# Wood Buffalo National Park

1. World Heritage Property Data

# 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Wood Buffalo National Park

# 1.2 - World Heritage property details

# 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Wood Buffalo National Park	59.358 / -112.293	4480000	0	4480000	1983
Total (ha)		4480000	0	4480000	

# 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Wood Buffalo National Park	2007	

#### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Wood Buffalo National Park (Parks Canada)
- 2. World Heritage in Canada (Parks Canada)
- 3. Natural site datasheet from WCMC

#### Comment

Website: https://parks.canada.ca/pn-np/nt/woodbuffalo Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/WoodBuffaloNP

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))	×	
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

#### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

Two Ramsar Treaty designations for wetlands of international significance; 1) Most of the Whooping Crane summer range/nesting area lies within Wood Buffalo National Park and 2) Peace Athabasca Delta - the largest boreal delta in the world, important waterfowl nesting and staging areas (breeding ducks, geese and Peregrine Falcon), largest undisturbed grass and sedge meadows in North America.

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

No

Wood Buffalo National Park 1 of 46

- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

  No
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

  No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

  No
- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Wood Buffalo National Park 2 of 46

# 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

# Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### **Brief synthesis**

Wood Buffalo National Park is an outstanding example of ongoing ecological and biological processes encompassing some of the largest undisturbed grass and sedge meadows left in North America. It sustains the world's largest herd of wood bison, a threatened species. The park's huge tracts of boreal forest also provide crucial habitat for a diverse range of other species, including the endangered whooping crane. The continued evolution of a large inland delta, salt plains and gypsum karst add to the park's uniqueness.

Criterion (vii): The great concentrations of migratory wildlife are of world importance and the rare and superlative natural phenomena include a large inland delta, salt plains and gyosum karst that are equally internationally significant.

Criterion (ix): Wood Buffalo National Park is the most ecologically complete and largest example of the entire Great Plains-Boreal grassland ecosystem of North America, the only place where the predator-prey relationship between wolves and wood bison has continued, unbroken, over time.

Criterion (x): Wood Buffalo National Park contains the only breeding habitat in the world for the whooping crane, an endangered species brought back from the brink of extinction through careful management of the small number of breeding pairs in the park. The park's size (4.5 million ha), complete ecosystems and protection are essential for *in-situ* conservation of the whooping crane.

#### Integrity

Wood Buffalo National Park straddles the boundary between the province of Alberta and the Northwest Territories, and encompasses 4.5 million hectares of forest, wetland and prairie, including the majority of the Peace-Athabasca Delta. The size of the park allows for the protection of entire ecosystems and the ecosystem features that are the basis for the park's Outstanding Universal Value. The park's size, remoteness, very low human population density and the absence of resource extraction activities minimize human-related stress within the property, resulting in a high level of integrity. Bovine brucellosis and tuberculosis are present within the wood bison population in and around the park. The actual and potential impact on the delta from stressors originating outside the park, such as flow regulation, water withdrawals, industrial discharge and climate change, is monitored by the park and by working in collaboration with a network of partners to monitor and manage impacts from upstream development.

#### Protection and management requirements

The Canada National Parks Act provides effective legal protection for the park. Under the requirements of the legislation, a park management plan was approved in June 2010 and provides direction for protecting the features of the park that are the basis for its Outstanding Universal Value, and for providing opportunities for visitors to experience and learn about the park. The park's two largest wetlands (the Peace-Athabasca Delta and the whooping crane nesting area) have also been declared Wetlands of International Importance under the RAMSAR convention.

Park managers work with 11 Aboriginal groups for whom Wood Buffalo National Park is an area of significant cultural value to cooperatively manage the park, as each group carries out traditional harvesting and other cultural activities within the park boundaries. Endangered species and their critical habitat, including the breeding grounds of the whooping crane, are protected under provisions of Canada's *Species at Risk Act*. Park staff also work with Environment Canada, international crane preservation groups and U.S. government agencies to ensure the long term viability of the park's whooping crane flock.

Park staff closely monitors upstream development on the major rivers that flow into the park and work closely with local Aboriginal partners, other government agencies, stakeholders and industry to maintain the ecological integrity of Wood Buffalo National Park. The park management plan commits park managers to developing an Area Management Plan for the Peace-Athabasca Delta to address the challenges of managing the delta's ecological and cultural values in cooperation with partners and stakeholders. The Peace-Athabasca Delta Ecological Monitoring Program, a multi-stakeholder group made up of Aboriginal representatives, government and non-government organizations, is a cornerstone in developing and implementing this plan.

Special attention will be given over the long term to monitoring and taking appropriate actions related to a number of factors in or near the property. Specifically, attention will focus on the actual and potential impacts of upstream development and climate change.

#### Comment

Terminology change needed: Park managers work with 11 Indigenous groups for whom Wood Buffalo National Park...(We do not use the term Aboriginal) Last sentence of Protection and management requirement first paragraph: remove the word "also"

# 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Karst topography	×			
3.2.2	Salt Plains	×			
3.2.3	Whooping crane population	×			
3.2.4	Migratory wildlife populations	×			
3.2.5	Peace-Athabasca Delta	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					

Wood Buffalo National Park 3 of 46

3.2.13			
3.2.14			
3.2.15			

#### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Note: In 2016, a Reactive Monitoring Mission visited the site to assess whether industrial developments outside the park were impacting WBNP's OUV to the point where it should be listed as a WHS in danger. In response to this, the Government of Canada developed an Action plan to address these concerns. The RMM returned in 2022 and determined no endangered listing should be made, and that a future RMM should assess the Action Plan's effectiveness.

#### 4. Factors Affecting the Property

#### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact Origin			Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			→	
Negative							

# 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There is very limited infrastructure development in Wood Buffalo National Park, although there is a small footprint of visitor and interpretative infrastructure, including trails and visitor use areas which enhance the visitor experience. There are also some limited facilities related to national park management and operations. This infrastructure has no negative impact on the OUV of the site.

# 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact			Origin Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
○ Positive      ★	×			×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

Wood Buffalo National Park 4 of 46

## 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There are several roads that provide access to visitors and neighboring local communities throughout the park. These are managed by Parks Canada and do not impact the OUV.

#### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

# 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

## 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
	×	×		×		<b>→</b>	

Wood Buffalo National Park 5 of 46

# 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There are two small communities located immediately adjacent to the park, Fort Smith (population 2600) and Fort Chipewyan (population 850) with associated services.

#### 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

## 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

A monitoring program is in place to detect any potential pollution issues in the park.

# 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

# 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>		
Negative								

# 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Rel	levant	X Not relevant

# 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Wood Buffalo National Park 6 of 46

# 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

#### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

★ Relevant	Not relevant						
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

# 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There is subsistence use by Indigenous peoples throughout the park.

# 4.6. Physical resource extraction

# 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Wood Buffalo National Park 7 of 46

# 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>	

# 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

<b>X</b> Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×		$\rightarrow$	

# 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		$\rightarrow$	

# 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There are several small quarries for sourcing gravel for road maintenance in the park. There is oil and gas development south of the park (approx 30 kilometers from the southern boundary), and the Peace River which enters the park from the west is a regulated river system. Monitoring programs are in place and are adapted as needed, to monitor impacts from these external pressures. See the most recent state of conservation reporting for additional details.

# 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

# 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

<b>≭</b> Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×			7

Wood Buffalo National Park 8 of 46

# 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant	Relevant	X Not relevant
-----------------------	----------	----------------

# 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×		×			7
Negative							

# 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
	160 160 160

# 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Climate change is impacting and will continue to impact Wood Buffalo National Park. Monitoring programs and restoration efforts take climate change into consideration.

# 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

# 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

# 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Wood Buffalo National Park 9 of 46

Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive      ★	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

# 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		<b>→</b>	

# 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

## 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

# 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Indigenous peoples engage in traditional use activities throughout the park. Indigenous knowledge is being used to inform monitoring programs, design restoration projects, and informs park management.

# 4.9. Other human activities

# 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
Rolevant	Not relevant

# 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

# 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

## 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Wood Buffalo National Park 10 of 46

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

n/a

# 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

# 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×		×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

# 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
Totorum	** Not relevant

# 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

# 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

# 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact		Inside			→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive							
Negative	×	×		×			<i>P</i>

# 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

Wood Buffalo National Park 11 of 46

# 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

A large portion of Wood Buffalo National Park is a delta and experiences periodic floods as part of its natural processes. Climate change is impacting the delta.

# 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

#### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

## 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

# 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			ı	Not relevant			
Impact  Greent  Potential		Origin		Trend of impact			
		Inside	<b>Outside</b>	Stable → Decreasing → Stable		Increasing	
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×				7

# 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Fire is a natural part of the boreal forest ecosystem in Wood Buffalo National Park. When fires endanger values such as communities, cabins, access routes and/or the threat is managed by Parks Canada. In recent years, the total area burned in the park has been increasing.

# 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

# 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant
no.	** Not relevant

# 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Wood Buffalo National Park 12 of 46

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Monitoring programs are implemented and are being adapted, to monitor impacts to OUV elements over the long term.

# 4.13. Management and institutional factors

# 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

× Relevant		Not relevant						
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>		
Negative								

# 4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant	Not relevant								
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	<b>Gurrent</b>	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive 🗶	×		×			$\rightarrow$			
Negative									

# 4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×		×			<b>→</b>		
Negative								

# 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

# 4.13.5 - Financial resources

Wood Buffalo National Park 13 of 46

× Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact			
Impact	<b>Gurrent</b>	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×				7	
Negative								

# 4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	<b>Gurrent</b>	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×				1	
Negative								

# 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact Origin						
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

# 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/09/2013):

Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or

× Not relevant

# 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Wood Buffalo National Park is part of a national system of protected areas across Canada. As such it has stable, long-term funding as well as enhanced funding for specific projects. In recent years both financial and human resources have been significantly increased. Legislation, management planning, and governance arrangements are stable.

# 4.14. Other factor(s)

# 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

n/a

# 4.15. Factors Summary Table

# 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	•	q		•		<b>→</b>
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	0	9			Œ	<b>→</b>
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
		9	9		C	$\rightarrow$
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	•	9		•		<b>→</b>

Wood Buffalo National Park 14 of 46

4.5.7 Cubalatanaa wild alaat sallastiaa		a				
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection	0	4		•		<b>⇒</b>
4.5.9 Subsistence hunting	•	9		•		$\rightarrow$
4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.2 Quarrying						
		9		•		$\rightarrow$
4.6.3 Oil and gas						
			q		Œ	<b>→</b>
4.6.4 Water (extraction)						
			9		F	<b>→</b>
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.3 Temperature						
		a	ø		<b>(</b>	<i>p</i>
470 Webs (collection to bla)		~	~1		4	
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	0	4	4		<b>E</b>	
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	q		•		$\rightarrow$
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	•	q		•		$\rightarrow$
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
		q	9		Œ	<b>→</b>
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	•	9	q	•		<b>→</b>
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.2 Flooding	<b>O</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>a</b>		<i>(</i> ₩	<b>→</b>
					3	
AAO C Townsonium aboum						
4.10.6 Temperature change						
		9	q		F	
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
		9		•		1
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	0	9		•		$\rightarrow$
4.13.2 Legal framework	<b>O</b>	q		•		<b>→</b>
4.13.3 Governance	•	q		•		<b>→</b>
4.13.4 Management activities	<b>O</b>	q		•		-
THE THE INCIDENTIAL AND THE PARTY OF THE PAR		-1		G		7

Wood Buffalo National Park 15 of 46



4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

# 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

# 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities	0	9	•	$\rightarrow$
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×					
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

# 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Wood Buffalo National Park 16 of 46

Name	lame		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Groun	d transport infrastructure	<b>O</b>	q			Œ	<b>→</b>
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

# 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
		9	9		Œ	<b>→</b>

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

Wood Buffalo National Park 17 of 46

	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.5.1 Fishi	ng/collecting aquatic resources	•	9	•	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				

Wood Buffalo National Park 18 of 46

×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name			<b>:</b>	Origin	Trend
4.5.7 Subsi	stence wild plant collection	<b>O</b>	9	•	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				

	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name Impact				Origin	Trend
4.5.9 Subsistence hunting	•	<b>A</b>		•	$\rightarrow$

Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

Wood Buffalo National Park 19 of 46

×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.6.2 Qua	rying				
			9	•	$\rightarrow$
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - I	npact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Do	evelopement over the last 6 years				

Wood Buffalo National Park 20 of 46

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.6.3 Oil and gas					
		9		Œ	$\rightarrow$

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
×	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.6.4 Water (extraction)						
			9		<b>(</b>	$\Rightarrow$

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare

Wood Buffalo National Park 21 of 46

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.7.3 Temp	4.7.3 Temperature							
			9	9		<b>G</b>	1	
Snatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor							
Opatiai 50								
	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
×	Widespread							
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
×	On-going							
Impact - In	npact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
×	Significant							
	Major							
Managemo	ent response - Capacity of management to respond							
×	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							

Wood Buffalo National Park 22 of 46

Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water	(rain/water table)	<b>O</b>	9	9		<b>F</b>	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

# 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	<b>P</b>	•	<b>→</b>
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor				
Restricted				

Wood Buffalo National Park 23 of 46

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name	enous hunting, gathering and collecting	Impact	q	Origin	Trend
4.0.0 maig	note italiang, garacting and concouning		- 0	G	·
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor  Restricted				
Spatial sca					
Spatial sca	Restricted				
Spatial sca	Restricted  Localised				
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive				
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread				
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  ccale - Occurrence of the impact				
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare				
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  icale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic				
× Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent				
× Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  coale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going				
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes				
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant				
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor				
X Temporal s  X Impact - Im	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant				
X Temporal s  X Impact - Im	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major				
X Impact - Im X	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  nt response - Capacity of management to respond				

Wood Buffalo National Park 24 of 46

	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Name		i		Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Chan	ges in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
			9	9		<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
^	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		9	9	•	<b>→</b>	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					

Wood Buffalo National Park 25 of 46

Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

A.10.2 Flooding  Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  X Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  X On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  X Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  X High capacity	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
Restricted Localised  X Extensive Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the Impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent  X On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor  X Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  X High capacity	4.10.2 Floo	ding	•	<b>F</b>	9		Œ	$\rightarrow$
Restricted Localised  X Extensive Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the Impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent  X On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor  X Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  X High capacity								
Restricted Localised  X Extensive Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the Impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent  X On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor  X Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  X High capacity								
Localised  X Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  X Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  X High capacity	Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  Migh capacity		Restricted						
Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  X On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  X Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  X High capacity		Localised						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity	×	Extensive						
One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  X On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  X Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  X High capacity		Widespread						
Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  X Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  X High capacity	Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
Frequent  Con-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity		One off or rare						
Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity		Intermittent or sporadic						
Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity		Frequent						
Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity	×	On-going On-going						
Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
<ul> <li>Significant</li> <li>Major</li> <li>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</li> <li>High capacity</li> </ul>		Insignificant						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity		Minor						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity	×	Significant						
★ High capacity		Major						
	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	×	High capacity						
Medium capacity		Medium capacity						

Wood Buffalo National Park 26 of 46

	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	Name				Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Tem	perature change						
			9	9		F	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						

# 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Increasing

Localised

Name	Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		oact Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)											
		q		•	1						
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor											
Restricted											

Wood Buffalo National Park 27 of 46

×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		<b>O</b>	<b>F</b>		•	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					

Wood Buffalo National Park 28 of 46

×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Lega	l framework	<b>©</b>	9	•	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Impact			Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		ipact Oi			Impact Orig		Impact		Impact		Impact			Trend
4.13.3 Governance		q		•		<b>→</b>																		

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted	

Wood Buffalo National Park 29 of 46

	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name	agement activities	Impact	q	Origin	Trend
4. 13.4 Maii	gement activities		7	•	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - In	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	O.S. M. Carlo				
	Major				

Wood Buffalo National Park 30 of 46

×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	<b>O</b>	4	•	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Hum	an resources	•	q	•	<i>P</i>
Snotial -	lo. Asso effected by the feeter				
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				

Wood Buffalo National Park 31 of 46

	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name	impact research/monitoring activities	Impact	9	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 LOW	impact researchimomornig activities	<b>③</b>	-1	•	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - In	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
×	Insignificant Minor				
×					
×	Minor				

Wood Buffalo National Park 32 of 46

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
Trend - De	Decreasing
Trend - De	

# 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

# 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

n/a

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

# 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Karst Topography	×			
4.18.1.2	Salt Plains	×			
4.18.1.3	Migratory Wildlife	×			
4.18.1.4	Whooping Crane	×			
4.18.1.5	Peace Athabasca Delta	×			

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

## 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

# 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

# 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

# 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property has no buffer zone and does not need one

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has  $\boldsymbol{no}$  known and recognised buffer zone

# 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

There are existing and expanding protected areas adjacent to Wood Buffalo National Park which are administered by sub-national governments. These have not been designated as a buffer zone through World Heritage processes.

# 5.2. Protective Measures

## 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Wood Buffalo National Park is protected and managed under the authority of the Canada National Parks Act, the Parks Canada Agency Act, the Wood Buffalo National Park Game Regulations and the Parks Canada Guiding Principles and Operational Policies. These acts and policies are the ongoing tools for conservation management in the park.

Wood Buffalo National Park was created in 1922. The park was expanded south of the Peace River in 1926 to accommodate the migration of the bison south of the Peace River into the delta which is a savanna like area.

The Canada National Parks Act and Regulations (2000) requires that "maintenance or restoration of ecological integrity, through the protection of natural resources and natural processes, shall be the first priority of the Minister when considering all aspects of the management of parks." The Parks Canada Agency Act (1998), established an Agency "for the purpose of ensuring that Canada's national parks, national historic sites and related heritage areas are protected and represented for this and future generations and in order to further achievement of the national interest as it related to those parks, sites and heritage areas and related programs."

Park's Canada Guiding Principles and Operational Policies

Wood Buffalo National Park Game Regulations (1978)

Canada Fisheries Act (1985)

Migratory Birds and Conservation Act (1994)

Wood Buffalo National Park 33 of 46

Species At Risk Act (2002).

Source: Periodic Reporting, Cycle 1, 2004

#### Comment

Wood Buffalo National Park is protected and managed under the authority of the Canada National Parks Act (2000) and the Parks Canada Agency Act (1998). Additional acts which support the protection of the park include the Species-at-Risk Act (2002), the Fisheries Act (1985), the Impact Assessment Act (2019), and the Migratory Birds Convention Act (1984).

# 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

see above /

# 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

# 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has no buffer zone

# 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

# 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

# 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice See Wood Buffalo National Park Management Plan 2010.

# 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

Wood Buffalo National Park has an Action Plan which was developed in 2019 arising from a reactive monitoring mission. Current efforts are focused on its implementation.

#### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

# 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

# If 'Other', please specify

## 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An environmental management framework

## 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The Wood Buffalo Management Plan (2010) is the guiding document for park management. It is available here: https://parks.canada.ca/pn-np/nt/woodbuffalo/info/plan/plan1

# 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Wood Buffalo National Park Management Plan	N/A	Available	1985	

Wood Buffalo National Park 34 of 46

#### Comment

Wood Buffalo National Park Management Plan 2010

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

n/a

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

n/a

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

# 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

#### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

# 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples					×
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups					×
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

# 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples					×
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women					×

5.3.16.6	Youth/Children		×
5.3.16.7	Researchers		×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists		×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists		×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry		×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries		×
5.3.16.12	NGOs		×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups		×
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify		

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					×
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

# 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above n/a

# 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan $\mathbf{p}/\mathbf{p}$

# 6. Financial and Human Resources

# 6.1. Funding

# 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	100 %	100 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

Wood Buffalo National Park 36 of 46

# 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

# 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is adequate for effective management of the World Heritage property

# 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

# 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

# 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

# 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

# 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

# 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

Wood Buffalo National Park 37 of 46

#### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

# 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal

## 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

# 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

# 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Indigenous peoples	
Women	
Youth/children	
Researchers	

Wood Buffalo National Park 38 of 46

Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

# 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Good
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

# 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

- 9. Visitor Management
- 9.1 Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

5,000 / 5,000 / 5,000 / 5,000 / 5,000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

More than four overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

From Parks Canada visitor surveys.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

n/a / n/a / n/a / n/a / n/a / n/a /

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

This information is not available.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

- 9.8 Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7
- ${\bf 9.9 ls\ visitor\ use\ effectively\ managed\ to\ maintain\ the\ Outstanding\ Universal\ Value\ of\ the\ property?}$

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

 ${\bf 9.10 - ls\ the\ effectiveness\ of\ tourism\ management\ regularly\ monitored?}$ 

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Parks Canada system

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

Wood Buffalo National Park 39 of 46

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

# 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

# 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

## 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

# If 'Yes', please specify

# 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

# If 'Yes', please specify

# 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property Visitation to Wood Buffalo National Park is consistently low and stable.

## 10. Monitoring

# 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

# 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

#### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			×	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance	×			
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×			
10.3.6	Capacity development	×			

# 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

## 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

Wood Buffalo National Park 40 of 46

# 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

# 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

See recent State of Conservation reporting.

# 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

# 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

# 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.3	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.4	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
10	Monitoring	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	×
Pleas	e select 1 more issues.	
□ Ple	ase save this question to reflect changes	

# 12. Summary and Conclusions

# 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

# 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.3	Services Infras	Services Infrastructures										
4.3.5	Major linear utilities	None of the criteria or attributes of OUV are affected by linear utilities.	There are two communities adjacent to the park and there is service infrastructure to support them, i.e. roads.	A monitoring program is in place, and is adapted as needed.	on-going	Parks Canada	n/a					
4.6 Physical resource extraction												
4.6.2	Quarrying	There are gravel pits withithe park for maintaining roads.	n Gravel pits in the park are us for road maintenance.	eed on-going	on-going	Parks Canada	n/a					
4.6.3	Oil and gas	Peace Athabasca Delta	Oil and gas activity occurs in the mineable oil sands region which is located approximately 30 kilometers to the south of the park.	A variety of monitoring programs are in place to detect any impacts of oil and gas activity south of the park. This includes the Oil Sands Monitoring program.	on-going	Parks Canada, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Alberta Environment and Parks	n/a					
4.6.4	Water (extraction)	Peace Athabasca Delta	Peace River (a regulated river) and the Athabasca River intersect at the Peace Athabasca Delta in the park.	Monitoring is in place for the Peace Athabasca Delta, and is being adapted to include both scientific and Indigenous Knowledge indicators	on-going	Parks Canada Agency	n/a					
4.7	Local condition	ns affecting physical fabric	c									

Wood Buffalo National Park 41 of 46

4.7.3	Temperature	All	Climate change is impacting the park, and will continue to. Monitoring programs and restoration projects are informed by climate change knowledge. An adaptive management approach is in place.		on-goir	ng	on-going		Parks	s Canada	n/a
4.8	Social/Cultural	uses of heritag	ge								
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	unknown		Traditional ways of life are practiced by Indigenous peoples in the park.	dev	nitoring programs ar reloped using scienc I Indigenous knowled	е	on-going	F	°arks Canada	n/a
4.10	Climate change	and severe w	eather even	ts							
4.10.6	Temperature change	All	park, and Monitoring restoration by climate	change is impacting the d will continue to.  In g programs and on projects are informed te change knowledge. An management approach i.e.	includir	ring programs ng monitoring of s of a changing	on-	going	Park Age	ks Canada ncy	n/a
4.11	Sudden ecolog	ical or geologi	cal events								
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	unknown		Fire management is a key focus of park management		Monitoring program place.	m is in	on-going		Parks Canada Agency	n/a
Summary -	Factors affecting t	he Property <b>c</b>	completed								

# 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

# 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

		_									
5.1	Bounda	ries and E	Buffer Zo	nes							
		Actions		Timeframe			Lead agency (and others involved)			More info / comment	
5.1.4	The prophas no k and recognis buffer ze	o known identified when the site was inscribed.  Today there is a network of existing unised and expanding protected areas		n/a		n/a	n/a		n/a		
5.2		Protect	ive Meas	ures							
5.2.4	has <b>no buffer</b> was inscribed. Today to expanding protected a governments along the			There was no buffer zone forr was inscribed. Today there is expanding protected areas m governments along the bound supports enhanced connectiv	a network of existi anaged by sub-nat daries of the park.	ing and ional	n/a		n/a		n/a
5.3		Managem	nent Syst	em/Management Plan							
5.3.7		No use had been made the Policy Document the Impact Climate Con World Heritage Properties the proper	t on ts of thange	No use has been made of this of Canada has its own climate cha and adaption guidance for natic including Wood Buffalo National	ange monitoring anal parks,	n/a		Parks Canada	à		n/a
5.3.9		No use had been made the Strate Reducing from Disar at World Heritage Properties the proper	le of gy for Risks sters	No use has been made of this of	document.	n/a		n/a			n/a

Wood Buffalo National Park 42 of 46

6.1		Funding							
been r the Wo Herita Strate Capac Develo the Wo Herita		No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	No use has been made of this do	cument.	n/a	n/a	n/a		
10	Monitoring								
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	continues to l being revised appropriately includes both Knowledge.	being revised currently so that it is more appropriately tailored to OUV attributes, and includes both science and Indigenous		will be fully	Parks Canada Agency	n/a		

#### Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

  The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

  The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions.

# 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property. n/a
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Negative
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal/Policy framework	No impact
Advocacy	No impact

Wood Buffalo National Park 43 of 46

Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

# 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

n/a

- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level
- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting
- 15.1.1 Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Monitoring and reporting

# 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

# 15.2. Use of Data

# 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

# 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

No comments on the use of the data.

# 15.3. Timing and resources

# 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

# 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has not been explicitly considered or implemented in the process.

# 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

# 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

50 / 0 / 15 /

# ${\bf 15.3.5 \cdot Did\ you\ mobilise\ any\ additional\ resources\ to\ fill\ out\ this\ question naire?}$

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	

#### ×

## 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

# 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				×
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions				×

# 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

n/a

#### 15.5. Training and Guidance

# 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

#### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

# 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

# 15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
  - \* Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

    Reason for update: Terminology change needed: Park managers work with 11 Indigenous groups for whom Wood Buffalo National Park...(We do not use the term Aboriginal) Last sentence of Protection and management requirement first paragraph: remove the word "also"

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

# 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

# 15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise No recommendations for periodic assessment.

Wood Buffalo National Park 45 of 46

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.		

Wood Buffalo National Park 46 of 46