Hatra

- 1. World Heritage Property Data
- 1.1 Name of World Heritage property

Hatra

- 1.2 World Heritage property details
- 1.3 Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Hatra	35.588 / 42.718	323.75	0	323.75	1985
Total (ha)		323.75	0	323.75	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
"Annex 1 - Plan of Hatra" Plan showing the boundaries of the proposed area for inscription, spliced photocopy of Arabic original, 55x60cm; scale ~ 1:5,500	1985	

Comment

https://3.top4top.net/p_1320ar5wy0.jpeg

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	×	
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Yes

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- Not applicable
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global

Hatra 1 of 39

Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Not aware

- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee
- 3.2 Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost	

3.2.1	Inscriptions		×	
3.2.2	Sculptures		×	
3.2.3	Graffiti		×	
3.2.4	Columns	×		
3.2.5	Arches		×	
3.2.6				
3.2.7				
3.2.8				
3.2.9				
3.2.10				
3.2.11				
3.2.12				
3.2.13				
3.2.14				
3.2.15				

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The need to carry out repair work on a continuous basis because the area is characterized by high summer temperatures

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Hatra 3 of 39

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructurePrevious answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructurePrevious answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009): Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastruc	cture
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.3. Services Infrastructures	
4.3.1 - Water infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009): ● Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009): ◆ Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009): ◆ Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.4 - Localised utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009): ● Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.5 - Major linear utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.4. Pollution	

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

Hatra 4 of 39

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.7. Plane a summer a summer beautiful factors	alored discontinuous to A.A. and a Wantley the management of the annual facility of

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative								

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

· Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant × Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant × Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

× Not relevant 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or

positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

★ Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Hatra 6 of 39

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×			7

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			gin Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative ※	×		×			→		

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

* Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×			×			-

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Delevent	W
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×			-

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		×		×		\rightarrow	

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

Hatra 7 of 39

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×			×		\Rightarrow	

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

× Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
	×			×		\Rightarrow	

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×			×		→	

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
○ Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow		

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
○ Negative X		×		×		→		

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
relevant	Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×			-

Hatra 9 of 39

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×			1

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×			×			· ·

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×			7

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Hatra 10 of 39

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Hatra 11 of 39

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

≭ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

Relevant	W Not relevant
Notovani	X Not relevant

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×		×		S		

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

Hatra 12 of 39

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (15/09/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
	THE TOTAL THE TANK

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
		9			©	1
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
		q		•		\rightarrow
4.7.3 Temperature						
		q			Œ	<i>P</i>
4.7.5 Dust						
		q			G	<i>P</i>
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
			9		G	→
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
		q			Œ	\rightarrow
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
		q			G	\rightarrow
4.9.3 Military training						
		q			(→
4.9.4 War						
			q		G	→
4.9.5 Terrorism						
			a		Œ	→
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
		ø			Œ	,
4.10.4 Desertification						
		q			G	1
4.10.5 Changes to oceanic waters						
		q			C	1
4.10.6 Temperature change						
		q			G	<i>></i>
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						

Hatra 13 of 39



4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.1 Wind	4.7.1 Wind						
			9			G	<i>P</i>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						

Hatra 14 of 39

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact Ori		npact		Impact		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity													
		9		•	\rightarrow								

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
×	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years			
×	Decreasing			
	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact		Origin			Trend
4.7.3 Temperature						
		q			G	1

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact

Hatra 15 of 39

	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going .					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impac	t	Origin		Trend
4.7.5 Dust						
			9		G	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Monara						
wanageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
wanagem	nt response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity					
wanagem	High capacity					
Managemo ×						

Hatra 16 of 39

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
			9		(\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going Control of the Control of t
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact		Origin			Trend
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
		9			G	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Hatra 17 of 39

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impac	t	Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Delib	erate destruction of heritage		~		-	
4.9.2 Delib	erate destruction of heritage	•	9		Œ	→
	erate destruction of heritage	•	9		F	→
		•	9		(→
	ale - Area affected by the factor	©	व		E	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted	9	q		(→
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised	Θ	q		€	→
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive	©	q		(
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread	9	q		(-
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact	Θ	q		ઉ	-
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare	9	q		G	
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic	9	9		G	
X Temporal	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent	9	q		©	-
X Temporal	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going	Θ	q		**	
X Temporal	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going	Θ	q		©	
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant	•	9		G	
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going space on the attributes Insignificant Minor	9	q			
X Temporal : X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Insignificant Minor Significant Major Interesponse - Capacity of management to respond	9	q			
X Temporal : X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		q			
X Temporal : X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Insignificant Minor Significant Major Interesponse - Capacity of management to respond		q			

Hatra 18 of 39

	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
×	Decreasing			
	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.3 Military training						
		9			G	→

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.4 War						
			9		Œ	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			

Hatra 19 of 39

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		
4 9 5 Terro	riem			Og		Trend
4.9.5 Terro	rism	6	a	5g	্ধে	Trend
4.9.5 Terro	rism	•	9		G	Trend
	le - Area affected by the factor	•	9		Œ	Trend
		•	9	ong	Œ	Trend →
	le - Area affected by the factor	•	9		F	Trend →
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted	•	q		ઉ	Trend →
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised	•	q		©	Trend →
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive	•	q		(Trend →
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread		4		(F	Trend
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact		q		E	Trend
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent		q		4	Trend →
X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going		q		(4)	Trend →
X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent		4		4	Trend →
X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant		q		E	Trend
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor		q		4	Trend
X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Sicale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant		4		(4)	Trend →
X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		4		E	Trend
X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond		q		E	Trend
X Temporal s X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major nt response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity		q			Trend
X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond		4			Trend →

Hatra 20 of 39

	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
×	Decreasing				
	Static				
	Increasing				

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Stori	ns					
			4		C	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact	:	Origin			Trend
4.10.4 Desertification						
		4			C	>

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			

Hatra 21 of 39

	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
	nges to oceanic waters			Origin	18	Trend
	nges to oceanic waters	Impact	9	Origin	(§	Trend
4.10.5 Char	nges to oceanic waters le - Area affected by the factor			Origin	Œ	Trend
4.10.5 Char				Origin	Œ	Trend
4.10.5 Char Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			Origin	Œ	Trend
4.10.5 Char Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted			Origin	Œ	Trend
4.10.5 Char Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised			Origin	Œ	Trend
4.10.5 Char Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive			Origin	Œ	Trend
4.10.5 Char Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread			Origin	Œ	Trend
4.10.5 Char Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact			Origin	G	Trend
4.10.5 Char Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare			Origin	©	Trend
4.10.5 Char Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic			Origin	©	Trend
4.10.5 Char Spatial sca X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes			Origin	(\$	Trend
4.10.5 Char Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant			Origin	G	Trend
4.10.5 Char Spatial sca X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor			Origin	©	Trend
4.10.5 Char Spatial sca X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant			Origin	©	Trend
4.10.5 Char Spatial sca X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major			Origin	(Trend
4.10.5 Char Spatial sca X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond			Origin	(\$\frac{1}{2}\$	Trend
4.10.5 Char Spatial sca X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major			Origin		Trend

Hatra 22 of 39

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.6 Temperature change						
					Œ	<i>></i>
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.2 Earthquake		
	Œ	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised

Hatra 23 of 39

	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name Impact		oact Or			Origin		
4.13.1 Man	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		P		•		\rightarrow
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						

Hatra 24 of 39

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

ame		Impact			Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	O	9		•	\rightarrow

Spatial s	scale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
×	Widespread			
Tempora	al scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
×	On-going On-going			
Impact -	Impact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			
Manager	ment response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - I	Developement over the last 6 years			

	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact Origin		Impact		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	O	9		•	→

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted	

Hatra 25 of 39

	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
×	On-going On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years			
×	Decreasing			
	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

Name	Impact		Impact Origin		Impact		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources							
		P		•	S		

		4	•	
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going On-going			
Impact -	mpact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			
Managen	nent response - Capacity of management to respond			

Hatra 26 of 39

Mediant apposity Developed		High capacity				
Tread-out-out-out-out-out-out-out-out-out-out	×	Medium capacity				
Transition to the last 4 years Same		Low capacity				
Special processing Special		No capacity and / or resources				
Sauto	Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
Name	×	Decreasing				
Name		Static				
4.13.6 Human resources Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Kanada Castacted Kanada Castacte		Increasing				
4.13.6 Human resources Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Kulcoalland Kulcoalland Extensive Extensive Midespread Temporal scale - Occurance of the impact Frequent One off or rare Minor Impact - Impact - Impact - Impact Significant Minor Kulcoalland Minor Mi						
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or spondic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Insignificant Minor X Significant Minor Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Low capacity Mocapacity No capacity and /or resources Trend - Development over the last 6 years X Decreasing State State Decreasing						Trend
Restricted Res	4.13.6 Hum	an resources	•	व	•	→
Extensive Videopread Temporal = Cocurence of the impact Temporal = Cocurence of the impact Videopread Temporal = Cocurence of the impact Videopread Videopr	Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
Extensive Widespread Tompart > Occurrence of the impact X		Restricted				
Temporal both content of the impact Temporal both content of the impact X	×	Localised				
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact of the attributes Impact - Impact of the attributes Impact of the attributes Impact of the attributes Intermittent or sporadic Impact of the attributes		Extensive				
New Composity of Management to respond Management		Widespread				
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent Cn-goling Impact - Impact on the attributes Impact on the at	Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
Frequent On-going Impact - I the attributes Insignificant Minor Major Major Management to respond Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Low Capacity Static Decreasing Static		One off or rare				
Impact - Imsignificant Insignificant Insignificant	×	Intermittent or sporadic				
Impact - Impact - Insignificant Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management to respond Management to respond Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Development over the last 6 years X		Frequent				
Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity of management to respond Trend - Devolution of the last 6 years Static						
Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity of management to respond Trend - Devolpment over the last 6 years X	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Devlopement over the last 6 years X Decreasing Static		Insignificant				
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years X Decreasing Static		Minor				
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static	×					
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Devlopement over the last 6 years Example 2		Major				
Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static						
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static	×					
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static						
Decreasing Static						
Static	Trend - Dev					
	×					
Increasing						
		Increasing				

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

Not applicable the property is cultural..

- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.
- 4.18.1 Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

Hatra 27 of 39

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Inscriptions		×		
4.18.1.2	Mural sculptures		×		
4.18.1.3	Graffiti		×		
4.18.1.4	Architectural elements		×		
4.18.1.5	Arches and ceilings		×		

- 5. Protection and Management of the Property
- 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones
- 5.1.1 Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value
- 5.1.2 Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

- 5.1.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property
- 5.2. Protective Measures
- 5.2.1 Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Under the power of the Iraqi Antiquities Law No. 35, 1939.

Source: 2000 Periodic Report, Section II, par. II.4.1.a

- 5.2.2 Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category
- 5.2.3 Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

An annual work plan or business plan

Hatra 28 of 39

- 5.3.3 Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property
- 5.3.4 Management Documents
- 5.3.5 Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

 No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples			×		
5.3.15.5	Women		×			
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities			×		
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples			×		
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women			×		
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children	×				

5.3.16.7	Researchers	×			
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists	×			
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists	×			
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry	×			
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries		×		
5.3.16.12	NGOs	×			
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×			
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify				

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			×		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- ${\bf 5.3.19 \cdot Comments, conclusions \ and/or \ recommendations \ related \ to \ the \ management \ system/plan}$
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding
- 6.1.1 If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	%	%
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

Hatra 30 of 39

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

There are no sources of funding at present and in the last five years due to the recent events since 2014-2018

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	40 %	60 %
6.1.6.2	Women	5 %	15 %
		Total 45 %	Total 75 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Not applicable
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Not applicable
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

Hatra 31 of 39

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Through training courses and skills development.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Good
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is no education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Women
Youth/children
Local Visitors
Tourism industry

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Not provided but needed
Trails/routes	Poor
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Poor
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

- 8.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building
- 9. Visitor Management
- 9.1 Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

0/0/0/0/0/

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

- 9.4 Please provide the source of information
- 9.5 What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0/0/0/0/0/0/

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Visitor information records.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

The site lacks the services of visitors.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, through the UNESCO Tourism Management Assessment Tool

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is **no contact** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

- 9.17 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property
- 10. Monitoring
- 10.1 Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount of monitoring**, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				X
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				X
10.3.3	Character of governance	×			
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Fair
Women	Non-existent
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Non-existent
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is planned, but has not yet begun

- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs
- 11.1 Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×

5.3.11 There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved • In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality 6.1 Funding 6.1.3 The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property 6.1.10 Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property 6.1.12 A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred 7 Scientific Studies and Research Projects 7.2 There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value 7.3 Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies 8 Education, Information and Awareness Building 8.2 There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need 9 Visitor Management 9.7 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property 9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need			
• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality 6.1 Funding 6.1.3 The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property 6.1.10 Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property 6.1.12 A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred 7 Scientific Studies and Research Projects 7.2 There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value 7.3 Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies 8 Education, Information and Awareness Building 8.2 There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need 9 Visitor Management 9.7 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property 9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need 9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need 9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 10 Monitoring 10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
Funding 6.1.3 The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property 6.1.10 Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property 6.1.12 A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred 7 Scientific Studies and Research Projects 7.2 There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value 7.3 Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies 8 Education, Information and Awareness Building 8.2 There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need 9 Visitor Management 9.7 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property 9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need 9.11 Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 10 Monitoring 10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	
6.1.3 The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property 6.1.10 Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property 6.1.12 A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred 7 Scientific Studies and Research Projects 7.2 There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value 7.3 Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies 8 Education, Information and Awareness Building 8.2 There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need 9 Visitor Management 9.7 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property 9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need 9.11 Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 10 Monitoring 10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality	×
Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred Scientific Studies and Research Projects 7.2 There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value 7.3 Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies 8 Education, Information and Awareness Building 8.2 There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need 9 Visitor Management 9.7 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property 9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need 9.11 Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 10 Monitoring 10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	6.1	Funding	
A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred Scientific Studies and Research Projects There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies Education, Information and Awareness Building There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need Visitor Management There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made Monitoring There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects 7.2 There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value 7.3 Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies 8 Education, Information and Awareness Building 8.2 There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need 9 Visitor Management 9.7 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property 9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need 9.11 Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 10 Monitoring 10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value 7.3 Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies 8 Education, Information and Awareness Building 8.2 There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need 9 Visitor Management 9.7 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property 9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need 9.11 Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 10 Monitoring 10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	
Universal Value 7.3 Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies 8 Education, Information and Awareness Building 8.2 There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need 9 Visitor Management 9.7 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property 9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need 9.11 Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 10 Monitoring 10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
8. Education, Information and Awareness Building 8.2 There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need 9 Visitor Management 9.7 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property 9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need 9.11 Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 10 Monitoring 10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	7.2		
There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need Visitor Management 7. There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property 9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need 8.11 Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 10 Monitoring 10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	×
9. Visitor Management 9.7 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property 9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need 9.11 Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 10 Monitoring 10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
9.7 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property 9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need 9.11 Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 10 Monitoring 10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	8.2	There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	×
9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need 9.11 Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 10 Monitoring 10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	9	Visitor Management	
9.11 Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 10 Monitoring 10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	
9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 10 Monitoring 10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need	×
10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	9.11	Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property	×
10.1 There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	
10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	10	Monitoring	
improved	10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	
Please select 2 more issues	10.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
T Today Solott E III of Course	Pleas	e select 2 more issues.	

☑ Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

Please assess the current negative factors in question 4.16 before filling in the summary table.

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property				
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property				

Hatra 35 of 39

5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality	
6.1		Funding
6.1.10		Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property
7	Scien	tific Studies and Research Projects
7.3	and pa	s are d with unities artners ere is no ach to al or ational
8	Educa	ation, Information and Awareness Building
8.2	aware progra childre	ge ition and iness amme for an and/or despite
9		Visitor Management
9.9		Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need
9.11		Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property

Hatra 36 of 39

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been compromised by factors described in this report
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

 The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Negative
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	No impact
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal/Policy framework	No impact
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

- 13.2 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts
- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

 Good practices relate to the conservation status of the site through the support that was allocated prior to the events of 2014 based on an annual prepared in
 advance by the site management, as well as for capacity-building through the training programs that are organized in addition to the good management of the site.
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation

Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Poor

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Fundraising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Focal points of other international conventions/programmes

Staff from other World Heritage properties

UNESCO National Commission

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has been given limited consideration and implementation is in process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

2 hours / 3 hours / 70 hours /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		×		

15.4.2.2 Clarity of questions

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The need to include site managers in the training courses for the questionnaire and other matters related to the follow-up of the site and other development issues.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Poor
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Poor
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Poor
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
 - Map(s)

Reason for update: https://3.top4top.net/p_1320ar5wy0.jpeg

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

The need to adhere to the recommendations through this questionnaire and coordinate with the relevant parties to the site for the purpose of implementing them and take urgent action related to some of the damage suffered by the site as a result of recent events and coordination on achieving continuous visits to the site for the purpose of follow-up.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Hatra 39 of 39