

Chavin (Archaeological Site)

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Chavin (Archaeological Site)

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Chavin (Archaeological Site)	-9.593 / -77.178	14.79	0	14.79	1985
Total (ha)		14.79	0	14.79	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Chavin (Archeological Site) - Map of the inscribed property	2013	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

Comment

<https://patrimoniomundial.cultura.pe/sitiosdelpatrimoniomundial/sitio-arqueológico-chavín>

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✕
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✕
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		✕

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global

Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)**2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?**

Not aware

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

There is no known ONE element associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Yes

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

the works of Dr. Julio C. Tello, among which should be the notes made from the investigation in the Chavin Archaeological Site, the Tello Archive can be referenced, which is being periodically publicized by the National University Mayor of San Marcos in the so-called Tello Archive Research Notebooks.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee****Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

Chavin (Archaeological Site)

Brief Synthesis

The archaeological site of Chavin gave its name to the culture that developed between the 15th and the 5th century BC in this high valley of the Peruvian Andes, in the province of Huari, department of Ancash. Chavin was a ceremonial and pilgrimage centre for the Andean religious world and hosted people from different latitudes, distances and languages, becoming an important centre of ideological, cultural and religious convergence and dissemination around a cult spread over a wide territory of the Andes, as far as the north, central and south coasts, the northern highlands and high jungle of Peru.

Chavin is one of the earliest and best known pre-Columbian sites and represents the more important expression of the arts and decorative and construction techniques of its time. The ceremonial and cultural nature of the site is evident in its architectural, technological and symbolic creation, which is characterized by coated quarried stone buildings and artificial terraces around plazas, containing an internal gallery system with an intricate network of vents and drains unprecedented in South America. The buildings and plazas were decorated with lush anthropomorphic and zoomorphic symbolic iconography of extraordinary aesthetic synthesis, carved in bas-relief on tombstones, columns, beams and monolithic stone sculptures. The Chavin Lanzón, the Raimondi Stela, the Tello Obelisk, the Falconidae Portico, the Circular Plaza and the tenon heads, among others, are evidence of the outstanding and monumental Chavin lithic art. All of these features make the archaeological site a unique monument of universal significance.

Criterion (iii): The Chavin Archaeological Site, eponym of one of the ancient civilizations of South America, is an exceptional example of the architectural, technological and symbolic creations of the early pre-Columbian societies in the Peruvian Andes. Its appearance is breath-taking, with a series of terraces and squares, with a complex system of internal galleries, and decorated with anthropomorphic and zoomorphic iconographic elements of extraordinary beauty. It was an important centre of ideological, cultural and religious convergence and dissemination around a cult spread over a wide territory of the Andes.

Integrity

The boundaries of the inscribed property, 14.79 ha, contain all the elements, characteristics, and structural and symbolic key values of the architectural complex and of its historic evolution which convey its Outstanding Universal Value. Although the site has historically been affected by natural and anthropogenic phenomena, the wholeness of the complex made up by the buildings, platforms and plazas, the planimetry, its architectural design, the original shapes and materials of its different construction stages are still preserved; structures, galleries, plazas and architectural spaces still hold original elements and characteristics, including iconography, revealing its original use and function.

The wholeness and visual integrity of the Chavin Archaeological Site and its landscape has not undergone substantial changes, which is shown in the continuation of traditional agricultural activities in the surrounding areas. Environmental agents are the most relevant factor affecting the preservation of the integrity of the Chavin archaeological site, which have deteriorated its structures through time, including some landslides in the galleries, drains and internal vents. The most important are the floods caused by unexpected landslides and floods from glacial lakes (for example, the 1945 flood that partially buried the site), and earthquakes of high magnitude and intensity (such as the 1970 earthquake).

Authenticity

The conditions of authenticity of the Chavin Archaeological Site, including land planning and its architectural conception, shapes, materials and iconographic design have been maintained

The existing elements at the site are witnesses of the outstanding design, development and aesthetic lithic art synthesis of the tombstones, beams, columns, sculptures and others that remain *in situ*, and show its religious ideological connotations, the symbolism and ritual meaning of the compound, and the ceremonial use and function of spaces and architectural areas in particular. They also depict Chavin's society and the process of historic evolution that reveal different construction stages and cultural contexts, because of the site's continuous social functions that finally shaped its buildings. The material findings also reveal the function of the ceremonial centre as a place of pilgrimage by northern and central Peru populations in relation to cult clearly visible in its architectural iconography and in the religious paraphernalia found in the site. Archaeological investigations and conservation interventions carried out in the site have kept the spaces and structures of the ceremonial compound unchanged.

Protection and management requirements

Chavin Archaeological Site is properly protected by national law: the National Constitution (Art 36), Law N° 6634 of June 13, 1929 that expressly stipulates the inalienable and indefeasible right of the Nation on all existing monuments prior to the Viceroyalty in the country and declares the Chavin Archaeological Site as a National Monument. Other legal tools for protection include Law N° 28296, General Law for National Cultural Heritage which states the cultural heritage of the Nation is inalienable and indefeasible, and Law N° 13442 which determines the creation of the Chavin Archaeological Site National Park and Centre of the Archaeological Tourism Zone in the province of Huari, department of Ancash.

After the flood that partially buried Chavin in 1945, protection, cleaning, preventive preservation, research and preparation works have been carried out with the participation of several institutions and the Ministry of Culture.

According to national regulation, the management and protection of the Chavin Archaeological Site is the responsibility of the Ministry of Culture on behalf of the Peruvian Government.

The Ministry of Culture had being developed a management plan where the necessary items to meet basic needs and develop permanent projects required for protecting, preserving and restoring the site under the framework of institutional operation schemes has being scheduled. In addition, research and preservation activities are supported by private institutions.

The Chavin Archaeological Site has permanent personnel; however, allocated resources are insufficient for the preservation works to be carried out at the site.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	CEREMONIAL AND RELIGIOUS CENTER	✗			
3.2.2	MONUMENTAL BUILDINGS		✗		
3.2.3	PLACES OF SQUARE AND CIRCULAR SHAPES		✗		
3.2.4	INTERNAL GALLERIES SYSTEM	✗			
3.2.5	exquisite iconography set in stone		✗		
3.2.6					
3.2.7					

3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE PRESERVES THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE EXCEPTIONAL UNIVERSAL VALUE, DUE TO THE INTRINSIC CHARACTERISTICS THAT HAVE DEVELOPED THROUGH TIME AND ARE STILL PRESERVED FOR THE KNOWLEDGE OF SOCIETY.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside










Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕		✕	✕			→	
 Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

OUTSIDE THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONUMENT, THE POPULATED CENTER OF LA FLORIDA HAS AN EXPONENTIAL GROWTH, AS WELL AS SOME LARGE HOUSES THAT SIGNIFICANTLY IMPACT THE VISUAL ASPECT OF THE ENVIRONMENT. ALTERING THE ORIGINALITY OF THE LANDSCAPE SURROUNDING THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE.














4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside












Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

 Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

THE OLD ROAD THAT CONNECTED THE CITY OF HUARAZ WITH THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR DISTRICT, REMAINED INSIDE THE POLYGONAL OF THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE. ALTHOUGH TO DATE THERE IS A NATIONAL HIGHWAY, STILL THE PEOPLE USE THIS MEANS TO MOVE FROM ONE PLACE TO ANOTHER. THIS IS NEGATIVE DUE TO THE TRANSPORTATION OF HEAVY VEHICLES WITH BACKHOES, TRAILS, TRUCKS AMONG OTHERS. THAT CAN NEGATIVELY IMPACT ON THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL STRUCTURES THAT ARE IN THE IMMEDIATE LOWER STRATUM

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--





4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

 Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact	Origin	Trend of impact
--	--------	--------	-----------------

Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively


ALONG THE OLD ROAD THAT IS LOCATED INSIDE THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE POLYGONAL, A LINE OF PUBLIC ELECTRICITY LIGHTING POSTS HAS BEEN PLACED, IN ADDITION IT IS PROJECTED TO PLACE CELLULAR SERVICE ANTENNAS ON SHALLAPA HILL, WHICH IS ALTHOUGH IT IS DISTANT OF THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONUMENT, IF IT IS GOING TO IMPACT THE VISUAL ENVIRONMENT OF THE PLACE

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):


- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

IN THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR DISTRICT, BECAUSE IT IS A HIGHLY POTENTIAL PLACE FOR THE MINING SECTOR, IT MAY BE AFFECTED BY MINING ACTIVITIES, ESPECIALLY ONE OF THE TWO RIVERS THAT ARE CLOSE TO THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONUMENT (WACHECZA AND MOSNA RIVERS). ANOTHER ANTHROPIC ACTIVITY, CORRESPONDING TO THE GROWTH OF THE CITY, WHERE THE GENERATION OF SOLID WASTE IS NOT ONLY ACCUMULATED IN THE URBAN ZONE, BUT IN THE RURAL AREA AND VERY CLOSE TO THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕		✕			↗

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕		✕		→	

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕			✕			↗

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

IN SOME AREAS CLOSE TO THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE, THE LANDS HAVE BEEN TRANSFORMED INTO CULTIVATION LANDS, LIKEWISE SOME OLD TERRACES HAVE BECOME PASTURES FOR RAISING LIVESTOCK. ANOTHER ASPECT THAT HAS CHANGED THROUGH TIME IS THE LOSS OF THE PLACE'S OWN VEGETATION, LIKE THE QUENUALES, AND OTHERS. ESPECIALLY PREDOMINANT THE EUCALYPTUS AND PINE. DECONTEXTUALIZING THE ANDEAN LANDSCAPE.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

AS HAS BEEN MENTIONED, THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR DISTRICT HAS A HIGH MINING POTENTIAL, IT IS LIKELY THAT SUCH ACTIVITIES COULD NEGATIVELY IMPACT THE SURROUNDINGS OF THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE. THE NEW ROAD LINKING THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR DISTRICT WITH HUARAZ WAS LAYOUT OVER AN AREA WHICH HAD HIGH ARCHAEOLOGICAL POTENTIAL, TYPICAL OF THE CHAVIN AND RECUAY CULTURE. ALTHOUGH THE DUE RESCUE HAS BEEN MADE, PART OF THE INFORMATION ON THESE COMPANIES HAS BEEN LOST.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	🌳 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							

⊖ Negative ✕		✕		✕		→	
--------------	--	---	--	---	--	---	--

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	👁 Inside	👁 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
⊖ Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			↗

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	👁 Inside	👁 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
⊖ Negative ✕	✕	✕		✕		→	

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	👁 Inside	👁 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
⊖ Negative ✕	✕	✕		✕			↗

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	👁 Inside	👁 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
⊖ Negative ✕		✕	✕	✕			↗

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

THE WIND IS A DETERMINING FACTOR IN THE EROSION OF STONE BLOCKS, ESPECIALLY OF SOME ELEMENTS THAT HAVE ICONOGRAPHY, SUCH AS THE PORTICO OF LAS FALCONIDAD AND INSIDE THE CIRCULAR PLAZA. THE HUMIDITY GENERATED BY THE HEAVY RAINFALL HAS A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE CLAY MORTARS FOUND BETWEEN THE JOINTS OF THE STONE BLOCKS. IN SUMMARY, ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS HAVE A STRONG IMPACT ON THE MATERIALITY OF THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE, GENERATING THERMOFRACTURE, PRESENCE OF SALTS AND MICROORGANISMS

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	🌐 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕		✕	✕	✕			↗
➖ Negative ✕		✕		✕			↗

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	🌐 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			↗
➖ Negative ✕							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

IN THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE, CULTURAL REPRESENTATIONS HAVE BEEN CARRIED OUT, HAVING A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON THE CULTURAL LEARNING OF THE COMMUNITY AND VISITORS. ALTHOUGH THERE IS A SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF TRADITIONS AND ANCESTRAL KNOWLEDGE, WITH GLOBALIZATION. BUT WITH THE TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCE, THERE IS A GREATER DISSEMINATION AND INFORMATION OF THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE, PROMOTING A GREATER TOURISM IN THE PLACE.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside











Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕		✕		✕			

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

THE CONSTRUCTION OF SEVERAL HOUSES IN THE SURROUNDINGS OF THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE, CONTRIBUTES TO A NEGATIVE IMPACT OF THE VISUAL ASPECT OF THE ENVIRONMENT. SOIL REMOVAL BY AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES CAN DESTROY ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVIDENCE IN THE IMMEDIATE LOWER STRATUM.











4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------







	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕		✕		✕			






4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------


	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							

 Negative 							
--	--	---	--	---	--	--	---

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):




- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):




- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In the Chavin archaeological monument, the antecedents are the overflow of the MOSNA river in 1935 AND THE HUAYCO GENERATED IN THE HUACHECZA RIVER RAW IN 1945. HAVING A HIGH POTENTIAL OF OVERFLOW OF BOTH RIVERS, A SITUATION THAT STILL REMAINS LATENT IN THE FLOOD OF THE CAUSES, CONSIDERING THAT THE REINFORCEMENT OF THE MARGINS HAS NOT YET BEEN CARRIED OUT. CLIMATE CHANGE IS ALSO RECORDED IN THE IRREGULAR RISING OF TEMPERATURE IN THIS SECTION OF THE ANDEAN ZONE.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							

⊖ Negative ✕		✕		✕		→	
--------------	--	---	--	---	--	---	--

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
⊖ Negative ✕		✕		✕			↗

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
⊖ Negative ✕		✕		✕		→	

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
⊖ Negative ✕		✕		✕		→	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In the Chavin archaeological monument, the antecedents are the overflow of the MOSNA river in 1935 AND THE HUAYCO GENERATED IN THE HUACHECZA RIVER RAW IN 1945. HAVING A HIGH POTENTIAL OF OVERFLOW OF BOTH RIVERS, A SITUATION THAT STILL REMAINS LATENT IN THE FLOOD OF THE CAUSES, CONSIDERING THAT THE REINFORCEMENT OF THE MARGINS HAS NOT YET BEEN CARRIED OUT. CLIMATE CHANGE IS ALSO RECORDED IN THE IRREGULAR RISING OF TEMPERATURE IN THIS SECTION OF THE ANDEAN ZONE

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							

Negative							
----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

IN THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONUMENT, THERE IS A HIGH PREDOMINANCE OF A PLANT SPECIES KNOWN AS KIKUYO, NOT ORIGINATING IN THE AREA, DIFFICULT TO ELIMINATE AND CONTRIBUTING TO THE DETERIORATION OF THE MATERIALS IN THE PLACE. BECAUSE ITS ROOTS ARE VERY DEEP AND ARE INTRODUCED BETWEEN THE CLAY MORTAR, HAVING A NEGATIVE IMPACT.

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------















	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities








Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):


- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

PLAN OF THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONUMENT

<https://busquedas.elperuano.pe/normaslegales/approven-el-plan-de-manejo-de-chavin-de-huancayo-resolucion-ministerial-n-000292-2020-dmmc-1905084-1/>

CONVENTION ON THE PROTECTION OF THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE <https://whc.unesco.org/archive/convention-es.pdf> FRAMEWORK

LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE PROTECTION OF CULTURAL HERITAGE

<https://www.cultura.gob.pe/sites/default/files/archivosadjuntos/2016/08/marcolegalokversiondigital.pdf>





4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)






































































NO SHOWS

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						





4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.5 Solid waste						
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3 Land conversion						
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals						
4.5.5 Crop production						
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
4.7.3 Temperature						
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
4.10.2 Flooding						
4.10.6 Temperature change						

						
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
						
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
						
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						
						
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
						
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
						
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species						
						
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						
4.13.2 Legal framework						
4.13.3 Governance						
4.13.4 Management activities						
4.13.5 Financial resources						
4.13.6 Human resources						
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						
Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors





4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities			
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted		
	Localised		




	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
						




Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure			
	 		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities			
	 		
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			




✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
	⊖		📍		🔄	➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.5 Solid waste						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification




Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------

4.5.3 Land conversion							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
✕	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
✕	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
✕	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
✕	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Development over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
✕	Increasing						

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted					
✕	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact						
	One off or rare					
✕	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant					

✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.5 Crop production						
						



Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wind							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Development over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							

	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temperature						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------










4.7.4 Radiation/Light							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
✕	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact							
✕	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
✕	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
✕	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Development over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
✕	Increasing						

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant

	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	 	 	
	 		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Development over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Storms						
	⊖		📌		🔄	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing
-------------------------------------	------------

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.2 Flooding			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources




Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent

	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static

✕	Increasing
---	------------

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake			
	<div>⊖</div>	<div>📢</div>	<div>🔄</div> <div>➡</div>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide			
	<div>⊖</div>	<div>📢</div>	<div>🔄</div> <div>➡</div>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition			
	<div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>
	<div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing

✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)			
	<div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species			
	<div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor






✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

✕	One off or rare
---	-----------------












	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	 	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	  	 	
	  	 	













Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Governance	  	 	
	  	 	






Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	

	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources						




Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
---	--

	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

THE FACTORS THAT CAN BE CONSIDERED TO AFFECT THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE ARE THE OLD TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE ON THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR ROAD TO HUARAZ, LIKEWISE CLIMATE CHANGE AND METEOROLOGICAL PHENOMENA, SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECT THE MATERIALITY IN THE SITE. IN THIS, THE BIOLOGICAL DETERIORATION AGENTS SHOULD BE CONSIDERED, SUCH AS THE PRESENCE OF FOREIGN PARASITES AS THE SO-CALLED "KIKUYO".. IN THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONUMENT, IT DOES NOT CORRESPOND TO AN INSCRIPTION OR SERIAL PROPERTY.

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	CEREMONIAL AND RELIGIOUS CENTER	✕			
4.18.1.2	MONUMENTAL BUILDINGS		✕		
4.18.1.3	PLACES OF SQUARE AND CIRCULAR SHAPES		✕		
4.18.1.4	INTERNAL GAL	✕			
4.18.1.5	EXQUISITE ICONOGRAPHY SET IN STONE			✕	

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The **boundaries** are **inadequate**, which makes it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property has **no buffer zone**, but there is a need for one

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has **no known and recognised** buffer zone

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

THE LIMITS DO NOT LIMIT THE ABILITY TO MAINTAIN THE OUTSTANDING WORLDWIDE VALUE OF THE PROPERTY, BUT THEY COULD BE IMPROVED. THIS MAKES REFERENCE THAT, ON SOME OCCASIONS, IN THE AREAS THAT CORRESPOND TO AGRICULTURAL LAND OUTSIDE THE POLYGONAL OF THE PLACE, MOVABLE AND PROPERTY EVIDENCE IS REPORTED, RELATED TO THE CHAVÍN SOCIETY, CONSIDERING THAT THE ANCESTRAL LIMITS SHOULD HAVE BEEN MORE EXTENSIVE. IN ADDITION, IT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED THAT THE COMMUNITIES MANY TIMES ARGUE THAT THEY DO NOT KNOW.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

D.S. No. 89 del 2 de abril de 1822, sobre Conservación de Monumentos Prehispánicos.

D.S. del 11 de junio de 1921. Prohibición de la extracción, destrucción y explotación de los Monumentos Arqueológicos.

Ley 6634 de junio de 1929. Conservación de Monumentos y Reliquias Nacionales.

Ley 13442 de fecha 28 de noviembre de 1960. Creación del Parque Arqueológico Nacional de Chavín, y Centro de la Zona Arqueológica Turística de la provincia de Huari, departamento de Ancash.

Source: Nomination File

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1972 / Convention on the Protection of the world cultural and natural heritage /
<https://whc.unesco.org/archive/convention-es.pdf> 2007 /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

There is **no legal framework** in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There are **major deficiencies in capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

THE PURPOSE OF THE CONVENTION IS TO IDENTIFY, PROTECT, CONSERVE, ENHANCE AND TRANSMIT TO FUTURE GENERATIONS THE CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE OF OUTSTANDING UNIVERSAL VALUE OF WHICH THE PERUVIAN STATE IS A PART, ALONG WITH THE SITES THAT MAKE IT UP, INCLUDING THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE. WE ALSO HAVE THE GENERAL LAW OF CULTURAL HERITAGE OF THE NATION - LAW 28296, WHICH PROTECTS ALL MATERIAL EVIDENCE IN THE TERRITORY (PREHISPANIC, HISTORICAL AND PALEONTOLOGICAL).

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

IN THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONUMENT, WE HAVE SEARCHED AT ALL TIMES TO COMPLY WITH ITS PROTECTION AND PRESERVATION, NOT ONLY ITS MATERIALITY, BUT THE EXCEPTIONAL UNIVERSAL VALUE IT CONTAINS, PROTECTING US FROM CURRENT INTERNATIONAL AND NATIONAL LEGISLATION. LIKewise, THE NECESSARY MAINTENANCE AND MANAGEMENT WORK IS CARRIED OUT FOR ITS SAFEGUARD.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

HAVE PLAN HANDLING DESIGNED UNDER A FRAME INTERDISCIPLINARY AND MULTISECTORAL, WHICH INTEGRATES ALL THE TASKS NECESSARY FOR THE PRESERVATION OF THE VALUES THAT MAKE CHAVIN A SITE OF UNIVERSAL VALUE EXCEPTIONAL. UNDER THIS APPROACH, WE SEEK COMPREHENSIVELY AND SUSTAINABLY ADDRESS THE PROBLEM OF THE MONUMENT, FACILITATING THE CONCERTED ACTION BETWEEN SECTORS PUBLIC AND PRIVATE, WHICH TO DIFFERENT DEGREES HAVE INTERFERENCE ON THE SITE; THIS WILL ALLOW ACHIEVE GREATER COMMITMENT AND PARTICIPATION O

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The policy for dealing with development proposals is **fully based** on the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
NO

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

The policy for dealing with climate change is **fully based** on the agreed World Heritage policy

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
NO

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

The risk management policy is **fully based** on the agreed Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has

been done

THE RECOMMENDATIONS HAVE BEEN TAKEN TO MITIGATE THE NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE, THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL EMERGENCY ACTIONS PROJECT HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE TOPS OF THE BUILDINGS AND COORDINATED WITH THE MUNICIPALITY OF CHAVIN, TO PROSECUTE THE RIBERA DE LOS MOSNA AND WACHECZA RIVERS.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **only partially implemented**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists but few of its activities are being implemented**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				✗	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					✗
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				✗	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.15.5	Women				✗	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups					
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				✗	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✗
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					✗
5.3.16.5	Women					✗
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				✗	
5.3.16.7	Researchers					✗
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				✗	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				✗	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				✗	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					✗
5.3.16.12	NGOs					✗
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

Strategies were designed that would make it possible to carry out the prescribed tasks and therefore establish an operational and functional management system, in accordance with the guidelines and standards that prevail today in the international arena. Therefore, it seeks to manage collaboration between different interest groups in the public and private sectors, to generate greater participation in heritage tasks, but also to avoid duplication of efforts and the execution of unplanned action

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

The Chavín de Huántar Archaeological Monument Management Plan constitutes the most important management instrument for the conservation of the Chavín de Huántar Management Plan, especially in its exceptional universal value of the Monument. Its effectiveness and efficiency will depend on its degree of adaptability to new trends and changes that may occur in the internal and external contexts of the Monument, as well as to a great extent on the sources of financing that are successfully achieved

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	80 %	80 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

The source of financing for the Chavin archaeological monument comes from the Ministry of Culture through the DDC ANCASH 8 EXECUTIVE UNIT 001).

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **not secure**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

THE BUDGET SPECIFICATION OF THE MINISTRY OF CULTURE HAS BEEN REDUCED IN THE LAST 2 YEARS. THEREFORE, THE FINANCING FOR MANAGEMENT IN THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONUMENT HAS ALSO HAPPENED. LIMITING MANAGEMENT ON THE SITE.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	90 %	0 %
6.1.6.2	Women	10 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Training and capacity building at this property is **fully based** on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

WORLD HERITAGE STRATEGIES-CAPACITY BUILDING WILL BE IMPLEMENTED GRADUALLY, AS DEFINED IN CHAPTER 3 OF THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR MANAGEMENT PLAN, CONSIDERING 6 THEMATIC AREAS. SUCH AS EDUCATION IN CHAVIN DE HUANTAR, PROBLEMS OF COMMUNICATION, EDUCATION AND HERITAGE, STAGES OF STUDY, STRENGTHENING OF CAPACITIES AND EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A **site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; **all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

IN THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONUMENT, IT IS REQUIRED TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED TECHNICAL PERSONNEL, WITH A SALARY ACCORDING TO THE LABOR MARKET. THE CONTINUOUS TRAINING OF HUMAN RESOURCES IS ALSO INDISPENSABLE, IN RELATION TO THE

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results **are shared** widely **with active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

IN THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONUMENT, THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR RESEARCH AND CONSERVATION PROJECT IS CONTINUOUSLY CARRIED OUT, FINANCED BY STANFORD UNIVERSITY AND DIRECTED BY DR. JOHN RICK. THIS PROJECT CARRIES OUT THE RESEARCH OF THE CHAVIN SOCIETY, DATA THAT ALLOWS TO PROMOTE THE EXCEPTIONAL UNIVERSAL VALUE OF THIS CULTURE. THE RESULTS OF THE INFORMATION IS PUBLISHED PERIODICALLY AND PRESENTED AT NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL EVENTS

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Poor
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Poor
Trails/routes	Poor
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Poor
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

THE KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE EXISTENCE AND JUSTIFICATION OF THE GOOD IS NOT UNIFORM IN ALL THE COMPONENTS OF SOCIETY, HAVING A DIFFERENTIATION IN ITS ACCEPTANCE AND VALUATION. THE HERITAGE EDUCATION PROGRAM FOR CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE IS CURRENTLY LIMITED, BUT IT IS PART OF THE SITE MANAGEMENT PLAN. ONCE THIS PROGRAM STARTED, IT WILL STRENGTHEN AND ACHIEVE THE GOALS ON THE TARGET GROUP.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

30060 / 17860 / 96382 / 81503 / 79202 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

QUESTIONS ON ITEMS 9.2, 9.3 and 9.3 (mach@cultura.gob.pe).

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

30 / 6 / 10 / 8 / 6 / 15 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

IN THE CASE OF QUESTION 9.5, CONTACT munichavin@munichavindehunatar.gob.pe mch@munichavindehunatar.gob.pe

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

IN THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR MANAGEMENT PLAN, IT IS ADDRESSED IN CHAPTER 4, ON THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE TOURISM SECTOR, WHERE THE STRATEGY TO MANAGE VISITS, TOURIST ACTIVITY AND ITS IMPACT IS DETAILED. IT IS PROGRESSIVELY DEVELOPED AND GREATER DYNAMICS ARE EXPECTED AS THE EXECUTION OF THE PROGRAMS AND SUB-PROGRAMS PROGRESSES.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There **is contact** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this **is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

Not displayed at all

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY, THROUGH THE TOURISM MANAGEMENT, SEEKS TO PROMOTE A LOCAL, SELF-SUSTAINABLE TOURISM

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONUMENT HAS A HIGH DEMAND FOR THE FLOW OF TOURISM, WHICH IS CARRIED OUT IN A SUSTAINABLE WAY, FOLLOWING THE CONSERVATION GUIDELINES FRAMED IN THE MANAGEMENT PLAN, WITH ADEQUATE MONITORING. CONTRIBUTING DIRECTLY AND INDIRECTLY TO THE GENERATION OF GOODS AND SERVICES IN THE DISTRICT (CHAVIN DE HUANTAR)

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				✗
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				✗
10.3.3	Character of governance		✗		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		✗		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				✗
10.3.6	Capacity development				✗

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

THE INFORMATION ABOUT THE INDICATORS IS DEFINED IN THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR MANAGEMENT PLAN, ESPECIALLY FOR CONSERVATION STATUS, MANAGEMENT SYSTEM, CONTRIBUTION TO SUSTAINABLE AND CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT. IN THE CONSERVATION STATE, ALL THE COMPONENTS OF THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE ARE ADDRESSED. THE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IS OPERATIONAL AND FUNCTIONAL, ACCORDING TO THE GUIDELINES AND STANDARDS THAT PREVAIL IN THE INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENT. SUSTAINABLE AND CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Fair

NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

GIVEN THE NEEDS OF THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE, THE SITE MANAGEMENT PLAN WAS IMPLEMENTED, CONSIDERING COVERING ALL THE NEEDS, SAID DOCUMENT WAS APPROVED BY MINISTERIAL RESOLUTION No. 000292-2020-DM/MC. THIS WILL ALLOW THE ADEQUATE CARE OF THE PROPERTY INSCRIBED ON THE WORLD HERITAGE LIST, ACCORDING TO THE SPECIFIC GUIDELINES.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

THE APPROVAL OF THE MANAGEMENT PLAN WILL CONTRIBUTE TO FOLLOWING ALL THE GUIDELINES RELATED TO THE MONITORING OF THE LISTED PROPERTY. THE PROGRAMS, PROJECTS AND SUB-PROJECTS HAVE BEEN DESIGNED FOLLOWING THE ANALYSIS ACCORDING TO THE NEEDS OF THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE, WITH AN INTEGRAL VISION, WITH THE COMMUNITY AND OTHER RELATED ENTITIES.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.1	Inadequacies in the boundaries make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	✗
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone, but there is a need for one	
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	✗
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.4	There is no legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property	✗
5.2.6	There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property	✗
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	✗
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	
5.3.14	An annual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented	
5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	✗
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	✗
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	✗
9	Visitor Management	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
9.11	There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	✗
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	✗

Please select 0 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.2		Transportation Infrastructure					
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	CRITERIA (iii). INTEGRITY, BECAUSE THIS ROAD IS CONTINUOUSLY USED FOR THE TRANSIT OF VEHICLES AND MAY AFFECT THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL MATERIAL EVIDENCE IN LOWER STRATA	THERE IS A COORDINATION BETWEEN THE MINISTRY OF CULTURE AND THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY SO THAT THE ROAD IS USED AS A PEDESTRIAN WALK	THERE IS CONSTANT COMMUNICATION BETWEEN THE DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY AND THE MINISTRY OF CULTURE TO INSIST THAT THE ROAD BE USED AS AN ACCESS FOR PEOPLE'S PEDESTRIAN TRANSIT	IT IS PROJECTED 2 YEARS	MINISTRY OF CULTURE AND THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY	NO COMMENT
4.2.5	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	CRITERIA (iii). THERE IS A CONTINUOUS USE OF THE ROAD BY MINOR AND HEAVY VEHICLES, WHICH MAY AFFECT THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL MATERIAL EVIDENCE, BUT CONTRIBUTES TO DUST POLLUTION IN THE SITE.	THERE IS A COORDINATION BETWEEN THE MINISTRY OF CULTURE AND THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY SO THAT THE ROAD IS USED AS A PEDESTRIAN WALK	THERE IS CONSTANT COMMUNICATION BETWEEN THE DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY AND THE MINISTRY OF CULTURE TO INSIST THAT THE ROAD BE USED AS AN ACCESS FOR PEOPLE'S PEDESTRIAN TRANSIT	IT IS PROJECTED 2 YEARS	MINISTRY OF CULTURE AND THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY	NO COMMENT
4.7		Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
4.7.1	Wind	CRITERIA (iii). THE INTEGRITY OF THE ARCHITECTURE OF THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE, ESPECIALLY, THE LITHO SCULPTURE THAT IS PART OF THE SITE, IS AFFECTED BY THE DIRECTION OF THE ABRASIVE WIND IN SOME OF ITS COMPONENTS	TO MINIMIZE THE IMPACT CAUSED BY THE WIND, A ROOFING SYSTEM HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED, THIS WILL MINIMIZE THE ABRASIVE EFFECT ON THE STONE SCULPTURE.	THE IMPACTED COMPONENTS, CONSTANT MONITORING IS CARRIED OUT TO EVIDENCE THAT THE IMPACT OF THE WIND HAS BEEN MINIMIZED IN ITS ABRASIVE EFFECT ON THE LITHO SCULPTURES	WITH A 2-YEAR PROGRAM	CULTURE MINISTRY	NO COMMENT
4.7.2	Relative humidity	CRITERIA (iii). THE INTEGRITY OF THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE MAY BE STRONGLY AFFECTED BY THE INCREASE IN RELATIVE HUMIDITY GENERATED BY THE CONTINUOUS RAINFALL IN THE SITE	AN EMERGENCY ARCHAEOLOGICAL ACTIONS PROJECT HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED FOR THE PLACEMENT OF COVERS AND DRAINAGE, WITH THE PURPOSE OF MINIMIZING THE NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE STRUCTURES	CONSTANT MONITORING OF THE AREAS HAS BEEN PERFORMED, TO EVIDENCE THAT ANY POSSIBLE NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL STRUCTURES HAS BEEN MINIMIZED	IT IS PROJECTED THREE YEARS	CULTURE MINISTRY	NO COMMENT
4.7.3		Temperature					
4.8		Social/Cultural uses of heritage					
4.8.5		Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community					
4.10		Climate change and severe weather events					
4.10.1	Storms	CRITERIA (iii). THE INTEGRITY OF THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE MAY BE STRONGLY AFFECTED BY THE INCREASE IN RELATIVE HUMIDITY GENERATED BY THE CONTINUOUS RAINFALL IN THE SITE	AN EMERGENCY ARCHAEOLOGICAL ACTIONS PROJECT HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED FOR THE PLACEMENT OF COVERS AND DRAINAGE, WITH THE PURPOSE OF MINIMIZING THE NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE STRUCTURES	CONSTANT MONITORING OF THE AREAS HAS BEEN PERFORMED, TO EVIDENCE THAT ANY POSSIBLE NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL STRUCTURES HAS BEEN MINIMIZED	IT IS PROJECTED THREE YEARS	MINISTRY OF CULTURE, CHAVIN DE HUANTAR DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY, SENARP AND OTHERS	NO COMMENT

4.10.2	Flooding	CRITERIA (iii).THERE IS A BACKGROUND OF THE OVERFLOWING OF THE RIVERS MOSNA (1935) AND RIVER WACHECZA (1945). IN 2016, THERE WAS AN INCREASE IN THE FLOW THAT ENTERED A CONSIDERABLE AREA OF THE POLYGONAL TOWARDS THE EAST SIDE. ALTHOUGH IT DID NOT CAU	THE REINFORCEMENT OF THE BANKS OF THE MOSNA AND WACHECZA RIVERS IS REGISTERED IN ONE OF THE MANAGEMENT PLAN SUB-PROGRAMS. BUT IT HAS NOT YET BEEN REALIZED; AND AS PART OF THE MANAGEMENT IN THE PLACE, IT HAS BEEN COORDINATED WITH THE CHAVIN DE HUANTA	THE VISUAL MONITORING OF THE CAUSE OF THE MOSNA AND WWACHECZA RIVERS IS CARRIED OUT, AS WELL AS THE DATA AVAILABLE FROM THE REPORTS MADE BY THE CULTURE SECTOR EMERGENCY OPERATIONS CENTER (COES-CULTURE)	ACCORDING TO THE PROJECTS OF THE PROJECTS AND SUBPROJECTS OF THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE MANAGEMENT PLAN, IT IS SCHEDULED IN UP TO 10 YEA	THE CULTURE SECTOR EMERGENCY OPERATIONS CENTER (COES-CULTURE) AND CULTURE MINISTRY	NO COMMENT
4.10.6		Temperature change					
4.10.7		Other climate change impacts					
Question not completed							

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	the Chavin de Huantar District Municipality, and educational institutions, the preparation of awareness workshops on the importance of the archaeological site in relation to the communities and their integration is planned.	two years	Chavin de Huantar District Municipality, Ministry of Culture and Ministry of Education	no comments
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE DOES NOT HAVE A BUFFER ZONE. ONLY INCLUDES THE EXTENSIONS THAT MAKE UP THE POLYGONAL	IT IS PROJECTED 4 YEARS	CULTURE MINISTRY	NO COMMENT
5.2	Protective Measures				
5.2.4	There is no legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property	THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE IS PROTECTED BY THE Convention for the Protection of World Cultural and Natural Heritage (Paris, 1972), LAW 28296, AMONG OTHERS. BUT TO DATE, THE SITE'S BUFFER AREA HAS NOT BEEN DEFINED. BEING NECESSARY TO COMPLEMENT T	IT IS PROJECTED 05 YEARS	CULTURE MINISTRY	NO COMMENT
5.2.6	There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property	The management plan for the management of the archaeological site is approved, but the resources are insufficient for the execution of the programs and subprograms indicated.	IT IS PROJECTED 02 YEARS	Chavin de Huantar District Municipality, Ministry of Culture, Ancash Regional Government and Antamina Company Cooperation	NO COMMENT
5.3	Management System/Management Plan				

5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	The need to deal fully and comprehensively with the investigation, conservation and management of the monument requires the framework of a management plan that guarantees the sustainability and comprehensiveness of the actions to be developed for su	ACCORDING TO THE MANAGEMENT PLAN OF THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE, THE PROGRAMS, PROJECTS AND SUBPROJECTS WILL BE EXECUTED CONTINUOUSLY OVER 10 YEARS	MINISTRY OF CULTURE AND REGIONAL GOVERNMENT OF ANCASH	NO COMMENT
5.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) 	THIS IS MENTIONED IN REFERENCE THAT THE MANAGEMENT PLAN IS NOT BEING EXECUTED IN ITS ENTIRETY. PROBABLY, WHEN THE PROGRAMS, PROJECTS AND SUBPROJECTS ARE COMPLETED, THE SERVICES AND BENEFITS WILL BE GREATER FOR THE COMMUNITIES	ACCORDING TO THE MANAGEMENT PLAN OF THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE, IT IS PROJECTED FOR 10 YEARS	MINISTRY OF CULTURE AND REGIONAL GOVERNMENT OF ANCASH	NO COMMENT

6.1 Funding

6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	THE CURRENT BUDGET ONLY CORRESPOND TO THE FINANCING PROVIDED BY THE MINISTRY OF CULTURE, BEING INSUFFICIENT TO COVER MOST OF THE NEEDS DEMANDED BY THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE.	IT IS PROPOSED THAT IN A PERIOD NOT LESS THAN 03 YEARS, INCREASE	MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND FINANCE, AS WELL AS THE MINISTRY OF CULTURE	WITH THE BUDGET ASSIGNED PROMPTLY TO THE MANAGEMENT PLAN OF THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE, IT WILL BE ABLE TO COVER THE NEEDS DEMANDED BY THE SITE.
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	THE PERSONNEL ASSIGNED TO THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE AND DEDICATED TO MAINTENANCE, CLEANING, AS WELL AS PREVENTIVE CONSERVATION, THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE HAS DECREASED AND SALARIES ARE NO LONGER ACCORDING TO THE LABOR MARKET. THIS BEING A LIMITATION IN THE	THE HUMAN RESOURCE SHOULD BE INCREASED TO 10 PEOPLE AND WITH A SALARY ACCORDING TO THE LABOR MARKET, CONSIDERING COMPETENCES AND SPECIALIZATION.	MINISTRY OF CULTURE (HUMAN RESOURCES AREA)	IF THE INCREASE IN STAFF IS CONSIDERED, IT MUST BE WITH A SALARY ACCORDING TO THE LABOR MARKET.

9 Visitor Management

9.11	There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	In the Chavin archaeological site, due to the high demand of tourism, most of the interaction between the manager of the place, is framed in administrative and regulatory issues. But it participates in actions in conjunction with the tourism sector	an average of 02 years	MINISTRY OF CULTURE, AS WELL AS THE MINISTRY OF TOURISM AND FOREIGN TRADE	THERE ARE NO COMMENTS
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but	N THE CASE OF THE PRESENTATION AND INTERPRETATION OF THE EXCEPTIONAL UNIVERSAL VALUE, IT IS VERY SPREAD IN ACADEMIC MATTER, BUT THE INFORMATION IS LACK OF SOCIALIZATION, ESPECIALLY IN THE PLACE. WITH AN APPROPRIATE INFOGRAPHIC AND TOURIST SCRIPT.	IT IS PROJECTED 03 YEARS	MINISTRY OF CULTURE, AS WELL AS THE MINISTRY OF TOURISM AND FOREIGN TRADE	THERE ARE NO COMMENTS

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property **has been compromised** by factors described in this report

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value **has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions.**

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

THE CHAVIN ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONUMENT, LIKE ANY PLACE EXPOSED TO ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS AND ANTHROPIC ACTIVITIES THROUGH TIME, HAS HAD SOME TYPE OF AFFECTATION. BUT INTERVENTION HAS BEEN FOLLOWING THE LINES AND PRACTICAL DIRECTIVES FOR ITS PRESERVATION AND THE MANAGEMENT PLAN HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED TO ADDRESS THE NEEDS IN THE SHORT, MEDIUM AND LONG TERM.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Not applicable
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The Management Plan for Chavín was to integrate, within the interdisciplinary and multisectoral planning, all the actions carried out on the site, from those related to conservation, research and enhancement, to those linked to the dissemination, promotion, education and administration. Similarly, this integration had the goal of having programs in various aspects in the short, medium and long term with the ultimate goal of preserving the values that make the monument an important site for

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

With the formulation of the Management Plan for Chavín, it was possible to integrate, within the interdisciplinary and multisectoral planning, all the actions carried out on the site, from those related to conservation, research and enhancement, to those linked to the diffusion, promotion, education and administration. Similarly, this integration aims to have programs in various aspects in the short, medium and long term with the ultimate goal of preserving the values that make the monument an important site for present and future generations. In parallel, it seeks to address the problem of the monument, considering the sectors that have interference, to a different extent, on the site, in order to achieve a greater commitment to the conservation of Chavín. The planning model used is the result of various training activities and its adaptation and use in different contexts in Latin America. With the use of a consistent planning methodology for World Heritage cases, it was expected to contribute to improving practices in the country, by generating an additional reference model, and strengthening the development of practical guidelines for heritage management in Peru. In the place, a planning methodology used promotes a systematic and integrated approach to heritage management, in such a way that precise reference frameworks are generated for decision making. The model that is consigned in the management of the archaeological site, considers two basic premises: the conservation of the values of the World Heritage site and its landscape as an essential component of sustainable human development and the promotion of participatory and integral processes to define the strategies that lead to the establishment of a management system that guarantees long-term conservation.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development

State of Conservation

Management

Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

The periodic reports could be considered as a tool by the States Parties, to have an evaluation of the application of the guidelines of the Convention and in turn infer the capacity to safeguard the cultural heritage that they have inscribed on the world heritage list. Likewise, experiences and actions carried out by other States Parties can be shared and thus achieve a significant improvement in management.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Focal points of other international conventions/programmes

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

140 / 80 / 40 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report**15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?**

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		×		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

no suggestion to submit

15.5. Training and Guidance**15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire**

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

IT IS SUGGESTED THAT THE ONLINE TRAINING COURSES COULD BE TRANSMITTED BY EACH COUNTRY, BECAUSE MANY HAVE CULTURAL ASSETS FROM DIFFERENT CONTEXTS AND ESPECIALLY BECAUSE IT WOULD BE MORE PERSONALIZED TO THE REALITY OF EACH CULTURAL ASSETS OF THE STATE PARTIES.

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

THE INFORMATION RELATED TO THE CHAVIN DE HUANTAR ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE HAS BEEN PRESENTED, WITH THE RESPECTIVE DATA, WILL ALLOW THE IMPROVEMENT IN THE MANAGEMENT OF THE CULTURAL ASSET.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.