Agra Fort

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property
Agra Fort

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Agra Fort	27.18 / 78.022	0	0	0	1983
Total (ha)			0		

1.4 - Map(s)

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Moghal Gardens (World Heritage Review)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) **is** designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) **is not** designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	**International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection** (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.2	**List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection** (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.3	**The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)** (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✕
2.1.4	**World Network of Biosphere Reserves** Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✕
2.1.5	**Global Geoparks Network** UNESCO Global Geoparks		✕

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
No comments.

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?
No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	**Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	**Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	**Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	**UNESCO Global Geoparks**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
No comments.

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
No elements of the site are associated with the World Heritage property under the convention.

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
None of the documentary heritage is associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost

3.2.1	Outstanding example of Mughal Fort Architecture	✖			
3.2.2	Massive fortification wall	✖			
3.2.3	Exquisite Decorative artwork	✖			
3.2.4	marble inlay, stucco work, decorative marble	✖			
3.2.5	Outstanding example of riparian fort	✖			
3.2.6	Multiple building typologies and formal gardens	✖			
3.2.7	Reminent of Mughal court and lifestyle	✖			
3.2.8	Historical multiple layers	✖			
3.2.9	Prolific structures in Red sandstone	✖			
3.2.10	Scale and grandeur of the property	✖			
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Agra Fort is one of the most important and well-known forts in India is situated on the west bank of Yamuna. Its imposing red sandstone ramparts form a crescent along the riverfront which encompasses an enormous complex of royal buildings, ranging in style from the early eclecticism of Akbar to the sublime elegance of Shah Jahan. The opulent Agra Fort is made using red sandstone and marble and features the Mughal style of architecture.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔺 **Current**	🔺 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⟲ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✏ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖			✖		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🖱 Current	🖱 Potential	⊙ Inside	⬗ Outside	↘ Decreasing	➡ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			➡	
⊖ Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The development around Agra Fort is in a planned manner and regulated. As the number of tourists is constantly increasing, activities relating to tourism are also increasing accordingly, thus providing livelihood opportunity to many locals. Tourists accommodation facilities are adequate. Proper interpretation facilities are provided at the site.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🖱 Current	🖱 Potential	⊙ Inside	⬗ Outside	↘ Decreasing	➡ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖	✖			✖			↗
⊖ Negative ✖	✖	✖		✖			↗

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🖱 Current	🖱 Potential	⊙ Inside	⬗ Outside	↘ Decreasing	➡ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖		✖		✖		➡	
⊖ Negative							

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There is a Railway Station and a Bus Station near the property. The area around Agra Fort is a hub for many commercial activities too. The increase in road transport may affect the property in terms of vibration and emission of hazardous pollutants. However, upcoming Metro rail will help to reduce road transport.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comments.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

✖ Relevant							
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⟳ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖		→	

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Air pollution and dust particles in the air affecting the outer surface of the white marble buildings of Agra Fort causing it to appear pale or yellowish. Mud pack treatment to reduce the effect is done at regular intervals.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comments.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comments.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comments.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant							
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🖼 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant							
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🖼 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖		✖		✖			↗
⊖ Negative							

Not relevant

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The number of visitors is increasing day by day for which basic amenities have been provided and is developed with better tourists facilities. The site manager and his team working hard to maintain the property as per the established norms also comprehensive conservation is being taken up to make the site more impressive.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.4 - War
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comments.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comments.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant							Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⮑ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖	✖		→	

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The site is located in the Seismic Zone - III, therefore it falls in area of moderate risk. However, no major earthquake have been reported in the recent past.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comments.

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⟲ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
🟢 Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			↗
⊖ Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⟲ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
🟢 Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖			↗
⊖ Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

	Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**⚑ Current**	**⚑ Potential**	**◉ Inside**	**⌖ Outside**	**↘ Decreasing**	**→ Stable**	**↗ Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

	Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**⚑ Current**	**⚑ Potential**	**◉ Inside**	**⌖ Outside**	**↘ Decreasing**	**→ Stable**	**↗ Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

	Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**⚑ Current**	**⚑ Potential**	**◉ Inside**	**⌖ Outside**	**↘ Decreasing**	**→ Stable**	**↗ Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

	Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**⚑ Current**	**⚑ Potential**	**◉ Inside**	**⌖ Outside**	**↘ Decreasing**	**→ Stable**	**↗ Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

	Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	**⚑ Current**	**⚑ Potential**	**◉ Inside**	**⌖ Outside**	**↘ Decreasing**	**→ Stable**	**↗ Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
A holistic development plan is adopted for the site, under the existing legal framework, governance, management activities are managed by ASI with available financial and human resources aimed at the regular monitoring and preservation of the site.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)
None.

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	Positive	Current			Outside	→
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	Positive	Current		Inside		→
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	Positive	Current			Outside	↗
	Negative	Current	Potential		Outside	↗
4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure	Positive		Potential		Outside	→
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.4 Air pollution	Negative		Potential		Outside	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	Positive	Current		Inside		→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	Positive		Potential		Outside	↗
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake	Negative		Potential	Inside	Outside	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	Positive	Current		Inside	Outside	↗
4.13.2 Legal framework	Positive	Current		Inside	Outside	↗
4.13.3 Governance	Positive	Current		Inside	Outside	→
4.13.4 Management activities	Positive	Current	Potential	Inside		→
4.13.5 Financial resources	Positive	Current		Inside		→
4.13.6 Human resources	Positive	Current	Potential	Inside		→
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	Positive	Current	Potential	Inside		→

Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	⊕	🚩			☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	🚩		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	🟢	🚩		↻	↗
	🔴	🚩	🚩	↻	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure	●		⚑		☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution						
	●		⚑		☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive

	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	⊕	⚑		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity

✖	Medium capacity	
	Low capacity	
	No capacity and / or resources	

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	●		⚑		☞	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	⊖		⚑	◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✖	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	⊕	🚩		◉	☝	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant

	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	🚩		◉	☞	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance	⊕	🚩		◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	🟢	🚩	🚩	◉		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant

	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕	⚑		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✖	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	⊕	⚑	⚑	◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	🟢	🚩	🚩	◉		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant

	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

Not applicable.

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Outstanding example of Mughal Fort Architecture	✖			
4.18.1.2	Exquisite Decorative artwork, marble inlay, stucco work, decorative marble	✖			
4.18.1.3	Multiple building typologies and formal gardens	✖			
4.18.1.4	Historical multiple layers, Prolific structures in Red sandstone	✖			
4.18.1.5	Outstanding example of riparian fort, Scale and grandeur of the property	✖			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The boundaries of protected WH property and the buffer zones, at present, are known by the managing authorities and necessary protection notice boards are put in place. The boundaries are regularly monitored by the field staff and adherence is ascertained by taking legal action against the violators, wherever necessary.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The Agra Fort has been notified as a Centrally Protected Monument of national importance under the "Ancient Monuments, Sites and Remains Act", 1958. Rules to the Act were formulated in 1959 provide for its implementation. A significant amendment to the provisions of the Act was made in 1992. Further, the Government of India enacted the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation), Act, 2010 (10 of 2010) with more stringent provisions.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1878 / The Indian Treasure - Trove Act / 1972 /

The Antiquities and Art Treasures Act / 1973 / Uttar Pradesh Nagar Planning & Development Act /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Prohibited and Regulated areas are maintained in all directions from the boundaries of Protected Sites. Regular notices are issued and FIR filed against the violators and they are asked to stop the work and obtain the necessary permission from the concerned authorities. If the work is continued further without acquiring the necessary permissions, a request for issuing a demolition order is sent to the DG, ASI who, in turn, orders the District Collector to effect the demolition.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

The measures taken to protect the World Heritage property are acceptable and further cooperation from the State Government and District Administration in terms of the enforcement of the AMASR Act, 1958.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

An annual work plan or business plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The management system is in place, the site is managed by the ASI through the O/o Superintending Archaeologist, Agra Circle. Conservation, preservation, regular upkeep of the monuments and the premises and visitor amenities therein are promptly maintained along with round-the-clock watch and ward duties. This is achieved with the help of necessary finance from the Government of India.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

The buffer area around the property is designated as a special area "Dharohar Kshetra" in the Vision Plan and Master Plan 2021. This area has legal protection.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

No comments.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

The Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been adopted. The Site Management Plan of the property is being prepared in coordination with National Disaster Management Authority. A monitoring station has been established under the Agra Division of National Center for Seismology, Ministry of Earth Sciences, Government of India for regular monitoring of seismic activities.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state;

local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists and many of its activities are being implemented**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			✖		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			✖		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			✖		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✖				
5.3.15.5	Women			✖		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups					
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				✖	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				✖	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✖				
5.3.16.4	Landowners	✖				
5.3.16.5	Women				✖	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				✖	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				✖	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				✖	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				✖	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				✖	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				✖	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				✖	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					✖
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					✖

5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					✕
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					✕
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					✕
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					✕

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The current management system provides employment dependent on tourism-related industries. Many household's livelihoods are dependent on activities related to this segment.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

The primary role of the management system at the site is the regular conservation, preservation, and maintenance of the World Heritage Property. The same is carried out with the help of budgetary allocation by the Government of India.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	100 %	100 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

Utilize budgetary allocation allocated by the Archaeological Survey of India, Government of India.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure over both the medium- and long-term**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium as well as long term.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	60 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	40 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?
Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
Workshops are conducted from time to time to train professionals in the field of conservation, management and monitoring.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?
A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
The quantum of human resources made available at the site may further be augmented and the availability of technically sound human resources may be ascertained. Further, training programs that are site-specific in terms of conservation and preservation will prove to be beneficial.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?
Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?
There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?
Research results **are shared** widely **with active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Research work/projects are undertaken by various agencies.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is **a planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good

Other	Good
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	Amenities like Baby Feeding Rooms, Driniking Water booths, Toilets, CCTVs all around he monuments.

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Museum Day, World Heritage Day, and World Heritage Week, and many other events are observed every year. Such programs are used for conducting lecture series, various competitions like essay writing, painting, quizzes, etc. Students from various schools and colleges, their teachers, parents, guardians visit the site and the museum, and such study tours prove to be helpful in the education of the public and awareness building.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

2059690 / 2560163 / 2509566 / 2341261 / 2413697 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Visitor surveys.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

35 / 20 / 20 / 7 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Visitor and local market survey.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

The visitor management and its impact within the World Heritage property are in the domain of ASI, Agra Circle. However, the tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural, and environmental impacts are subjects to the jurisdiction of other departments.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

By Uttar Pradesh State Tourism Department

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is adequately presented and interpreted**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, but make **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

State authorities helps in crowd management outside the World Heritage Property

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

State Department of Tourism.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property
Locals depend on tourism for earning their livelihood. An increase in tourism has provided them with sustainable employment and income source.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?
There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?
Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				✖
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				✖
10.3.3	Character of governance				✖
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				✖
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				✖
10.3.6	Capacity development				✖

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property
Real-time monitoring through visitor survey, state of conservation is undertaken and required action taken time to time.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?
Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
As per the recommendation of World Heritage Committee, 2004 Site Management Plan is being prepared. All the conservation works has been planned and monitored. Visitor management plan has been prepared and implemented at the property.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
No comments.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan

5.3.5	**Some use** has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	**No use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✕
5.3.9	**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	✕
6.1	**Funding**	
6.1.10	**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	✕

> Please select 1 more issues.

> ◩ Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.2	**Transportation Infrastructure**					
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	No adverse effect on criteria and attributes of the property.	Monitoring of emission of pollutants and construction activities for providing infrastructure specially Metro Rail project being done effectively.	Regularly.	None.	Archaeological Survey of India, Uttar Pradesh Metro Rail Corporation, National Monuments Authority, Central Pollution Control Board and Traffic and Transport Authority of Uttar Pradesh.	The buffer area of Agra Fort is a hub for commercial activities. The increase in road transport may affect the property in terms of vibration and emission of pollutant. However, upcoming Metro rail will help to reduce the road transport.
4.11	**Sudden ecological or geological events**					
4.11.2	Earthquake	No such incident happened till now but since the property is located in Seismic Zone - III therefore, the threat cannot be denied completely.	A chapter on disaster management is included in the Site Management Plan of the property and is being implemented.	The seismic activities in the region are monitored by the Agra Division of National Center for Seismology, Ministry of Earth Sciences, Government of India.	None.	National Center for Seismology, Ministry of Earth Sciences, Government of India, Archaeological Survey of India and National Disaster Management Authority.	No such incident happened till now but since the property is located in Seismic Zone - III therefore, the threat cannot be denied completely. A contingency plan is prepared and implemented in coordination with DDMA/NDMA.

> Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	**Management System/Management Plan**				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.7	**No use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	No impact expected , however awareness programs are being conducted.	On going.	Archaeological Survey of India.	No comments.

5.3.9	**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Site Management Plan of the property is being prepared in coordination with National Disaster Management Authority. A seismic monitoring station has been established.	None.	National Center for Seismology, Ministry of Earth Sciences, Government of India, Archaeological Survey of India and National Disaster Management Authority.	The Site Management Plan of the property is being prepared in coordination with National Disaster Management Authority.
6.1	**Funding**				
6.1.10	**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Some portions of World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building are adopted at the property. The state party has its own policy and strategy for the Capacity Building.	None	Archaeological Survey of India, Ministry of Culture, Government of India.	The state party has sustainable strategy for the Capacity Development which includes all the stakeholders and related tangible and intangible heritage.

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The property is properly maintained, managed, and monitored under the Archaeological Survey of India. However, more frequent monitoring can further improve the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Very positive

Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The overall impact is positive and has enhanced the conservation and preservation approach at the site. Conservation of monuments, research, management, and quality of life for locals have improved. Funding, advocacy, and public support have also been positively affected.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

The data will be used for the better preservation of the property and to provide amenities to the visitors. The data will also be helpful to raise awareness for its protection.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?
Gender balance **is explicitly considered and effectively implemented** in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?
Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

32 / 5 / 7 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		✕
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	✕	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?
All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			✕	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			✕	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire
Before the exercise at least one day work shop would be beneficial to the professionals who joined the field recently.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?
Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
No comments.

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
Some questions/sections may be more applicable to certain sites than others. In such cases, the questionnaire could be made more site-specific.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.