

# Historic Centre of Évora

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property


Centre historique d'Évora

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Centre historique d'Évora	38.573 / -7.908	0	0	0	1986
Total (ha)			0		

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Centro Historico de Évora – Area Classificada como Patrimonio Mundial e respectiva Zona de Protecção, scale 1:5,000.	2007	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [Câmara municipal de Évora \(en anglais et portugais\)](#)
2. [Direcção Geral dos Edifícios e Monumentos Nacionais](#)
3. [Comissão Nacional da UNESCO \(en portugais\)](#)
4. [Sistema de Informação do Património Cultural \(en portugais\)](#)

### Comment

Direção Geral do Património Cultural (in portuguese and english) SIPA - Sistema de Informação Para o Património Arquitectónico - [http://www.monumentos.gov.pt/Site/APP\\_PagesUser/Default.aspx](http://www.monumentos.gov.pt/Site/APP_PagesUser/Default.aspx)

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

### 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

### 2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

### 2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

**2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?**

Not applicable

**2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?**

Not applicable

**2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes**

<b>2.7.1</b>	<b>1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.2</b>	<b>Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.3</b>	<b>Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.4</b>	<b>Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.5</b>	<b>UNESCO Global Geoparks</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

**2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)**

All of the above does not apply to Historic Centre of Évora (CHE).

**2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?**

Yes

**2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware**

Cante Alentejano, polyphonic singing from Alentejo, southern Portugal was inscribed in the Intangible Cultural Heritage List (2014). The Alentejo singing groups are still alive in the municipality and the Historic Centre of Évora is sometimes the stage for this manifestation of intangible heritage. Further more, the importance, diversity and quality of the region's gastronomy, including the wines produced, are clearly affiliated with the Mediterranean diet, Inscribed in 2013 on the same list.

**2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?**

Not aware

**2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World**

Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Déclaration de valeur universelle exceptionnelle

Brève synthèse

Le Centre historique d'Évora, capitale de la province de l'Alentejo, au Portugal, a été façonné par plus de vingt siècles d'histoire remontant à la période celtique. La ville tomba sous la domination romaine dont elle conserve encore, parmi ses ruines, le temple de Diane. Du temps des Wisigoths, la cité chrétienne occupa l'espace entouré par les remparts romains qui furent ensuite remaniés. Sous la domination des Maures qui prit fin en 1165, de nouvelles améliorations furent apportées au système défensif d'origine, comme l'illustrent une porte fortifiée et les vestiges de l'ancienne Kasbah. Il y a un certain nombre d'édifices datant de l'ère médiévale, dont le plus connu est la cathédrale qui a été achevée au XIII<sup>e</sup> siècle. Mais c'est au XV<sup>e</sup> siècle, quand les rois du Portugal y vinrent en résidence de façon de plus en plus régulière, qu'Évora connut son Âge d'or. À cette époque, partout sortent de terre couvents et palais royaux : le couvent de Santa Clara, l'église et le couvent royal de São Francisco, non loin du palais royal du même nom, ou encore le couvent d'Os Lóios avec l'église évangéliste São João. Ce sont des monuments remarquables, soit qu'il s'agissait à l'époque de constructions entièrement nouvelles, soit de restructurations d'établissements préexistants de style manuelin dont les plus grandes créations du XVI<sup>e</sup> siècle ont gardé l'empreinte.

Lors de la création de l'Université du Saint-Esprit où enseignent les Jésuites à partir de 1553, Évora devint la deuxième ville du Portugal. L'université amorça, cependant, un rapide déclin suite à l'expulsion de la Compagnie de Jésus en 1759 par le marquis de Pombal, alors ministre.

Évora est aussi remarquable pour des raisons autres que son patrimoine monumental en lien avec des événements historiques mémorables. Le XVI<sup>e</sup> siècle fut une époque de grands projets urbanistiques et de forte influence intellectuelle et religieuse. Il convient par ailleurs de signaler le nombre de belles maisons patriciennes du XVI<sup>e</sup> siècle que compte Évora (maison Cordovil, maison de Garcia de Resende), sans parler de la qualité unique de la ville qui tient à la cohérence de l'architecture mineure des XVI<sup>e</sup>, XVII<sup>e</sup> et XVIII<sup>e</sup> siècles. Cette unité trouve son expression générale dans toutes les maisons basses blanchies à la chaux et décorées d'azulejos, avec leurs balcons en fer forgé, leurs toits de tuile ou en terrasse, qui bordent des rues étroites dont le tracé évoque la configuration médiévale, et témoignent dans d'autres quartiers de la croissance concentrique de l'agglomération jusqu'au XVII<sup>e</sup> siècle. Cela a également servi à renforcer l'unité fondamentale d'un type d'architecture qui est parfaitement adapté au climat et au lieu.

Évora n'a quasiment pas été touchée par le grand tremblement de terre de 1755 qui détruisit de nombreuses villes du Portugal, dont Lisbonne. Les monuments du centre historique d'Évora témoignent de leur profonde influence sur l'architecture portugaise au Brésil.

**Critère (ii):** Seul le paysage urbain du centre historique d'Évora permet aujourd'hui de comprendre l'influence exercée par l'architecture portugaise au Brésil, dans des sites tels que le centre historique de Salvador de Bahia.

**Critère (iv):** Le centre historique d'Évora est le meilleur exemple de ville de l'Âge d'or portugais depuis la destruction de Lisbonne par le tremblement de terre de 1755.

Intégrité

Évora est peuplée depuis le II<sup>e</sup> siècle av. J.-C. Au Moyen-Âge, elle fut pendant longtemps la résidence royale et acquit un certain prestige au XVI<sup>e</sup> siècle lorsque la cité fut élevée au rang de siège ecclésiastique. Malgré les changements importants survenus dans le paysage urbain au fil des siècles, Évora témoigne encore de différents styles esthétiques.

Malgré la forte poussée démographique qui a mené à la construction de nouveaux quartiers à l'ouest, au sud et à l'est, le centre historique d'Évora a conservé ses caractéristiques à l'intérieur des remparts de style Vauban édifiés au XVII<sup>e</sup> siècle sur les plans de l'ingénieur français, Nicolas de Langres. De même, le réseau routier aménagé au XX<sup>e</sup> siècle autour du mur d'enceinte de la ville a contribué à sa préservation. L'intégrité d'Évora a été préservée dans son ensemble, à la fois en termes de monuments individuels et de paysage urbain. Le paysage rural au nord est resté quasiment inchangé.

Authenticité

Depuis que les remparts de la cité ont été classés en 1920 au titre de la loi nationale, des mesures de conservation ont été adoptées selon des principes internationalement reconnus. Malgré les transformations qu'a subies Évora au XX<sup>e</sup> siècle, la plupart de ses édifices ont conservé leur authenticité structurelle et la morphologie du noyau urbain a été préservée. L'adaptation aux temps modernes n'a pas mis en péril l'authenticité du cadre urbain.

Éléments requis en matière de protection et de gestion

Le Service du Centre historique de la Municipalité d'Évora est chargé de veiller à la mise en œuvre du plan de gestion et de contrôler son efficacité. Son budget de travail annuel provient essentiellement de la Municipalité, même s'il y a plusieurs autres sources de financement comme la Direction régionale de la Culture de l'Alentejo et la Direction générale du Patrimoine culturel (DGPC).

Afin de garantir l'application de la loi comme fondement de la politique et de l'ensemble de règles de protection et de mise en valeur du patrimoine culturel (Loi n°107 du 8 septembre 2001), le Décret n°140 du 15 juin 2009 définit le cadre juridique pour des études, projets, rapports, travaux ou interventions sur des biens culturels classés. Il établit, comme principe général, la nécessité d'une évaluation préalable et systématique et d'un suivi de tous les travaux susceptibles de nuire à l'intégrité du bien afin d'éviter toute défiguration, dégradation et perte de caractéristiques physiques ou d'authenticité. Cela est assuré par une planification rigoureuse et appropriée, par un personnel qualifié, de toutes les techniques, méthodologies et ressources à utiliser dans la réalisation de travaux sur les biens culturels.

Suivant les dispositions de la Loi 107 du 8 septembre 2001, article 15, n°7, « les biens immeubles considérés comme des biens culturels selon la Liste du patrimoine mondial doivent en tout temps relever de l'inventaire des biens d'intérêt national à ces fins et dans leurs catégories respectives ».

De même, le Décret n°309 du 23 octobre 2009 assimile les zones tampons à des zones de protection spéciale qui bénéficient de restrictions adaptées à la protection et la mise en valeur des biens culturels.

La Municipalité d'Évora, en concertation avec les autorités nationales, étudie une modification de la zone tampon du bien qui correspond au cadre urbain, ce qui sera une mesure essentielle pour s'assurer que les conditions d'authenticité et d'intégrité soient toujours respectées.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

Brief identification of attribute		Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost

3.2.1	Urban structure: the narrow streets, with a medieval configuration, testify to the radioconcentric growth of the city until the 17th century, the articulation of buildings with open spaces and the progressive development of urban spaces over time, all condensed in a place that remains protected and crystallized by the medieval wall and modern fortifications.	X			
3.2.2	Architectural symbiosis and harmony: the constructive, formal, stylistic, spatial and volumetric diversity of the various urban sectors, and within each of them, result in a balanced, symbiotic ensemble, and constitute the essence of all classified property.	X			
3.2.3	Urban fabric and skyline: the relevance of the urban fabric, combined with the unmistakable contours of its silhouette, are the result of both the coherence of the minor and major architecture of the 16th, 17th and 18th centuries, as well as the care taken to preserve its morphology and integrity over time.	X			
3.2.4	The "minor" architecture: the richness of the classified ensemble also finds expression in the numerous low whitewashed houses covered with tiled roofs or terraces, in a type of architecture perfectly adapted to the climate and the location.	X			
3.2.5	Know-how: both the relevance and the decorative plurality that can be found in the historic centre, whether in terms of tiles, window frames, wrought iron, vaults, arches, the art of working with stone, plasterwork, fresco, stucco or sgraffito are inseparable from the immateriality of the know-how that gives them their form and shape.	X			
3.2.6	Testimonials from the past: the articulation between the architectural heterogeneity of the Portuguese city of the golden age with the exceptional set of archaeological elements, materialized in the pre-existences of the Roman Eboracensis as well as the Muslim Yábura, testify to the ancestral and continuous importance of the city.	X			
3.2.7	Diversity of construction systems: the dichotomy between the presence of ancestral construction systems (rammed earth, adobe and brick) in the most common houses, the use of granite in monumental buildings and marble, essentially, in the most erudite and artistic architecture, is transversal to the various historical moments of the city.	X			
3.2.8	Centrality: the classified property continues to be the heart of the territory and, fundamentally, the decision-making center. It remains a multifunctional space, based on the coexistence of the residential function with commercial and economic competences, in close and continuous articulation with the surrounding territory.	X			
3.2.9	The people: the historical and cultural relevance of the historic center is also the result of both community dynamics and vigorous and diligent associativism, both demonstrating a people that cherish and preserve the values, practices and ancestral traditions that made them "eborenses" and "alentejanos".	X			
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕		✕	✕		➡	

#### 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.1.5. It is necessary to improve the car parks surrounding the Historic Centre of Évora (CHE).

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕		✕	✕		➡	

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The city's circulation routes, although necessary, bring negative effects such as atmospheric pollution, pedestrian and road circulation difficulties, vibrations with the potential to cause damage to the classified property.

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.4. Pollution

##### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

**4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.5.2 - Aquaculture**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.5.3 - Land conversion**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.5.5 - Crop production**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.5.8 - Commercial hunting**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

**4.6. Physical resource extraction**

**4.6.1 - Mining**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.6.2 - Quarrying**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.6.3 - Oil and gas**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.6.4 - Water (extraction)**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

**4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric**

**4.7.1 - Wind**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.7.2 - Relative humidity**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.7.3 - Temperature**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.7.4 - Radiation/Light**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.7.5 - Dust**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--



#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

##### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕		✕				📈
🔴 Negative							

##### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			📈
🔴 Negative							

##### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant












✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕	✕				📈

##### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant


✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing

Positive 							
Negative 							

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
Positive 							
Negative 							

#### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8.4. Traditional techniques are disappearing. 4.8.5. Changes motivated by technological evolution, lifestyle and composition of the social fabric via migration / emigration of people occur, with inevitable less positive aspects, the city and its inhabitants maintain a strong identity and strong social cohesion. 4.8.6. High level of visitation, with seasonal variation, within reasonable limits. As negative aspects the interpretation has some flaws and some lack of support structures.

#### 4.9. Other human activities

##### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

##### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

##### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

##### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

#### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

##### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			

##### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant











✕ Not relevant

##### 4.13.3 - Governance

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact	Origin	Trend of impact
--	--------	--------	-----------------

Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			
 Negative							

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities











Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------











#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕		✕				

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕		✕				

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
















Although there is a relatively functional management system, the absence of a management plan limits the comprehensive view of existing or potential problems and makes decision-making difficult and limits the scope of planning tools, policies, actions and measures. The absence of strong governance as a component of the management system, limits decision-making and the coordinations of policies and actions. The lack of financial and human resources also limits policies.

#### 4.14. Other factor(s)

##### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

##### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table






Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses						

4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	+	Current	Potential	+	Inside	→
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
	-		Potential	+		→
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	+	Current	Potential	+	Inside	→
	-	Current	Potential	+	Inside	→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	+	Current	Potential		Inside	→
	-	Current	Potential		Inside	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						
	-	Current	Potential	+	Inside	→
4.13.3 Governance	+	Current		+	Inside	→
4.13.5 Financial resources						
	-	Current		+		→
4.13.6 Human resources						
	-	Current		+		→
<b>Legend</b> <span>Current</span> <span>Potential</span> <span>- Negative</span> <span>+ Positive</span> <span>Inside</span> <span>Outside</span>						

## 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors






### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

#### 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities							
							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
✕	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
✕	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
✕	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							

✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure			
	 	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going




  

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major







  

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	 		



<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
X	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
X	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
X	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
X	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
	Decreasing						
X	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	  	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
X	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
X	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
X	Insignificant
	Minor



	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing





Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system			
			

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	  	 	






<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
✕	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
✕	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
✕	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
✕	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
✕	Increasing						

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation					

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor

	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

#### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						
						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------

4.13.3 Governance						

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources						

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread


#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
--	---------------

✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources						
						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

**4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor**

#### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

**4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)**

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Urban structure: the narrow streets, with a medieval configuration, testify to the radioconcentric growth of the city until the 17th century, the articulation of buildings with open spaces and the progressive development of urban spaces over time, all condensed in a place that remains protected and crystallized by the medieval wall and modern fortifications.	×			
4.18.1.2	Architectural symbiosis and harmony: the constructive, formal, stylistic, spatial and volumetric diversity of the various urban sectors, and within each of them, result in a balanced, symbiotic ensemble, and constitute the essence of all classified property.	×			
4.18.1.3	Urban fabric and skyline: the relevance of the urban fabric, combined with the unmistakable contours of its silhouette, are the result of both the coherence of the minor and major architecture of the 16th, 17th and 18th centuries, as well as the care taken to preserve its morphology and integrity over time.	×			
4.18.1.4	The "minor" architecture: the richness of the classified ensemble also finds expression in the numerous low whitewashed houses covered with tiled roofs or terraces, in a type of architecture perfectly adapted to the climate and the location.	×			
4.18.1.5	Know-how: both the relevance and the decorative plurality that can be found in the historic centre, whether in terms of tiles, window frames, wrought iron, vaults, arches, the art of working with stone, plasterwork, fresco, stucco or sgraffito are inseparable from the immateriality of the know-how that gives them their form and shape.	×			

### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

**5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The boundaries **do not limit the ability to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they **could be improved**

**5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?**

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

**5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The buffer zones **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

**5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?**

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

**5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property**

In addition to the buffer zone, the municipality planning system assure the protection of impacts of new development on Historic Centre of Évora, with adequate regulations and zoning in its surroundings and with a system of protection of the views of the urban skyline. The review of Évora's Urbanization Plan (PUÉ), underway, will permit to reinforce those protections. Furthermore, a Safeguard Detailed Plan for the Historic Centre of Évora is also in progress.

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

**5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).**

##### Comment

Since the 2nd Periodic Report Cycle (2014), there have been no substantial changes of a legislative nature at national level. At municipal level, reference to the First Amendment to the Regulation of the Program for the Improvement of Housing Conditions – Notice No. 7354/2022 of April 11; the Regulation of the Program for the Improvement of Housing Conditions – Notice No. 13045 / 2019 of 2019-08-16; Urban Rehabilitation Area of the Historic Center of Évora – Notice No. 6630/2016 of May 25th.

**5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category**

2022 / First Amendment to the Regulation of the Program for the Improvement of Housing Conditions / Notice No. 7354/2022 of April 11th / Municipal (Évora City Council) / [http://intranet/NEWINTRA/SERVICOS/DJ/Alterar%C3%A7%C3%A3o\\_Regulamento\\_Programa\\_Melhoramento\\_Condic%C3%A7%C3%B5es\\_Habitabilidade.pdf](http://intranet/NEWINTRA/SERVICOS/DJ/Alterar%C3%A7%C3%A3o_Regulamento_Programa_Melhoramento_Condic%C3%A7%C3%B5es_Habitabilidade.pdf)

2019 / Regulation of the Program for the Improvement of Housing Conditions / Notice No. 13045/2019 of August 16th / Municipal (Évora City Council) / [http://intranet/NEWINTRA/SERVICOS/DJ/12\\_2019/Regulamento%20do%20Programa%20de%20Melhoramento%20das%20Condic%C3%A7%C3%B5es%20de%20Habitabilidade.pdf](http://intranet/NEWINTRA/SERVICOS/DJ/12_2019/Regulamento%20do%20Programa%20de%20Melhoramento%20das%20Condic%C3%A7%C3%B5es%20de%20Habitabilidade.pdf)

2016 / Urban Rehabilitation Area of the Historic Center of Évora / Notice No. 6630/2016 of May 25th / Municipal (Évora City Council) / <http://intranet/NEWINTRA/SERVICOS/DJ/regulamentos/ARU.pdf>

**5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

**5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The property has **no buffer zone**

**5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

**5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

**5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice**

Legislation on conservation projects on listed heritage - DL 140/2009, de 15 de junho. Specific conservation regulation of the Historic Centre of Évora, regulation of its immediate setting and important views – Urban Land Use Plan (PUE). Regulation of the wider setting and important views – Municipality Land use Plan - PDME.

**5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property**

The existing plans guarantee the protection and safeguard of Historic Centre of Évora. The review of Évora's Municipal Master Plan and Urbanization PlanThe revision of the plans (PDM and PUE) and the development of the Detailed Safeguard Plan will further strengthen the defense of the Historic Centre of Évora (CHE).

**5.3. Management System/Management Plan**

**5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property**

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

**5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.**

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property

An annual work plan or business plan

**5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property**

The site is managed in accordance with national legislation, plans and specific municipal regulations. It should be noted that they are traditionally respected by the inhabitants and public or private entities.

**5.3.4 - Management Documents**

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Plano de Gestão Centro Histórico de Évora . Património Mundial	N/A	Disponível	2011	

**Comment**

The proposal for a Management Plan for the Historic Centre of Évora made in 2011 was never approved and therefore could not be implemented.

**5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?**

**Some use** has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

**5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.**

The Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape has been an inspirational element for Plans and Regulations both in use and under development. The inventory of architectural heritage (IPA/SIPA) and the charter of heritage values of the Historic Centre (CVPCHE) are fundamental tools for the management of the property. The revision under way of the urban land use plan comprise landscape, urban and architectural heritage and assumes the aims and goals Recommendation of Historic Urban Landscape.

**5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?**

**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

**5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts**

**of Climate Change on the property:**

**5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?**

**No use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

**5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done**

**5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property**

There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

**5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **only partially implemented**

**5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work/action plan **exists but few of its activities are being implemented**

**5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?**

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			✗		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✗	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			✗		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.15.5	Women			✗		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✗				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

**5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups**

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			✗		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				✗	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.16.4	Landowners			✗		
5.3.16.5	Women			✗		
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			✗		
5.3.16.7	Researchers			✗		
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists			✗		
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			✗		
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				✗	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				✗	
5.3.16.12	NGOs			✗		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	✗				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					



**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention**

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	✗				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	✗				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	✗				
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	✗				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			✗		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			✗		

**5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above**

**5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan**

**6. Financial and Human Resources**

**6.1. Funding**

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	100 %	100 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

**6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above**

We would like to emphasize that the completion of table 6.1.1 does not correspond to reality. Although we are aware of the existence of private investment, national and European funding in the Historic Centre of Évora, unfortunately it is not possible for us to calculate the respective percentages. The reason for this is the lack of information. Instead of speculating, we chose to assume this situation.

**6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available **budget is inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

**6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

**6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.**

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	%	%

6.1.6.2	Women	%	%
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property

#### 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

#### 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

#### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

#### 6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

#### 6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

**A site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, **but most technical work is carried out by external staff**

#### 6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

### 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

#### 7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

#### 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving

## understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable research** but it is **not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value**

### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local communities and some national agencies**

### 7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

## 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

### 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Non-existent
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

### 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **limited and ad hoc education** and awareness programme for children and/or youth

### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Youth/children

### 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Poor
Trails/routes	Poor
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	restroom facilities

### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

## 9. Visitor Management

### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

No information / 2021: 220.082 visitors / 2020: 170.886 visitors / 2019: 438.253 visitors / 2018: 423.886 visitors /

## 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments

Other

Municipal Tourist Office

## 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

## 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

VENTINHAS, A. (2016). Qualidade nos serviços prestados aos turistas - Aplicação do modelo SERVQUAL em cidades históricas património da humanidade – Dissertação de Mestrado. Universidade de Évora. UNIVERSIDADE DE ÉVORA (2019): "Relatório da evolução do turismo na cidade de Évora" - CIDEHUS – Tourism Creative Lab. MARUJO, N., SERRA, J. & BORGES, M. (2012). Visitors to the City of Évora: Who are they?. European Journal of Tourism, Hospitality and Recreation, n.º 3.

## 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

No information / No information / No information / No information / No information / No information /

## 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

## 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a **strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

## 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

## 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

## 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, through the UNESCO Tourism Management Assessment Tool

If a different system, please specify

## 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to **present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

## 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **acceptable but improvements could be made**

## 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations**, but **not easily visible** to visitors

## 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

**Fees are collected**, and make **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

## 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Tourism Creative Lab; Alentejo Sustainable Tourism Observatory – CIDEHUS – University of Évora

## 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

## 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

## 10. Monitoring

## 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount of monitoring**, but it is not planned

## 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient to define key indicators**, but this has not been done

## 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			✗	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system	✗			
10.3.3	Character of governance	✗			
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		✗		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		✗		
10.3.6	Capacity development		✗		

#### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

#### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

#### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

The proposal for a Management Plan for the Historic Centre of Évora made in 2011 was never approved and therefore could not be implemented. It should also be noted that both the Municipal Master Plan of Évora and the Urbanization Plan of Évora are in the process of being revised. The CHE Safeguard Detailed Plan is also in progress.

#### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

#### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property <b>are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners</b>	✗
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.4	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	✗
5.2.6	There is <b>acceptable capacity/resources</b> to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	✗
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	✗
5.3.7	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✗
5.3.9	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	✗
5.3.11	There is <b>coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, <b>but it could be improved</b>	✗
5.3.12	The management system/plan is <b>only partially adequate</b> to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	✗
5.3.13	The management system at the property is <b>only being partially implemented</b>	✗

5.3.14	An annual work/action plan <b>exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented</b>	✕
5.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In a <b>limited manner</b>, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood</b></li> <li>• In a <b>limited manner</b>, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property</b></li> </ul>	✕
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Funding</b>	
6.1.3	The available <b>budget is inadequate</b> for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	✕
6.1.7	Human resources <b>partly meet</b> the management needs of the World Heritage property	✕
6.1.10	<b>No use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	✕
6.1.12	<b>A site-based</b> capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, <b>but most technical work is carried out by external staff</b>	✕
<b>7</b>	<b>Scientific Studies and Research Projects</b>	
7.2	There is <b>considerable research</b> in the World Heritage property but it is <b>not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value</b>	✕
<b>8</b>	<b>Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>	
8.2	There is a <b>limited and ad hoc education</b> and awareness programme for children and/or youth	✕
<b>9</b>	<b>Visitor Management</b>	
9.7	There is <b>a strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are <b>some deficiencies in implementation</b>	✕
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements could be made</b>	✕
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property <b>is acceptable but improvements could be made</b>	✕
<b>10</b>	<b>Monitoring</b>	
10.1	There is a <b>small amount of monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	✕
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is <b>sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done</b>	✕
Please select -12 more issues.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes		

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

<b>4.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
4.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	There are no criteria or attributes affected by this question.	Define evaluation criteria based on the perception of employees and visitors. Evaluate the interpretation and visitation spaces based on the previously defined criteria. In view of the results obtained, propose and implement measures.	Depending on the case, an adequate monitoring policy must be implemented.	This work must be started as soon as possible.	Évora City Council and the partners deemed appropriate.	No additional comments to make at this time.
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Eventually, criterion IV.	Duly consider traffic issues in the historic center. Once the diagnosis is made, it is essential to move forward in order to strongly reduce it.	Depending on the case, an adequate monitoring policy must be implemented. Car traffic flows must be monitored, particularly in areas of greater intensity.	This issue is relevant and the solution has to be found as soon as possible.	Évora City Council and the partners deemed appropriate.	The development of the Mobility Plan could be fundamental to find an answer to this problem.
<b>4.8</b>	<b>Social/Cultural uses of heritage</b>						

4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	This may, in time, affect criterion IV and attributes 5 "Know-how" and 7 "Diversity of construction systems".	We must invest in programs whose purpose is to deepen research into traditional ways of life and knowledge systems, with the aim of being passed on to future generations.	It is necessary to create the tools to monitor this process.	As soon as possible.	Évora City Council and the partners deemed appropriate.	No additional comments at this time.
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	World Heritage criteria are not affected. However, it could, in time, affect the attribute 9 "the people".	It is necessary to work with the objective of realizing if there is any degree of affectation in this matter. If it exists, it is mandatory to create the tools to constructively address the situation.	It is necessary to create the tools to monitor this process.	As soon as possible.	Évora City Council and the partners deemed appropriate.	No additional comments at this time.
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	There are no criteria or attributes affected by this question, at this time.	Although, for now, there are no risks, it is important to remain alert to future impacts. In this sense, it is convenient to establish guidelines to combat this issue as soon as it is detected.	There is no monitoring system at this time, however there is in-depth knowledge of the site so any changes will be noted and fought.	As soon as the need is perceived.	Évora City Council and the partners deemed appropriate.	This question must be crossed with the existing strategy, mentioned below in question 9 (visitor management), to manage visitors, the tourist activity and its impacts with the aim of improving it.

4.13	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>						
4.13.1	Management system/Management plan	There are no criteria or attributes affected by this question.	It will be important to continue to improve the current management system or, even better, to move towards the development of a Management Plan perfectly adapted to the Historic Centre of Évora.	It is necessary to create the tools to monitor this process.	As soon as possible.	Évora City Council, the Regional Directorate of Culture of Alentejo and the partners deemed appropriate.	No additional comments for now.
4.13.5	Financial resources	There are no criteria or attributes affected by this question, at present time.	Negotiate and improve the financial resources.	There is no monitoring in place.	As soon as possible.	Évora City Council, the Regional Directorate of Culture of Alentejo and the partners deemed appropriate.	No further comments at this point.
4.13.6	Human resources	There are no criteria or attributes affected by this question, at present time.	We must, over time and according to the needs that arise, seek to improve the quantity and technical quality of human resources.	There is no monitoring for now but we are attentive to this issue.	As soon as possible.	Évora City Council.	No further comments at this point.

### Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property <b>are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners</b>	First, complete the process of creating a Buffer Zone. Secondly, it is important to inform the population and stakeholders of its delimitation and associated rules.	In the smallest time frame possible.	Évora City Council, Alentejo Regional Directorate for Culture, the population and the stakeholders.	The process of buffer zone definition is underway.
5.2	Protective Measures				

5.2.4	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	Create a buffer zone.	In the smallest time frame possible.	Évora City Council and Alentejo Regional Directorate for Culture.	The process is underway. It should be noted once again that the definition of the Buffer Zone is in the process of being negotiated with the Alentejo Regional Directorate of Culture.
5.2.6	There is <b>acceptable capacity/resources</b> to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	Improve enforcement performance capacity at multiple levels. In addition, investing in communication with people through campaigns to clarify good practices.	As soon as possible.	Évora City Council.	We are already working on a guide centered on good heritage intervention practices to share with the population.
5.3	<b>Management System/Management Plan</b>				
5.3.5	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	Implement a management plan.	As soon as possible.	Municipality of Évora.	No comments.
5.3.7	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Introduce this policy in management.	As soon as possible.	Municipality of Évora.	The ongoing review of management instruments will take into account issues related to climate change.
5.3.9	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	This strategy should be introduced in the management of the site.	As soon as possible.	Municipality of Évora.	There should be an additional effort to introduce these matters in the ongoing review of management instruments.
5.3.11	There is <b>coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, <b>but it could be improved</b>	Create a better management system with stronger coordination between the stakeholders and more focused on issues related to the Historic Centre of Évora.	In the smallest time frame possible.	Câmara Municipal de Évora, Direção Regional de Cultura do Alentejo and other stakeholders public and private.	The first contacts have already started and the strategy is being articulated.
5.3.12	The management system/plan is <b>only partially adequate</b> to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	Improve the management system and, if possible, initiate negotiations with the various interested entities to create a Management Plan that is more suited to the needs of the classified site.	As soon as possible.	Évora City Council, Regional Directorate of Culture and all entities that can contribute to the process.	No further comments at this point.
5.3.13	The management system at the property is <b>only being partially implemented</b>	Examine weaknesses and subsequently improve the management system and its implementation capacity.	As soon as possible.	Évora City Council, Regional Directorate of Culture and all entities that can contribute to the process.	Implementing a Management Plan would be ideal, however until the conditions are met it is essential to work towards strengthening the management system in force.
5.3.14	An annual work/action plan <b>exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented</b>	Create an annual action plan for the historic center of Évora focused on protecting the property and monitoring its weaknesses.	In the smallest time frame possible.	Câmara Municipal de Évora in conjunction with Direção Regional de Cultura do Alentejo.	The first contacts have already started and the strategy is being articulated.
5.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In a <b>limited manner</b>, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood</b></li> <li>In a <b>limited manner</b>, the management</li> </ul>	Continue to improve the management system to make it increasingly inclusive, both in terms of economic activities and in terms of respect for the heritage and also for cultural diversity.	It is an ongoing process and will continue to do so.	Évora City Council and all entities that can contribute to the process.	No further comments at this point.



	system of the World Heritage property does <b>contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property</b>				
--	---	--	--	--	--

6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	The available <b>budget is inadequate</b> for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	Create an office focused on managing the classified site.	As soon as possible.	The effort should be led by the municipality of Évora. However, a close relationship must be maintained with public and private entities that share the same interest in the classified site.	Although there is management of the city by the municipality, a structure more focused on research and management of the classified site should be created.
6.1.7	Human resources <b>partly meet</b> the management needs of the World Heritage property	It is necessary to look at the Historic Centre as a whole and establish a management logic for the future. It will make sense to consider the creation of an office for this purpose and allocate a multidisciplinary team.	There is no timeframe at the moment but the question is being considered.	Câmara Municipal de Évora and all entities that can contribute to a pertinent reflection on the theme.	No further comments at the moment.
6.1.10	<b>No use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Gradually seek to insert these principles in the management of the property.	To be carried out in the short to medium term.	Câmara Municipal de Évora and all entities that can contribute to a pertinent reflection on the theme.	No further comments at the moment.
6.1.12	<b>A site-based</b> capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, <b>but most technical work is carried out by external staff</b>	Analyze weaknesses and invest heavily in place-based capacity building plans or programs. Additionally, improve technical capacity and the implementation process. Finally, improve internal responsiveness.	To be carried out in the short to medium term.	Évora City Council.	No further comments at the moment.

7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects				
7.2	There is <b>considerable research</b> in the World Heritage property but it is <b>not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal</b>	Introduce in research greater focus on OUV. In addition to academic investigation, it is important to mention some work carried out internally, which has allowed a better understanding of the site.	In the nearest future.	Câmara Municipal de Évora and all entities that can contribute to a pertinent reflection on the theme.	The evolution of knowledge has allowed a better understanding of OUV.

	Value				
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building				
8.2	There is a <b>limited and ad hoc education</b> and awareness programme for children and/or youth	Create specific programs, improving the existing, aimed at these age groups. The objective should be to share knowledge, clarify doubts, increase the sense of belonging, pride and educate the next generations of heritage defenders.	In the shortest amount of time.	Câmara Municipal de Évora and all entities that can contribute to a pertinent reflection on the theme.	It is important to underline the side effects, since through children and young people the positive message we intend to pass on will reach parents, grandparents, other relatives and friends.
9	Visitor Management				
9.7	There is a <b>strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are <b>some deficiencies in implementation</b>	Establish evaluation parameters to improve the visitor management strategy. On the other hand, it is convenient to establish guidelines to combat overload as soon as it is detected.	As soon as possible.	Câmara Municipal de Évora and all entities that can contribute to a pertinent reflection on the theme.	The strategy to be created should result from a prior and profound reflection on the purposes to be achieved.
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements could be made</b>	Permanent attention and systematic improvement of the component relating to the way in which the World Heritage property is used by visitors.	Ongoing. Attention must be permanent and any deviation corrected.	Évora City Council, Regional Directorate of Culture of Alentejo and all entities that can add information on the subject.	We are constantly working to evolve in this field.
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property <b>is acceptable but improvements could be made</b>	Improve communication in the presentation and interpretation components of the Outstanding Universal Value both for the inhabitants and for those who visit the site.	In the shortest amount of time.	Câmara Municipal de Évora and all entities that can contribute to a pertinent reflection on the theme.	No comments at this time.
10	Monitoring				
10.1	There is a <b>small amount of monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	Although we are well aware that the site is protected, we know that it is important to create a strong and more targeted monitoring system, perhaps integrated into an office specifically created to manage the historic centre.	To be carried out in the short to medium term.	Évora City Council and all entities that can contribute to a pertinent reflection on the theme.	The monitoring that exists, even though important data is extracted, essentially stems from the day-to-day management of the city and is therefore not directed at the classified property.
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is <b>sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done</b>	There is a lot of information gathered about the classified site. The next phase involves converting them into key indicators and that will be the way forward.	This work is already in progress.	Évora City Council.	No comments at this time.

Summary - Management Needs completed

## 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

#### 12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

It should be noted that, overall, the state of conservation of the property is good. It should also be underlined that both the authenticity and the integrity of the Historic Centre of Évora remain intact. Evidently, there are issues that cause us some concern and others that can be improved. We are attentive to the development of the situation and working on solutions that safeguard the property. Furthermore, the revision of the plans will further strengthen the CHE's protective capacity.

### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

#### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

#### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

### 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

#### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

We are developing processes for monitoring the classified property and a manual of good practices to disseminate throughout the community.

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

##### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

.....

The <i>World Heritage Convention</i>
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Poor
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

## 15.2. Use of Data

### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans

### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

The filling of the periodic report gives to the manager of the site and all the people involved a better and more clear view of the good and not so good aspects of management strategies. That allows the improvement of the instruments at our disposal and evolution of the practices.

## 15.3. Timing and resources

### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
UNESCO National Commission
Local communities

### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance **is explicitly considered and effectively implemented** in the process.

### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

120 / 8 / 60 /
----------------

### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

## 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

**Most** required information was accessible.

### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

#### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 15.5. Training and Guidance

##### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Poor
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Poor
IUCN International	Poor
ICCROM international/regional	Poor
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Poor

##### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	Fair
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

##### 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

##### 15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

#### 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

##### 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

#### 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

##### 15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

##### 15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.