1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Fortifications on the Caribbean Side of Panama: Portobelo-San Lorenzo

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Portobelo	9.554 / -79.656	0	0	0	1980
San Lorenzo	9.324 / -80.003	0	0	0	1980
Total (ha)			0		

1.4 - Map(s)

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. El Área protegida San Lorenzo (Centro de Estudios y Acción Social Panameño (CEASPA))(spanish only)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

The World Heritage property is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years? Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	х
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

The World Heritage property is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Ritual and festive expressions of the Congo culture, signed up in 2018 on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of Humanity, Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage.

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

The World Heritage property is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The Fortifications on the Caribbean side of Panamá: Portobelo and San Lorenzo are located along the coast of the Province of Colón. There are diverse fortification sites around the Bay of Portobelo, denominated San Fernando fortifications: Lower Battery, Upper Battery and Hilltop Stronghold; San Jerónimo Battery Fort; Santiago fortifications: Castle of Santiago de la Gloria, Battery and Hilltop Stronghold; the old Santiago Fortress; ruins of Fort Farnese; the La Trinchera site; the Buenaventura Battery; and the San Cristóbal site. Forty-three kilometers away, at the mouth the Chagres River stands the San Lorenzo Castle (originally "San Lorenzo el Real del Chagre") with its Upper Battery as a separate structure.

The component parts of the property represent characteristic examples of military architecture developed by the Spanish Empire in its New World territories largely between the 17th and the 18th centuries. The first plans for fortifying the entrance to the Bay of Portobelo and the mouth of the Chagres River were prepared in 1586 by Bautista Antonelli. Following his recommendations, the first fortifications in Portobelo were begun in the 1590's. As a whole, these structures comprised a defensive line to protect Portobelo's harbour and the mouth of the Chagres River, which were the Caribbean terminals of the transcontinental route across the Isthmus of Panama. The defensive system includes fortifications in different styles, some of them skilfully integrated into the natural landscape as part of its military defensive design. They were also adapted to the changing needs of defensive technologies in the course of three centuries in order to protect the capital resources sent from colonial America to Spain after crossing the Isthmus of Panama. In the earliest constructions, a military style with mediaeval features prevailed, while in the eighteenth century the structures were rebuilt in the neo-classical style, which can be observed at the forts of Santiago, San Jeronimo and San Fernando, and also at San Lorenzo.

On the regional scale, these military compounds belonged to a larger defensive system, including Veracruz (Mexico), Cartagena (Colombia), and Havana (Cuba), to protect the route of commercial trade between the Americas and Spain. Portobelo, where the famous fairs were held, was one of the principal Caribbean ports and played a leading role controlling the imperial trade in the Americas.

The site is a key element to the understanding of the adaptation of European building models and their impact on the New World transformation during the modern era. This property demonstrates the strategic organization of the territory and represents an important concept of defence and technology development mainly between the 17th and 18th centuries.

The town of San Felipe de Portobelo was founded in March 20th, 1597, as a Caribbean Terminal of the trail through the Isthmus of Panama, to replace Nombre de Dios as a port of transit and trans-shipment. The need to ease the overland path along the Isthmus during the rainy season called for an alternative route. The Chagres River-Cruces path, a mixed fluvial and land trail, was the counterpart of Camino Real from Panama City to Portobelo, built as a response to this need.

Criterion (i): The Fortifications on the Caribbean Side of Panama: Portobelo-San Lorenzo are a masterpiece of human creative genius. Portobelo is a remarkable example of an open fortified town, destroyed and built several times. San Lorenzo underwent the same process of renovations along the colonial era.

Criterion (iv): The Fortifications on the Caribbean Side of Panama: Portobelo-San Lorenzo, a group of late 16th, 17th and 18th century fortifications, are among the most characteristic adaptations of Spanish military architecture to tropical climate and landscape features, and represent the structural and technological development of military structures in the Caribbean.

Integrity

The key elements that convey the Outstanding Universal Value of the property are located within the original boundaries. These features still illustrate the evolution of military architecture developed by the Spanish colonial empire to protect the commerce route which connected South America with Spain across the Isthmus of Panama. The major components of the fortified system are still visible at Portobelo, where most colonial fortresses continue to be a resemblance of the original; the same applies to the bay, where the forts are emplaced. Likewise, at San Lorenzo the fort and the Chagres river mouth have been maintained.

However, the integrity of the property has been compromised to different degrees by environmental factors, by uncontrolled urban sprawl and development and by the lack of maintenance and management. A number of measures, including conservation works, enforcement of regulations and the operation of a site management unit, will need to be implemented in a sustained manner to prevent the further erosion of the conditions of integrity, particularly at the component parts located in Portobelo.

Authenticity

In terms of form, design, material and setting the components of the property have remained mostly unchanged through time, expressing the essence of the fortified system and the evolution of European models of military architecture from the late 16th to the 18th century in the Americas. The military structures have largely retained the overall original form, although most architectural finishes, decorative elements and some wall sections have been lost as a result of decay. The vulnerability to decay factors will need to be addressed through sustained conservation actions, carried out in accordance with scientific conservation principles and standards.

Protection and management requirements

The Fortifications on the Caribbean Side of Panama: Portobelo-San Lorenzo are protected by general Panamanian legislation on heritage (Law 14/1982, updated by Law 58/2003) and by specific legal instruments for each site. Underwater historic vestiges are protected nationwide by Law 32/2003.

Existing legislation underscores the protection of Portobelo (Law 91/1976 and Executive Decree 43/1999). Municipal Ordinance 32/2005 addresses long-standing land ownership issues in Portobelo's historic core and surrounding National Park. On December 27, 2011, the National Heritage Directorate established new guidelines for architectural projects in monuments and historic sites in the erntire country, which also apply to the Fortifications on the Caribbean side of Panama (Resolution 172-11/DNPH). In the case of San Lorenzo, protection is granted by Law 61/1908, Law 68/1941, and the general heritage legislation mentioned above. However, due to its recent incorporation to the Panamanian administration after 83 years under United States government management, protection policies need to be strengthened.

The Protection and Development Plan for the Interoceanic Region approved by Law 21/1997 also includes conservation norms for San Lorenzo. In April, 2005, the National Environment Authority (ANAM) published a Management Plan for Chagres National Park which includes conservation measures for San Lorenzo.

Both fortified compounds are under the administration of the National Institute of Culture (Instituto Nacional de Cultura - INAC) through the National Heritage Directorate and since 2007 also by the Patronato Portobelo San Lorenzo, a mixed public-private organization currently responsible for management, conservation, and community outreach and fundraising. Its primary goals are protecting the architectural remains and making this heritage accessible to national and international communities.

Among the requirements identified for the proper protection of the property is the creation of a Master Plan to guide all short- and long-term actions and strategies at both sites. Protection mechanisms at San Lorenzo need to be updated in the form of a specific site law (including detailed protective measurements and the enlargement of boundaries and a buffer zone creation); the San Lorenzo component has recently been segregated from Chagres National Park and is in the process of being transferred to INAC's custody. At Portobelo, designation and effective protection and management of buffer zones for each fortified structure, is mandatory to guarantee its protection from the pressures of urban growth.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Portobelo-San Lorenzo are a masterpiece of human creative genius. The component parts of the property represent characteristic examples of military architecture developed by the Spanish Empire in its New World territories largely between the 17th and the 18th centuries.	×			
3.2.2	The site is a key element to the understanding of the adaptation of European building models and their impact on the New World transformation during the modern era.	×			
3.2.3	These features still illustrate the evolution of military architecture developed by the Spanish colonial empire to protect the commerce route which connected South America with Spain across the Isthmus of Panama.	×			
3.2.4	Portobelo is a remarkable example of an open fortified town, destroyed and built several times. San Lorenzo underwent the same process of renovations along the colonial era.		×		
3.2.5	In terms of form, design, material and setting the components of the property have remained mostly unchanged through time, expressing the essence of the fortified system and the evolution of European models of military architecture from the late 16th to the 18th century in the Americas.		×		
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The Outstanding Universal Value of the site has been affected by the lack of resources for its conservation, the lack of an adequate management plan, and the impact of the climatic conditions of the area, which are prone to heavy rains and erosion. Currently, the State party is executing, with funds obtained from the Inter-American Development Bank, the emergency plan to mitigate the impact of the deterioration of the fortification structures and in the preparation of a new management plan.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.1.2 - Commercial development Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.1.3 - Industrial areas Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infras Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	tructure
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside 	

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×		×			1
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The Visitor Center of San Lorenzois a national initiative that respond to the needs of national and foreign tourists visit the San Lorenzo Protected Forest and Protected Landscape and the Castle of San Lorenzo. In this sense, it does not represent a threat or induce an increase in visitations to the castle that could represent a problem for its conservation. On the contrary, is about 8 km away from the Castle of San Lorenzo.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):
 - Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		\rightarrow	
Negative							
4.2.2 - Underground transp Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/ • Not relevant		ure	X Not relevant				
 4.2.3 - Air transport infrast Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/ Not relevant 							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.2.4 - Marine transport inf	rastructure						

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×		×		→	
Negative							

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):
 - Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			→	

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

One of the components of the fortifications of Portobelo the Santiago de la Gloria Castle is affected by the passage of the road that connects the town with the rest of the district, dividing the parade ground of the Castle in two. This situation has been present since before the declaration of the site in 1980. Currently, the access road to the San Lorenzo Castle is being rehabilitated, a road located within the San Lorenzo National Park.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origi				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×		×			1
Negative							

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×				/	
Negative								
4.3.3 - Non-renewable ener Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/ • Not relevant								
Relevant			X Not relevant					
 4.3.4 - Localised utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/ • Relevant, Negative, Curre 								
Relevant			× Not relevant					
 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/ Not relevant 								
Relevant			× Not relevant					

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

As part of the investment that the state party is making in the site, a new water treatment plant for the district of Portobelo in under construction, which entails a new water pipe line. The facilities for the attention of visitors in San Lorenzo use solar energy panels for the generation of electricity.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×			1

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×			×			1		
 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside 									
Relevant			× Not relevant						
 4.4.4 - Air pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 									
Relevant			× Not relevant						
 .4.5 - Solid waste revious answer Cycle 2 (05/12/ Relevant, Negative, Curre 									
X Relevant			1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin	Origin Trend of impact					
					A - ·		a		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Impact O Positive	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution → Decreasing	➡ Stable	Increasing		
OPositive	×	Potential	• Inside		* Decreasing	- Stable			

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Garbage pollution is produced by the resident population of Portobelo, whose solid waste collection system by the municipality is deficient, much of which is dumped in the riverbeds, which discharge them into the sea. To avoid this situation, which worsens every year due to the increase in population and economic activities, it is necessary to improve the garbage disposal system and carry out an environmental education campaign in the district. This problem does not occur in San Lorenzo.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):
 - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.2 - Aquaculture Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.3 - Land conversion Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside 	
Relevant	× Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.5 - Crop production Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.8 - Commercial huntingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors	selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or

positively

Both Portobelo and San Lorenzo are located within a protected natural park with surveillance by park rangers who regulate hunting activities and theft of species.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/201	2):
------------------------------------	-----

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.2 - QuarryingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.3 - Oil and gasPrevious answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.6.4 - Water (extraction) Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	× Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Both Portobelo and San Lorenzo are located within a protected natural park where all mining and quarrying activities are prohibited.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):
 - Not relevant

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×				

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):
 - Not relevant

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			

4.7.3 - Temperature

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):
 - Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant
4.7.4 - Radiation/LightPrevious answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.5 - Dust Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):	

× Not relevant

Not relevant

Relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×			1
477 Deete							

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous	answer	Cvcle	2	(05/1	2/201	2):
1 1011040	anonoi	0,010	-	(00/ 1	2,20	

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Exposure to environmental factors such as wind, erosion of walls due to water runoff from streams and waves from the sea, high environmental humidity and the presence of microflora represent a risk to the integrity of the walls of the fortifications, so a periodic maintenance program is required. Currently, work is being done on the restoration of the swalls of the San Lorenzo Castle, and it is expected to begin this year with the restoration of the San Jerónimo and San Fernando in Portobelo.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
OPositive X	×			×				
Negative								
 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/ Relevant, Positive, Currer 	2012):							
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt				
4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/ • Not relevant								
Relevant			X Not relevant					
 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 								
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt				
 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohe Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/ Relevant, Positive, Currer 	2012):	in local populati	on and com	munity				
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt				
4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/ Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/ • Relevant, Positive, Currer	2012):	eation						
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Orig		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X		×		×			1	

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In Portobelo, the Congo culture prevails, intangible heritage of humanity, full of cultural, gastronomic expressions and ancestral traditions. The lack of job opportunities in the district is causing the migration of young people from the town to other cities, either to study or work. Likewise, the increase in tourist activities is attracting investments in tourist activities and the migration of people to the district to develop tourist activities in Portobelo.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×			

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

Not relevant

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×	N		

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant
 4.9.4 - War Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	× Not relevant
 4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In Portobelo, the development of informal housing construction activities within the Historic Monumental Complex is common due to the weakness of the local government in enforcing urban regulations. Houses built on the perimeters of the fortifications, in the easements of the Guinea creek adjacent to Fort San Jerónimo, and constructions that destroy the archaeological heritage are observed. Vandalism and graffiti activities have occurred sporadically, but remain under control.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×			×			1	

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			1
4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2 • Not relevant	2012):						
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt			
4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2 • Not relevant	2012):						
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt			
4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2 • Relevant, Negative, Potent	2012):	e					
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			1
4.10.6 - Temperature chang							
Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2 • Not relevant							
Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2			× Not relevar	nt			
Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2 • Not relevant	2012): ge impacts 2012):	e	X Not relevan	ıt			
Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2 • Not relevant Relevant 4.10.7 - Other climate chang Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2	2012): ge impacts 2012):	e	X Not relevan	nt Not relevant			
Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2 • Not relevant Relevant 4.10.7 - Other climate chang Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2 • Relevant, Negative, Potent	2012): ge impacts 2012):	e	× Not relevar		Trend of impact		
Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2 • Not relevant Relevant 4.10.7 - Other climate chang Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2 • Relevant, Negative, Potent	2012): ge impacts 2012): tial, Inside, Outsid	e Potential			Trend of impact	→ Stable	✓ Increasing
Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2 • Not relevant Relevant 4.10.7 - Other climate chang Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2 • Relevant, Negative, Potent X Relevant	2012): ge impacts 2012): tial, Inside, Outsid Impact		Origin	Not relevant		→ Stable	▲ Increasing

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The Panamanian Caribbean region is exposed to hurricane seasons, presenting hurricane winds and floods that affect the fortifications of Portobelo and San Lorenzo. Due to the influence of climate change these storms are becoming more frequent and stronger.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside 	
X Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact	npact Origin			Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×			1

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

With climate change, the increase in sea level can already be observed, having affected a sector of Fort San Jerónimo, which is flooded with water from Portobelo Bay. Climate change can also be seen in the increase in rainfall in the months of February and March, traditionally dry season months in the region. These changes affect Portobelo's fortifications more because they are located a few meters above sea level.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	× Not relevant
 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

These biological factors do not affect the conservation of the fortifications.

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative X	×		×				1

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact Or		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative X	×		×			→	

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
OPositive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×		N		
Negative X	×		×				1
442 E Financial recours							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×		S		
Negative X	×		×				1

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×		S		
Negative X	×		×			\rightarrow	

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×		S		
Negative X	×		×				

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (05/12/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The property lacks an updated management plan, it is in the contracting process for the development of the new management plan. Due to the COVID19 pandemic, the Patronato of Portobelo and San Lorenzo have seen their economic income reduced, a situation that has affected the management of the site. During this period, the maintenance staff of the fortifications has been reduced, mainly affecting the activities in Portobelo.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

The effects of the COVID19 pandemic have been negative for the management of the site, the Board of Trustees of Portobelo and San Lorenzo have had to reduce almost all of the maintenance work on the fortifications due to lack of financial resources for hiring them. Currently only the maintenance of the San Lorenzo Castle is being worked on, and in Portobelo only the maintenance of the green areas and cleaning of the forts is being worked on.

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	\odot		9		G	
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	\odot	9			۲	→
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	٢		9		۴	→
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
	0	9		٢		→
4.3 Services Infrastructures						

4.3.1 Water infrastructure	٢		9		Ċ	1
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	٩	9		٢		
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.1 Pollution of marine waters						
	0	9			Ċ	1
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
	0	9			Ċ	1
4.4.5 Solid waste						
	0	9			Ċ	1
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
	9	9	9	٩		1
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	0		9		Č	1
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)		~7			18	
4.9 Seciel/Cultural uses of testings	9	4			(F	
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage	•	<i>•</i>			1¢	a
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	-1			G	
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
	0		9		œ	7
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
	9	9			Ċ	
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
	9	9			Ċ	\$
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
	0	9			Ċ	1
4.10.2 Flooding						
	0		9		۴	
4.10.5 Changes to oceanic waters						
	0		9		٢	1
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
	0		9		۴	1
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						
	0		9		٢	1
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
	0	4			Ċ	1

4.13 Management	and institutional factors								
4.13.1 Managemer	nt system/Management pl	an			٢	9	۲	→	,
					٢	9	٢	1	1
4.13.2 Legal frame	work				٢	9	٢	-	•
					0	9	٢	-	•
4.13.3 Governance					٢	9	٢	-	•
4.13.4 Managemer	at activities				٢	9	٢	5	1
					0	9	٢	1	1
4.13.5 Financial re	sources				٢	9	٢	5	1
					0	9	٢	1	1
4.13.6 Human reso	ources				٢	9	٢	5	1
					0	9	٢	→	•
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring acti	vities			٢	9	٢	5	1
					0	9	٢	1	1
Legend	9 Current	4 Potential	Negative	O Positive	Insi	de	C Outsid	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities	٢	9		Ċ	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
~	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					

×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		()	9		eg.i	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.4 Marin	e transport infrastructure	٢		9		Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						

	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
×	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

		•			
4.2.5 Effec	4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure				
		0	9	٢	→
Snatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
opullar ool					
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				

Impact - Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Management response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity				

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.1 Water infrastructure		•		9		Ċ	
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
opullai oou	Restricted						
×	Localised						
**	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Rene	wable energy facilities	0	4		٩		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						

×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.1 Pollut	4.4.1 Pollution of marine waters						
		0	4			Ċ	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

ame		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
	0	4			Ċ	

			-1		2	
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact -	Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manager	nent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - I	Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.4.5 Solid waste						
	0	9			Ċ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.7.1 Wind	4.7.1 Wind					
		0	9	9	٢	
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
opatial sca						
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					

Major	

manageme	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static

× Increasing

		Impact			Origin		
4.7.2 Relative humidity							
	0		9		Ċ	1	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the facto

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

× Static

Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	0	9			Ċ	

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritua	4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		9		Ċ	
Creation and						
Spatial Sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					

	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation					
	0	9		Ċ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Tomporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
remporars				
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
х	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact	Origin	Trend



Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage					
	9	q		Ċ	\$

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted kcalised Extensive Kalow Widespread Temporal				
Extensive Extensive Widespread Cemporal - Occurence of the impact No off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic On-going Impact - Impact - Impact		Restricted		
Widespread Femporal = - Occurence of the impact X One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - turtustustustustustustustustustustustustust	×	Localised		
Temporal scale One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact - Impact on the attributes		Extensive		
X One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going		Widespread		
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes	Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact		
Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes	×	One off or rare		
On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes		Intermittent or sporadic		
Impact - Impact on the attributes		Frequent		
		On-going		
X Insignificant	Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes		
	×	Insignificant		

	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impac	t	Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Sto	4.10.1 Storms					
		0	4		۴	/
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.2 Floo	4.10.2 Flooding						
		9		9		Ċ	
On attack as	de Area effecte d'auto factor						
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.5 Cha	nges to oceanic waters						
		9		9		G	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes

×

×

	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

No capacity and / or resources

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts					
	0	9		Ċ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Tomporal	cale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years			
×	Decreasing			
	Static			
	Increasing			

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide							
				9		Ċ	
Overlieter	de Area effecte d'autre factor						
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.5 Eros	sion and siltation/Deposition						
		0	9			٢	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
.,	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
Tomorout	Widespread						
remporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						

×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact	:	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Mai	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9	۹	→
		0	9	٢	1
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				

×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact	t	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Lega	3.2 Legal framework		9	٢	→
		0	9	٢	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
×	Decreasing				
	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance		٢	9		۲		→
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						

Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

One off or rare

	Intermittent or sporadic		
×	Frequent		
	On-going		
Impact - In	npact on the attributes		
	Insignificant		
	Minor		
×	Significant		
	Major		
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond		
	High capacity		
	Medium capacity		
×	Low capacity		
	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years		
	Decreasing		
	Static		
×	Increasing		

me		Impact			Trend
13.4 Management activities		9		٢	\$
	0	9		۲	1

Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Managemo	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact	:	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Fina	4.13.5 Financial resources		4	٢	\$
		0	9	٢	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
13.6 Human resources		4		۲	\$
	9	9		۲	\rightarrow

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted	
	Localised	
×	Extensive	
	Widespread	
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact		
	One off or rare	

	Intermittent or sporadic		
×	Frequent		
	On-going		
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes		
	Insignificant		
	Minor		
×	Significant		
	Major		
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond		
	High capacity		
	Medium capacity		
×	Low capacity		
	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		
	Decreasing		
	Static		
×	Increasing		

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	0	9		۲		N
	0	4		٢		1

Spatial cor	ale - Area affected by the factor			
Spatial Sca				
	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
×	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - In	Impact - Impact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
×	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years			

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

the property is not constituted by a serial registration.

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Portobelo-San Lorenzo are a masterpiece of human creative genius. The component parts of the property represent characteristic examples of military architecture developed by the Spanish Empire in its New World territories largely between the 17th and the 18th centuries.	×			
4.18.1.2	The site is a key element to the understanding of the adaptation of European building models and their impact on the New World transformation during the modern era.	×			
4.18.1.3	These features still illustrate the evolution of military architecture developed by the Spanish colonial empire to protect the commerce route which connected South America with Spain across the Isthmus of Panama.	×			
4.18.1.4	Portobelo is a remarkable example of an open fortified town, destroyed and built several times. San Lorenzo underwent the same process of renovations along the colonial era.	×			
4.18.1.5	In terms of form, design, material and setting the components of the property have remained mostly unchanged through time, expressing the essence of the fortified system and the evolution of European models of military architecture from the late 16th to the 18th century in the Americas.	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

The bullet zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Oniversal valu

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The limits that define both registered properties and their buffer zones are established by law. Buffer zones comprise the extent of protected areas where properties are located. It is necessary to spread the scope of the protection zones among the communities, mainly in Portobelo due to the pressure exerted by the growth of the town located within the Historic Monumental Complex of Portobelo.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The group of historic buildings at Portobelo is protected by Law 91 of 1976. The Panamanian State, through the National Directorate for the Historical Heritage, is responsible for ensuring the implementation of this law.

Source: Nomination File

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World

Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

The fortifications of Portobelo and San Lorenzo are protected by law and their polygons are delimited. Both properties are located within the limits of protected natural parks which make up their buffer zones since the activities that can be carried out in their surroundings are regulated. The fortifications of Portobelo, being within a populated area, are subject to greater urban pressures that can deteriorate their state of conservation.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

The fortifications of Portobelo and San Lorenzo have the legal tools for their protection and conservation, which contributes to the adequate maintenance of the universal value of the property. In practice, an adequate budget is needed to monitor compliance with the laws and the implementation of measures for the execution of the property management plan. Greater economic resources are required for its conservation.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property

A management plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An environmental management framework

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The property lacks an updated management plan. The last management plan developed is from 2012, which has not been executed. Work is currently being done on the points required by the World Heritage Committee to remove the site from the World Heritage list in Danger.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

The state party is in the process of preparing the new management plan for the property, with the hiring of a consultant for the drafting of the management plan. This management plan is part of the components of the loan obtained with the Inter-American Development Bank for the restoration of the fortifications of Portobelo and San Lorenzo.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done. Their recommendations have not been implemented in the management of the site.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

Their recommendations have not been implemented in the management of the site.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

Their recommendations have not been implemented in the management of the site.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state;

local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

No management system/plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

No management system is currently in place

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented? An annual work/action plan exists but few of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone		×			
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women			×		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups			×		
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify	Afro-descendar	nts			

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners		×			
5.3.16.5	Women			×		
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			×		
5.3.16.7	Researchers			×		
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×		
5.3.16.12	NGOs			×		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			×		

5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×			
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status		×		
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach		×		
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property		×		

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The board of directors of the Board of Trustees of Portobelo and San Lorenzo was renewed with the new Culture Law approved in 2020. This new change includes the participation of representatives of society and local governments in the management of the site.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

Currently the site does not have an updated management plan. Work is being done based on annual work plans whose scope is estimated according to the economic resources available for their period of validity. The Ministry of Culture is working on contracting the consultancy for its elaboration.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	50 %	50 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	20 %	20 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	15 %	20 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	15 %	10 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

Funds for the management of the sites come from public and private sources. The state provides \$200,000.00 annually for the maintenance of the site, and the rest of the funds are managed by the Patronato of Portobelo and San Lorenzo through donations from private companies and income from the entrance fees of visitors to the site and other activities. Due to the COVID19 epidemic, income from visiting the site has been reduced by 90% of what was collected in 2019.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are not secure

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

With the construction of the San Lorenzo Visitor Center and the recovery of tourist activity in Panama, it is expected that in four years there will be enough income to cover the costs of managing the site by charging visitors to San Lorenzo for admission.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	60 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	40 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Not applicable
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Not available
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Not available
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Not available
Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Not available
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Not available
Administration	Not available
Research and monitoring	Not available
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Not available
Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Not available
Visitor management/tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done. Their recommendations have not been implemented in the management of the site.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The operation of the loan for the restoration of the Portobelo and San Lorenzo Fortifications financed by the Inter-American Development Bank has a component to strengthen the technical capacities of the Portobelo and San Lorenzo Board of Trustees whose funds have not yet been executed.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is no research taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are not shared at any level

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

No research work related to the site is currently being carried out. The latest research work carried out was in 2018 by researchers from the Santa María University and the Technological University of Panama.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Poor
National/international tourists	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue? There is a **limited and ad hoc education** and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Youth/children	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	
Tourism industry	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Not provided but needed
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Currently, the Ministry of Culture is carrying out adaptation projects for the site museums of Castillo de San Lorenzo and Portobelo, which will have educational material and information on the history of the site. These facilities will be open to the public in the second half of 2023.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

4000 / 0 / 23000 / 20000 / 19000 /	
2000 / 0 / 12000 / 10000 / 9000 /	
6000 / 0 / 35000 / 30000 / 28000 /	
6000 / 0 / 35000 / 30000 / 28000 /	

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

To calculate the number of visitors to the site, only the number of visitors to the Castillo de San Lorenzo is estimated. The entrance of visitors to the fortifications of Portobelo is not accounted because there is no on-site control system for access to the fortifications. In Portobelo the visit to the fortifications is freely accessible, in San Lorenzo there is an entrance fee for visitors in force since 2018.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

N/A / \$10.00 / \$25.00 / \$5.00 / N/A / N/A /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

The prices indicated are based on an estimate of the prices of the restaurants located in Portobelo. The cost of admission is based on the price of admission for foreign visitors to the Castillo de San Lorenzo. We do not have reference prices for accommodation and purchase of souvenirs. In general, the tourist who visits the site travels from Panama City by road on a trip that takes approximately one hour.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is **no strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

As part of the operation of the loan from the Inter-American Development Bank for the restoration of the fortifications of Portobelo and San Lorenzo, the development of a plan for managing visitors is planned.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location, but not easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

If 'Yes', please specify Local tour operators work attending tourists in San Lorenzo

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

The Patronato of Portobelo and San Lorenzo is coordinating actions together with tourism operators and the Tourism Authority of Panama to promote the site and train tourism operators in the attention to visitors and the history of the site.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is no monitoring taking place at the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained? There is little or no information available on the values of the World Heritage property to define key indicators

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation		×		
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Currently no information is being collected regarding indicators on the state of conservation of the property. The administrative and management capacities of the Patronato have been diminished by the economic situation caused by the COVID19 epidemic.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Non-existent
Local communities	Non-existent
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Non-existent
Researchers	Non-existent
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Non-existent
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

The state party is currently working on the recommendations set by the World Heritage Committee to remove the site from the list of World Heritage in Danger, based on Decision 35 COM 7B.129, adopted at its 35th session (UNESCO, 2010).

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

The Republic of Panama has negotiated with the Inter-American Development Bank the financing for the execution of the emergency measures required for the preservation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the site and to comply in the shortest possible time with the recommendations indicated in the Decision 35 COM 7B.129, adopted at its 35th session (UNESCO, 2010).

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	
5.3.12	No management system/plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	There is currently no management system in place at the property	×
5.3.14	An annual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented	
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality The management system of the World Heritage property does not provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does integrate a human rights-based approach In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property 	×
6.1	Funding	
	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	
6.1.3		×
6.1.3 6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	×
		×
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	×
6.1.4 6.1.7	Existing sources of funding are not secure Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.46.1.76.1.10	Existing sources of funding are not secure Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.46.1.76.1.106.1.12	Existing sources of funding are not secure Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	×
 6.1.4 6.1.7 6.1.10 6.1.12 7 	Existing sources of funding are not secure Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
6.1.4 6.1.7 6.1.10 6.1.12 7 7.1	Existing sources of funding are not secure Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred Scientific Studies and Research Projects Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient	
6.1.4 6.1.7 6.1.10 6.1.12 7 7.1 7.2	Existing sources of funding are not secure Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred Scientific Studies and Research Projects Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient There is no research taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need	
6.1.4 6.1.7 6.1.10 6.1.12 7 7.1 7.2 7.3	Existing sources of funding are not secure Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred Scientific Studies and Research Projects Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient There is no research taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need Research results are not shared at any level	
 6.1.4 6.1.7 6.1.10 6.1.12 7 7.1 7.2 7.3 8 	Existing sources of funding are not secure Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred Scientific Studies and Research Projects Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient There is no research taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need Research results are not shared at any level Education, Information and Awareness Building	×
6.1.4 6.1.7 6.1.10 6.1.12 7.1 7.2 7.3 8 8.2	Existing sources of funding are not secure Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred Scientific Studies and Research Projects Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient There is no research taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need Research results are not shared at any level Education, Information and Awareness Building There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×
6.1.4 6.1.70 6.1.10 6.1.12 7 7.1 7.2 7.3 8 8.2 9	Existing sources of funding are not secure Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred Scientific Studies and Research Projects Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient There is no research taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need Research results are not shared at any level Education, Information and Awareness Building There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth Visitor Management	×
6.1.4 6.1.7 6.1.10 7.1 7.1 7.2 7.3 8 8.2 9 9.7	Existing sources of funding are not secure Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred Scientific Studies and Research Projects Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient There is no research taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need Research results are not shared at any level Education, Information and Awareness Building There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth Visitor Management There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	×
6.1.4 6.1.70 6.1.12 7 7.1 7.2 7.3 8 8.2 9 9.7 9.9	Existing sources of funding are not secure Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred Scientific Studies and Research Projects Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient There is no research taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need Research results are not shared at any level Education, Information and Awareness Building There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth Visitor Management There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property There is no strategy to fined to administrative or regulatory matters There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the	×
6.1.4 6.1.7 6.1.12 7 7.1 7.2 7.3 8 8.2 9.7 9.9 9.11	Existing sources of funding are not secure Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred Scientific Studies and Research Projects Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient There is no research taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need Research results are not shared at any level Education, Information and Awareness Building There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth Visitor Management There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	×
 6.1.4 6.1.7 6.1.12 7.1 7.2 7.3 8.2 9.9 9.11 9.12 	Existing sources of funding are not secure Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred Scientific Studies and Research Projects Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient There is no research taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need Research results are not shared at any level Education, Information and Awareness Building There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth Visitor Management There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters There is contact but this is	×

Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.4	Pollution							
4.4.1	Pollution of marine waters	IV - To be an outstanding example of a type of building, architectural or technological ensemble or landscape which illustrates (a) significant stage(s) in human history	Implement a local plan for solid waste management in the district of Portobelo.	Currently the municipality of Portobelo does not have the resources to implement an adequate system for collecting solid waste in the district.	No short-term improvement is expected in the collection and handling of solid waste by the Municipality of Portobelo.	Envi Mun	istry of ironment and iicipality of obelo.	Conversations have been held between the Ministry of the Environment, the Inter-American Development Bank and the Municipality of Portobelo on the problem of solid waste management, but no actions have yet been programmed to solve the problem.
4.4.2	Ground water pollution	There are no effects on groundwater on the site, nor criteria of universal value affected by this problem.	on groundwater on the site, nor criteria of on groundwater on the site, nor criteria of on groundwater on the site, nor criteria of universal value universal value univer affected by this		on groundwater	affected by this aff		There are no effects on groundwater on the site, nor criteria of universal value affected by this problem.
4.4.5	Solid waste	IV - To be an outstanding example of a type of building, architectural or technological ensemble or landscape which illustrates (a) significant stage(s) in human history	Implement a local plan for solid waste management in the district of Portobelo.	Currently the No short-term municipality of improvement is Portobelo does not have the resources collection and to implement an handling of solid adequate system waste by the for collecting solid Municipality of waste in the district.		ovement is Environment and bected in the Municipality of bection and Portobelo. Using of solid te by the icipality of		Conversations have been held between the Ministry of the Environment, the Inter-American Development Bank and the Municipality of Portobelo on the problem of solid waste management, but no actions have yet been programmed to solve the problem.
4.7	Local condi	tions affecting physical f	abric					
4.7.1	Wind	IV - To be an outstanding example of a type of building, architectural or technological ensemble or landscape which illustrates (a) significant stage(s) in human hist	Prepare the site management and conservation plan, and have the funds required for its implementation in the short and medium term. Improve the management capacity of the site by the Portobelo and San Lorenzo Boards	Castillo de San	consolidation Portobelo ar to Lorenzo forti being carrier stages, it is that these sh completed b of 2024.	blan for the n of the nd San ifications is d out in estimated nould be	Ministry of Culture	The funds for the execution of the work included in the emergency plan were granted by the Inter-American Development Bank.
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	IV - To be an outstanding example of a type of building, architectural or technological ensemble or landscape which illustrates (a) significant stage(s) in human history.	Prepare the site management and conservation plan, and have the funds required for its implementation in the short and medium term. Improve the management capacity of the site by the Portobelo and San Lorenzo Boards	Castillo de San	consolidation Portobelo ar to Lorenzo forti being carrier stages, it is of that these sh completed b of 2024.	blan for the n of the nd San ifications is d out in estimated nould be	Ministry of Culture	The funds for the execution of the work included in the emergency plan were granted by the Inter-American Development Bank.
4.8	Social/Cultu	ral uses of heritage						

4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Rec	reation o o P L m): The Fortification n the Caribbean S f Panama: Portobelo-San orenzo are a nasterpiece of hum reative genius.	ide ma co ha rea han im sh tea of Po	repare the site anagement and onservation plan, ave the funds quired for its uplementation in oort and medium rm. Improve the anagement capa the site by the ortobelo and San orenzo Boards.	the	The site does have a visitor management Only the San Lorenzo Cast the adequate structure to re visitors and th management tourists.	plan. le has eceive ne	an adec manage and the Portobe Lorenzo have the	ment plan Patronato of lo and San does not e funds to the visits at	Por	ronato of tobelo and Lorenzo.	Cu out de ^v pla	a Ministry of Iture plans to put to tender the velopment of a n for managing tors to the site in 23.
4.13	Management a	and institu	tional factors											
4.13.1			Management system/Manag plan	gement										
4.13.4	Management activities	of a type architectu technolog ensemble landscap illustrates	ng example of building, ural or gical e or e which s (a) it stage(s) in	•	and nt the new site nent plan.	of Cul stage the co prepa mana the sit that th	ntly, the Ministr ture is in the of contracting onsultancy for th ration of the ne gement plan foi te. It is estimate is hiring will tak by the end of	is co eco w pr Ma d an ce the ma the	he Ministry working on pontracting o ponsultancy f reparation c lanagement d expects e drafting o anagement e second h 022.	the f the or the f the Plan, to begin f the new plan in	Ministr, Culture		the loar the con Portobe Lorenzo Inter-Ar	studies are part of a components for servation of do and San b financed by the nerican boment Bank.
4.13.6			Human resources											
4.13.7			Low impact research/moni activities	itoring										
Questio	n not completed													

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buff	er Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage proper are known and recognised by the management authorit but are not known an recognized by local communities/landow	ty representation of the residents of the municipalities where the sites are located are already part of the board of directors.	Local governments and a representation of the residents of the municipalities where the sites are located are already part of the board of directors.	Ministry of Culture and the Ministry of the Environment.	The buffer zones of the Portobelo and San Lorenzo fortifications are made up of the areas established within the Portobelo and San Lorenzo National Parks under the management of the Ministry of the Environment.	
5.2	Protective Measure	95				
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity	Execute the available resources for the implementation of the Emergency Plan and strengthen the technical and economic capacity of the Patronato for the management of the site.	The funds for the execution of the emergency plan are already available, and the process of contracting consultants for the development of the new site management plan is currently underway.	ť	n 2020, the consolidation work or he structures of the Castillo de S orenzo began as part of the emergency plan.	

	of the property				
5.3	Management System/Managem	ent Plan			
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	The Ministry of Culture is carrying out studies to reduce the risk of landslides on the slopes of the district of Portobelo.	Studies for the mitigation of landslide risks in Portobelo must be delivered by the beginning of 2023.	Ministry of Culture	These studies are part of the loan components for the conservation of Portobelo and San Lorenzo financed by the Inter-American Development Bank.
5.3.13	There is currently no management system in place at the property	Prepare and implement the new site management plan.	Currently, the Ministry of Culture is in the stage of contracting the consultancy for the preparation of the new management plan for the site. It is estimated that this hiring will take place by the end of 2020.	Ministry of Culure	These studies are part of the loan components for the conservation of Portobelo and San Lorenzo financed by the Inter-American Development Bank.
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality The management system of the World Heritage property does not provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does integrate a human rights-based approach In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property 	Allocation of economic resources to develop and implement a public use plan for the site and to improve the conditions of the site's relationship with the community.	The development of the public use plan is contemplated as a component of the Management Plan, which must be developed by the end of 2022.	Ministry of Culture	These studies are part of the loan components for the conservation of Portobelo and San Lorenzo financed by the Inter-American Development Bank.

6.1	Funding							
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	Implement a fund management plan for self-management of the site.		The self-management fund management plan is one of the components of the Site Management Plan that is expected to be developed by the end of 2022.				These studies are part of the loan components for the conservation of Portobelo and San Lorenzo financed by the Inter-American Development Bank.
7	Scientific Studies a	nd Research Projects						
7.1	about the training about the start is a strain of the start is a s	Implement an educational plan for the dissemination of the values and importance of the site within the framework of its declaration as world heritage.		ently there is no educational plan or Ministry of Culture s for its implementation.		istry of Culture	com Por	ese studies are part of the loan nponents for the conservation of tobelo and San Lorenzo financed the Inter-American Development nk.
8	Education, Inform	ation and Awareness Building						
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	Develop the educational plan for the site, aimed at students and children who visit the site	students and implemented on a sm		scale due to San Lorenzo		The Patronato of Portobelo and San Lorenzo is negotiating with the private company to obtain th funds to be able to bring a greater number of students to th site.	
9	Visitor Managemen	t						
9.9		Implement a management plan for the Portobelo fortifications.	resources to i	are currently no financial Patronato of Portobelo and rces to implement the visitor San Lorenzo gement plan in Portobelo.		These studies are part of the loan components for the conservation of Portobelo and San Lorenzo financed by the Inter-American Development Bank.		
10	Monitoring							
10.1	There is no monitoring taking place in the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need	Implement the updated management plan for the site.	contracting developm	try of Culture is g the services for the ent of the new ent plan for the site.	Minist	ry of Culture.	com Port	se studies are part of the loan ponents for the conservation of obelo and San Lorenzo financed by Inter-American Development Bank.
Summary	- Management Nee	eds completed						

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been compromised by factors described in this report

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property has been compromised by factors described in this report

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being partially degraded but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The lack of budget for the implementation of the conservation works of the Fortifications of Portobelo and San Lorenzo and the lack of an updated Management Plan for the site have caused deterioration in the structures of the forts but without considerably affecting the universal value of the site. the property nor the reading of the site. The State party has managed the funds for the consolidation of the fortifications, works that have begun in 2020 and to be completed by the end of 2024.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The World Heritage declaration of the Fortifications of Portobelo and San Lorenzo is little known in the country, the general population is unaware of this declaration, even at the governmental level. The declaration has been positive at the level of the highest spheres of government to obtain funds for the consolidation of the fortifications with the Inter-American Development Bank for the implementation of the emergency plan.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

The creation of the Patronato of Portobelo and San Lorenzo and its structure made up of members belonging to government institutions, non-governmental organizations, representatives of civil society and local governments is a management model that allows the site to be managed efficiently, involving the society. in the protection of its historical heritage. It is a management model that allows optimizing the available resources in an efficient and transparent way. The Board of Trustees model, copied from the management experience of the Archaeological Site of Panama Viejo, allows managing economic, human and administrative resources without the bureaucracy of state management, allows the use of state funds for the conservation of the sites and their You see manage your own resources or donations from the private company.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

tate of Conservation	
lanagement	
Sovernance	
Capacity Building	

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value

The property's Outstanding Universal Value	
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity	
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity	
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value	
Monitoring and reporting	

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans
Fundraising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

The cycle of periodic reports is a measurement tool that provides us with a screen of the management that is being given to the site, provides us with indicators in all aspects of the management of a site and gives us a clear measurement of the state of conservation. of the Outstanding Universal Value of the site and the status of the property value criteria. With this exercise of preparing the report we have been able to realize several aspects that have not been executed during these years.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

External experts

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

96 hours (4 hours by week for six months approximately) / 36 hours (2 hours by week for four months approximately) / 24 hours (4 hours by week for six weeks approximately) /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Questionnaires could be separated depending on whether the property is of cultural or natural interest. Some questions presented did not apply to both types of properties.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

On the date the training was scheduled, we did not have the opportunity to participate due to previous commitments. It would be nice if the training was recorded in videos that can be consulted at any time.

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

The report is a useful tool to improve the management of the sites, we consider that the period of six years for the presentation of the reports is very spaced, perhaps it would be more useful if it were carried out every two years. With the writing of this report we have been informed of other current conventions of which we were not aware that we could contact, which we are going to analyze for future contacts.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.