

1 Monuments, Cathedral of St Peter and Church of Our Lady in Trier

Heritage Property Data

Name of World Heritage property

Monuments, Cathedral of St Peter and Church of Our Lady in Trier

Identified Heritage property details

Graphic information table

	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)
	0 / 0	?	?	?
	0 / 0	?	?	?
Trier	49.748 / 6.649	2.02	?	2.02
Bridge	49.752 / 6.627	0.25	?	0.25
Baths	49.75 / 6.63	1.17	?	1.17
Annex	49.709 / 6.55	0.003	?	0.003
Palace	49.76 / 6.644	0.33	?	0.33
Baths	49.75 / 6.642	2.46	?	2.46
Palatine (Basilica)	49.754 / 6.643	0.21	?	0.21
Imperial	49.756 / 6.643	0.71	?	0.71
Church of Our Lady (Liebfrauenkirche)	49.756 / 6.643	0.15	?	0.15
Total		7.303	0	7.303

(s)

Monuments, Cathedral of St. Peter and Church of Our Lady in Trier: Map showing the Moselle Bridge, the Barbara Baths, the Imperial Baths, the Aula Palatina (Basilica)

Monuments, Cathedral of St. Peter and Church of Our Lady in Trier : Map showing the amphitheatre, the imperial baths, the Aula Palatina (Basilica), the Cathedral, the Church of Our Lady (Liebfrauenkirche), the

Monuments, Cathedral of St. Peter and Church of Our Lady in Trier - Map of inscribed property

and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [Römische Baudenkmäler, Dom und Liebfrauenkirche von Trier \(Deutsche UNESCO-Kommission - german only\)](#)
2. [www.welterbe-rlp.de](#)
3. [and 4. GDKE - Rheinisches Landesmuseum - Zentrum der Antike https://www.zentrum-der-antike.de/de/monumente/ 5. https://en.romantic-cities.com/cities/trier 6. https://www.trier-info.de/sehenswuerdigkeiten 7. https://www.rlp-tourismus.com/de/uebergreifende-themen/unesco-welterbestaetten-und-weltkulturerbe](#)

Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

Words indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table, if necessary.

	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is protected under this convention/programme
International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✕
World Network of Biosphere Reserves (Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme)		✕
Global Geoparks Network (UNESCO Global Geoparks)		✕

Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?




Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) in the next three years?

Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

Inscription year
1986
1986
1986
1986
1986
1986
1986
1986
1986

	Date	Link to source
	1985	
Porta	1985	
	2014	

» below. Please check and

in part) is not designated and/or ntion/programme

ction of Cultural Property in

isar List), if relevant, in the

ears?

1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict
There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.
Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict
There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.
Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)
There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.
Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme
There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.
UNESCO Global Geoparks
There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.

se add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

ase list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

y you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

ase list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

if the world - Reichenauer Handschriften - Codex Egberti <https://www.unesco.de/kultur-und-natur/weltdokumentenerbe/weltdokumentenerbe-deutschland/reichenauer-handschriften>
w.stadtbibliothek-weberbach.de/icc/stadtarchiv/nav/5df/5df7097f-92c8-6e31-fc8e-9a153d761716&sel_uCon=a1a60db6-f644-6e31-bba5-7ac63d761716&uTem=63f7089a-29fc-6c31-e777-d8b132ea

nent of Outstanding Universal Value

ement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

ment of Outstanding Universal Value
thesis

ch is located on the Moselle river in the West of Germany, was a Roman colony from the 1st century A.D. and then a great trading centre in the beginning of the next century. It became one of the ca
chy at the end of the 3rd century, when it was known as the 'second Rome'. The number and quality of the surviving monuments are an outstanding testimony to Roman civilization.

o place north of the Alps where so many important Roman buildings and such a concentration of traces of Roman settlement have been preserved as in Trier, the "Rome of the North". In late classic
one of the largest cities in the Roman Empire; it was the seat of the prefects of Gaul, Germania, Britannia and Hispania and after the imperial reforms of the Emperor Diocletian was the seat of the
rror (Caesar) of the Western Empire.

structures built during the first and second centuries (the Moselle Bridge, the Barbara Baths, the Porta Nigra and the Igel Column) illustrate the richness of the commercial city, from which the garriss
sses on the Rhine were supplied, the monumental buildings from the reign of Constantine (Imperial Baths, Aula Palatina, Cathedral) are a visible expression of the immensity of imperial power and tl
orld domination made from the West of the Empire for the last time before the eclipse of the classical era (this claim was taken over in the East by the new capital of the Empire, Constantinople, whi
perseded Trier as well as Rome).

ldings preserved from classical times, at least two of those described above are unparalleled. The Porta Nigra, with its state of preservation and its architectural layout (the combination of a fortificati
es of palace architecture) is a unique construction that is unlike any of the other preserved Roman city gates. Its development during the Middle Ages into a (likewise very unusual) double church als
l of Western history. The monumental brick structure of the Basilica, with its lapidary form and the vast dimensions of its interior (the largest known interior from classical times) was the embodiment
s *imperi*) and the power of the Roman Empire.

y oldest church buildings in the Western world, the Cathedral has been a witness to the Christian faith since Constantine made Christianity a tolerated and supported religion in his Empire. Its archite
ites elements of all the periods of classical, medieval and modern times, but has always been marked by the monumental concept that lies at its origins. The series of archbishops' tombs covers with
ns the entire period from the 12th to the late 18th century. The Romanesque parclose, the renaissance pulpit and some of the Baroque marble altars belong to the major works of sculpture of their re

ch of Our Lady is the earliest church built in French High Gothic style outside France. Its purity of style (it was completed in only 30 years) and the undeviating implementation of the architect's plan f
raped graduated central area, for which there were partial models, though no entire prototype, in France probably make it the most perfect example of the centralized construction concept in Gothic :

(i): The Porta Nigra, which is an enormous fortified gate built of large stones, flanked by two semi-circular four-storey towers, is a unique achievement of 2nd century Roman architecture. The remai
and the cloister of the two-level church built within its walls by Archbishop Poppo between 1034 and 1042 further enhance the monument.

(iii): Trier bears exceptional testimony to Roman civilisation due to the density and the quality of the monuments preserved: the bridge, the remains of the fortified wall, *thermae*, amphitheatre, store
al art, as demonstrated by the nomination of the Igel Column, and the craftsmanship of potters, glassworkers and minters flourished particularly.

(iv): Trier, along with Istanbul, is the example of a large Roman capital after the division of the Empire. The remains of the imperial palace, in addition to the Aula Palatina and the imperial *thermae* (the Roman Empire after those of Diocletian and Caracalla in Rome) are impressive in their enormity. Under the north basilica (now the Cathedral), the decoration of a painted ceiling, where member family (most probably Helena and Fausta) appear to be identifiable, also bears testimony to the Aulic character of the architecture.

(vi): Trier is directly and tangibly associated with one of the major events of human history, Constantine's march against Maxence in 312, which was a prelude to the Edict of Milan (313) and which r

tion of Christianity.

t of the city still corresponds to its 2nd century configuration, with the major thoroughfares of the *cardo* (Simeonstrasse) and the *decumanus* (Kaiserstrasse). The components of the World Heritage well-preserved ruins (Barbara Baths, Imperial Baths, Amphitheatre), monuments that regained their Roman appearance in the 19th century by deletion of later additions (Porta Nigra) or reconstruction or incorporate Roman structures (Moselle Bridge, Cathedral). The Igel Column survived unaltered, the Church of Our Lady replaced the south church of the Constantine Cathedral complex in the 13 by their layout and dimension, all Roman buildings furnish evidence of importance of the former capital of the Western Empire to this day. All components are treasured main historic monuments.

city

s concerning the protection and preservation of the Roman monuments in Trier started at the beginning of the 19th century; they are closely connected with the development of monument protection fence, these monuments are not only authentic documents of the Roman period, but also significant examples of the history of monument preservation in Germany. In World War II, only the Basilica Our Lady were damaged by fire and bombs; they were carefully restored between 1954-1956 and 1946-1949 respectively.

n and management requirements

and regulations of the Federal Republic of Germany and the State of Rhineland-Palatinate guarantee the consistent protection of the Roman Monuments, Cathedral of St Peter and Church of Our L y are listed monuments according to the Rhineland-Palatinate Monument Protection Act. Once finalised and approved, a buffer zone will exist for the property.

tion and construction issues are dealt with and managed in close cooperation between the owners (Federal State of Rhineland-Palatinate, City of Trier, Diocese of Trier), the responsible conservatio s and building administrations, the Ministry for Science and Culture and the Trier-Commission, which was founded in 1926. The memorandum "Save the archaeological heritage of Trier" guides the ion measures undertaken by the owners of the properties. It is presented by an advisory board, the Trier-Commission, which is continuously monitoring the Roman monuments. A Management Plan he near future and will consist of a set of maintenance and conservation measures to ensure the further protection of the property, the sustainable use and the interpretation to the public.

se list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key i (no more than 15 overall).

Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromise
Outstanding Density and Quality of Roman Buildings	✖		
Structures built during the first and second centuries AD as part of a trading city: the Moselle Bridge, the Amphitheatre, the Barbara Baths, the Porta Nigra and the Igel Column	✖		
Residential City of Roman Emperors (in particular Constantine): Imperial Baths, Aula Palatina, the north basilica (now the Cathedral)	✖		
Layout of the city roughly based on its 2nd century configuration: cardo maximus and decumanus maximus	✖		
History of Christianity and Church Architecture: Cathedral and Church of our Lady	✖		

iments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

rs Affecting the Property

dings and Development

using

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

ant	Not relevant
-----	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
sitive ✖		✖		✖	📈		
gative ✖		✖		✖	📉		

mmercial development

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✖ Not relevant
---	----------------








ustrial areas

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✖ Not relevant
---	----------------








Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
avant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

ant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
sitive ✕	✕			✕		→	
egative							

Interpretative and visitation facilities

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
avant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside








ant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
sitive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			↗
egative							

Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Transportation Infrastructure

Ground transport infrastructure

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
avant, Positive, Potential, Outside

ant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
sitive ✕		✕		✕		→	
egative							

Underground transport infrastructure

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
avant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

t	✕ Not relevant						
---	----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--








Water transport infrastructure

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
avant, Positive, Potential, Outside

t	✕ Not relevant						
---	----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Airline transport infrastructure

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
avant, Positive, Potential, Outside

ant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
sitive ✕		✕		✕		→	
egative							

Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant						
---	----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Other Infrastructures

Water infrastructure

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant						
---	----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Renewable energy facilities

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant						
---	----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

n-renewable energy facilities

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):

relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

calised utilities

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):

relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

major linear utilities

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):

relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

base comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

ution

Illution of marine waters

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):

relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

ound water pollution

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):

relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

rface water pollution

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):

relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

pollution

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):

relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

lid waste

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):

relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

out of excess energy

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):

relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

base comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

ogical resource use/modification

ishing/collecting aquatic resources

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):

relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

uaculture

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):

relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

nd conversion

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):

relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

restock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):

relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

op production

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✗ Not relevant
---	----------------

mmercial wild plant collection

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✗ Not relevant
---	----------------

bsistence wild plant collection

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✗ Not relevant
---	----------------

mmercial hunting

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✗ Not relevant
---	----------------

bsistence hunting

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✗ Not relevant
---	----------------

orestry/Wood production

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✗ Not relevant
---	----------------

lease comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

sical resource extraction

ning

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✗ Not relevant
---	----------------

larrying

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✗ Not relevant
---	----------------

land gas

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✗ Not relevant
---	----------------

iter (extraction)

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✗ Not relevant
---	----------------

ase comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

al conditions affecting physical fabric

nd

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✗ Not relevant
---	----------------

lative humidity

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✗ Not relevant
---	----------------

mperature

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✗ Not relevant
---	----------------

diation/Light

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✖ Not relevant
---	----------------

ist

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✖ Not relevant
---	----------------

ter (rain/water table)

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✖ Not relevant
---	----------------

sts

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✖ Not relevant
---	----------------

cro-organisms

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✖ Not relevant
---	----------------

ase comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

ial/Cultural uses of heritage

ual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✖ Not relevant
---	----------------

ciety's valuing of heritage

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
evant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

ant	Not relevant
-----	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
sitive ✖	✖	✖	✖			➡	
egative							

igenous hunting, gathering and collecting

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✖ Not relevant
---	----------------

anges in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✖ Not relevant
---	----------------

ntity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✖ Not relevant
---	----------------

pacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
evant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

ant	Not relevant
-----	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
sitive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖		➡	
egative							

base comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

ar human activities

igal activities

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

liberate destruction of heritage

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

litary training

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

ir

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

rrorism

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

ril unrest

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

base comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

mate change and severe weather events

torms

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

looding

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

rough

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

esertification

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

hanges to oceanic waters

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

emperature change

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

ther climate change impacts

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

lease comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

dden ecological or geological events

olcanic eruption

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

arthquake

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

sunami/Tidal wave

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

valanche/Landslide

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

rosion and siltation/Deposition

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

ire (wildfire)

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

lease comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

vasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

ranslocated species

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

ivasive/Alien terrestrial species

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

ivasive/Alien freshwater species

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

ivasive/Alien marine species

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

yper-abundant species

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------

odified genetic material

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
relevant

t	✕ Not relevant
---	----------------









lease comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

inagement and institutional factors









lanagement system/Management plan

ant	Not relevant
-----	--------------









	Impact	Origin	Trend of impact
--	--------	--------	-----------------

	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
Positive	X	X	X	X			
Negative							

Legal framework









ant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
Positive	X	X	X	X			
Negative							

Governance









ant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
Positive	X	X	X	X			
Negative							

Management activities









answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
 avant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

ant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
Positive	X	X	X	X			
Negative							

Financial resources

ant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
Positive	X		X	X			
Negative							

Human resources

ant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
Positive	X		X	X			
Negative							

How impact research/monitoring activities

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
 relevant

t	X Not relevant
---	----------------

High impact research/monitoring activities

answer Cycle 2 (18/07/2013):
 relevant

t	X Not relevant
---	----------------





Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Other factor(s)

Other factor(s)

actors Summary Table




actors Summary Table

	Impact					Origin				
Buildings and Development										
Conservation										
										
For visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure										
Interpretative and visitation facilities										






Programs and Development

12 of 27

Increasing					
------------	--	--	--	--	--

or visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	Impact			Origin	
					




cale - Area affected by the factor	
Restricted	
Localised	
Extensive	
Widespread	
I scale - Occurence of the impact	
One off or rare	
Intermittent or sporadic	
Frequent	
On-going	
Impact on the attributes	
Insignificant	
Minor	
Significant	
Major	
nent response - Capacity of management to respond	
High capacity	
Medium capacity	
Low capacity	
No capacity and / or resources	
evelopment over the last 6 years	
Decreasing	
Static	
Increasing	

rpretative and visitation facilities	Impact			Origin	
					

cale - Area affected by the factor	
Restricted	
Localised	
Extensive	
Widespread	
I scale - Occurence of the impact	
One off or rare	
Intermittent or sporadic	
Frequent	
On-going	
Impact on the attributes	
Insignificant	
Minor	
Significant	
Major	
nent response - Capacity of management to respond	
High capacity	
Medium capacity	
Low capacity	
No capacity and / or resources	
evelopment over the last 6 years	
Decreasing	

Static
Increasing

Transportation Infrastructure

	Impact			Origin	
Land transport infrastructure					

Scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Impact scale - Occurence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

On-going

Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity




No capacity and / or resources

Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

	Impact			Origin	
Land transport infrastructure					

Scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Impact scale - Occurence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

On-going

Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources
development over the last 6 years
Decreasing
Static
Increasing

al/Cultural uses of heritage

	Impact	Origin
ociety's valuing of heritage	   	



cale - Area affected by the factor
Restricted
Localised
Extensive
Widespread

I scale - Occurence of the impact
One off or rare
Intermittent or sporadic
Frequent
On-going

Impact on the attributes
Insignificant
Minor
Significant
Major

ent response - Capacity of management to respond
High capacity
Medium capacity
Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources

development over the last 6 years
Decreasing
Static
Increasing

	Impact	Origin
acts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	    	

cale - Area affected by the factor
Restricted
Localised
Extensive
Widespread

I scale - Occurence of the impact
One off or rare
Intermittent or sporadic
Frequent
On-going

Impact on the attributes
Insignificant
Minor
Significant
Major

ent response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity
Medium capacity
Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources
Development over the last 6 years
Decreasing
Static
Increasing

Management and institutional factors

	Impact			Origin	
Management system/Management plan					

Scale - Area affected by the factor
Restricted
Localised
Extensive
Widespread

Frequency scale - Occurrence of the impact
One off or rare
Intermittent or sporadic
Frequent
On-going

Impact on the attributes
Insignificant
Minor
Significant
Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond
High capacity
Medium capacity
Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources

Development over the last 6 years
Decreasing
Static
Increasing





	Impact			Origin	
Management framework					

Scale - Area affected by the factor
Restricted
Localised
Extensive
Widespread

Frequency scale - Occurrence of the impact
One off or rare
Intermittent or sporadic
Frequent
On-going

Impact on the attributes
Insignificant
Minor

Significant
Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond
High capacity
Medium capacity
Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources
Development over the last 6 years
Decreasing
Static
Increasing






	Impact	Origin
Management activities	  	 

Scale - Area affected by the factor
Restricted
Localised
Extensive
Widespread

Impact scale - Occurrence of the impact
One off or rare
Intermittent or sporadic
Frequent
On-going

Impact on the attributes
Insignificant
Minor
Significant
Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond
High capacity
Medium capacity
Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources
Development over the last 6 years
Decreasing
Static
Increasing


	Impact	Origin
Management activities	  	 

Scale - Area affected by the factor
Restricted
Localised
Extensive
Widespread

Impact scale - Occurrence of the impact
One off or rare
Intermittent or sporadic
Frequent
On-going

Impact on the attributes
Insignificant

Minor
Significant
Major
ent response - Capacity of management to respond
High capacity
Medium capacity
Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources
development over the last 6 years
Decreasing
Static
Increasing

	Impact	Origin
Financial resources	 	 



Scale - Area affected by the factor
Restricted
Localised
Extensive
Widespread

I scale - Occurrence of the impact
One off or rare
Intermittent or sporadic
Frequent
On-going

Impact on the attributes
Insignificant
Minor
Significant
Major

ent response - Capacity of management to respond
High capacity
Medium capacity
Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources

development over the last 6 years
Decreasing
Static
Increasing

	Impact	Origin
Human resources	 	 

Scale - Area affected by the factor
Restricted
Localised
Extensive
Widespread

I scale - Occurrence of the impact
One off or rare
Intermittent or sporadic
Frequent
On-going

Impact on the attributes

Insignificant
Minor
Significant
Major
urrent response - Capacity of management to respond
High capacity
Medium capacity
Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources
velopment over the last 6 years
Decreasing
Static
Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Outstanding Density and Quality of Roman Buildings	✖			
4.18.1.2	Structures built during the first and second centuries AD as part of a trading city: the Moselle Bridge, the Amphitheatre, the Barbara Baths, the Porta Nigra and the Igel Column	✖			
4.18.1.3	Residential City of Roman Emperors (in particular Constantine): Imperial Baths, Aula Palatina, the north basilica (now the Cathedral)	✖			
4.18.1.4	Layout of the city roughly based on its 2nd century configuration: cardo maximus and decumanus maximus	✖			
4.18.1.5	History of Christianity and Church Architecture: Cathedral and Church of our Lady	✖			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property has **no buffer zone, but there is a need for one**

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has **no known and recognised** buffer zone

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The draft for a buffer zone is available and will be approved by local stakeholders in 2023.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The laws and regulations of the Federal Republic of Germany and the State of Rhineland-Palatine guarantee the consistent protection of the Roman Monuments, Cathedral of St Peter and Church of Our Lady in Trier. They are listed monuments according the Rhineland-Palatine Monument Protection Act.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has **no buffer zone**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)
An annual work plan or business plan
A visitor/visitation management plan
A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Antike Erlebniswelt Trier. Konzept zur Belebung der antiken Stätten von "Burgen, Schlösser, Altertümer Rheinland-Pfalz" (Management Plan)	N/A	Available	2000	
Medienfabrik Trier, Antike Erlebniswelt Trier. Konzept zur Belebung der antiken Stätten	N/A	Available	2000	

Comment

and Trier - Zentrum der Antike (2015)

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists and many of its activities are being implemented**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			✕		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✕	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				✕	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✕				
5.3.15.5	Women	✕				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✕				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					✕
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✕
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✕				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					✕
5.3.16.5	Women	✕				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					✕
5.3.16.7	Researchers					✕
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					✕
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					✕

Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; **all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results **are shared** widely **with active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1670000 / 831000 / 961000 / 1730000 / 1391000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

60 / 30 / 10 / 10 / 0 / 10 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Local

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to **present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is adequately presented and interpreted**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

LEITFADEN FÜR NACHHALTIGE VERANSTALTUNGEN/GUIDE TO SUSTAINABLE EVENTS
(https://www.trier-info.de/cams/core/cams_file.php?mod=dateien&id=240&field=pdf&skipActiveCheck=true)

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Jobs (for example tour guides, hotels, restaurants)

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone , but there is a need for one	
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.4	The property has no buffer zone	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×

6.1	Funding
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property ✕
Please select 2 more issues.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and Development						
4.1.1	Housing	(iv), affected attributes 3.2.3 (Residential City of Roman Emperors (in particular Constantine): Imperial Baths, Aula Palatina, the north basilica (now the Cathedral))	Urban design competition	Participation of the Office for the Preservation of Historical Monuments (Generaldirektion Kulturelles Erbe, Rhineland-Palatine)	2022-2023	City Government and Rhineland-Palatine State Office for the Preservation of Historical Monuments (Generaldirektion Kulturelles Erbe, Rhineland-Palatine)	Visuell Integrity

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	The draft for a buffer zone is available and will be approved by local stakeholders in 2023.	2023	Stakeholders of the World Heritage Site Trier (Generaldirektion Kulturelles Erbe, Rhineland-Palatine, City Government of Trier, Local Government Igel, Catholic Diocese of Trier, Evangelical Church in Germany)	None

5.2	Protective Measures				
5.2.4	The property has no buffer zone	The draft for a buffer zone is available and will be approved by local stakeholders in 2023.	2023	Stakeholders of the World Heritage Site Trier (Generaldirektion Kulturelles Erbe, Rhineland-Palatine, City Government of Trier, Local Government Igel, Catholic Diocese of Trier, Evangelical Church in Germany)	None

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	The Policy Document will be fully incorporated into the next management plan.	2023-2024	Generaldirektion Kulturelles Erbe, Rhineland-Palatine and other Stakeholders of the World Heritage Site Trier (City Government of Trier, Local Government Igel, Catholic Diocese of Trier, Evangelical Church in Germany)	None
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	The Strategy will be fully incorporated into the next management plan.	2023-2024	Generaldirektion Kulturelles Erbe, Rhineland-Palatine and other Stakeholders of the World Heritage Site Trier (City Government of Trier, Local Government Igel, Catholic Diocese of Trier, Evangelical Church in Germany)	None

6.1	Funding				
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	The World Heritage strategy will be fully taken into account in the next management plan.	2023-2024	Generaldirektion Kulturelles Erbe, Rhineland-Palatine and other Stakeholders of the World Heritage Site Trier (City Government of Trier, Local Government Igel, Catholic Diocese of Trier, Evangelical Church in Germany)	None

Summary - Management Needs **completed**

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Roman Monuments, Cathedral of St Peter and Church of Our Lady in Trier

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Update of management plans
Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
UNESCO National Commission
Local communities
Other specific groups
Trier Tourismus und Marketing GmbH
External experts

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

20 / 16 / 38 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		✕
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		✕

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			✕	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			✕	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Good
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Good
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.