Speyer Cathedral

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Speyer Cathedral

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Speyer Cathedral	49.317 / 8.442	0	0	0	1981
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

Property (ha): 0,558 see map adopted 2014

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Speyer Cathedral - Map of the inscribed property	2014	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Der Dom zu Speyer (Deutsche UNESCO-Kommission german only)
- 2. www.welterbe-rlp.de
- 3. Speyer Cathedral

Comment

Official Website: www.dom-zu-speyer.de Official Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/SpeyererDom/ Offical Instagram: https://www.instagram.com/dom_zu_speyer

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Speyer Cathedral 1 of 53

- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

 No
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

 No
- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Speyer Cathedral 2 of 53

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Speyer Cathedral in the southwest of Germany, a basilica with four towers and two domes, was founded as a flat-ceiling basilica by Konrad II in 1030, probably soon after his imperial coronation. It was rebuilt by Henry IV, following his reconciliation with the Pope in 1077, as the first and largest consistently vaulted church building in Europe. The Cathedral was the burial place of the German emperors for almost 300 years.

Speyer Cathedral is historically, artistically and architecturally one of the most significant examples of Romanesque architecture in Europe. It is, by virtue of its proportions, the largest, and, by virtue of the history to which it is linked, the most important.

The Cathedral is an expression and self-portrayal of the abundance of imperial power during the Salian period (1024 - 1125) and was built in conscious competition to the Abbey of Cluny as the building representative of the papal opposition.

The Cathedral incorporates the general layout of St Michael of Hildesheim and brings to perfection a type of plan that was adopted generally throughout the Rhineland. This plan is characterized by the equilibrium of the eastern and western blocks and by the symmetrical and singular placement of the towers which frame the mass formed by the nave and the transept. Under Henry IV renovations and extensions were undertaken. Speyer Cathedral is the first known structure to be built with a gallery that encircles the whole building. The system of arcades added during these renovations was also a first in architectural history.

In its size and the richness of its sculptures, some created by Italian sculptors, it stands out among all contemporary and later Romanesque churches in Germany, and it had a profound influence on the pattern of their ground plans and vaulting. Today – after the destruction of the Abbey of Cluny – Speyer Cathedral is the biggest Romanesque church in the world. Likewise its crypt, consecrated in 1041, is the biggest hall of the Romanesque era. No less than eight medieval emperors and kings of the Holy Roman Empire of the German Nation from Konrad II to Albrecht of Habsburg in 1309 were laid to rest in its vault. In 1689 the Cathedral was seriously damaged by fire. The reconstruction of the west bays of the nave from 1772 to 1778, as an almost archaeologically exact copy of the original structure, can be regarded as one of the first great achievements of monument preservation in Europe. The westwork, rebuilt from 1854 to 1858 by Heinrich Hübsch on the old foundations, is by contrast, a testi-mony to Romanticism's interpretation of the Middle Ages, and as such an independent achievement of the 19th century. Commissioned by the Bavarian King Ludwig I., the interior was painted in late Nazarene style by the school of Johannes Schraudolph and Josef Schwarzmann from 1846 to 1853.

Criterion (ii): The Speyer Cathedral has exerted a considerable influence not only on the development of Romanesque architecture in the 11th and 12th centuries, but as well on the evolution of the principles of restoration in Germany, in Europe and in the world from the 18th century to the present.

Integrity

Apart from the seven western bays of the nave and the westwork, the mediaeval structure is original. After a serious fire in 1689 the seven western bays of the nave had to be newly erected (1772-1778) and are an exact copy of the original structure. The westwork, replacing the mediaeval structure and the addition of the late 18th century, is an addition of the period of 1854 to 1858. In the course of the comprehensive restoration campaign between 1957 and 1972 the original Romanesque interior was reconstructed by deleting the alterations and additions of the Baroque period and the 19th century.

Authenticity

In terms of form and design, use and function Speyer Cathedral still expresses truthfully the essence of one of the most important Romanesque churches in Europe. The restoration history and methods document the evolution of the principles of restoration.

Protection and management requirements

The property is legally protected under regional and national legislation and managed under the responsibility of the Cathedral Chapter by the Cathedral Construction Administration (Dombauamt). They act in concertation with the historic monument conservation authorities and a scientific committee.

The Cathedral is permanently maintained by the Cathedral Construction Administration. The management system consists of a set of maintenance and conservation measures respecting the liturgical function.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	First and largest consistently vaulted church building.	×			
3.2.2	Perfect type of plan.	×			
3.2.3	First example of a gallery that encircles the whole building.	×			
3.2.4	System of arcades.	×			
3.2.5	Archaeological exact copies of the seven western bays of the nave and creation of a new westwork as as a testi-mony to Romanticism's interpretation of the Middle Ages.	×			
3.2.6	The crypt is the biggest hall of the Romanesque era.	×			
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					

Spever Cathedral 3 of 53

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×			×			7

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

* Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
		×		×		→	

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

★ Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶		×		×		\rightarrow	
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	х			7

Speyer Cathedral 4 of 53

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.1.2 und 4.1.3 negative: Restriction of sight lines due to residential development 4.1.4 positive / negative: Increase of the number of visitors due to infrastructural changes such as an increase in river cruises 4.1.5 positive: increase in the duration of stay due to new visitor facilities, exoneration of the site with respect to commercial activies eg. sales actions, enhanced possibilities for educational activies

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×			•
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×			P

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			-

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×			×			1

Speyer Cathedral 5 of 53

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2.1. The city government is determined to reduce traffic in the city which is beneficient for the site. 4.2.3. The traffic on the nearby aerport has recently been increasing, which enhances the risk of damage via pollution and accidents. 4.2.4. The changes in the maritine infrastructure has significantly raised the number of tourists. 4.2.5. See above.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X N	Not relevant
--------------	--------------

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
	×			×	S		

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
Rolovant	Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
Rolevant	Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	★ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Speyer Cathedral 6 of 53

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.5 - Solid waste Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.6 - Input of excess energyPrevious answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	elected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.5. Biological resource use/modification	
4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resourcesPrevious answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.2 - AquaculturePrevious answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.3 - Land conversionPrevious answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.5 - Crop productionPrevious answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collectionPrevious answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection	

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Not relevant

Speyer Cathedral 7 of 53

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

※ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×			1

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
		×		×		→	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

Positive				
Negative	×	×		<i>P</i>

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X		×		×			<i>P</i>	

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Not relevant

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×		×			,

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×			→	

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Not relevant

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		×	×			\rightarrow	

Speyer Cathedral 9 of 53

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Due to the climate change the materials and the measures of the permanent restauration process have tho be re-examined.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	gin Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×		S		
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×	×			7	
Negative								

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×		×	×			-

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×		×			1

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Speyer Cathedral 10 of 53

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×			/
Negative X	×	×		×			<i>P</i>

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

positive: enhanced interest in world heritage related topics negative: dedreasement in traditional religious practises negative: overtourism

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow		

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Speyer Cathedral 11 of 53

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant



4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

★ Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin	Origin Trend of im		ct		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×			×			P	

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Heavy rain is becoming more problematic because the amount of rain water exceeds the capacity of the gutters and downpipes.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	

Speyer Cathedral 12 of 53

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origi		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		→	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Speyer Cathedral 13 of 53

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Ori				Trend of impact					
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×		→				
Negative										

4.13.2 - Legal framework

★ Relevant			Not relevant							
	Impact Origi				Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			1			
Negative										

4.13.3 - Governance

★ Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
Positive X	×	×	×	×			,			
Negative										

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow				
Negative										

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		→				
Negative										

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant				Not relevant							
	Impact Origin										
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing				
Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow					
Negative											

Speyer Cathedral 14 of 53

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact						
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing				
Positive X	×		×			→					
Negative											

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (24/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The lack of a management plan could pose a problem if changes to the management system were to be implemented from inside or outside.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

The inscription of the Shum-Sites to the UNESCO World Heritage List adds positively to the perception and appreciation of the concept of world heritage and the associated goals and values.

4.15. Factors Summary Table


4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	:		Origin		
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
		9			Œ	-
4.1.2 Commercial development						
			9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.1.3 Industrial areas						
			9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	(9		Œ	\rightarrow
			9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	(9		•	Œ	/
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•	9			Œ	1
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure						
		9			Œ	1
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure						
			9		Œ	1
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
		9			Œ	1
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities						

Speyer Cathedral 15 of 53

		9			Œ	S
4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.3 Oil and gas						
			9		Œ	<i>></i>
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
			q		Œ	\rightarrow
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
			9		G	<i>P</i>
4.7.3 Temperature						
			9		Œ	<i>p</i>
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
			A		G	P
4.7.7 Pests						
			q	•		\rightarrow
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
			9	•		→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	q		•		S
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	()	q		()	Œ	<i>p</i>
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
		q		•	CET	7
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		·				
			9		CET	7
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	•	q	•		CET	7
		ā	Ø		(G	7
4.9 Other human activities		·	·		4	
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
		q			(\$	→
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events					4	
4.10.6 Temperature change						
,			a		<i>(€</i>	7
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts	•		U		3	
Care Canada maga mpaca		A			100	7
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events		- 0			Ç	
4.11.2 Earthquake						
T. I I LE LA UIQUANG					18	
A 44 C Fire (wildfire)			-1		5	→
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)			23		ne.	
			9	•	F	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						

Speyer Cathedral 16 of 53



4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housi	ing					
			9		G	<i>P</i>
0	to Associated by the factor					
	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					

Speyer Cathedral 17 of 53

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.2 Comm	ercial development					
			9		G	\rightarrow
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					

			9	G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

Name	Impact	act		Origin		Trend
4.1.3 Industrial areas						
			9		G	→

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Establis			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			

Speyer Cathedral 18 of 53

×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name	viates and any detical and appeared infrastructure	Impact		Origi	n (g	Trend
4.1.4 Wajor	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure		9		G.	_
			9		Ġ.	-
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×						
	Insignificant					
	Insignificant Minor					
	Minor					
Manageme	Minor Significant					
Manageme	Minor Significant Major					
	Minor Significant Major nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	Minor Significant Major tresponse - Capacity of management to respond High capacity					

Speyer Cathedral 19 of 53

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities	O	4	•	G	•
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Groun	4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		9		Œ	/
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					

Speyer Cathedral 20 of 53

remporars	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.3 Air tra	insport infrastructure		~3			
			9		Œ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×						
	Restricted					
	Restricted Localised					
	Localised					
Temporal s	Localised Extensive					
Temporal s	Localised Extensive Widespread					
	Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurrence of the impact					
	Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare					
	Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent					
×	Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going					
×	Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes					
Impact - Im	Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant					
Impact - Im	Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor					
Impact - Im	Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant					
Impact - Im	Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major					
Impact - Im	Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond					

Speyer Cathedral 21 of 53

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin	
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure					
		9		Œ	P
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					

				G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
**	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

Name	Impact	ŧ	Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
		9		Œ	P

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Speyer Cathedral 22 of 53

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.3.3 Non-r	4.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities					
			9		G	•
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
~						
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					

Speyer Cathedral 23 of 53

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name	Impact		ict C			Origin	
4.6.3 Oil an	4.6.3 Oil and gas						
				9		G	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wind						
			9		©	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

Speyer Cathedral 24 of 53

	Particular						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact	i		Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relat	ive humidity						
				9		G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Significant Major						

Speyer Cathedral 25 of 53

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temperature					
		9		G	7

			-0	Ç	•
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
			9		Œ	7

Speyer Cathedral 26 of 53

	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.7 Pests					
			9	•	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Significant Major				

Speyer Cathedral 27 of 53

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond		
×	High capacity		
	Medium capacity		
	Low capacity		
	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years		
	Decreasing		
×	Static		
	Increasing		

	:t	Origin	Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms			
⊖	9	•	→

Snatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
Opatiai 300	
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	9	•	S	

Speyer Cathedral 28 of 53

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name 4.8.2 Socie	ty's valuing of heritage	Impact	q	Origin	Œ	Trend
			•	4	4	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					

Speyer Cathedral 29 of 53

	Significant					
	Major					
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Chang	es in traditional ways of life and knowledge system					_
			9	•	C	
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community					

Speyer Cathedral 30 of 53

				q		Œ	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		lmm a at			Origin		Trend
Name 4.8.6 Impac	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	Impact	q	q	Origin	Œ	rend
·			q	· ·		·	<i>p</i>
			·	·			
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
X	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

Speyer Cathedral 31 of 53

Minor

	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Delibe	rate destruction of heritage					
			9		Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Speyer Cathedral 32 of 53

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name

4.10.6 To	perature change						
				9		Œ	-
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact -	On-going Insignificant Insignificant Minor Significant Major Anagement response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	nent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - I	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name 4.10.7 O	ther climate change impacts	Impac			Origin		Trend
			q			(F	,
			•				
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						

Impact

Origin

Trend

Speyer Cathedral 33 of 53

	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name			Origin			Trend
4.11.2	I.11.2 Earthquake					
			9		G	→
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact	- Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manag	ement response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years					

Speyer Cathedral 34 of 53

	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact	npact Orig		Origin	rigin Tre	
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)							
				9	•	G	→
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Manag	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9		•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							

Speyer Cathedral 35 of 53

	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
^	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impost In							
impact - in	pact on the attributes						
•	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impost			Origin		Trend
	ıl framework	Impact	A	q	© Origin	F	rrend
-							
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
×	High capacity Medium capacity						
×							
×	Medium capacity						

Speyer Cathedral 36 of 53

Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Gove	ernance	•	9	9	•	(/
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	agement activities	©	q	9	•	Œ	→
Spetial and	le. Associated by the factor						
opatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted Localised						
	Localised Extensive						
Y	Widespread						
X Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
Temporal S							
	One off or rare						

Speyer Cathedral 37 of 53

	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
	npact on the attributes						
impact - ii							
	Insignificant						
**	Minor						
×	Significant						
Managam	Major						
×	ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity						
^	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
Trand D	No capacity and / or resources evelopement over the last 6 years						
Trend - De	Decreasing						
~							
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Fin	ancial resources	O	9	9	•	G	→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - I	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
**	Olgrinicant						
**							
	Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	Major						
Managem	Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
Managem	Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity						
Managem	Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity						
Managem	Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity						

Speyer Cathedral 38 of 53

	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Huma	in resources	O	9	9	•	G	→
Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	mpact research/monitoring activities	Impact	q		Origin		Trend
4.10.1 2011	mpact rescaled missing activities		-		G.		
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						

Speyer Cathedral 39 of 53

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going Control of the Control of t
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	First and largest consistently vaulted church building.	×			
4.18.1.2	Perfect type of plan.	×			
4.18.1.3	First example of a gallery that encircles the whole building.	×			
4.18.1.4	System of arcades.	×			
4.18.1.5	Archaeological exact copies of the seven western bays of the nave and creation of a new westwork as as a testi¬mony to Romanticism's interpretation of the Middle Ages.	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property has no buffer zone and does not need one

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has no known and recognised buffer zone

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The legal framework is constitued by:

Speyer Cathedral 40 of 53

- Building code
- · Heritage protection law
- Development plans
- Canon law
- · Regional building code

The regulation protects the cathedral and the surrounding area from unauthorized alteration.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycl 1; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has no buffer zone

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Other

If 'Other', please specify

Public management system joint national, federal and local.

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

A code of practice developed by local communities or other groups

An annual work plan or business plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

- 5.3.3 Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property
- 5.3.4 Management Documents
- 5.3.5 Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

Spever Cathedral 41 of 53

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities		×			
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups				×	
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify	handicapped				

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					×
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	religious groups				

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

Not	No	Limited	Significant	Full	
applicable	contribution			achievement	

Spever Cathedral 42 of 53

5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status		×		
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

Due to the fact that the management system incorporates the catholic church some positions are only available to priests (=men)

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	40 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	100 %	10 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	5 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	1 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	44 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.1.12 other: i.e. the cathedral chapter which receives its funds from the church tax

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

Spever Cathedral 43 of 53

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

Spever Cathedral 44 of 53

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Scientific research is comprehensive and heterogeneous.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Youth/children	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	
Local businesses and industries	
NGOs	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

Speyer Cathedral 45 of 53

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

737.174 / 376.750 / 325.000 / 909.400 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Visitor surveys

Photoelectric barriers

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0/0/0/1000/0/400/

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

POS system

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

balanced scorecard

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

By the city government

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

local and reagional economy (restaurants, hotels, shops)

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

Spever Cathedral 46 of 53

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system	×			
10.3.3	Character of governance	×			
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	religious communities

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone	×
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.4	The property has no buffer zone	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×

Speyer Cathedral 47 of 53

5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
10	Monitoring	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	×
	,	
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.2	Transportation	Infrastructure							
4.2.4	Marine transport infrastructure			n required.	Visitor counting.	Daily.	Cathedral Cha	Cathedral Chapter.	
4.7	Local condition	s affecting physical f	abric						
4.7.2	Relative humidity	(ii), affected attributes Ventilation. 3.2.1, 3.2.6			Humidity measurement.	Daily.	Cathedral (Chapter.	None
4.7.3	Temperature	(ii), affected attributes 3.2.1, 3.2.3, 3.2.6	Monitoring and precautionary use of heat resistant materials.		Temperature monitori	ng. Weekly.	Cathedral	Chapter.	None.
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	· //		d precautionary of the drainage	Visual inspection.	Monthly.	Cathedral	Chapter.	None
4.8	Social/Cultural	uses of heritage							
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	traditional ways 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3, of life and 3.2.4, 3.2.5, 3.2.6 knowledge		n provided to	Visitor surveys.	Yearly.	Cathedral Ch	apter.	None
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/R	(ii), affecte ecreation attributes 3.2.2, 3.2.3 3.2.5, 3.2.4	3.2.1, in 3, 3.2.4, pr	ducation and formation systen ovided.	Visitor survey	ys. Yearly.	Cathedral (Chapter.	None
4.10	Climate change	and severe weather	events						
4.10.6	Temperature change	(ii), affected attributes 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3, 3.2.4, 3.2.5, 3.2.6	Monitoring a precautionar resistant ma	y use of heat	Temperature monito	oring. Weekly	/. Cathedr	al chapter.	None
4.10.7	Other climate change impacts	· //		Visual control and humidity sensors.	After heavy rain falls.	Cathedral Chapter.	. No co	omment	
4.11	Sudden ecologi	ical or geological eve	nts						
4.11.2	Earthquake	(ii), affected attributes 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3, 3.2.4, 3.2.5, 3.2.6	None.	Seismic me	easurements.	In case of emerge	ncy. Government	agencies.	None

Speyer Cathedral 48 of 53

4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	(ii), affected	Fire protection.	Fire detectors.	In case of emergency.	Cathedral chapter.	None.
		attributes 3.2.1,					
		3.2.2, 3.2.3, 3.2.4,					
		3.2.5, 3.2.6					

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1.4 T h a re	The property has no buffer zone The property has no known and recognised buffer zone Protective Meas The property	authorities. Consultations authorities.	with the local and state with the local and state	Timefra Quarterl	ly.		Lead agence involved) Cathedral C	y (and others	More info	/ comment
5.1.4 T h a a rr b	nas no buffer zone The property nas no known and recognised buffer zone Protective Meas	authorities. Consultations authorities.					Cathedral C	hapter.	None	
h a rd b	nas no known and recognised buffer zone Protective Meas	authorities.	with the local and state	Quaterly						
				Quaterly and when needed.		Cathedral Chapter.		None		
5.2.4	The property	sures								
	has no buffer zone	Consultation authorities.	ons with the local and state		Quarterly	and when needed	I. Cathe	edral Chapter.	1	None
5.3	Management S	ystem/Manage	ement Plan							
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban authorities. Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property		al and stat	te	When needed.		Cathedral Chapter		None	
5.3.7	of the Policy Doo the Impacts of C Change on Worl	e use has been made be Policy Document on mpacts of Climate lige on World Heritage erties at the property				Daily.		Cathedral Chapter		None
5.3.9	of the Strategy for Risks from Disas	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property				Yearly.		Cathedral Chapter		None
5.3.17	• In a limi manner manage system of World Heritage property contribut social inclusion equity, improvity opportute for all, irrespect of age, a disability ethnicity origin, religion economy other st.	, the ment of the endoes ute to on and endoes utities extive sex, ty, or endoor or endoes end	Hiring policy.			When vacancies	occur.	Cathedral Chapter	·	None

Speyer Cathedral 49 of 53

6.1.10 Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property		Entrance fees and donation requests.	Always.	Cathedral Chapter.	None
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff		When needed.	Cathedral Chapter.	None
10	Monitoring				
		Consulations with the Cathedral Construction Administration.	Within the next two years.	Cathedral Chapter.	None

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive

Speyer Cathedral 50 of 53

International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Not applicable
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level
- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Speyer Cathedral 51 of 53

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Staff from other World Heritage properties

UNESCO National Commission

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has not been explicitly considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

50 / 10 / 60 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Specific questionaire for cultural and natural sites.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

Speyer Cathedral 52 of 53

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
 - Geographic information table

Reason for update: Property (ha): 0,558 see map adopted 2014

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Speyer Cathedral 53 of 53