Rock Art of Alta

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property Rock Art of Alta

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Hjemmeluft	69.951 / 23.185	50.09	0	50.09	1985
Storsteinen	69.966 / 23.245	0.02	0	0.02	1985
Amtmannsnes	69.993 / 23.303	2.7	0	2.7	1985
KÃ¥fjord	69.965 / 23.114	0.76	0	0.76	1985
Transferdalen	69.997 / 23.489	0.02	0	0.02	1985
Total (ha)		53.59	0	53.59	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map - Hjemmeluft	2006	
Map - Storsteinen	2006	
Map - Amtmannsnes	2006	
Map - Kåfjord	2006	
Map - Transferdalen	2006	

Comment

Update in progress. The State Party will update the maps.

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Directorate for Cultural Heritage (Riksantikvaren)
- 2. The Rock Art of Alta
- 3. World Heritage Rock Art of Alta Centre

Comment

Link nr 1 should be: https://www.riksantikvaren.no/en/world-heritage/ Link nr 2 can be deleted Link nr 3 ok

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×

2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))	×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks	×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

Valletta Convention, Indigenous and Tribal Peoples Convention.

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years? Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	

2.7.3 The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
--

2.7.4 The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief synthesis

The property is situated in the northernmost part of Norway, far north of the Arctic Circle at the head of the Alta Fjord. It contains thousands of rock carvings and paintings located at 45 sites in five different areas at the head of the Alta Fjord (Kåfjord, Hjemmeluft, Storsteinen, Amtmannsnes and Transfarelvdalen). More rock art made by hunter-gatherers is found in Alta than anywhere else in northern Europe. This seems to indicate that for thousands of years, from approximately 5000 B.C. to about the year 0, Alta was an important meeting place far north of the Arctic Circle. The development of carvings in Alta through thousands of years can be related to the post-glacial land upheaval. The oldest carvings are found at the highest points of the landscape. In Alta the changing landscape of prehistoric times is evident, and the position of the carvings also provides a key to understanding the chronology of rock art in the circumpolar region.

The Rock Art shows communication between the world of the living and the worlds of the spirits, and gives insight into the cosmology of prehistoric hunters and gatherers. There is an exceptionally high number of human figures and compelling portrayals of prehistoric social life, dancing, processions, and rituals. Moreover, the Rock Art provides a unique testimony to the interaction of hunter-gatherers with the landscape. The panels show hunting, fishing and boat journeys, and are thought to represent micro-landscapes. A wide range of circumpolar fauna is depicted (reindeer, elks, bears, fish, whales, seabirds, etc.). Studies of material culture are enriched by the many different artefacts shown on the Alta panels. Good preservation conditions permit the study of rock art production.

Investigation of the large settlement sites adjacent to the carvings gives a better understanding of the social context of the Rock Art. The Rock Art and the settlement sites demonstrate communication in prehistory with areas thousands of kilometres away.

Criterion (iii): The Rock Art of Alta, with its thousands of paintings and engravings, is an exceptional testimony of the aspects of life, the environment and the activities of hunter-gatherer societies in the Arctic in prehistoric times. The wide range of motifs and scenes of high artistic quality reflect a long tradition of hunter-gatherer societies and their interaction with landscape, as well as the evolution of their symbols and rituals from approximately 5000 B.C. to about the year 0.

Integrity

Nearly all the Rock Art sites known in Alta are included in the World Heritage property. All the different motifs, styles and chronological phases are represented by the inscribed components.

The rock art was created close to what was the shoreline in prehistory. The landscape in which the rock art is found remains relatively unchanged in recent times, and in particular the view stretching many kilometres out into the fjord is almost untouched by modern activity. In Alta one can easily imagine the changing landscape in prehistoric times.

Natural erosion and vandalism are potential threats to the carvings and paintings. Of the five areas only Hjemmeluft is open to the public. As part of the visitor management strategy, wooden walkways and platforms have been constructed to protect the Rock Art from damage.

Authenticity

Nearly all of the Rock Art of Alta is found on rock surfaces which are in situ. Except for a few cases of carvings on loose boulders, all of the rock art is found on the bedrock and is exceptionally well-preserved. About 30% of the carvings were covered by turf up to the time of their discovery and have not been significantly damaged since. The production technique can easily be studied, since the carvings have remained mostly intact. New cleaning techniques developed in Alta have rid the rock surfaces of potentially damaging lichen growths and substantially improved the visibility of the carvings. Hjemmeluft is the largest area with rock carvings. Here the carvings have been chiselled into very hard quartz-rich sandstone. The state of preservation varies from exceptionally good to good. Some of the rock carvings along the walkways have been painted red to make them easier to see. This practice is continually under discussion as it compromises the conditions of authenticity.

In the three other rock-carving areas there are softer rock types, and there has consequently been more erosion. But even in Kåfjord, where the red slate has more cracks than in the other areas, most of the figures have been preserved under turf, and the chisel marks from their production thousands of years ago can be studied. The area with rock paintings, Transfarelvdalen, was discovered by scientists in the 1960s, but the panels appear to have always been known to the local people. They are well preserved and painted on dry rock surfaces with red ochre clay as pigment and animal fat as the binding medium.

Protection and management requirements

The sites inscribed as part of the World Heritage property are protected by the Norwegian Cultural Heritage Act. The State Party has the overall responsibility and the county authority has the management responsibility at regional level. A cooperation group for the World Heritage property was established in 1998 with members from all administrative levels, the University of Tromsø, Alta Museum and the Sámi Parliament in Norway.

The day-to-day management is performed by Alta Museum in keeping with the outlines and principles of the Management Plan, which is revised at regular intervals. Staff consists of both education and archaeology experts. Key responsibilities are facilitation and education for visitors and schools, monitoring and maintenance, including the development of methods for protective actions. Thorough documentation is produced and digitally archived.

The main challenge is the weathering process caused by vegetation, frost and other natural processes. A number of methods for limiting and slowing the weathering process have been developed, and others are being tested. Vandalism is also a potential threat. Some of the sites were discovered close to residential areas, as a result of which close monitoring by the cultural heritage authorities of any development which may have a visual impact on the property has become necessary.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Thousands of engravings and paintings	×			
3.2.2	Exceptional testimony of the aspects of life, the environment and the activities of hunter-gatherer societies in the Arctic in prehistoric times	×			
3.2.3	The wide range of motifs and scenes of high artistic quality	×			
3.2.4	Evolution of symbols and rituals from approximately 5000 BC to about the year 0.	×			
3.2.5	Context and geological conditions (influence on techniques, expression, preservation conditions)		×		
3.2.6	The landscape in which the rock art is found remains relatively unchanged in recent times, and in particular the view stretching many kilometres out into the fjord is almost untouched by modern activity.		×		
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

A list of attributes for the World Heritage property should be formalized in the management plan. The list should be dynamic and open to revision every 6 years.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			
4.1.2 - Commercial develop Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not releva	ant			
 4.1.3 - Industrial areas Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ Relevant, Negative, Poter 	-						
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

O Positive				
Negative X	×	×	→	

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside 	
X Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Coutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The local municipality has made significant efforts into proper facilitation of trails, camping areas. Furthermore, the visitor facility of the property has continuously improved.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

X Relevant				lot relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×		×		\rightarrow	
Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant		× Not relev	rant	
 4.2.3 - Air transport infrast Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ Not relevant 				
Relevant		× Not relev	rant	
 4.2.4 - Marine transport infi Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ Not relevant 				
× Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

	Impact		Origin		I rend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or

positively

The visitor centre and the municipality are discussing possible solutions to lack of car park capacity for visitors to the WH property. A proper solution to this issue would benefit the WH property, but a hasty solution might have negative consequences to the WH property. Ongoing discussions in relation to cruise terminal in the bay close to the Amtmannsnes component.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities	
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities	
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.4 - Localised utilities	
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.5 - Major linear utilities	
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): • Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside	
X Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		I rend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			/

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

A potential need for improving/adjusting sewage pipelines going through the World Heritage property. There is also increasing efforts from the government to develop major linear utilities in the region. The local management is following this development closely.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

 Relevant
 X Not relevant

 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution
 Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

 • Not relevant
 X Not relevant

 Relevant
 X Not relevant

 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution
 X Not relevant

 Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 X Not relevant

 8.8 Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 X Not relevant

 Relevant
 X Not relevant

 Relevant
 X Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact C		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		\rightarrow	

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow	

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The expanded E6 highway above the Hjemmeluft area has resulted in increased light pollution in the area, and has given the highway a higher visual impact on the area.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 .5.2 - Aquaculture revious answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 - Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×		×	S		
Negative X		×		×	N		

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×		→	
Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.6.2 - Quarrying Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.6.3 - Oil and gas Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	 Inside 	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			\rightarrow	

4.7.5 - Dust

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			
Negative X	×		×	×			

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Relevant

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
🤤 Negative 🗙	×	×	×				

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

	Relevant	X Not relevant
--	----------	----------------

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 - Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			
Negative X	×	×	×	×			

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		\rightarrow	
Negative X		×	×			→	

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

X Relevant	I	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×			
Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The site is occasionally subjected to highly speculative interpretations by people outside the field of rock art research, employing their interpretation of the property to forward dubious perspectives on the past. Sami reindeer herding occurs near and within certain areas of the property during the summer months. There are undesirable episodes of reindeer walking on rock art panels, but we strive for good relations with the reindeer herders to avoid this and maintain overall good relations.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		\rightarrow	

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.4 - WarPrevious answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	× Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			1

× Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×			7

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant		

×	Not relevant	

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			gin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			1

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			l	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			1

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Increasing number of freeze/thaw-episodes. Temperature increase will eventually change the ecology of the area. Periods of drought increases risk of bush/meadow/forest fires

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant			× Not relevant	t				
4.11.2 - Earthquake Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2 • Not relevant	2013):							
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2 • Not relevant								
Relevant			X Not relevant	t				
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslid Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2 • Not relevant								
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×		×		→		
 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltatic Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2 Not relevant 								
Relevant			X Not relevant	t				
 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire) Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside 								
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×			1	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Higher temperatures and periods of limited precipitation during summer facilitates a higher risk for inflammation of vegetation in the WH property. Landslides have occured in areas adjacent to the property.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×			\rightarrow	
Negative X	×	×	×			\rightarrow	

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Drigin Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative X	×	×		×	N		

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×		×		→	
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The World Heritage convention should be explicitly referenced in the legal framework in Norway.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development			
4.1.1 Housing			

	0	Ø	Ø		100	1
4.1.3 Industrial areas		-,	-,		9	
	0		4			_
A A Flatemantation and all that an And Water		~~	4		G	-
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	4		٢		
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢		9		Ċ	→
	0	9	9		٢	→
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure						
	0		9		Ċ	
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
	0		9		Ċ	
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.5 Solid waste						
	0	9	9		Ċ	→
4.4.6 Input of excess energy						
	0	Ø			107	→
		7			9	
4.5 Biological resource use/modification	0				~	
4.5.3 Land conversion	0	4 4	4	•	ۍ ه	→ →
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	○	4	- -	Q	G C	
	9		9		۲ ۲	-
4.5.5 Crop production	• •	9			C C	
	0	-			ۍ ۲	-
	•	9	9		G.	-
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.3 Temperature						
	0	9	9	٢	Ċ	/
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
	0	9		٢		→
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	•	9		٢	٢	
	0	9		۲	۴	-
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
	0	9	9	٢		
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	٢	9	9	۹	Ċ	
	0	9	9	٢	Ċ	
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	٢	9			Ċ	→
	9		9	٢		→
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	٢	9	9		Ċ	1
	0	4	9		C.	→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
	0		9		Ċ	→
	-		-1		G	-

4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
4.5.2 Democrate desirate for the mage			~			
	0	9	4		(F	→
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
	0		9		Ċ	
4.10.3 Drought						
	0		9	۲	Ċ	
4.10.6 Temperature change						
	0	F			18	,
		-1	-1		G	•
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
	0	9	9		Ċ	1
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						
	0		9		Ċ	→
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	0		9	۲	Ċ	1
4.13 Management and institutional factors			-			
	0	~3	~			
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٢	9	4	٢		→
	0	4	9	٢		→
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	4		٢	Ċ	→
	0		9		Ċ	→
4.13.3 Governance	٢	9	9	۲	Ċ	\rightarrow
	0		9	۲	Ċ	→
4.13.4 Management activities	٢	4	9	۲	Ċ	→
4.13.5 Financial resources	٢	9		۲		→
	0	4	9		Ċ	
4.13.6 Human resources	٢	4		۲		
	9	9	<i>i</i>]		æ	~
			-		G.	
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٥	4	4	٢	G.	
			~3			
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	٢		4		Ċ	→
	0		9		٢	→
Legend Current Potential O Negative O Positive	Insid	de		Coutsie	de	
4.16 Assessment of current and potential positive and pogative factors						

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.1.1 Housing						
	٢	9	9		Ċ	1

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised × Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare × Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor × Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond × High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static × Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.1.3 Industrial areas						
	٢		9		Ċ	\rightarrow

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Oparial Sea	
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant

Major	
-------	--

Management response - Capacit	y of management to respond
-------------------------------	----------------------------

wanageme	in response - capacity or management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	npact		Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	4		۲		1

Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		9		Ċ	→

		9	9	9	Ċ	→
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact ·	Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years					

	iopernent over the last 6 years
D	Decreasing
x s	Static
Ir	Increasing

Name		Impact	ict C		npact Origin			Trend
4.2.4 Marin	e transport infrastructure							
		9		9		٢		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
×	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
×	Insignificant							
	Minor							

	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.5 Major	linear utilities					
		٢	9		۴	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.4.5 Soli	l waste						
		0	9	9		۴	→
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - I	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
		_			_		_
Name	t of excess energy	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.0 inpu	t of excess energy					æ	
		9	4			Ġ.	7
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impac	t		Origin		Trend
4.5.3 Lan	l conversion	٢	4	9	۲	Ċ	→
		0	9	9	٢	Ċ	\rightarrow
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - I	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years						

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing
	Increasing

4.1 Lives to demonstration of domesticated animals 9 9 9 9 9 0	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
Spatial subscription of the factor Select and the factor Sel	4.5.4 Lives	ock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	٢	9		Ċ	\$
Resided colored colored resource of the impact Tomperation resource of the impact colored of or range resource of the impact			0	9		٢	\$
Resided colored colored resource of the impact Tomperation resource of the impact colored of or range resource of the impact	Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
kensive kensive veloperad tensive <							
keepeed tweepeed tweepeed <td< td=""><td></td><td>Localised</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		Localised					
Temperature Concerner of the impact Procent of or rare Informitient or sporadio Informitient or sporadio Informitient or sporadio Inspinitant or sporadio Ingoing Informitient or s		Extensive					
x Ne off or rare Intermittent or sporadie intermittent or sporadie intermittent or sporadie inguin table inguin inguinterature inguinterature <		Widespread					
inemitted or sporadic	Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
image:	×	One off or rare					
image:		Intermittent or sporadic					
Index - Index attributes Inspiriteant		Frequent					
initial		On-going					
Minor Significant Management to respond High capacity of management to respond Medium capacity Modium capacity No capacity No capacity and / or resources Tend- betweet the last 6 years Decreasing Single	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
index is a finite of the second se	×	Insignificant					
Major Management or respond Major Major Major Management or respond Major Major Management or respond Management or respond Major Management or respond Major Management or respondences Management or respon		Minor					
Management Frage of the management to respond Image: Im		Significant					
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Ver the last 6 years Øereasing Static		Major					
Medium capacity Low capacity X No capacity and / or resources Tend- V=V=V=V=V=V=V=V=V=V=V=V=V=V=V=V=V=V=V=	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
kw capacity kw capacity and / or resources Frent - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V -		High capacity					
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing bccreasing Static		Medium capacity					
Trend - Decreasing X Static		Low capacity					
Decreasing X Static	×	No capacity and / or resources					
X Static	Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
		Decreasing					
Increasing	×	Static					
		Increasing					

Name	Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.5.5 Crop production	٢	9	9		Ċ	→
	٢	9	9		۴	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temp	erature						
		0	9	9	٢	٢	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.4 Radia	tion/Light					
		0	9	۹		→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going .					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
				_		
Name	(rain/water table)		9	Origin	18	Trend
T.I.O Water			4		٢	
		0	4	٢	Ċ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
×	Widespread			
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact				

	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managemo	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro	-organisms					
		0	4	9	٢	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

impact - im	
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage		٢	9	9	۲	Ċ	
			4	9	٢	Ċ	
Spatial sca	patial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Nome		Incuraci			Origin		Trond
Name 4.8.3 Indig	enous hunting, gathering and collecting	Impact	9		Origin	Ċ	Trend →
Ū		0		9	٢		→
	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
X Restricted							
Localised							
	Extensive						
	Widespread						

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare		
×	Intermittent or sporadic		
	Frequent		
	On-going		
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes		
	Insignificant		
×	Minor		
	Significant		
	Major		
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond		
×	High capacity		
	Medium capacity		
	Low capacity		
	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years		
	Decreasing		
×	Static		
	Increasing		

Name	Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	٢	9	9		Ċ	1
	0	9	9		Ċ	→

Creation acade	Area offeeted by	the feeter
Spatial scale	 Area affected by 	the factor

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

Trend - De						
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation					
	٢	4		Ċ	→
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Delib	4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
		0	9	9		Ċ	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						

	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	spact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.1 Store	4.10.1 Storms						
		0		9		Ċ	
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						

	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.3 Drought						
	٢		9	٢	Ċ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.10.6 Temperature change						
	٢	9	9		Ċ	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						
Localised						

	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name

4.10.7 C	Other clima	te change	impacts

	Restricted		
	Localised		
	Extensive		
×	Widespread		
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact		
	One off or rare		
	Intermittent or sporadic		
	Frequent		
×	On-going		
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes		
	Insignificant		
	Minor		
×	Significant		
	Major		
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond		
	High capacity		

Impact

• 4 4

Origin

œ

Trend

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						
		٢	9		Ċ	→
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
~	Localised					
	Extensive					
Townsord	Widespread					
	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	apact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire	(wildfire)					
		0	9	٢	Ċ	1
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					

	Localised		
×	Extensive		
	Widespread		
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact		
×	One off or rare		
	Intermittent or sporadic		
	Frequent		
	On-going		
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes		
	Insignificant		
	Minor		
	Significant		
×	Major		
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond		
	High capacity		
	Medium capacity		
×	Low capacity		
	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		
	Decreasing		
×	Static		
	Increasing		

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	ne		Impact				Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		٢	9	9	۲		→
		0	9	9	۲		→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

Ū	
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.2 Legal framework		9		۲	Ċ	→
	9		9		Ċ	→

		Ĩ				4				
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor										
	Restricted									
	Localised									
	Extensive									
×	Widespread									
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact										
	One off or rare									
	Intermittent or sporadic									
	Frequent									
×	On-going									
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes									
	Insignificant									
	Minor									
	Significant									
×	Major									
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond									
	High capacity									
×	Medium capacity									
	Low capacity									
	No capacity and / or resources									
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years									
	Decreasing									
	Static									
×	Increasing									
					_					
Name		Impac	t #1	~7	Origin	-	Trend			

		Impact			Origin	
4.13.3 Governance	٢	9	9	٢	Ċ	\rightarrow
	0		9	۲	Ċ	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities		9	9	۲	Ċ	\rightarrow

Enotial coole	 Area affected 	by the feeter

•	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
Trend - De	Decreasing
Trend - De	

Name		:		Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	٢	9		۲		→
	0	9	9		Ċ	1

Resided icolaed Xeanore Wedspread Concorrect of the impact Immitted or sporadic instribut or sporadic </th <th>Spatial sca</th> <th colspan="3">Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</th>	Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
X Exensive Videspread Femporal		Restricted			
Videspread Temport=v=- Occurrence of the impact One off or rane Intermittent or sportatic Frequent X On-going Impact-time Insignificant X Significant Minor X Significant Minor X Mageretizet X Minor X Minor X Minor X Minor X Minor X		Localised			
Temporal Occurrence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadio Intermittent or sporadio Yequent Yequent Impact	×	Extensive			
Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Frequent Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic<		Widespread			
Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Frequent Frequent Or-going Import - Unitatives Import - Unitatives Minor Significant Manor	Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact			
Frequent Frequent On-going Impact-to the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Mijor Magor Management to respond Medium capacity of management to respond Low capacity No capacity of resources Tend - Deressing Deressing		One off or rare			
X On-going Impact		Intermittent or sporadic			
Impact - Impact - on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management to respond Medium capacity Medium capacity No capacity and / or resources Ternet - Devement over the last 6 years Decreasing		Frequent			
Insignificant Minor X Significant Major Management to respond Management to respond Medium capacity of management to respond X Medium capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing	×	On-going			
Minor Ximon Significant Major Magement to respond Medium capacity of management to respond Low capacity Medium capacity Iou capacity Iou capacity of resources Tend- Derement over the last 6 years Iou capacity	Impact - Im	npact on the attributes			
Significant Major Management Capacity of management to respond Migh capacity High capacity Medium capacity No capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing		Insignificant			
Major Management Capacity of management to respond Migh capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity and / or resources Trend - Derement over the last 6 years Decreasing		Minor			
Management to respond High capacity Keilum capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Depresent over the last 6 years Decreasing	×	Significant			
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing		Major			
X Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Depresent over the last 6 years Decreasing	Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			
Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing		High capacity			
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing	×	Medium capacity			
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing		Low capacity			
Decreasing		No capacity and / or resources			
	Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years			
Static		Decreasing			
		Static			
X Increasing	×	Increasing			

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.13.6 Human resources		4		٢		→
	0	9	9		Ċ	\$
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						

	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static

Name	Impact O		Origin		Trend	
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		4	9	٢	Ċ	1
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						

×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

×

Increasing

×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			

Name	Impact	÷		Origin		Trend
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	٢		9		Ċ	→
	0		9		Ċ	\rightarrow

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor × Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact × One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant × Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity × No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing × Static Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously	Lost
			compromised	

4.18.1.1	Thousands of engravings and paintings	×		
4.18.1.2	Exceptional testimony of the aspects of life, the environment and the activities of hunter-gatherer societies in the Arctic in prehistoric times.	×		
4.18.1.3	The wide range of motifs and scenes of high artistic quality reflect a long tradition of hunter-gatherer societies and their interaction with landscape, as well as the evolution of their symbols and rituals from approximately 5000 B.C. to about the year 0.	×		
4.18.1.4	Context and geological conditions (influence on techniques, expression, preservation conditions)		×	
4.18.1.5	The landscape in which the rock art is found remains relatively unchanged in recent times, and in particular the view stretching many kilometres out into the fjord is almost untouched by modern activity.		×	

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

- In Norway all archeological remains are protected as such if elder than the year 1537, according to the Cultural Heritage Act §4. In addition to that, special protection was declared by the national government on December 23rd 1991 for one of the sites (Hjemmeluft).
- Special protection was also declared on June 18th 2009 for the site Kåfjord.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2008 / The Planning and Building Act / Yes / Yes / https://www.regjeringen.no/en/dokumenter/planning-building-act/id570450/

2021 / Municipal land-use plan / Yes / Yes / https://www.arealplaner.no/alta5403/arealplaner/568

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice Different relevant Norwegian legislations should be better harmonized re. World Heritage.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

An annual work plan or business plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

The Climate Change policy has recently been adressed, but not actively used, following participation in the writing of applications to research projects oriented around the threats of climate change on rock art heritage properties. The recently established collaboration between the Norwegian World Heritage sites and The Norwegian Meteorological Institute follows shared goals and motives as the Climate Change policy, but has of yet not referred to it explicitly.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples					×
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.16.4	Landowners			×		
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs	×				
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	36 %	36 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	24 %	24 %

6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	30 %	30 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

County municipality and regional university museum contributes to the management of the property, but their costs are not included here.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

A significant portion of the WH property funding comes from ticket and retail revenue.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	25 %	75 %
6.1.6.2	Women	75 %	25 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair

Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property? No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Research generally reach out to national and international audiences, but improvements can be made in the outreach to local communities.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Poor
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities

Local/municipal authorities

Youth/children			
Researchers			
Local Visitors			
Tourism industry			

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Not needed
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

58200 / 26531 / 26344 / 62131 / 56500 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

n/a / 3 / n/a / 9 / n/a / 7 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Internal statistics.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Visitor managment is sufficient, and integrated into the management plan. However, we wish to improve our visitor managment even further.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Internal routines, HSE routines.

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted? The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

The local destination company, Visit Alta, is leading a project for sustainable destination management.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Employment and facilities beneficial to education. Tourism makes it possible to keep the property open 360 days a year, making it available for locals year round.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

The positive effects of visitation/tourism/public use of The Rock Art of Alta property are multiple and significant. It contributes significantly to tourism and visitor experience in Alta. Alta Museum, which serves as the visitor centre for the WH property, is actively involved in partnerships and collaborations with other local actors in tourism. The centre is also frequently in public use, including school visits, events, and various dissemination activities.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable

Not applicable

If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is complete

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
5.2.6	There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.1	Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient	×
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	×
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
D Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) Context and geological conditions (influence on techniques, preservation onditions) Local climate change monitoring. Monitoring of climatic and environmental effects on the rock at panels. Rain/water table is monitored by The Norwegian Continuous 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage expression, preservation on dimatic and environmental effects on the rock at panels. Research on the history of climatic and environmental effects on the rock at panels. Continuous Continuous 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage Implement improved outreach-programs. Continuous. 202 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events Continuous Institute. A report (in Norwegian) on the projected climate change for property has been published: Institute. A report (in Norwegian) on the projected climate change for property has been published: Institute. A report (in Norwegian) on the projected climate change for property has been published: Institute. A report (in Norwegian) on the projected climate change for property has been published: Institute. A report (in Norwegian) on the projected climate change for property has been published: Institute. A report (in Norwegian) on the projected climate change for property has been published: Institute. A report (in Norwegian) on the projected climate change for property has been published: Institute. A report (in Norwegian) on the projected climate change for property has been published: Institute. A report (in Norwegian. Scotted	Directorate for m Cultural Heritage, re Finnmark County fa Municipality, Alta pa Municipality, The ac Arctic University m Museum of Norway, ef	he actions and onitoring garding this ctor should be int of a concerted tion and onitoring on the facts of dimeto
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage Each attribute listed. Implement improved outreach-programs. Continous. 202 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events 4.10.3 Drought Context and geological conditions (influence on techniques, ervision) Local climate change for property has been published: Changes in temperature are monitored by The Norwegian Meteorold Institute. A report (in Norwegian) on the projected climate change for property has been published: Institute. A report (in Norwegian) on the projected climate change for property has been published: Preservation conditions environmental effects on the preservation the history of climatic- and environmental effects on the projected climate change for cock art panels. Research on the history of climatic- and environmental effects on the projected nor the history of climatic and environmental effects on the projected climate change for cock art panels.		fects of climate ange.
valuing of heritage listed. 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events 4.10.3 Drought Context and geological conditions (influence Monitoring, on climatic and techniques, expression, preservation conditions) Local climate change monitoring. Monitoring of climatic and techniques, expression, preservation conditions) Changes in temperature are monitored by The Norwegian Meteoroloc Institute. A report (in Norwegian) on the projected climate change for property has been published: https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/273bbc925dc645e795cc9bb9a2 expression, preservation conditions) environmental effects on the rock art panels. Research on the history of climatic- and environmental effects on the rock art panels.		
4.10.3 Drought Context and geological conditions (influence on techniques, expression, preservation conditions) Local climate change monitoring. Changes in temperature are monitored by The Norwegian Meteorold Institute. A report (in Norwegian) on the projected climate change for property has been published: https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/273bbc925dc645e795cc9bb9a2 Https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/273bbc925dc645e795cc9bb9a2 environmental expression, preservation conditions) effects on the rock art panels. Research on the history of climatic- and environmental effects on the rock art panels.	23-2030 Local World Heritage Centr	n/a e.
geological change monitoring. (influence Monitoring of on climatic and techniques, environmental expression, effects on the preservation rock art conditions) panels. Research on the history of climatic- and environmental effects on the rock art panels.		
4.10.6 Temperature Context and Local climate Changes in temperature are monitored by The Norwegian Meteorolo	or the WH National Directoral	al monitoring regarding this factor should be part of a concerted ac monitoring monitoring this factor should be monitoring this factor should be should be
change geological change listitute. A report (in Norwegian) on the projected climate change for on climatic and techniques, environmental expression, effects on the preservation rock art conditions) panels. Research on the history of climatic- and environmental effects on the process or the process of the process of the process of the process of the history of climatic- and environmental effects on the process of the proces of the process of the process of the proces	or the WH National Directorat	al monitoring regarding this factor should be ity, part of a concerted action and controling monitoring on the of effects of climate change.
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts Context and geological conditions (influence on environmental expression, preservation conditions) Research on the history of climatic and environmental effects on the rock art panels.	y has National Directoral	al monitoring regarding this factor should be

4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	Each attribute listed.	Implement plan for disaster-risk manaç	gement.	Continuous.		2024-2026		Local World H Centre, The N Directorate for Heritage, Finn County Munic Municipality, T University Mu Norway, The S Parliament. Lo department.	lational r Cultural imark ipality, Alta The Arctic seum of Sami	n/a
4.13	Management an	d institutional facto	rs								
4.13.1	Management system/Manageme plan	Each attribute ent listed.	Revision of ma plan.	nagement	Continous.		2023-2024		Local World H Centre, The N Directorate for Heritage, Finn County Munici Municipality, T University Mus Norway, The S Parliament.	ational Cultural mark pality, Alta he Arctic seum of	n/a
4.13.2	Legal framework	Each attribute listed.	Monitor and provide See A comments to the on-going process of evaluating and revising the Norwegian Cultural Heritage Act by the Norwegian government.		e Actions.	2023-2025	i	for Cultural Finnmark C Municipality	county , Alta , The Arctic Museum of e Sami	The Norweg Heritage Act tool for the r of the Rock As it is now evaluation a We hope tha Heritage ben explicitly con this process	t is a crucal nanagment Art of Alta. under ind revision. at World comes nsidered in
4.13.6	Human resources	Each attribute listed.	Capacity building, research projects	could requ measuring of projects courses th employee: property p These pro be directe efforts into monitoring	g the number s and hat s at the WH participate in. ojects should d towards o improved	2023-2030		for Cultural Finnmark C Municipality	county /, Alta /, The Arctic /luseum of /e Sami	Facilitating i research ac employees property wo desirable to actions and that is tailor needs of its	tivities by at the WH uld be achieve monitoring ed to the

Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measur	Protective Measures							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment				
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Evaluate the existing boundaries of the buffer zone, where new rock art discoveries and areas with a high potential for rock art discoveries are taken into consideration.	2023-2025	The National Directorate for Cultural Heritage, Finnmark County Municipality, Alta Municipality, The Arctic University Museum of Norway, The Sami Parliament.	New development projects have shed light on some challenging aspects of the legal framework. These are considered.				

5.2.5	An adequate Revision of the Management Plan (on-going), revision of the municipal spatial plan. On-goin Image: spatial plan. exists for the broader setting of the World spatial plan. Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property		going.	Cultural H County M Municipal University	onal Directorate for Heritage, Finnmark Iunicipality, Alta lity, The Arctic y Museum of Norway, i Parliament.	zone must be reviewed and clarified to local stakeholders.			
5.3	Man	agement Sy	stem/Management Plan						
5.3.7	3.7 Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property		Revision of the Management plan.		3-2024	024 The National Director Cultural Heritage, Fin County Municipality, / Municipality, The Arct Museum of Norway, T Parliament.		of this document.	nange should be sed
5.3.9	.3.9 No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property		Revision of the Management Plan	On-ç	going.	The National Directorate for Cultural Heritage, Finnmark County Municipality, Alta Municipality, The Arctic University Museum of Norway, The Sami Parliament.		n/a	
6.1	1	Funding							
6.1.3		The available budget is acceptable b could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritag property	Heritage in the state budget.	rld	On-going.		The National Directo Heritage, Finnmark C Alta Municipality, The Museum of Norway,	County Municipality,	n/a
7	Scientifi	c Studies an	d Research Projects						
7.1	Scientific Studies and Research Projects Knowledge Improved outreach, capacity about the building on local and regional level. values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient insufficient				Local World Heritage Centre, The National Directorate for Cultural Heritage, Finnmark County Municipality, Alta Municipality, The Arctic University Museum of Norway, The Sami Parliament.		n/a		
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage		itiate research projects that ontinue research on the Jlnerabilities of the rock art, and to evelop existing/new techniques for ionitoring and preserving rock art.	2024-20	930	Local World Heritage Centre, The National Directorate for Cultural Heritage, Finnmark County		Should be part of a collaborative effort between Norwegian WH-properties as well as other WH properties with rock art.	

9	Visitor Managem	ent			
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	Revision of visitor managment plan.	2024-2026	Local World Heritage Ce	n/a
10	Monitoring				
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	Implement a coordinated, localized program tailored for the monitoring needs of the World Heritage Property.	2024-2030	National Directorate for Cultural Heritage, external funding.	n/a
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	See question 7.2	2024-2030	Local World Heritage Centre, The National Directorate for Cultural Heritage, Finnmark County Municipality, Alta Municipality, The Arctic University Museum of Norway, The Sami Parliament.	See question 7.2

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Increased development in the area puts the environment of the WH property under pressure, as new development projects limits the zones of nature in adjacent areas.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive

Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Not applicable
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Successful capacity building for employees at the property. Examples include increased participation in relevant courses and international cooperation, and employees attaining PhD degrees in relevant disciplines. Successful collaboration between Alta and the Norwegian Meteorological Institute to provide climate profiles for each of the Norwegian World Heritage sites. These climate profiles describes the projected climatic developments in the respective areas up until 2100. A positive development in the level of participation from stakeholders. A generally high level of transparancy between different managment partners. A generally high level of active support from focal points.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development	
State of Conservation	
Management	
Governance	
Capacity Building	

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Poor
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Awareness raising

Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Staff from other World Heritage properties

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

20/20/30/

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

It would be more user-friendly to allow automatic saving when switching pages. It could be a settings option. Questions requesting percentage values were difficult to work with, as they provided little guidance to how one can estimate the values.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable

ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire? Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Map(s)

Reason for update: Update in progress. The State Party will update the maps.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.