Olympic National Park

- 1. World Heritage Property Data
- 1.1 Name of World Heritage property

Olympic National Park

- 1.2 World Heritage property details
- 1.3 Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Olympic Mountains	0/0	352335	0	352335	
Pacific Coastal Area	0/0	17324.8	0	17324.8	
Total (ha)		369659.8	0	369659.8	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Olympic National Park	2006	

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
 - 1. Olympic National Park (U.S. National Park Service)
 - 2. World Heritage in the United States

Comment

https://www.nps.gov/subjects/internationalcooperation/worldheritage.htm

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	×	
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if

Olympic National Park 1 of 59

relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

 No
- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Olympic National Park 2 of 59

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief Synthesis

Olympic National Park features a spectacular coastline, scenic lakes, majestic mountains and glaciers, and a magnificent virgin temperate rainforest. Olympic National Park has a wealth of geological formations – including rocky islets along the coast formed by a continuously receding and changing coastline, deep canyons and valleys formed by erosion and craggy peaks and beautiful cirques sculpted by glaciation. Olympic National Park is also the lowest latitude in the world in which glaciers form below an elevation of 2000 meters and occur below an elevation of 1000 meters. The park's relative isolation, high rainfall, strong west-to-east precipitation gradient, ten major watersheds and rugged topography have combined to produce varied and complex life zones – from coastline to temperate forest to alpine meadows to glaciated peaks. As a result, the park is rich in biological diversity and has a high rate of endemism.

Criterion (vii): Olympic National Park is of remarkable beauty, and is the largest protected area in the temperate region of the world that includes in one complex ecosystems from ocean edge through temperate rainforest, alpine meadows and glaciated mountain peaks. It contains one of the world's largest stands of virgin temperate rainforest, and includes many of the largest coniferous tree species on earth.

Criterion (ix): The park's varied topography from seashore to glacier, affected by high rainfall, has produced complex and varied vegetation zones, providing habitats of unmatched diversity on the Pacific coast. The coastal Olympic rainforest reaches its maximum development within the property and has a living standing biomass which may be the highest anywhere in the world. The park's isolation has allowed the development of endemic wildlife, subspecies of trout, varieties of plants and unique fur coloration in mammals, indications of a separate course of evolution.

Integrity

At over 373,000 hectares, of which 95% is federally protected wilderness, the property is large enough to contain on-going geological processes (glaciation and changing coastline) and evolution of the many and varied forest types. The park's proximity to eight federally recognized tribal reservations - of which it shares boundaries with four - provides opportunities for cooperation to protect park resources. The Olympic Coast Marine Sanctuary provides a buffer for marine protection, and federal and state forest lands offer additional opportunities for boundary protection and connectivity with the larger landscape.

Protection and management requirements

Designated by the U.S. Congress in 1938 as a national park, Olympic National Park is managed under the authority of the *Organic Act* of August 25, 1916 which established the United States National Park Service. In addition, the park has enabling legislation which provides broad congressional direction regarding the primary purposes of the park. Numerous other federal laws bring additional layers of protection to the park and its resources. Day to day management is directed by the Park Superintendent.

Management goals and objectives for the property have been developed through a General Management Plan, which has been supplemented in recent years with site-specific planning exercises as well as numerous plans for specific issues and resources. In addition, the National Park Service has established Management Policies which provide broader direction for all National Park Service units, including Olympic.

The National Park Service works closely with other land and water management agencies in larger North Pacific region to protect shared resources. One example is the North Pacific Landscape Conservation Cooperative, which brings together science and resource management to inform climate adaptation strategies to address climate change and other stressors within this ecological region.

Mountain goats (*Oreamnos americanus*), introduced to the property in the 1920s, may be causing significant changes in the natural ecosystem. Research has suggested that the mountain goats have reduced plant cover, increased erosion, and shifted plant-community dominants toward more resistant or less palatable species; they have been recorded feeding on at least three of the endemic plants, and some concern has been expressed that these species may be endangered by the mountain goat. Habitat loss outside the park also appears to be impacting other species within the park such as the endangered marbled murrelet and the near threatened northern spotted owl. In the longer term, climate change may impact the ranges of dominant plant species, altering habitat and threatening endemic species in the park.

The Elwha Ecosystem Restoration Project is the second largest ecosystem restoration project in the history of the National Park Service after the Everglades. With the removal of the 64 meter Glines Canyon Dam and the 33 meter Elwha Dam, along with the draining of their reservoirs, the park is now revegetating the slopes and river bottoms to prevent erosion and accelerate ecological recovery. The primary purpose of this project is to restore anadromous stocks of Pacific Salmon and Steelhead to the Elwha River, which had been denied access to the upper 105 km of river habitat for more than 95 years by these dams.

In 2008, the fisher (Martes pennanti) was reintroduced into the park, restoring an important component of the park's native wildlife and enhancing the ecosystem's integrity.

Long-term protection and effective management of the site from potential threats requires continued monitoring of resource conditions, such as through the NPS Inventory and Monitoring (I&M) program. The North Coast and Cascades I&M network, of which Olympic National Park is a part, has developed several "vital signs" to track a subset of physical, chemical and biological elements and processes selected to represent the overall health or condition of park resources. In Olympic National Park, these vital signs include water quality, climate, landscape dynamics, intertidal ecosystems, landbird populations, and others.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Coastline	×			
3.2.2	Scenic Lakes	×			
3.2.3	Majestic Mountains	×			
3.2.4	Glaciers			×	
3.2.5	Temperate Rainforest	×			
3.2.6	Watersheds	×			
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					

Olympic National Park 3 of 59

3.2.10			
3.2.11			
3.2.12			
3.2.13			
3.2.14			
3.2.15			

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	* Not relevant
Relevant	Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

≭ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

Olympic National Park 4 of 59

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			/
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			i	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×		×			
		×		×			

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×			×		→	
○ Negative X	×			×		→	

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×	×	×				7
Negative							

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant

Olympic National Park 5 of 59

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
	The following

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			7

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Not relevant Not relevant	Relevant	X Not relevant
---------------------------	----------	----------------

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×			

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×			×				

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Olympic National Park 6 of 59

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×			<i>></i>

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant ** Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant



Olympic National Park 7 of 59

○ Positive ★	×	×		
Negative X	×	×		

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

★ Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant



4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Current and potential water withdrawal from lakes within the park; potential is for these withdrawals to increase.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Olympic National Park 8 of 59

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×				-	

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			
Negative							

Olympic National Park 9 of 59

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×	×				
Negative								

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			
Negative							

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			
	×	×	×	×			

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			
Negative X	×	×	×	×			

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

Olympic National Park 10 of 59

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow	

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Military training operating areas exists over the coastal areas of the park. Park does work closely and positively with the military on search and rescue operations.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			7

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✗ Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact O		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			1

Olympic National Park 11 of 59

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			7

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

≭ Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×			<i>P</i>	

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			7

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Other climate change impacts include tsunami risk, unusual tidal events including erosion of beach/coastal line, heat domes, receding glaciers, and decrease in habitat of endemic species.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Olympic National Park 12 of 59

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×			

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X		х	×	×				

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×				<i>P</i>

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1
Negative X	×	×	×	×			-

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

≭ Relevant	I	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							

Olympic National Park 13 of 59

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			7

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			ı	Not relevant					
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			1		

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			<i>P</i>

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
	THE FORWARD

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✗ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Rele	evant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow			
Negative									

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant		Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow				
Negative										

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact						
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow				
Negative										

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow				
Negative										

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

★ Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow			
Negative									

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

Olympic National Park 15 of 59

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

- 4.14. Other factor(s)
- 4.14.1 Other factor(s)
- 4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development 4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	•	q		()	ে	_
4.1.4 major visitor accommodation and associated immastracture		-1			G	
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	•	9		•	Œ	→
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•	9	9	•	Œ	/
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	•		A		C	
			9		G	
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	O	9			Œ.	→
		4	_		F	→
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	O	A	4	•		
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.1 Pollution of marine waters		~3	~3			
4.4.3 Surface water pollution		4	4	()	(F	
			Ø		F	
4.4.4 Air pollution			•			
		q			Œ	
4.4.5 Solid waste						
		q	Ą		F	
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources						
		9			Œ	7
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection	•					
			9		Œ	
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production						
		9	9		Œ	
4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.4 Water (extraction)						
		9	9	•		
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						

Olympic National Park 16 of 59

		9	9	•		1
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	9	9	•	Œ	
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	•	9	9	•	G	
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	O	9	9	•	Œ	
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	O	9	9	•	G	
		9	9	•	G	
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	()	9	9	•	F	
		9	9	•	G	
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.3 Military training						
		9			C	→
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
		9	9	•	G	<i>P</i>
4.10.2 Flooding		~3	~3			
4.10.5 Changes to oceanic waters		4	4	•	(F	
		A	ø	((E	,
4.10.6 Temperature change		•	•	9	4	
		9	9	•	Œ	<i>></i>
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
		9	9	•	G	1
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
			9	•	G	
4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave						
			9	•	G	
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						
		9	9	•	G	
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
		9	9	•		
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)		9	9	•	(F	
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species		- 0	- 0	Ų.	Çi	Ť
4.12.1 Translocated species						
		9	9	•	G	-
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species						
		9	9	•	G	1
4.12.3 Invasive/Alien freshwater species						
		9	9	•	G	/

Olympic National Park 17 of 59



4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Majo	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	0	9		•	F	\rightarrow
Snatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
^							
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						

Olympic National Park 18 of 59

	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities	•	9	•	F	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Name				Origin	Trend	
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		0	9	9	•	Œ	<i>P</i>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
×							

Olympic National Park 19 of 59

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact		Extensive					
One off or rane internation of sociacits Frequent X One-closing Integration of the attributes Integration of the attributes of the attributes Integration of the attributes of the attributes Integration of the attributes of the attribute of the attributes of the attribute of the attr		Widespread					
International Content on the State Superior on the State State Superior on the State S	Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
Pregant X On going Impact - response on the autilizess Impact - response on the autilizess Impact - response - Capacity of management to respond X Major Mutual capacity Modure capacity Modure capacity And capacity Domosing X Selic Portraining X Selic Portraining Spatial scale Assa affected by the factor Spatial scale Cocurrence of the impact Core of or rare Timporal scale Cocurrence of the impact Congoling Cong		One off or rare					
Integration of the autrituites Integration of the autrituites		Intermittent or sporadic					
Impact - Impact on the attributes Resignificant Minor		Frequent					
Minor	×	On-going					
Minor Significent Mapor Management response - Capacity of management to respond	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
Signation ***********************************		Insignificant					
X Management to respond X 1 High capacity X Median capacity Median capacity No capacity and or resources Trand - Development over the last 6 years X Static Impact		Minor					
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Modium caponity		Significant					
High capacity Medium capacity	×	Major					
Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and Jor resources Trend - Development over the last 6 years X Static Increasing Name Impact Origin Trend A.2.3 Air ransport infrastructure Restricted Localised Extensive Extensive Wildespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rane Minor Impact Origin Trend Restricted Impact Origin Trend Impact Origin Trend Trend Trend A.2.3 Air ransport infrastructure Impact Origin Trend Trend Trend Trend A.2.3 Air ransport infrastructure Impact Origin Trend	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
Low capacity	×	High capacity					
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Development over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing		Medium capacity					
Trend - Development over the tast 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing Impact Origin Trend		Low capacity					
Satist Increasing Impact Origin Trend		No capacity and / or resources					
Name	Trend - De	elopement over the last 6 years					
Increasing Name Impact Origin Trend		Decreasing					
Name 4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure 4.2.4 Restricted 4.2.5 Area affected by the factor 5.2.5 Area affected by the factor 6.2.5 Area affected by the factor 8.2.5 Area affected	×	Static					
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor X Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare X Intermittent or sporadio Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor X Significant Mejor Management response - Capacity of management to respond		Increasing					
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor X Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare X Intermittent or sporadio Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor X Significant Mejor Management response - Capacity of management to respond	Nome		lmmaat		Outsin		Trand
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor X Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare X Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor X Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond		nsport infrastructure		q	Origin	Œ	rrena
Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor X Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor X Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond	×						
Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor X Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond	Temporal s						
Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor X Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor X Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond	×						
Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor X Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond	Impact - Im						
Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond	iiipact - iii						
X Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond		Insignificant					
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	×	Minor					
	×	Minor Significant					
		Minor Significant Major					

Olympic National Park 20 of 59

	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin	-	Trend
4.2.4 Warin	e transport infrastructure	•	9			(F	→
			4			G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impost			Origin		Trend
	s arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Impact	q	q	Origin		/
	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						

Olympic National Park 21 of 59

	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going Control of the Control of t
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact	i		Origin		Trend
4.4.1 Po	lution of marine waters						
			9	9	•	Œ	7
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact -	Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manage	nent response - Capacity of management to respond						

Olympic National Park 22 of 59

	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.3 Surfac	ce water pollution						
				9		G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
No					0		
Name 4.4.4 Air po	llution	Impact			Origin		Trend
ро			q			F	
			,			,	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						

Olympic National Park 23 of 59

Restricted

	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.5 Solid	waste		~	~3		~**	
			A	4		E	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						

Olympic National Park 24 of 59

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
Trend - Dev	Decreasing
Trend - Dev	

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	ne Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.5.1 Fishir	ng/collecting aquatic resources						
			9			G	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection	•	9		Œ	
		9		(

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Olympic National Park 25 of 59

×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.10 Fore	stry/Wood production						
			4	9		(
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						

Olympic National Park 26 of 59

Major

Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.6.4 Water	(extraction)					
			9	9	•	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

N	ame	Impact	Origin	Trend	

Olympic National Park 27 of 59

4.7.6 Water	/water table)						
			9	9	•		1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	npact - Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritua	I/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	9	9	②	Œ	
Spatial age	ale - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca	ale - Alea allected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						

Olympic National Park 28 of 59

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	nute valuing of basisage	Impact		ma .	Origin		Trend
4.6.2 Socie	y's valuing of heritage	O	9	9	•	G	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Olympic National Park 29 of 59

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.3 Indige	nous hunting, gathering and collecting	•	9	9	•	ઉ	
	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Chang	ges in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	O	P	9	•	(
			q	9	•	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						

Olympic National Park 30 of 59

Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impa	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	0	9	9	•	G	
			9	9	•	G	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ont response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Olympic National Park 31 of 59

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.3 Milita	ry training					
			q		G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.1 Stor	ms						
			q	9	•	Œ	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						

Olympic National Park 32 of 59

	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.2 Floo	ding			~~			
			9	H	•	(\$	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						

Ivaille		iiipaci	•		Origin		Henu
4.10.2	Flooding						
			Ą	9	•	F	/
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact	- Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manag	ement response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
Olympic	National Park					3	33 of 59

Olympic National Park 33 of 59

	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.10.5 Changes to oceanic waters						
		9	q	•	Œ	7
Snatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

		4	4	()	C.	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.10.6 Temperature change						
		9	9	()	Œ	1

		9	q	•	Œ	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					

Olympic National Park 34 of 59

	Frequent							
×	On-going On-going							
	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
×	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
×	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
	Static							
×	Increasing							
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.10.7 Othe	r climate change impacts		~	~3		~**		
			A	9	•	©		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
×	Widespread							
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
×	On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
	Significant							
×	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
×	Low capacity							
Tues de D	No capacity and / or resources							
Trena - Dev	Pegrassing							
	Decreasing							
	Static							

Olympic National Park 35 of 59

One off or rare

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake							
				9	•	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.3 Tsur	nami/Tidal wave						
					•	C.	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						

	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
impuot iii	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
~	Major						
Managomo	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
Wanageme	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
~							
×	Low capacity No capacity and / or resources						
irena - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
~	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.4 Ava	anche/Landslide						
			q	9	•	Œ	
0	de Assa effected by the feeter						
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised						
	Restricted Localised Extensive						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurrence of the impact						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant						
X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major						
X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major int response - Capacity of management to respond						
X Impact - Im X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity						
X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Apact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Intermittent or sporadic						
X Impact - Im X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Interpose - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity						
X Impact - Im X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Apact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Intermittent or sporadic						

Olympic National Park 37 of 59

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		pact		mpact		oact (Trend
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition									
		q	9	•	-				

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
×	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
×	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
×	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)		9	9	•	G	/
			A	()	Œ	1

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic

Olympic National Park 38 of 59

	Frequent
	On-going Control of the Control of t
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.12.1 Tran	4.12.1 Translocated species						
			q	9	•	G	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						

Olympic National Park 39 of 59

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species						
		q	9	•	(<i>P</i>

		7	7	C)	G.	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.12.3 Invasive/Alien freshwater species						
		9	9	•	(P

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic

Olympic National Park 40 of 59

	Frequent						
lunnant lun	On-going						
impact - im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact		Origin			Trend
4.12.4 Inva	ive/Alien marine species		~~	~3	•	~	
			9	9	(I)	C.	1
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						

Olympic National Park 41 of 59

Decreasing

×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Man	agement system/Management plan	O	9	9	•	G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	al framework	Impact		en e	Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	al framework	②	4	9	•	E	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						

Olympic National Park 42 of 59

	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
~							
X	On-going						
impact - ir	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Gov	rernance	©	q	q	©	Œ	→
				·			
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going Control of the Control of t						
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						

Olympic National Park 43 of 59

	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Man	ngement activities	O	9	9	•	(→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	O	9	9	•	G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

Olympic National Park 44 of 59

	Frequent						
×	On-going Control of the Control of t						
Impact - I	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impac		~	Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Hu	nan resources	©	9	9	@	G	→
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - I	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						

Olympic National Park 45 of 59

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

Static

Increasing

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

Decreasing

No capacity and / or resources

×

×

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

The majority of the answers relate to the main aspects of Olympic National Park (the mountainous area); coastal and marine environments relate solely to the coastal land.

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Glaciers			×	
4.18.1.2	Endemic Alpine Species			×	
4.18.1.3	Endemic Fish Species		×		
4.18.1.4	Data Monitoring for Keystone Species and Landscapes	×			
4.18.1.5					

Olympic National Park 46 of 59

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property has no buffer zone and does not need one

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has no known and recognised buffer zone

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

In 1897, the beauty and significance of the Olympic Peninsula was formally recognized through President Cleveland's designation of 2,188,000 acres (885,456 hectares) as the Olympic Forest Reserve (which was later reduced in size by over 500,000 acres/202,344 hectares). Within that area, Mount Olympus National Monument was established in 1909 (it, too, was subsequently reduced in size). In 1916, the National Park Service was created, and, in 1933, administration of Mount Olympus National Monument was transferred from the U.S. Forest Service to the National Park Service. Olympic National Park was established in 1938 with the abolishment of Mount Olympus National Monument (52 Stat. 1241). Legislation subsequent to the enabling act of Olympic National Park has, among other provisions, authorized exclusive jurisdiction within the park, added rain forest acreage, the Morse Creek watershed, and the Queets corridor, enlarged the coastal strip, adjusted park boundaries to hydrographic divides, and designated a portion of the park as wilderness (Frank and Rhines, 1986). The park currently encompasses over 922,000 acres (373,121 hectares).

The following are United States federal statutes:

Antiquities Act, 1906 (16 USC 431 et seq.)

Act to Establish the National Park Service (Organic Act), 1916 (16 USC 1)

Act to Abolish Mount Olympus National Monument and Establish Olympic

National Park, 1938 (P.L. 75-778, 52 Stat. 1241)

Wilderness Act, 1964 (16 USC 1131 et seq.)

National Historic Preservation Act, 1966 (16 USC 470 et seq.)

Clean Air Act, 1967, as amended (42 USC 7401-671)

National Environmental Policy Act, 1969, as amended (42 USC 4321 et seq.)

Act to Improve the Administration of the National Park System (General Authorities Act, 1970, as amended (16 USC 1a-5 et seq.)

Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 1972 (Clean Water Act), as amended (33 USC 1251 et seq.)

Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 USC 1455, as amended through P.L. 104-150, Coastal Zone Protection Act of 1996)

Endangered Species Act, 1973 (16 USC 1531 et seq.)

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 1976, as amended (42 USC 6901 et seq.)

American Indian Religious Freedom Act, 1978 (42 USC 1996 et seq.)

Archaeological Resources Protection Act, 1979 (16 USC 470 et seq.)

National Parks Overflights Act, 1987 (Public Law 100-91)

Washington Park Wilderness Act of 1988 (P.L. 100-668, 102 Stat. 3961)

Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, 1990 (25 USC 3001 et seg.)

Elwha Ecosystem and Fisheries Restoration Act of 1992, (P.L. 102-495, 106 Stat. 3173)

Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, 1996 (16 USC 1801)

National Park Air Tour Management Act, 2000 (Public Law 106-181)

Source: Periodic Reporting, 1st Cycle, 2004

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

Olympic National Park 47 of 59

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has no buffer zone

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- **5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property** Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property. Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans) Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property A code of practice developed by local communities or other groups A code of practice developed by industry An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations A management plan An annual work plan or business plan A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan A visitor/visitation management plan An environmental management framework An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts

Olympic National Park 48 of 59

of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.15.5	Women			×		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples					×
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

Olympic National Park 49 of 59

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					×
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	78 %	78 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	1 %	1 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	18 %	18 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	3 %	3 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

- 6.1.2 Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above
- 6.1.3 Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are $\ensuremath{\textit{secure over both the medium-}}$ and $\ensuremath{\textit{long-term}}$

- 6.1.5 Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure
- 6.1.6 Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

Olympic National Park 50 of 59

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Not applicable
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Not applicable
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

 ${\bf No}\ {\bf use}$ has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Question 6.1.12 is confusing. In the future, if this question could be clarified. Is this referring to successional planning? Is this related to working with other organizations on their conservation and management of areas? Further clarity would be appreciated.

- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?
- 7.2 Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?
- 7.3 Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Olympic National Park 51 of 59

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed

Olympic National Park 52 of 59

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

2,432,972 / 2,718,925 / 2,499,177 / 3,245,806 / 3,104,455 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Other

Vehicle car counters

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

Hotels: \$78M; Camping: \$8.4M / Groceries: \$16.7M; Restaurants: \$46.7M / Transportation: \$22M; Gas: \$32M / \$4.2M / \$1.6M / \$23.6M /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

NPS-specific systems and statistics as well as other national processes

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Partnerships through alternate transportation and local businesses

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding

Olympic National Park 53 of 59

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				X
10.3.3	Character of governance				X
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				X
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone	
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.4	The property has no buffer zone	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×

Olympic National Park 54 of 59

6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	×
Please	e select 3 more issues.	
☑ Plea	ase save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		ors arresting the r	' '					
4.4	Pollution							
4.4.1		Pollution of marine waters						
4.4.3		Surface water pollution						
4.4.4	Air pollution	Air quality	Monitor air quality in select areas of the park	The park currently operates two air monitorin sites	Continuous; sites of downloaded bi-week		ional Park Service	N/A
4.7	Local condit	ions affecting physical f	abric					
4.7.6	Water Water table including both water quality and quantity variations		Monitor water quality in select areas of the park	ity in select park for public consumption			nal Park Service JS Geological ⊵y	N/A
4.8	Social/Cultu	ral uses of heritage						
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	Treaty Rights Olympic National Park's Memorandum of Understand Legal authority to consult with Tribal Nations	Work with Tribal Nations, including ongoing restoration projects and co-protection of sensitive resources	actively engaged in monitoring	Continuous	Tribal Nations whose Indigenous Lands are within what is now Olympic National Park National Par Service	Interior are wo co-stewardship	tment of the rking toward
4.10	Climate cha	nge and severe weather	events					
4.10.1	Storms	Working to determine appropriate criteria given the speed and variability of climate change on a park that is as multifaceted and vulnerable as Olympic National Park	Regular monitoring, especially after unusual storm events either in size, frequency, or seasonality	Ongoing monitoring with other agencies to look at erosion along shorelines, including bluff retreat Ongoing monitoring of snowpack relating to riverine environments For sensitive cultural sites, the Tribal Nations and the park have monitoring plans	Continuous	Tribal Nations US Geological Surve National Park Su SeaGrant (Unive of Washington)	ey precipitatio urvey climate cha ersity led to threa infrastructu sea level ris	n due to inges have its to park re. Due to se, there are cultural sites risk for
4.10.5		Change						
4.10.7	Other climate change impac		end of ascertain po cially dynamics and ecies	-	orogram for cies Active ad elating to cies of inimals otential		National Park Service	N/A

Olympic National Park 55 of 59

4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events							
4.11.2		Earthquake						
4.11.5	Erosion and siltation/Deposition	See Question 4.10.1						
Question not	completed							

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summa	li y - ivia	mageme	ent Need	S						
5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones									
Actions		Actions		Timeframe		Lead agency (and others involved)		More info / comment		
has no known place and defin recognised park buffer zone prote		place to h defined b park is su	e not plans currently in have a buffer zone, as y UNESCO, in place. The irrounded largely by federal and state lands rs.	e, as ace. The by		N/A		N/A		
5.2 Protective Measures										
5.2.4		The prophas no b	ouffer	There are not plans current buffer zone, as defined by I park is surrounded largely I state lands and waters.	JNESCO, in place. The	N/A		N/A	I	N/A
5.3		Managen	nent System	n/Management Plan						
5.3.7		No use he been made the Policy Documenthe Impact Climate Con World Heritage Properties the proper	le of a b b t on rots of N hange	the National Park Service (L nd guidance relating to clim y which changes are record equirements. Those are the lational Park uses.	ate change, including metric led as well as infrastructure	cs		N/A		N/A
5.3.9		No use had been made the Strate Reducing from Disa at World Heritage Properties the prope	le of ggy for pRisks asters	The National Park Service (L uidance relating to climate of ark-centric continuity of ope gencies have additional res	change, including a erations; state and local	N/A		N/A		N/A
6.1 Funding										
6.1.10		been the V Herit Strat Capa	age egy for acity elopment at Vorld age	The National Park Servi development policy and standards that Olympic	guidance. Those are the	N/A		N/A		N/A
6.1.12		site- capa build progr place mana imple exter	ing plan or ramme in	It remains unclear what topic.	is being inquired of by this	N/A		N/A		N/A

Olympic National Park 56 of 59

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Climate impacts associated with glaciers, riverine environments, and erosion are being impacted by factors outside of the park's control and ability to manage.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Not applicable
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Institutional coordination Security	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Security Gender equality	Very positive Very positive
Security Gender equality Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or	Very positive Very positive Very positive
Security Gender equality Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive Very positive Very positive Very positive
Security Gender equality Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive Very positive Very positive Very positive Very positive

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

The National Park Service has an excellent law, regulation, and policy system that allows for consistent management of parks throughout the country.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Management

Olympic National Park 57 of 59

Governance

Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Not needed
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

14/0/10/

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable

ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Good

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Not applicable
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Good
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Olympic National Park 59 of 59