forest. It is thought that between 40-60% of the invertebrate fauna is endemic.

Criterion (vii): Garajonay National Park contains an outstanding and well-preserved example of *laurisilva* (Laurel forest), an exceptional ecosystem typified by luxuriant evergreen trees with laurel-like leaves, which today is only found in the Macaronesian Islands. This relict ecosystem, a living remnant of the old rainforests and warm temperate forests that occupied much of Europe and North Africa during the Tertiary, is characterised by lush vegetation, fed by numerous springs and streams, and contains a rich and endemic flora and fauna. It is extraordinary that such a forest still exists at this latitude and proximity to the coasts of the Sahara.

*Criterion (ix)*: The Canary Islands are renowned for their relict and endemic species of plants and animals, and present outstanding examples of island evolution. Garajonay National Park contains the best-preserved examples of this evolution in the region, with a recorded flora of 450 vascular plant species, of which 34 are endemic to the island and eight found only in the national park. Two relict and endemic species of pigeons are found almost exclusively in the Laurel forest, and an estimated 40-60% of the invertebrate fauna is endemic.

#### Integrity

Following the European colonization of La Gomera in the 15th century, major changes occurred to the forest cover, which was reduced by some 65% in just over 100 years. In the south and west of the national park, there are areas of deforestation, fires and grazing and, in some parts, the natural vegetation cover has been replaced by commercial species for plantations of Canary Pine and Monterey Pine. These activities are slowly being eliminated, although some problems derive from the existence of private property on the boundary of the park. The rat, feral cat and dog population is high. The property is also at risk from wildfires.

Garajonay National Park consists of over 3,900 ha of the best-preserved Laurel forests in the Canary Islands, with a high number of large, old trees, as well as the best-preserved network of streams, which is the most threatened habitat in all of the Macaronesian Islands. All forest types belonging to the Canary *laurisilva* are represented in the park, and some of these forest types are either only present in Garajonay or very rare elsewhere, such as the cloud forest rich in epiphytes. The establishment of large Integral Reserves that are free of visitor use and extractive activities is almost unique in the Laurel forests of the Canary Islands.

#### Protection and management requirements

Garajonay National Park was created by Spanish Law 3/81 and forms part of the Spanish National Park Network. Previously managed by the Organismo Autónomo Parques Nacionales under the Ministry of the Environment. Following a decentralization process in 2010, the responsibility has been transferred to the Regional Government of the Canary Islands. Management is based on a Master Plan that is being revised regularly. The Laurel forest is also included in the Habitat Directive 2000 92/43/CE of the European Union.

Management is mainly based on a non-interventionist approach, to allow ecosystem processes to continue, and the monitoring programme is showing important changes in the composition and structure of the forest. It is planned to increase research and monitoring of issues that are at present insufficiently studied, and for which available information is poor. Research will focus on issues that will contribute to better understanding and dealing with conservation problems.

About 15% of the Park had been degraded in the 1960s by the plantation of exotic, fast-growing commercial tree species. An important ecological restoration program aimed at restoring the native forest has been implemented, with 80% of its objectives achieved to date. It is planned to complete the restoration of degraded areas in the Park, and the control of alien invasive plant species is also planned.

The conservation of endangered flora is one of the main challenges for Park management, given the high number of taxa included in the Red List. Currently the Park is working with about 20 endangered species, and has produced 11 recovery plans. The goal is to maintain, improve and increase the number of conservation

Garajonay National Park 3 of 34

programmes for endangered plant species. These programmes have served to improve the situation of many populations and are considered a pioneer experience in Spain since they were first started in the 1980s.

There is a special plan to cooperate as much as possible in the conservation of natural areas surrounding the Park, particularly where there are well-preserved Laurel forests. An increase of the size of the National Park would be best, but a wide political and social consensus would be required for this project to come to fruition.

It is also planned to improve the public use system, meaning improvement and expansion of infrastructure, services and communication, both with the public and the tourism sector, by taking advantage of new communication technologies. Increased cooperation with the tourism sector in order to create better tourist products related to Park values is expected to improve the visitor experience as well as provide local benefits.

# 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	The laurisilva or Laurel forest, which constitute relict ecosystems, living remnants of the old rainforests and warm temperate forests that occupied much of Europe and North Africa during the Tertiary.	×			
3.2.2	All forest types belonging to the Canary laurisilva are represented in the park, and some of these forest types are either only present in Garajonay or very rare elsewhere, such as the cloud forest rich in epiphytes.	×			
3.2.3	Endemic species of flora, which constitute outstanding examples of island evolution. Garajonay National Park contains the best-preserved examples of this evolution in the region, with a recorded flora of 450 vascular plant species, of which 34 are endemic to the island and eight are only found in the national park.	×			
3.2.4	Endemic species of fauna, which in some cases are threatened. Two relict and endemic species of birds, the White-tailed Laurel Pigeon and the Dark-tailed Laurel Pigeon, are endemic to the Canaries.	×			
3.2.5					
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

#### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Garajonay National Park 4 of 34

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×			×			7

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

¥ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>	

#### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Garajonay National Park 5 of 34

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
<ul><li>4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.3.4 - Localised utilities  Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):  ◆ Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.5 - Major linear utilities  Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):  ◆ Not relevant	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors a positively	selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.4. Pollution	
<ul><li>4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul><li>4.4.2 - Ground water pollution</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
<ul><li>4.4.3 - Surface water pollution</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.4.4 - Air pollution  Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):  ● Not relevant	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
<ul><li>4.4.5 - Solid waste</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.4.6 - Input of excess energy Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):  ◆ Not relevant	
Relevant	※ Not relevant
4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors a positively	selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.5. Biological resource use/modification	
4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	

Garajonay National Park 6 of 34

× Not relevant

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant

#### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Garajonay National Park 7 of 34

#### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

# 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

#### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

nnt	✗ Not relevant
-----	----------------

#### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant	
----------	----------------	--

## 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

5.1	**
Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

Garajonay National Park 8 of 34

# 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

#### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			gin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>	

#### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×		<b>→</b>	

#### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×			×			•

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×		×				<i>P</i>

Garajonay National Park 9 of 34

# 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.9. Other human activities

#### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

#### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×				7

#### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

#### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant Not relevant

Garajonay National Park 10 of 34

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
		×	×				7

#### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
○ Negative X	×		×				,

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or

# positively

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

#### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

#### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

#### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

Garajonay National Park 11 of 34

#### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		<b>→</b>	

# 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

#### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative							

#### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

<b>X</b> Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×				1

#### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

#### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×				7

Garajonay National Park 12 of 34

#### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

#### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

### 4.13.3 - Governance

evant	X Not relevant
-------	----------------

### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive									
○ Negative X	×		×				1		

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
		q			Œ	<b>/</b>

Garajonay National Park 13 of 34

4.3 Services Infras	tructures									
4.3.1 Water infrastructure										
						9		•		$\rightarrow$
4.8 Social/Cultural	uses of heritage									
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses										
						9		•		$\rightarrow$
4.8.4 Changes in t	raditional ways of life and	d knowledge system								
						9			<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
4.8.5 Identity, soci	al cohesion, changes in I	ocal population and commu	nity							
						9			Œ	P
4.8.6 Impacts of to	urism/Visitation/Recreati	on								
						9		•		P
4.10 Climate change	ge and severe weather ev	vents								
4.10.1 Storms										
							9	•		<b>&gt;</b>
4.10.3 Drought										
							9	•		<b>&gt;</b>
4.10.6 Temperatur	e change									
						9		•		<b>&gt;</b>
4.11 Sudden ecolo	gical or geological event	s								
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire	e)									
							9		<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
4.12 Invasive/alien	species or hyper-abunda	ant species								
4.12.1 Translocate	d species									
4.12.2 Invasive/Ali	en terrestrial species									
						9		•		<i>P</i>
4.12.5 Hyper-abun	dant species									
						9		•		<i>&gt;</i>
4.13 Management	and institutional factors									
4.13.6 Human reso	ources									
						9		•		<i>&gt;</i>
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>	<ul><li>Insi</li></ul>	de		Outside	de	

### 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

### 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impac	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
		9			Œ	-
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

Garajonay National Park 14 of 34

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going Control of the Control of t
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

### 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin	
4.3.1 Water	4.3.1 Water infrastructure				
			4	•	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				

Garajonay National Park 15 of 34

	Major						
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

# 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.8.1 Ritual	I.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses						
			9		•		<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system			

Garajonay National Park 16 of 34

			9		C	<b>→</b>			
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor								
×	Restricted								
	Localised								
	Extensive								
	Widespread								
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact								
	One off or rare								
×	Intermittent or sporadic								
	Frequent								
	On-going								
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes								
	Insignificant								
×	Minor								
	Significant								
	Major								
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond								
	High capacity								
×	Medium capacity								
	Low capacity								
	No capacity and / or resources								
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years								
	Decreasing								
×	Static								
	Increasing								
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend			

				F	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				

4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Minor

Garajonay National Park 17 of 34

	Significant				
	Major				
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impac	s of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	_	_		
			9	•	
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

# 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name Impact Origin Trend
--------------------------

Garajonay National Park 18 of 34

4.10.1 Stor	4.10.1 Storms				
			9	•	1
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
•	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact	Origin		Trend
4.10.3 Drou	ight		_		_
				•	
Spatial sca	ele - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				

Garajonay National Park 19 of 34

	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
×	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.10.6 Ter	perature change				
			9	•	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
×	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

### 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Garajonay National Park 20 of 34

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
			9		<b>F</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
**	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
remporars	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

# 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species				
		9	•	1

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent

Garajonay National Park 21 of 34

	On-going On-going				
Impact - In	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.12.5 Hyp	er-abundant species				
			9	•	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				

Garajonay National Park 22 of 34

### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Hun	nan resources				
			q	•	,
	lle - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

- 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)
- 4.17.1 If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor
- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.
- 4.18.1 Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1					
4.18.1.2					
4.18.1.3					
4.18.1.4					
4.18.1.5					

Garajonay National Park 23 of 34

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

#### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The boundaries of the site should be expanded to include different natural areas sorrounding the Park that cover different habitat types and species that are not well represented into the National Park.

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The national park was established under Law 3/1981 on 25 March 1981, but the managementet and conservation of the national park area is covered by a number of legal texts, specially:

- National Park law (Ley 5/2007) and
- Natural Heritage and Biodiversity law (Ley 42/2007).

The park is within the administrative jurisdiction of General Direction for Nature Protection of the Canary Government (Dirección General de Protección de la Naturaleza). The Park has a General management Plan and specific plans.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

# 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

# 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

# 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

# 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

#### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Protection measures should be adapted to the new needs and demands that have arisen the last years. This should be done with the approval of a new Management Plan that is being prepared by the Park administration.

# 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property If 'Other', please specify
- 5.3.2 Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

#### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The Park General Management Plan enacted by Decree 1531/1986 on 30 May 1986 is beeing revised. There is a steering group but not related with the World Heritage inscription. It was created by Act in 1981, its name in Spanish is Patronato, and its function is to provide means for social participation to formulate and inform the management decisions and to inform the activities in the buffer zone as well. The site is managed by the Canary Government.

Garajonay National Park 24 of 34

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Plan Rector de Uso y Gestion	N/A	Available	1985	

# 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?
- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

#### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

#### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

# 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone					
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women					
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups					
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

# 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					
5.3.16.5	Women					
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	

Garajonay National Park 25 of 34

5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists		×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists		×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry			
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			
5.3.16.12	NGOs			
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups			
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify			

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					

#### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

Cooperation with local people and local authorities in the buffer zone should be increased in fields such as reducing vegetation flammability, sustainable tourism and ecotourism.

#### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

The big fire of 2012 needs a new management approach to restore the affected area and monitor vegetation succesion. The European Charter for Sustainable tourism has created a new approach to promote sustainable tourism and ecotourism in cooperation with private enterprises and local authorities. Traditional measures such as control of invasives, restoration of endangered species, visitor planning, environmental education, monitoring, scientific research have received a great progress.

#### 6. Financial and Human Resources

## 6.1. Funding

# 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	%	100 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 0 %	Total 100 %

Garajonay National Park 26 of 34

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are not secure

### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

# 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	%	%
6.1.6.2	Women	%	%
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are inadequate for management needs

# 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	
Environmental sustainability	
Community participation and inclusion	
Risk preparedness	
Capacity development and education	
Administration	
Research and monitoring	
Awareness raising and public information/communication	
Marketing and promotion	
Interpretation	
Visitor management/tourism	
Enforcement (custodians, police)	

# 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	
Environmental sustainability	
Community participation and inclusion	
Risk preparedness	
Capacity development and education	
Administration	
Research and monitoring	
Awareness raising and public information/communication	
Marketing and promotion	
Interpretation	
Visitor management/tourism	
Enforcement (custodians, police)	

Garajonay National Park 27 of 34

- 6.1.10 Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?
- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?
- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Budget and funding have decreased sustancially in the last years, reducing the capacity to maintain management levels in fields so important as wildfire control, restoration of degraded areas, support to local communities. The programme to expand and maintain visitor facilities is also affected.

- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

# 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

#### 7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Research projects related with postfire forest succession, invertebrate biodiversity, forest dyeback, impact of climate change, traditional knowledge should have a high priority in the site

- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Indigenous peoples	
Landowners	
Women	
Youth/children	
Researchers	
Local visitors	
National/international tourists	
Tourism industry	
Local businesses and industries	
NGOs	
Other specific groups	
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

- 8.2 Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?
- 8.3 Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?
- 8.4 Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	
Site museum	
Information booths	
Guided tours	
Trails/routes	

Garajonay National Park 28 of 34

Printed information materials	
Online (website, social media, etc.)	
Transportation facilities	
Other	
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

- 8.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building
- 9. Visitor Management
- 9.1 Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report
- 9.2 What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?
- 9.3 What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?
- 9.4 Please provide the source of information
- 9.5 What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)
- 9.6 Please provide the source of information
- 9.7 Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?
- 9.8 Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7
- 9.9 Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?
- 9.10 Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?
- If a different system, please specify
- 9.11 How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?
- 9.12 How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?
- ${\bf 9.13 At\ how\ many\ locations\ is\ the\ World\ Heritage\ emblem\ displayed\ at\ the\ property?}$

In  $\boldsymbol{many\ locations}$  and  $\boldsymbol{easily\ visible}$  to visitors

- 9.14 How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

  No fees are collected
- 9.15 Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

If 'Yes', please specify

- 9.17 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property
- 10. Monitoring
- 10.1 Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				

Garajonay National Park 29 of 34

10.3.3	Character of governance			
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			
10.3.6	Capacity development			

### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Not applicable
Local communities	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Fair
Researchers	Not applicable
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

## ${\bf 10.6 - Has\ the\ State\ Party\ implemented\ relevant\ recommendations\ arising\ from\ the\ World\ Heritage\ Committee?}$

Implementation is complete

#### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

Monitoring of the site must be maintained and adapted to new priorities such as evolution of areas affected by fires, ecology of water streams, anticipation of invasive species and dyeback problems.

### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

#### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain
5.3	Management System/Management Plan
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented
6.1	Funding
6.1.3	The available <b>budget is inadequate</b> for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are <b>not secure</b>
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property

Garajonay National Park 30 of 34

Please select 10 more issues.

☑ Please save this question to reflect changes

- 12. Summary and Conclusions
- 12.1. Summary Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.2	Transportation Infrast	ructure				
4.2.1		Ground transport infrastructure				
4.3	Services Infrastructure	es				
4.3.1		Water infrastructure				
4.8	Social/Cultural uses o	f heritage				
4.8.4		Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system				
4.8.5		Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community				
4.8.6		npacts of urism/Visitation/Recreation	n			
4.10	Climate change and se	evere weather events				
4.10.1		Storms				
4.10.3		Drought				
4.10.6		Temperature change				
4.11	Sudden ecological or	geological events				
4.11.6		Fire (wildfire)				
4.12	Invasive/alien species	or hyper-abundant spe	cies			
4.12.2		Invasive/Alien terrestrial species				
Question not o	completed					

### 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

The answers you provided have not outlined any serious management need, therefore this table is empty. Please **Save** to complete the question.

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been compromised by factors described in this report
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

  The Integrity of the World Heritage property has been seriously compromised by factors described in this report

Garajonay National Park 31 of 34

# 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been seriously impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation can be addressed, or is currently being addressed through management actions.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted** 

#### 12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Garajonay National Park is the most natural and best representation of relictic ancient laurel forests in the Canaries. In spite of this, the big fire in 2012 badly affected a significant proportion of the site, about 20%, most in the areas worst preserved, although some old growth forests were affected.

#### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

#### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	No impact
Advocacy	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Not applicable
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Not applicable
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

#### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

Recognition from the local level to the global level has been very important Also, this permits to obtain support for conservation.

- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level
- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting
- 15.1.1 Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?
- 15.1.2 Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

entities			
State Party			

Garajonay National Park 32 of 34

Site Managers	
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	

- 15.2. Use of Data
- 15.2.1 How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?
- 15.2.2 Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting
- 15.3. Timing and resources
- 15.3.1 Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)
- 15.3.2 Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?
- 15.3.3 Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?
- 15.3.4 Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire
- 15.3.5 Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		

- 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report
- 15.4.1 How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?
- 15.4.2 Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions				

- 15.4.3 Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire
- 15.5. Training and Guidance
- 15.5.1 Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	
UNESCO National Commission	
ICOMOS International	
IUCN International	
ICCROM international/regional	
ICOMOS national/regional	
IUCN national/regional	

#### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	
National Commission for UNESCO	
ICOMOS International	
ICCROM International/regional	
ICOMOS national/regional	
IUCN national/regional	

Garajonay National Park 33 of 34

- 15.5.3 Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?
- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
  - Map(s)

Reason for update: The maps of the property are currently being updated in the framework of the Restrospective Inventory initiative at the request of the World Heritage Center by letter of 16th December 2022.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Garajonay National Park 34 of 34