# Ajanta Caves

- 1. World Heritage Property Data
- 1.1 Name of World Heritage property

Ajanta Caves

- 1.2 World Heritage property details
- 1.3 Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Ajanta Caves	20.553 / 75.7	8242	78676	86918	1983
Total (ha)		8242	78676	86918	

#### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Ajanta Caves - Map of the inscribed property	2012	

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
  - 1. Ajanta and Ellora Caves
- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

#### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

Not applicable

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

  No
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

  No
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Ajanta Caves 1 of 33

#### 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

# 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

Not applicable

# 2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

# 2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Not applicable

# 2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

# 2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

Not applicable

- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee
- 3.2 Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

Aianta Caves 2 of 33

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Zenith of Rock cut cave architecture	×			
3.2.2	Ajanta school of Art indicating outstanding development of wall paintings	×			
3.2.3	Spectacular natural setting represents a unique artistic creation	×			
3.2.4	Remarkable Example of Buddhist sanctuary	×			
3.2.5	Evolution of Stupa architecture	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

#### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The WH Site is maintained through regular scientific conservation, preservation works and this ascertains the integrity of the structures.

# 4. Factors Affecting the Property

# 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
	- Not followark

### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			1
Negative							

Ajanta Caves 3 of 33

# 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Due to forest area, there is no other development work done in recent past. However public amenities such as, signages, Braille, Drinking water, Toilet, Ramp, Protection Notice Board (PNB), Cultural Notice Board (CNB), Barricades, Baggage scanner, Clock room, Publishing counter, Security cells etc. are provided at the

#### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×			×		$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not re	evant
-------------------	-------

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The good ground transportation facility has helped to tourist to reach at the World heritage property easily which has positively impacted the increased footfall for the site. At the same time, the increased number of tourist and vehicles

## 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

Polovont	
Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relev	vant
----------------------	------

Aianta Caves 4 of 33

#### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None of the above factors affect the site.

#### 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None of the above factors affect the site.

#### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

# 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Aianta Caves 5 of 33

#### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None of the above factors are affecting the site.

# 4.6. Physical resource extraction

#### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Aianta Caves 6 of 33

#### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Not relevant

### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

#### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

# 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							

Aianta Caves 7 of 33

© Negative X X X →	
--------------------	--

# 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Moderate to heavy rainfall, and other factors encourage the growth of microorganisms like algae and fungi of various kinds on the surface of the monument.

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

#### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Not relevant	Not relevant
** Not relevant	** Not relevant

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive	×			×		$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

# 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or nositively

Traditions and customs are preserved at the site which help to maintain the integrity of the site and generate awareness among people. Tourism provides livelihood opportunity for the locals

# 4.9. Other human activities

#### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

Aianta Caves 8 of 33

#### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

#### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

#### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant × Not relevant

#### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

# 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None of the above factors are affecting the site.

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

#### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant × Not relevant

### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

#### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

# 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

#### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Not relevant

# 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant × Not relevant

Ajanta Caves 9 of 33

# 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None of the above factors affect the site.

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

#### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None of the above factors affect the site.

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

## 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Aianta Caves 10 of 33

#### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None of the above factors affect the site.

# 4.13. Management and institutional factors

### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$		
Negative								

# 4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

#### 4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			7	
Negative								

# 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		$\rightarrow$		
Negative								

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1

Ajanta Caves 11 of 33



#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			1
Negative							

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact Origin					Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		$\Rightarrow$	
Negative							

### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The Management system is appropriate at the site with appropriate monitoring mechanism.

4.14. Other factor(s)

#### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

No additional factors.

# 4.15. Factors Summary Table

### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	•	4	9	•	<b>G</b>	<b>/</b>
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•	q			Œ	$\rightarrow$
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
		9	9	•	<b>G</b>	<i>P</i>
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
			9	•	<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	•	<b>F</b>			Œ	$\rightarrow$
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	•	q		•	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>

Ajanta Caves 12 of 33

4.13.2 Legal framework	0		9	•	F	$\rightarrow$
4.13.3 Governance	0		9	•	Œ	<b>/</b>
4.13.4 Management activities	•		9	•	F	$\rightarrow$
4.13.5 Financial resources	•		9	•	C	<b>/</b>
4.13.6 Human resources	•		9	•	Œ	<b>P</b>
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	•	9		•	Œ	$\rightarrow$
Legend	sitive	Inside		<b>Outs</b>	ide	

- 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors
- 4.16.1 Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors
- 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	retative and visitation facilities	<b>O</b>	q	q	<b>(</b> )	<b>G</b>	,
			•	•	5	3	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						

Ajanta Caves 13 of 33

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

# 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact	i	Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Groun	d transport infrastructure	•	9		<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

# 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.6 Water	(rain/water table)						
			9	9	•	C	<b>/</b>
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Opatiai sca							
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						

Ajanta Caves 14 of 33

	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro	organisms	_	_			
			9	•	<b>(</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial sca	Restricted					
Spatial sca						
	Restricted					
	Restricted Localised					
×	Restricted Localised Extensive					
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread					
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact					
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare					
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic					
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent					
X Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going					
X Temporal s X	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes					
X Temporal s X	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant					
X Temporal s X	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor					
X  Temporal s  X	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant					
X  Temporal s  X	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major					

Ajanta Caves 15 of 33

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impa	8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		9		Œ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

# 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	<b>O</b>	9	•	<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$

Ajanta Caves 16 of 33

	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	l framework	©	9	q	@	Œ	→
Spatial sea	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						

Ajanta Caves 17 of 33

	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Gove	rnance	•	9	9	<b>(</b> )	<b>F</b>	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	agement activities	<b>O</b>	q	q	<b>(</b> )	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
, , , , , ,	Restricted						

Ajanta Caves 18 of 33

	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
	ppact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	Increasing uncial resources	Impact  ©	q	q	Origin	Ğ	Trend
				9			
4.13.5 Fina				q			
4.13.5 Fina	incial resources			q			
4.13.5 Fina	ale - Area affected by the factor			q			
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted			q			
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised			व			
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive			q			
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	Incial resources  ale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread			q			
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact			q			
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare			व			
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	Incial resources  Ale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic			व			
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	Incial resources  Intermittent or sporadic  Intermittent or sporadic  Intermittent or sporadic			q			
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	Incial resources  Ide - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going			q			
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	Incial resources  Incial resou			व			
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	Incial resources  Inle - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Inpact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant			व			
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	Incial resources  Ide - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Inpact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major			q			
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	Incial resources  Inle - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Inpact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant			व			

Ajanta Caves 19 of 33

	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact		~	Origin	~	Trend
4.13.6 Hum	an resources	•	9	9	•	<b>E</b>	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	impact research/monitoring activities	<b>O</b>	q		<b>(</b> )	F	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						

Ajanta Caves 20 of 33

×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

# 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

No comments

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

# 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Zenith of Rock cut cave architecture	×			
4.18.1.2	Ajanta school of Art indicating outstanding development of wall paintings	×			
4.18.1.3	Spectacular natural setting represents a unique artistic creation	×			
4.18.1.4	Remarkable Example of Buddhist sanctuary	×			
4.18.1.5	Evolution of Stupa architecture	×			

# 5. Protection and Management of the Property

# 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

# 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

# ${\bf 5.1.4}$ - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

Aianta Caves 21 of 33

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The boundaries of protected World Heritage Property and the buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property. At present, the boundaries are known by the managing authorities and necessary protection notice boards are put in place. The boundaries are regularly monitored by the field staff and adherence is ascertained by taking legal action against the violators, wherever necessary.

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The monuments are governed under various Acts and Rules passed by the Parliament of India. These legislations were passed during different periods upon the requirements and it encompasses all requirements for the proper safeguard of the monuments. These Acts and Rules include Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites & Remains Act 1958; Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites & Remains Rules 1959; Antiquities and Art Treasures Act 1972; Antiquities and Art Treasures Rules 1973 and the subsequent amendments. The other laws and regulations of the State Government of Maharashtra and Forest Department like The Indian Forest Act, 1927, Bombay Forest Rules 1947, Maharashtra Tree Felling Act 1967, Wildlife Protection Act 1972, Forest Conservation Act 1980, The Maharashtra Regional and Town Planning Act, 1966, etc also have certain provisions for control of illegal activities in the area in which the monument is located. The local governments are entrusted with the law and order problem and are bound by the legislations passed by the Parliament.

- 5.2.2 Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category
- 5.2.3 Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection** 

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- **5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice** With proper legislative Acts in place, the boundaries are recognized and are adequate in protecting the World Heritage Site in the wider context.
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

The measures taken to protect the World Heritage property are acceptable and further cooperation from the State Government and District Administration in terms of the enforcement of The Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act AMASR Act, 1958 and other associated legislation are adequate in the protection of the site.

- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
A management plan
An annual work plan or business plan
A visitor/visitation management plan

Aianta Caves 22 of 33

#### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

At present, the site is managed by the ASI through the O/o Superintending Archaeologist, Aurangabad, with its subordinate O/o Sr. Conservation Assistant, Ajanta Sub Circle. Conservation, preservation, regular upkeep of the monuments and the premises, and visitor amenities therein are promptly maintained along with round-the-clock This is achieved with the help of necessary finance from the Government of India

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

# 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

Not Applicable.

# 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

# 5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

As per the conclusions iii, iv, and v of the Policy Document on Impact of Climate Change on World Heritage and recommendations for individual WH property managers, any workshops, public outreach programs are used to create awareness regarding the impacts of climate change among school children and youth and common public. They are further encouraged to adopt environment-friendly practices through activities like Swacchata Pakhwada (cleanliness drives).

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

# 5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

The WH property is protected from most of the natural and human-induced hazards. However, the hazards from fire and lightning are taken into consideration and the provisions of lightning conductors and fire extinguishers and safety have been made available at the site.

# 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

# ${\bf 5.3.12 \text{ -} Is \ the \ management \ system/plan \ adequate \ to \ maintain \ the \ property's \ Outstanding \ Universal \ Value?}$

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

#### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

# 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	×				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples			×		
5.3.15.5	Women			×		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

# 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×

5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples			×	
5.3.16.4	Landowners	×			
5.3.16.5	Women			×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists			×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry			×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs			×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×			
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify				

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

#### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The management system at the site has no direct involvement in contributing to fostering inclusive local economic development, but local community is depended on tourism related activities for their livelihood. The management is overall very effective for proper conservation, preservation and management of the site.

### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

The primary role of the management system at the site is the regular conservation, preservation, and maintenance of the World Heritage Property. The same is carried out with the help of budgetary allocation by the Government of India.

# 6. Financial and Human Resources

### 6.1. Funding

# 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	100 %	100 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%

Aianta Caves 24 of 33

6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

Utilize budgetary allocation allocated by the Archaeological Survey of India, Government of India is adequate for proper functioning of the site.

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is adequate for effective management of the World Heritage property

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

#### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium as well as long term.

# 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	70 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	30 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

# 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

# 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

# 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair

Aianta Caves 25 of 33

Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

#### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Training and capacity building at this property is fully based on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

### 6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Training programs are conducted in the field of conservation. Apart from this various, awareness generation programs are conducted from time to time.

# 6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

### 6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The quantum of human resources made available at the site are sufficient for proper management and monitoring of the site. Further, training programs that are site-specific in terms of conservation and preservation are conducted, to keep the professional updated with the present technologies.

# 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

# 7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

# 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

#### 7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Research work/projects are undertaken by ASI. However, the projects are focused on niche/micro topics/themes. there is a big scope for further advanced studies in WH site Ajanta caves.

#### 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

# 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

# 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **limited and ad hoc education** and awareness programme for children and/or youth

#### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Aianta Caves 26 of 33

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

# 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Not needed
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

#### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Museum Day, World Heritage Day, and World Heritage Week, and many other events are observed every year. Such programs are used for conducting lecture series, various competitions like essay writing, painting, quiz, etc. Students from various schools and colleges, their teachers, parents, guardians visit the site and such study tours prove to be helpful in the education of the public and awareness building.

#### 9. Visitor Management

# 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

2,93,401 / 3,85,841 / 4,17,639 / 4,15,047 / 4,18,450 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Transportation services

Tourism industry

Visitor surveys

# 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Visitor survey

### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

50/7/5/4/3/30/

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Visitor survey and local market survey.

# 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

# 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Aianta Caves 27 of 33

The visitor management and its impact within the World Heritage property are in the domain of ASI Aurangabad Circle. However, the tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural, and environmental impacts are subjects to the jurisdiction of other departments.

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

#### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

### If a different system, please specify

By state tourism department

# 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

#### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

# 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, but make no contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

# If 'Yes', please specify

By state tourism department

#### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

## If 'Yes', please specify

By state tourism department

#### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

Locals depend on tourism for earning their livelihood. An increase in tourism has provided them with sustainable employment and income source.

#### 10. Monitoring

# 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

# 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				X
10.3.3	Character of governance				X
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				X
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

#### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

The monument is monitoring by the Site manager regularly.

### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

Aianta Caves 28 of 33

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

#### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

No comment

# 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

The World Heritage Site is regularly monitored.

# 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

#### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×			
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×			
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building				
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×			
Please	e select 0 more issues.				
☑ Please save this question to reflect changes					

# 12. Summary and Conclusions

# 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.7	Local condition	Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Criteria t	Construction of drain over the mountain to divert the rain water and also proper slope is given at site for diverting surface rain water.	Constant monitoring whenever rainfall happens	Regular and ongoing	Archaeological Survey of India, Aurangabad Circle	No comments		
4.7.8	Micro-organisms	No impact expected	Regular monitoring and cleaning undertaken at the site.	On a regular basis	Ongoing	Archaeological Survey of India, Aurangabad Circle	No comments		
Summary - Factors affecting the Property <b>completed</b>									

# 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

Ajanta Caves 29 of 33

5.3	Management System/Management Plan					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Awareness initiative are taken for children and youth	On going	Archaeological Survey of India, Aurangabad Circle	nil	
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Proper mitigation measures are undertaken at the site.	Ongoing	Archaeological Survey of India, Aurangabad Circle	No comments.	
8	Education, Informa	ntion and Awareness Building				
8.2	limited and ad	More programs are being developed specially for children and youth.		rchaeological Survey of India, urangabad Circle	No comments.	

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

  The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

  The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

# 12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

There is no changes in the landscape of the World Heritage Site. The authenticity and integrity of the site is intact with regular conservation and monitoring measures.

# 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

#### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive

Aianta Caves 30 of 33

Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

#### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The overall impact is positive. Conservation of monuments, research, management have improved. Funding, advocacy, and public support have also been positively affected.

# 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

#### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Aurangabad Circle and Ajanta laboratory (science branch) is carrying out conservation and preservation of the World Heritage site as per the conservation manual. Proper tourist infrastructure is provided at the site.

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development	
Synergies	
State of Conservation	
Management	
Governance	
Capacity Building	

# 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

# 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

	•	0.	•	ŭ	ŭ	
The World Heritage Convention						
The concept of Outstanding Universal	al Value					
The property's Outstanding Universal	l Value					
The concept of Integrity and/or Authe	enticity					
The property's Integrity and/or Auther	nticity					
Management effectiveness to mainta	in the O	utstanding Unive	rsal Value			
Monitoring and reporting						

# 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

# 15.2. Use of Data

# 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Awareness raising

Aianta Caves 31 of 33

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

Periodic reporting may be used for understanding management needs of the property .

#### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

#### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has been explicitly considered in the process but there are still deficiencies in the implementation.

# 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

25 / 15 / 10 /

# 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

#### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

# 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The process may be made more site-specific as all parameters may not be applicable to all sites.

## 15.5. Training and Guidance

### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

#### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable

Aianta Caves 32 of 33

IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

# 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented? No comment
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

  Some questions/sections may be more applicable to certain other sites. In such cases, the questionnaire could be made more site-specific, or an option to mark such unrelated questions/sections may be provided with not applicable option.
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Aianta Caves 33 of 33