Qutb Minar and its Monuments, Delhi

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property
Qutb Minar and its Monuments, Delhi

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Qutb Minar and its Monuments, Delhi	28.526 / 77.185	0	0	0	1993
Total (ha)			**0**		

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Archaeological Area Qutb, showing in green the inscribed property and in red the buffer zone	1993	🖼

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Qutab Minar Complex (Delhigate.com)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) **is** designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) **is not** designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	**International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection** (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✖
2.1.2	**List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection** (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✖
2.1.3	**The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)** (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✖
2.1.4	**World Network of Biosphere Reserves** Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✖
2.1.5	**Global Geoparks Network** UNESCO Global Geoparks		✖

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
No comments

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?
No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	**1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.2	**Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.3	**Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.4	**Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.5	**UNESCO Global Geoparks**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
No comments

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
No elements associated with the property are associated with the World Heritage property.

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
Nil

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute		Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Artistic Achievements		✖			
3.2.2	Inlay Work	✖	✖			
3.2.3	Calligraphy		✖			
3.2.4	Earliest reference to Mosque Architecture		✖			
3.2.5	Significant evolution of Domes and Arches		✖			
3.2.6						
3.2.7						
3.2.8						
3.2.9						
3.2.10						
3.2.11						
3.2.12						
3.2.13						
3.2.14						
3.2.15						

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The OUV was finalized at the previous Periodic reporting exercise and remains valid. All of these are in a good state of preservation with conservation works undertaken over the past decade by the Archaeological Survey of India.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant		Not relevant	

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◎ **Inside**	🏴 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✏ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Proper interpretative and visitation facilities are provided at site, which helps in better interpretation of the site by the tourists.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	**Current**	**Potential**	**Inside**	**Outside**	**Decreasing**	**Stable**	**Increasing**
Positive ✖	✖			✖		→	
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
	✖

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
	✖

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
	✖

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
	✖

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Ground surface transportation outside the monument helps in better transportation facility for the visitors.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
	✖

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
	✖

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
	✖

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
Mostly not relevant.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
Not applicable.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
Not applicable.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Not applicable.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Above factors are relevant and hence do no affect the property.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
Not applicable.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.4 - War
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

All the above factors are not relevant.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No impact of Climate change has yet been recorded on any of these buildings.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏴 **Current**	🏴 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⬯ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							

⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖		➡

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
Most factors do not affect the property, however factors like earthquake may be a potential thread, since Delhi lies in seismic zone IV, although there is no history of major earthquake, till date.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Not applicable.

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🔖 **Current**	🔖 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⬈ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🔖 **Current**	🔖 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⬈ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🔖 **Current**	🔖 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⬈ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🔖 **Current**	🔖 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⬈ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🔖 **Current**	🔖 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⬈ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🔖 **Current**	🔖 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⬈ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	◈ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✐ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2011):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

All National monuments in India are adequately supported with a well established legal framework and governance.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

None at present .

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	🚩		◉		→
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	⊕	🚩			◈	→
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	⊖		🚩		◈	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	⊕	🚩		◉	◈	→
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	🚩	🚩	◉	◈	→
4.13.3 Governance	⊕	🚩		◉	◈	→
4.13.4 Management activities	⊕	🚩		◉	◈	→
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕	🚩		◉	◈	→
4.13.6 Human resources	⊕	🚩	🚩	◉		→
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	⊕	🚩		◉	◈	→

| Legend |

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	🚩		⊙		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	⊕	🚩			⟲	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised

	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	🚫		🚩		☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	

	High capacity					
	Medium capacity	●	⚑		◉	☞	→
✖	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing					
✖	Static					
	Increasing					

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	●	⚑		◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	●	⚑	⚑	◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance	🟢	🚩		◉	☝	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	⊕	🚩		◉	☞	➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕	🚩		◉	☞	➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	⊕	🚩	🚩	◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	⊕	🚩		◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor
Not Applicable.

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Artistic Achievements	✖			
4.18.1.2	Inlay Work	✖			
4.18.1.3	Calligraphy	✖			
4.18.1.4	Earliest reference to Mosque Architecture	✖			
4.18.1.5	Significant evolution of Domes and Arches	✖			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?
The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?
The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property
At present the boundaries are known by the managing authorities and necessary protection notice boards are put in place. The boundaries are regularly monitors by the field staff and adherence is ascertained by taking legal action against the violators, wherever necessary.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The values of the property are best preserved as per the provisions laid under the Ancient Monument and Archaeological Site and Remains Act 1958, Rules 1959 & Amendments Rules of 1992,Ancient Monument and Archaeological Sites and Remains (ammendment & validation) Act 2010 and also the administration arrangements. The Delhi Municipal Corporation Act, 1957, the Delhi Development Act, 1957, the India Forest Act, 1927 and the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 also help in preservation of the values of the monument at the national, provincial and /or municipal level.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?
There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
The monument is protected under Archaeological Survey of India (A.S.I) under AMASR Act, 1958.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
Legal, Governance, Planning measures are in place for protection of the WHS

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

An annual work plan or business plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property
All national monuments in India are managed by Archaeological Survey of India.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?
Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
The Delhi Master Plan 2021 designate the area as Heritage Zone, so some of the recommendations have been followed.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?
No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
Not applicable

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?
Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
State has taken certain mitigation measures to reduce the impact of disaster like development of escape routes, pause points with adequate signages have been installed at the site.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property
There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?
The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?
An annual work/action plan **exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				✖	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✖	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			✖		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✖				
5.3.15.5	Women				✖	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✖				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					✗
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✗
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				✗	
5.3.16.5	Women					✗
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				✗	
5.3.16.7	Researchers					✗
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					✗
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					✗
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					✗
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				✗	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				✗	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	✗				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				✗	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				✗	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				✗	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				✗	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				✗	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				✗	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The ASI and its management plan has annual work plans and strives to engage with the local resident community who benefit from the enormous economic opportunity.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

A property wide Management Plan is being prepared.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	100 %	100 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%

6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above
No comments

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?
The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?
The existing sources of funding are **secure over both the medium- and long-term**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure
No comments.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	55 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	45 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?
Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good

Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?
Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
There is constant effort at training of conservation professionals, craftsmen, engage local residents in interpretation of the site.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?
A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
Significant number of local youth have been engaged in the conservation effort as well as provided employment/ economic opportunities at the WHS. These include training of guides.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?
Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?
There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?
Research results **are shared** widely **with active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
Research work/projects are undertaken by various agencies from time to time. These are major Knowledge bank for the Site.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is **a planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Events are held on Museum Day, World Heritage Day, and World Heritage Week, every year. Various programs are held like conducting lecture series, various competitions like essay writing, painting, quiz, etc. Study tours are conducted for School children to propagate awareness and knowledge about the monument.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

2367519 / 2979939 / 3119334 / 3063168 / 3655437 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Ticket Costs/ ASI Office

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

20 / 10 / 40 / 0.54 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Visitor Survey and Local Market Survey

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

The visitor management and its impact within the World Heritage property are in the domain of ASI Circle office. However, the tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural, and environmental impacts are subjects to the jurisdiction of other departments.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?
Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify
State Tourism Department

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?
There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?
The Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is adequately presented and interpreted**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?
In **one location** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?
Fees are collected and make a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?
Yes

If 'Yes', please specify
State Tourism Department

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?
Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify
State Tourism Department

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property
Adequate development of the buffer zone to ensure carrying capacity of the site far exceeds present visitor numbers .

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?
There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?
Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				✖
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				✖
10.3.3	Character of governance				✖
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				✖
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				✖
10.3.6	Capacity development				✖

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property
Real time monitoring through visitor surveys, CCTV monitoring, state of conservation is undertaken and required action taken.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

No comments.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

The state of conservation and protection of monuments is monitored in real time.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	**Some use** has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	**No use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✖
5.3.9	**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	✖
6.1	Funding	
6.1.10	**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	✖

> **Please select 1 more issues.**

> ◪ **Please save this question to reflect changes**

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2	Earthquake	No impact expected.	no impact is expected	real time	Not applicable	ASI	nil

> Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment

5.3.7	**No use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	No impact is expected.	Not applicable.	ASI	No comments.
5.3.9	**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	State has taken mitigation measures to reduce the impact like development of Escape routes, pause points along with proper installation of signages.	Ongoing.	ASI	No comments

6.1	**Funding**				
6.1.10	**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	regular capacity development program-mes are being carried out from time to time.	Ongoing	ASI	No comments
6.1.12		Work under progress.	Ongoing	ASI	No comments

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Significant efforts have been made to ensure integrity of the site.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive

Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Very positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The overall impact is positive. Conservation of monuments, research, management, and quality of life for locals have improved. Funding, advocacy, and public support have also been positively affected.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

No comments

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The *World Heritage Convention*
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

This data can be used to revise priorities and realign the direction of the proposed management plan under development. It will also be used to generate more awareness and sensitise various components of the community and government departments.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance **is explicitly considered and effectively implemented** in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

30 / 10 / 7 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	✖	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	✖	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				✖
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			✖	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

None at present.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable

| **IUCN national/regional** | Not applicable |
| **IUCN International** | Not applicable |

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

Yes, online resources were adequate, while facing difficulty, National focal point was consulted.

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

The periodic reporting was questionnaire was very comprehensive and completed almost all aspects relevant for the upkeep of the site. Though it could have been better if the form was little site specific.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.