1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Centre d'Angra do Heroismo aux Açores

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year				
Centre d'Angra do Heroismo aux Açores	38.655 / -27.22	0	0	0	1983				
Total (ha)			0						
.4 - Map(s)									
Title	Title								
Central Zone of the Town of Angra do Heroismo in the Azore	1983								
		æ							

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Direção-Geral Património Cultural (en portugais)
- 2. Comissão Nacional da UNESCO (en portugais)
- 3. Direção Regional da Cultura (en portugais)
- 4. Sistema de Inventário para o Património Arquitetónico (en portugais)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks	×	

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

Monte Brasil is part of Geopark Azores.

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Déclaration de valeur universelle exceptionnelle

Brève synthèse

Situé en plein cœur de l'Atlantique sur l'île de Terceira dans la région autonome des Açores, Angra do Heroísmo fut un port d'escale obligatoire pour les flottes de l'Afrique équatoriale et des routes des Indes orientales et occidentales au cours de leurs voyages à destination et en provenance de l'Europe, du XVe siècle jusqu'à l'avènement des bateaux à vapeur au XIXe siècle. Le port d'Angra est aussi l'exemple éminent d'une création liée au monde maritime : il est directement et matériellement associé à un développement d'une importance historique universelle, l'exploration maritime, qui a permis des échanges entre les grandes civilisations du monde.

Le port d'Angra do Heroísmo, qui comprend deux bassins naturels protégés par une série de collines, est un exemple caractéristique de l'adaptation d'un modèle urbain à des conditions climatiques particulières : le plan en damier, généralement utilisé pour les villes neuves, a été infléchi pour prendre en compte les vents dominants. On suppose, non sans vraisemblance, que ce choix fut imposé par les navigateurs et leurs cartographes. Un système défensif complet fut mis en place dès la fondation de la ville. Les forteresses quatre fois centenaires de São Sebastião et São João Baptista sont des exemples remarquables de cette architecture militaire. Angra fut officiellement élevé au statut de ville le 21 août 1534 et devint la même année le siège de l'archevêque des Açores. Cette fonction religieuse a contribué au développement du caractère monumental du centre-ville, où s'élevèrent, en style baroque, la cathédrale Saint-Sauveur (do Santíssimo Salvador da Sé), les églises de la Miséricorde (da Misericórdia) et du Saint-Esprit (Espírito Santo), et les couvents des Franciscains et des Jésuites. Même après le séisme dévastateur du 1er janvier 1980, le centre-ville d'Angra do Heroísmo a conservé la meilleure partie de son patrimoine monumental et son architecture vernaculaire d'origine et reste un ensemble urbain homogène.

Critère (iv) : le port d'Angra, escale obligatoire des flottes de l'Afrique et des Indes en plein cœur de l'océan Atlantique, est l'exemple éminent d'une création liée à la fonction maritime, dans le cadre des grandes explorations.

Critère (vi) : comme la Tour de Belém, le couvent des Hiéronymites à Lisbonne, et Goa, Angra do Heroísmo est directement et matériellement associée à un événement ayant une signification historique universelle : l'exploration maritime qui permit les échanges entre les grandes civilisations du monde.

Intégrité

Tous les éléments nécessaires pour exprimer la valeur universelle exceptionnelle du Centre d'Angra do Heroísmo aux Açores figurent dans les limites de ce bien de 212,40 ha, notamment le site abrité, le port, le système défensif des fortifications, le plan d'urbanisme, l'architecture religieuse monumentale et l'architecture vernaculaire caractéristique. Angra a perdu son rôle de carrefour maritime international il y a deux siècles. Cela a affecté à bien des égards son développement et son expansion ultérieurs, permettant à la ville de préserver son plan et un groupe homogène de bâtiments civils et religieux flanqués de deux forteresses imposantes qui, avec une implantation urbaine plus dynamique, auraient pu être perdus. Bien qu'il n'y ait pas actuellement de zone tampon, sa création est proposée sur une superficie de 223,85 ha. Le bien ne subit pas indûment d'effets négatifs liés au développement et/ou au manque d'entretien.

Authenticité

Le Centre d'Angra do Heroísmo aux Açores est en grande partie authentique en termes d'emplacement et de cadre, de formes et de conception, et de matériaux et substances. Il a été largement réparé et reconstruit après le violent séisme de 1980 qui avait provoqué des dégâts considérables. Le centre-ville d'Angra a réussi à préserver son réseau viaire des XVe et XVIe siècles, ainsi que ses bâtiments inventoriés. L'utilisation de techniques et matériaux de construction traditionnels est encouragée pour les projets de réhabilitation, sans préjudice des avancées technologiques.

Les menaces et risques identifiés comprennent les pressions du développement et les catastrophes naturelles. Les pressions du développement menacent l'équilibre bâti et fonctionnel de la ville, en raison d'une densité démographique croissante. Les risques de catastrophes naturelles sont liés aux conditions géologiques et à la morphologie de l'emplacement de la ville, située sur la dorsale médio-atlantique, et sont à la fois volcaniques et tectoniques.

Éléments requis en matière de protection et de gestion

Le Centre d'Angra do Heroísmo aux Açores, qui appartient largement à des propriétaires privés, est protégé en vertu de la loi n° 107/2001 du 8 septembre 2001, qui établit la base juridique et le régime de la protection du patrimoine culturel. Il a aussi été conféré à Angra do Heroísmo le statut de Monument national/Zone de protection spéciale en vertu du décret législatif régional n° 5/2004/A du 6 avril 2004, qui soumet tous les instruments de planification au Plan détaillé pour la protection et la mise en valeur d'Angra do Heroísmo, sous la responsabilité de la Ville. Grâce à cet instrument, chaque bâtiment est sous la supervision directe des autorités concernées par sa préservation. Il lui a également été conféré le statut de Monument régional en vertu du décret de loi régional n° 29/2004/A du 24 août 2004. Le processus d'élaboration d'un plan détaillé pour la sauvegarde du bien est terminé et une analyse des rapports techniques suggère qu'il est nécessaire d'introduire davantage de souplesse dans sa gestion, afin de permettre le maintien et le renforcement de la valeur universelle exceptionnelle, tout en laissant la possibilité d'introduire des fonctionnalités contemporaines dans l'architecture d'Angra. Ainsi, chaque génération laissera une trace de sa contribution à l'amélioration de sa trame urbaine.

Afin de conserver la valeur universelle exceptionnelle du bien au fil du temps, il conviendra d'éliminer ou de réduire le plus possible les impacts négatifs causés par les pressions du développement et de l'environnement, y compris les catastrophes naturelles ; et d'achever, d'approuver et de mettre en œuvre un Plan de gestion dont l'objectif primordial sera de protéger, de conserver et de gérer les attributs qui expriment la valeur universelle exceptionnelle du bien.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	The orographic and landscape characteristics of the Bay of Angra, and its skyline, revealing the prominence of its natural relief, its morphological scale, including Monte Brasil and the two secondary mountains, Rocha and Cantagalo.	×			
3.2.2	The defensive system of Angra bay, this urban structure was garrisoned by two relevant fortified fortresses, one on each side of the bay, São Sebastião and São João Batista castles, this latter embracing Mount Brasil.	×			
3.2.3	The urban structure and urban morphology, including the strategic location of several monumental, civil and religious buildings, primary elements in the definition of public spaces and in the structuring of the road network.	×			
3.2.4	The altimetric differences between the buildings, namely those caused by the slope of the streets.	×			
3.2.5	The relationship between built spaces and open spaces, namely gardens, woods and trees, backyards, squares and paved streets, as well as the materials and design used here.		×		
3.2.6	The architectural and historical characteristics of the original built environment, marked by the structure of the buildings and respective typology and internal distribution system, in relation to the historic layout of the plots.		×		

3.2.7	The application of traditional techniques in urban conservation and rehabilitation operations		×	
3.2.8	The characteristics of the architectural composition of the buildings: the shape, colour and inclination of the ceramic tile roofs and the dimensions and characteristics of their single or double eaves; The traditional pyramidal chimneys, striking elements in all studies of architectural archaeology carried out throughout the late 20th and early 21st centuries.		×	
3.2.9	The verticality, size and rhythm of openings; plinths, corners, pilasters, cornices, as well as their respective colours and dimensions, in accordance with traditional usage; the size, shape, design and colour of the frames and also the design and materials used in large and narrow balconies.		×	
3.2.10	The characteristics of the stone walls set over ledges, an ingenious solution to take advantage of the relief of the terrain.	×		
3.2.11	The natural landscape value conferred by the Monte Brasil Forest reserve	×		
3.2.12		×		
3.2.13		×		
3.2.14				
3.2.15				

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

In general, the authenticity of the attributes is well preserved, and the integrity is still intact, but specifically the architectonic and historical characteristics of the original built environment, are compromised, considering the actual legislation (Regional Legislation Decree nr. 7/2015/A), it's not been so effective requiring some improvements, essentially concerning the adaptation of some materials and the addition of a new strategy towards valuing the buildings.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):
 - Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.1.2 - Commercial development

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):
 - Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			n Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X		×	×		S			
Negative								

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):
 - Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			→		



4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×			→		
Negative								

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Even though the impact of this factor is positive, in 4.1.2. but might potentially be threatened by larger commercial infrastructures, with negative visual impact, within the site. For Interpretative and visitation facilities, we consider positive that the City Hall of Angra Heroísmo is building an interpretative centre of the Centre zone of the town of Angra do Heroísmo. Now is in a phase of developing expository content.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):
 - Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):
 - Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				1
Negative							

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):
 - Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X		×	×					
Negative								

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):
 - Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			1
Negative							

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Currently, restrictive measures concerning the circulation of buses within the site are being implemented with a bus central station constructed outside the site. The increased number of daily flights, enhanced by the market entry of low cost airlines, indicates a potential increase in the number of visitors within the site. On the other hand, the current extension of the commercial port represents for the short term an increase in the number of passengers hereby entering thethe site.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): • Not relevant

• Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.4 - Localised utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside 	

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact C		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

ж	Not	relevant	

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Being most of the service infrastructure for energy utilities not relevant there's no situations to refer within the site.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Not relevant

 Relevant
 X Not relevant

 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

 Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

 • Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.4 - Air pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.5 - Solid wastePrevious answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There are no alarming pollution situations to refer within the site.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.5.2 - Aquaculture Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/ • Not relevant	2014):							
Relevant			× Not releva	nt				
 4.5.3 - Land conversion Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2 Relevant, Positive, Current 								
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow		
Negative								
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/G Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/: • Not relevant	-	esticated animal	S					
Relevant		X Not relevant						
4.5.5 - Crop production Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/ • Not relevant Relevant	2014):		× Not releva	-				
Relevant			 Not releva 	nt				

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.8 - Commercial huntingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	× Not relevant
4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively There are no threatening ecological situations to refer within the site.	selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.6. Physical resource extraction	
4.6.1 - Mining	

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant
4.6.2 - QuarryingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	× Not relevant
4.6.3 - Oil and gasPrevious answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.6.4 - Water (extraction) Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant 	

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Being most of physical resource extraction not relevant there's no situations to refer within the site.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current 4 Potential		 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

O Positive					
Negative X	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			→	

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant			Not relevant								
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact						
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing				
OPositive X	×		×			\rightarrow					
Negative											
4.7.4 - Radiation/Light Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2 • Not relevant	2014):										
Relevant			× Not relevant	X Not relevant							
4.7.5 - Dust Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2 • Not relevant	2014):										
Relevant			X Not relevant	t							
4.7.6 - Water (rain/water tab Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2 • Relevant, Positive, Curren	2014):										
Relevant			× Not relevant	t							
4.7.7 - Pests Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2 • Not relevant	2014):										
Relevant			× Not relevant	t							
4.7.8 - Micro-organisms Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2 • Not relevant	2014):										
Relevant			× Not relevant	t							

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Occasionally we have winds up to 140 km/h and high levels of humidity that affects the property negatively, specifically, in buildings, the construction materials, like the wood, on the roofs, as well as erosion.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
OPositive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			→		
Negative								

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact	Impact			Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×				1		
Negative									

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Broadly speaking, respect for cultural heritage is manifest, whether in social, religious or cultural identity terms, even though it might be threatened by globalisation, mainly regarding the spread of more contemporary lifestyles.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Not relevant

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Relevant × Not relevant 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.9.3 - Military training Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.9.4 - War Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There are no threats to refer in the context of human activity.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant	Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X	×		×			→				
4.10.2 - Flooding Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/ • Not relevant Relevant	× Not relevant									
4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/ • Not relevant	2014):									
Relevant			X Not relevant							
4.10.4 - Desertification										

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.6 - Temperature change Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Even most of this factor is not relevant, being an island in the middle of the atlantic have some challenges.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×		→		

4.11.2 - Earthquake

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow			

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow			
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide									

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×			\rightarrow	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Being an island in the middle of the Atlantic Ocean have some challenges, even its occasionally these factors can affect negatively.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant	X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×		×				1		
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien fresh Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/ • Not relevant									
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien mari Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/ • Not relevant	-								
Relevant			X Not relevant						
 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant sp Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/ Not relevant 									
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.12.6 - Modified genetic m Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/ • Not relevant									
Relevant			X Not relevant	t					

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Termites are still increasing in wood structures and Even with all the measures implemented heat-treated wood does not show a significant resistance to termite attacks, thus being currently substituted for other materials.

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant			I	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×		×		8				

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant			Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
OPositive X	×		×	×		→			
Negative									

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant			l	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			→			
Negative									

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			\rightarrow	

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×			\rightarrow		

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			\rightarrow	

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/06/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There is a site-specific detailed safeguard plan, which ensures its valuation and protection. In spite of the limited financial and human resources, which hamper an adequate site monitoring, the approval of a Management Plan is expected, specifying heritage values and their attributes, and holding a positive future impact.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

4.1 Buildings and Development 9 <t< th=""><th>Name</th><th>Impact</th><th>ł</th><th></th><th colspan="2">Origin</th><th>Trend</th></t<>	Name	Impact	ł		Origin		Trend
Image: Note of the second s	4.1 Buildings and Development						
A.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure Image: Construct of the second s	4.1.1 Housing	\odot	9		٢		→
A.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure Image: Construct of the second s							
A.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities image: state in the state in	4.1.2 Commercial development	٢		9	٢		N
A.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities image: state in the state in							
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure 0	4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	٢	9		٢		\rightarrow
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure 0							
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9	9	٢		\rightarrow
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure							
A.2.3 Air transport infrastructure 0	4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
A.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure Image: Construct of transport infrastructure Image: Constructure Image: Constructure Image: Constructure <	4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢	9		۹		→
A.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure Image: Construct of transport infrastructure Image: Constructure Image: Constructure Image: Constructure <							
A.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure Image: Algorithm	4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	٢	9		۹		
A.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure Image: Algorithm							
4.3 Services Infrastructures 4.3.4 Localised utilities ● ●	4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	٢		9	٢		
4.3 Services Infrastructures 4.3.4 Localised utilities ● ●							
4.3.4 Localised utilities Image: Constraint of the second se	4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	٢	9		٢	Ċ	
4.3.4 Localised utilities Image: Constraint of the second se							
4.5 Biological resource use/modification	4.3 Services Infrastructures						
	4.3.4 Localised utilities	٢	9		٢		\rightarrow
4.5.3 Land conversion \circ \checkmark \circ \rightarrow	4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
	4.5.3 Land conversion	٢	9		٢		→

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
	9	4		٢		→
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	9	4		٢		→
4.7.3 Temperature	٢	4		۲		→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	9		۲		-
	v	-1				
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	٢	4		٢		→
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	٢	4		٢		→
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	٢	4		۲		→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	•	9		۲		
	v	7		Q		
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
	٢	4		٢		→
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption						
	0		9	۲	¢	
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption	٢		9	۲	Ċ	→
			-			→
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake	9		9	•	S.	→ →
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption			-			→ →
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake			-			→ → →
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake	٢		9		۴	→ →
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave	٢		9		۴	→ → →
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave	0		9	٢	۴	→ → →
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	0		9	٢	۴	→ → →
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species	0		9	٢	۴	→
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	•	9	9	•	۴	→ → → →
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors	•	4	9	•	۴	→ → →
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	•	•	9	0	۴	→ → → →
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors	•	4	9	•	۴	
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors	•	•	9	0	۴	→ → → → → → ×
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13.1 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	•	- 	9	•	۴	
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.13.1 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	•	- 	9	•	۴	→ → → → → → → → → →
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.12 Invasive/allen species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Allen terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework		9	9	•		
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.12 Invasive/allen species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Allen terrestrial species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework		9	9	•		

4.13.5 Financial re	sources								
					0	9	٢		→
4.13.6 Human reso	ources								
					0	9	٢		→
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring activ	vities							
					0	9	٢		→
Legend	4 Current	Potential	Negative	O Positive	Insid	de	C Outsid	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impac	t .	Origin	Trend
4.1.1 Ho	using	٢	9	۲	→
Spatial s	scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempora	al scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact -	Impact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - I	Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
					_
Name	mmercial development	Impac O	1	Origin	Trend

Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	mpact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Managemo	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

Name		Impact		npact Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Majo	4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure		9		۲	→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					

×	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
×	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
	Static							
×	Increasing							

Name	Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		mpact		ipact C		npact O		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9	9	٢	→										

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name

Trend

Origin

Impact

4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		٢	9	٢	→
Spatial scal	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going .				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	٢	9	۹		1	

-	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant

×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	٢		9	۲		

	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
				_		
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effect	ts arising from use of transportation infrastructure	٢	4	۲	Ċ	1

Spatial sca	cale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal	I scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
×	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - In	impact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nent response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.3.4 Local	4.3.4 Localised utilities		9	0	→
					_
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes				

	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name Impact Oppin Prind 4.3.3 Land Componentiation a) a) <t< th=""><th>N</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Orderin</th><th colspan="2">Trend</th></t<>	N				Orderin	Trend	
Spatial and a	Name				Origin	Trena	
Restricted Restricted Localised Extensive Restricted Wedspread Tempert Control of the impact Intermittent or sponadio Intent or sponadio I	4.5.3 Land	conversion	•	4	Q	→	
Restricted Restricted Localised Extensive Restricted Wedspread Tempert Control of the impact Intermittent or sponadio Intent or sponadio I							
Kn Calaad Kensive Kensive Vespread Kensive Tomet = total termitent or sporadio Kensive Known Kensive	Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
kensive kensive tensive tensive <td< td=""><td></td><td>Restricted</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		Restricted					
image: minipage: minipa	×	Localised					
Temporal Cocurance of the impact Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic X Friequent Inagoing Intermittent or sporadic Impact - turbuste Insignificant X Insignificant X Significant X Significant X Mor X Moria		Extensive					
Image:		Widespread					
immittent or sporadic	Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
K Frequent In-going Impact - Impact - Impact Autibutes Impact - Impact - Impact Autibutes Significant Significant Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Capacity of management to respond Impact - Capacity of management to respond I		One off or rare					
import - impo		Intermittent or sporadic					
Impact - Impact	×	Frequent					
Insignificant Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management to respond Imagement to respond Medium capacity of management to respond Low capacity Medium capacity Decreasing Decreasing Static		On-going					
K Minor Significant Major Management to respond Minor Kanage High capacity of management to respond K Medium capacity K Medium capacity No capacity and / or resources Tend - Jerrent over the last 6 years K Decreasing K Static	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
implementation implementation implementation implementa		Insignificant					
Major Management to respond Major Mijor apacity of management to respond Medium capacity Medium capacity Modium capacity Iow capacity No capacity and / or resources Tend-Derement over the last 6 years Secretarian Static	×	Minor					
Hanagemet response - Capacity of management to respond Image: I		Significant					
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Static		Major					
 Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Static	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Static		High capacity					
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Static	×	Medium capacity					
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing X Static		Low capacity					
Decreasing X Static		No capacity and / or resources					
X Static	Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
		Decreasing					
Increasing	×	Static					
		Increasing					

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend			
	4.7.1 Wind							
		٢	9		٢		→	
Spatial s	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
×	Widespread							
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
×	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact -	Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
×	Significant							
	Major							
Manager	nent response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
×	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - D	Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							
Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trend	
4.7.2 Rel	ative humidity							
		0	4		۲		→	
Snatial	cale - Area affected by the factor							
Spatial S	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
×	Widespread							
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact							

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Trend
4.7.3 Temperature		9		۲	→

Spatial Sca	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritua	I/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	4	٢	→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managemo	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
×	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
	ety's valuing of heritage		4	()	⇒

Spatial scale - Area affected b	v the factor

opuna ocu	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system		9		٢	→

Spatial sc	cale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Managem	nent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.8.5 Identi	4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		9	٢	→	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impa	4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		9	٢		1
0	to Anno affected boothe factor					
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare					

	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact	t	Origin	Trend
4.10.1 Stor	4.10.1 Storms				
		0	4	٢	→
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
×	No capacity and / or resources				

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	ame		Impact			Origin		
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption								
		٢		9	۹	Ċ	→	
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor							
×	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - Im	spact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
	Significant							
×	Major							
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
×	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							
Name			Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.2 Eart	hquake							
		9		4	٢	٢	→	
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor							
×	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name Impact Origin 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	ictor
---	-------

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity

Trend

0

9

No capacity and / or res	sources
--------------------------	---------

Trend - Developement over the last 6 year

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Impact		Impact		Origin	
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)								
	0	9	٢	→				

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

opullar soc	
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact				Trend
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species						
e		9		٢		
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						
Localised						
Extensive						

×	Widespread
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Imp	act on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Deve	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
				Origin	Irena
4.13.1 Mar	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan				
		٢	9	٢	N
					_
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

le		Impact			Origin	
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	9		٢		→

opulai sou	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance		٢	9		٢	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						

	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.4 Management activities	٢	9		۲		→

Spatial scale - A	Area affected b	y the factor

Opatial Sea	ine - Alea anected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				

×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.5 Financial resources						
	0	9		٢		→

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.6 Human resources						
	0	9		۲		→
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						
Localised						

	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	ipact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name

4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring a	activities
---	------------

Impact		Origin	Trend
0	9	٢	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial Sca	ine - Alea anected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	The orographic and landscape characteristics of the Bay of Angra, and its skyline, revealing the prominence of its natural relief, its morphological scale, including Monte Brasil and the two secondary mountains, Rocha and Cantagalo.	×			
4.18.1.2	The altimetric differences between the buildings, namely those caused by the slope of the streets.		×		
4.18.1.3	The architectural and historical characteristics of the original built environment, marked by the structure of the buildings and respective typology and internal distribution system, in relation to the historic layout of the plots.		×		
4.18.1.4	The characteristics of the stone walls set over ledges, an ingenious solution to take advantage of the relief of the terrain.	×			
4.18.1.5	The natural landscape value conferred by the Monte Brasil Forest reserve	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The property had no buffer zone at the time of its inscription on the world heritage list. Regional legislation in force ensures site protection, through a buffer zone, which can be subjected to UNESCO assessment, upon request.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Comment

National Law nr.107/2001, which establishes the political bases and the regime for protection and valorisation of cultural Heritage. Regional Law 3/2015/A, which adapts the law 107/2001 for protection and valorisation of cultural Heritage, of azores. Regional Legislation Decree nr. 7/2015/A, establishes the Detail Plan of safeguard and valorisation of classified zone of Angra de Heroísmo, which includes the central zone of the city of Angra do Heroísmo.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2015 / Detail Plan of safeguard and valorisation of classified zone of Angra de Heroísmo / Regional Legislation Decree nr. 7/2015/A 20 april of 2015 / guiding instrument of the urban development of the city / City Hall /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Through those instrument, like the Detail Plan, each building is under direct supervision of the respective authorities (City Hall) in what concerns its preservation or valuation, the other ones aiming at promoting the safeguard and valuation of cultural heritage, developing and qualifying housing offer in the city as well commerce and services; promoting the quality of environmental and public areas, the quality of environmental and public areas.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

Regional Law nr. 3/2015/A, which adapts Law nr. 107/2001 for the protection and valuing of cultural heritage, in the Azores. Regional legislation in force ensures site protection, through a buffer zone, which can be subjected to UNESCO assessment, upon request. The Detailed Safeguard Plan since 2015 (Regional Legislation Decree nr. 7/2015/A), is adequate but requires some improvements in what concerns the adaptation of some materials and the addition of a new strategy towards valuing buildings

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The management document in force aims at qualifying the classified area, by valuing the existing urban environment and fostering the upkeep, valuing and maximization of architectural heritage. It also intends to reinforce urban liveliness at the city center, qualify public areas, and create green areas for recreation and leisure, as well as to requalify urban spaces functionally inadequate or materially and socially decayed. The document also applies to the protection area/buffer zone.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

Strategic Plan, PREIT – Terceira Island Economic Revitalization Plan; Angra do Heroísmo Safeguard Detail Plan; Integrated Plan for Sustainable Urban Regeneration.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

There's several strategic plan like for Earthquakes in azores provided by Civil Protection Emergency Plans which are drawn up in accordance with Resolution 30/2015 of May 7, 2015 that includes the property

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state;

local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is little coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented? An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs			×		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				

5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	×		
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×		
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood	×		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×		

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

Generally speaking, the site management seeks dialogue with the several social, political and economical groups existing in the community. However, this dialogue doesn't always produce effects.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

Even though the World Heritage site lacks a management plan particularly targeting the outstanding universal value, there are several plans, already implemented. Thus, within a more general scope, there are the Strategic Plan, PREIT – Terceira Island Economic Revitalization Plan as well as the Integrated Plan for Sustainable Urban Regeneration; and, in within a more specific framework there's Angra do Heroísmo Detailed Safeguard Plan.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	40 %	40 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	60 %	60 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

The government subsidies private buildings in restorations, recuperation, constructive system and other fundamental elements of the buildings and the Governmental (local/municipal) applies theirs funds in benefit and conservation for the public space. Other funds we don't have information.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

There are economic restrictions, but among the various entities of the Government of the Azores ensure the conditions for the preservation of the building heritage.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

the Regional Legislation Decree nr. 7/2015/A, this Plan provides some strategy but it's not enought requires some improvements, in what concerns the adaptation of some materials and the addition of a new strategy towards valuing buildings.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The existing staff is not only focused on the heritage site, on the other hand some of the development of the techniques is done by the Regional Laboratory of Civil Engineering. In relation to traditional techniques, there is no dissemination strategy.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving

understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue? There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Landowners
Youth/children
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

146231 / 98286 / 47661 / 143545 / 137921 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

https://srea.azores.gov.pt/ReportServer/Pages/ReportViewer.aspx?%2fTurismo%2fHospedes+Dormidas+e+Estada+Media+por+Ilha&rs:Command=Render The source of information was given by the Terceira Tourism Office - Regional Directorate of Tourism - Regional Secretariat for Tourism, Mobility and Infrastructures.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

80 / 70 / 80 / 20 / 50 / 40 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

The source of information was given by the Terceira Tourism Office - Regional Directorate of Tourism - Regional Secretariat for Tourism, Mobility and Infrastructures.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors,

tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Although there is no strategy between different departments within the World Heritage property, it seems very important to us to implement an efficient communication between all entities to define a common strategic action plan.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In $\ensuremath{\text{many locations}}$, but $\ensuremath{\text{not easily visible}}$ to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

- Green Teams as part of the DMO Strategy; - Sustainability charter with local companies as an incentive to implement sustainable practices in their businesses.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Economic benefits - jobs in the hospitality industry

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation		×		
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

The monitoring, is directed essentially for buildings which is relevant to management needs but needs improvement and reach the other attributes.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Non-existent
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Non-existent
Researchers	Poor
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee. There is no recommendations.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

An adequate site monitoring, is expected after the approval of a Management Plan

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×

5.3.11	There is little coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property							
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value							
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented							
6.1	Funding							
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property							
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×						
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property							
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	×						
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects							
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	×						
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building							
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×						
9	Visitor Management							
9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	×						
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	×						
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	×						
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×						
10	Monitoring							
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value							
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done							
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.							
	Please save this question to reflect changes							
MARTIN	ease save this question to renect changes							

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.7	Local condition	tions affecting physical fab	ric				
4.7.1	Wind	Criterion (iv): Set in the mid-Atlantic, the port of Angra, obligatory port-of-call for fleets from Africa and the Indies, is an outstanding example of a creation linked to the maritime world, within the framework of the great explorations;	Technical and financial support granted to the owners of the buildings by legislative decree no. 25/2015/A, 30th of october	The Regional Directorate of Cultural Affairs	It depends on the dimension and difficulty of the intervention; Usually the contract is for one year, but can be extended.	The Regional Directorate of Cultural Affairs, The Regional Directorate of Housing and City Hall of Angra do Heroísmo	Occasionally we have winds up to 140 km/h that affects the property negatively, specifically, in buildings, the construction materials, like the wood, structure of the roofs, as well as erosion of the stone masonry because they carry volcanic sands
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Criterion (iv): Set in the mid-Atlantic, the port of Angra, obligatory port-of-call for fleets from Africa and the Indies, is an outstanding example of a creation linked to the maritime world, within the framework of the great explorations;	The owers of the buildings, are supported financially by legislative decree n.º 25/2015/A, 30th of october.	The Regional Directorate for Cultura Affairs as department in the government responsible of the site	difficulty of the repairs, the ontract	The Regional Directorate for Culture Affairs, The Regional Directorate for Housing and The City Hall of Angra of Heroísm	The average of relative humidity it's 75% affects the property negatively, specifically, in buildings materials, like the wood, the stone masonry and other materials.
4.10	Climate cha	nge and severe weather eve	ents				

4.10.1			Storms							
4.11	Sudden ecologi	ical or geolog	jical events							
4.11.2	Earthquake	"QUAKEW Surveilland System fo Seismovul Azores", C Risk Mana		JAKEWATCH - Seismic S		The Azores Seismovolcanic Surveillance and Information Center		Daily	The Azores Seismovolcan Surveillance and Information Center	ic It's on daily surveillance
4.11.3	Tsunami/Tidal wave	Criterion (iv):	"QUAKEV Surveillar System fo Seismovu Azores", (Risk Man	Under the project "QUAKEWATCH - Seismic Surveillance Information System for Mitigation of Seismovulcan Risk in the Azores", Climate Change and Risk Management, the Seismovulcan		The Azores Seismovolcanic Surveillance and Information Center		Daily The Azores Seismovolcan Surveillance and Information Center		ic It's on surveillance
4.12	Invasive/alien s	pecies or hyp	per-abundant s	pecies						
4.12.2	2.2 Invasive/Alien Criterion (iv): Set in the T terrestrial mid-Atlantic, the port of s species Angra, obligatory o port-of-call for fleets b from Africa and the n Indies, is an o outstanding example of d a creation linked to the d maritime world, within w		echnical and financial upport granted to the wners of the buildings y legislative decree o. 25/2015/A, 30th of ctober and legislative ecree no.22/2010/ A, e 30th of june. The ood structure's splacement for similar naterials or for steel	The Regional Directorate for Cultural Affairs, The Regional Directorate for Housing, the University of Azores		repairs, the ontract is until one year.		The Regional Directorate for Culture Affairs, The Regional Directorate for Housing, the University of Azores	Both directorate of the government are implementing strategies for reducing the termites infestation that affects the wood structures of the building, especially the floor and roofing. The University of azores in the area of researching	
4.13	Management ar	nd institution	al factors							
4.13.1	Management system/Management plan		ntic, the port of obligatory call for fleets ica and the s an ting example of on linked to the e world, within ework of the	of Legislation Decree nr. 7/2015/A, this Plan is adequate but requires some improvements, in what concerns the of adaptation of some materials and the addition		r. Angra of s Heroísm s in dition		During the year	The Regional Directorate for Cultural Affairs and The City Hall of Angra of Heroism	Even though the World Heritage site lacks a management plan particularly targeting the outstanding universal value, there is a site-specific detailed safeguard plan, already implemented which ensures its valuation and protection.
4.13.5	Financial resources Criterion (iv): Set in the mid-Atlantic, the port of Angra, obligatory port-of-call for fleets from Africa and the Indies, is an outstanding example of a creation linked to the maritime world, within the framework of the great explorations;		has at least 1750Dirbuildings, every yearCulthe government of theThe		The Regional Directorate for Culture Affairs and The City Hall of Angra of Heroism		During the year	The Regional Directorate for Cultural Affairs and The City Hall of Angra of Heroism	Usually the government of the Azores provides financial resources for constrution through the Regional Directorate for Culture Affairs and the Regional Directorate for Housing, the City Hall of AH provides also financial resources on othes areas	
4.13.6			Human resources							
4.13.7	Low impact research/monitoring activities	g mid-Atlar Angra, ot port-of-ca from Afric Indies, is outstandi a creation maritime the frame	all for fleets ca and the	There's no strategic plan action. Foresee the management pla	n in E	The Regional Directorate for Culture Affairs		During the year	The Regional Directorate for Culture Affairs	Even there's no plan action the Regional Directorate for Culture Affairs foreseen doing a management plan particularly targeting the attributes of the outstanding universal value

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.2	Protective Mea	asures								
		Act	tions	ions Timeframe		Lea invo		y (and others	More	info / comment
5.2.6	There is accep capacity/resou to enforce legislation and/ regulation in the World Heritage property but so deficiencies of enforcement re	irces or e me								
5.3	Management S	ystem/Mana	agement Plan							
5.3.9	been made of Regiona the Strategy for foreseer		Directorate for Culture Affairs doing a management plan ly targeting the outstanding		Cult		The Regional Directorate for Culture Affairs/City Hall of Angra of Heroism		Even there 's no plan action the Regional Directorate for Culture Affairs foreseen doing a management plan particularly targeting the outstanding universal value	
6.1	Funding									
6.1.7	resources all areas of competence of partly meet the Directorate for Culture Aff management them works specifically for		existing human resources we reas of competence of the Re ctorate for Culture Affairs non works specifically for the pr seen in the management pla	egional ne of operty.			The Regional Directorate for Culture Affairs		The existing staff is not only focused on the heritage site and there is a restriction for hiring in the goverment of the azores.	
6.1.12	site-based techn capacity Labo building plan or impor programme in betwe place; strate		ne of the development of the aniques is done by the Regional oratory of Civil Engineering, but it's ortant an efficient communication ween all entities to define a common tegic action plan, but it's foreseen in management plan.		-		The Regional Directorate for Culture Affairs		Some of the development of the techniques is done by the Regional Laboratory of Civil Engineering. In relation to traditional techniques, there is no dissemination strategy.	
7			Scientific Studies and R	lesearch F	Projects					
7.2		There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned								
8	Education, Inform	ation and Av	wareness Building							
8.2	and awareness services like the Llib		rough the Regional for Culture Affairs, external a the Llibrary and museum agular Culural educational in	A		The Regional Directorate for Culture Affairs		The regular cultural educational usually doesn't focus on the buildings awareness		
9	Visitor Manage	ement								

9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	There's no plan action, but it's an issue for foreseen in the management plan. Considering its scale and specific geographical situation, the main promotion factor as well as the tourist attraction of this region is basically nature.	During 2023	The Regional Directorate for Culture Affairs and Tourism regional department	Tourism promotes the diversity of the nine islands, therefor the property is included in a global package.
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made				
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation				
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made				

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The authenticity is preserved the integrity intact the attributes than has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive

Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	No follow-up
Site Managers	No follow-up
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No follow-up
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

6/3/60/

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.