Persepolis

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Persepolis

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Persepolis	29.934 / 52.89	12.5	0	12.5	1979
Total (ha)		12.5	0	12.5	

Comment

Buffer zone (ha): 7338.31 Property(ha): 116.78

1.4 - Map(s)

Comment

New maps and information of Persepolis World Heritage File have been sent in the supplement of February 1, 2021.

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
 - 1. Persepolis and Ancient Iran (Catalog of Exhibition Photographs, Oriental Institute, Univ. of Chicago)

Comment

Website: www.persepolis.info Instagram: @persepolis.whs Telegram: t.me/persepolis_world_heritage_site

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Yes

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

 Not applicable
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if

Persepolis 1 of 63

relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Nowruz: Nowruz is a well- observed feast in the Achaemenid days. According to Herzfeld (1941, p. 269), the greatest subject Iranian sculpture has dared to undertake is the tribute processions that took place at Nowruz festival, when all nations of the empire brought their compulsory presents. In fact Istakhr, as a later urban settlement in the vicinity of Persepolis was also a ceremonial city. Prof. A.Sh. Shahbazi suggested Persepolis was built as a ceremonial center, Like Nowruz.

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

Persepolis 2 of 63

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief Synthesis

Persepolis, whose magnificent ruins rest at the foot of Kuh-e Rahmat (Mountain of Mercy) in south-western Iran, is among the world's greatest archaeological sites. Renowned as the gem of Achaemenid (Persian) ensembles in the fields of architecture, urban planning, construction technology, and art, the royal city of Persepolis ranks among the archaeological sites which have no equivalent and which bear unique witness to a most ancient civilization. The city's immense terrace was begun about 518 BCE by Darius the Great, the Achaemenid Empire's king. On this terrace, successive kings erected a series of architecturally stunning palatial buildings, among them the massive Apadana palace and the Throne Hall ("Hundred-Column Hall").

Inspired by Mesopotamian models, the Achaemenid kings Darius I (522-486 BCE), his son Xerxes I (486-465 BCE), and his grandson Artaxerxes I (465-424 BCE) built a splendid palatial complex on an immense half-natural, half-artificial terrace. This 13-ha ensemble of majestic approaches, monumental stairways, throne rooms (Apadana), reception rooms, and dependencies is classified among the world's greatest archaeological sites. The terrace is a grandiose architectural creation, with its double flight of access stairs, walls covered by sculpted friezes at various levels, contingent Assyrianesque propylaea (monumental gateway), gigantic sculpted winged bulls, and remains of large halls. By carefully engineering lighter roofs and using wooden lintels, the Achaemenid architects were able to use a minimal number of astonishingly slender columns to support open area roofs. Columns were topped with elaborate capitals; typical was the double-bull capital where, resting on double volutes, the forequarters of two kneeling bulls, placed back-to-back, extend their coupled necks and their twin heads directly under the intersections of the beams of the ceiling.

Persepolis was the seat of government of the Achaemenid Empire, though it was designed primarily to be a showplace and spectacular centre for the receptions and festivals of the kings and their empire. The terrace of Persepolis continues to be, as its founder Darius would have wished, the image of the Achaemenid monarchy itself, the summit where likenesses of the king reappear unceasingly, here as the conqueror of a monster, there carried on his throne by the downtrodden enemy, and where lengthy cohorts of sculpted warriors and guards, dignitaries, and tribute bearers parade endlessly.

Criterion (i): The terrace of Persepolis, with its double flight of access stairs, its walls covered by sculpted friezes at various levels, contingent Assyrianesque propylaea, the gigantic winged bulls, and the remains of large halls, is a grandiose architectural creation. The studied lightening of the roofing and the use of wooden lintels allowed the Achaemenid architects to use, in open areas, a minimum number of astonishingly slender columns (1.60 metres in diameter vis-à-vis a height of about 20 metres). They are surmounted by typical capitals where, resting on double volutes, the forequarters of two kneeling bulls, placed back-to-back, extend their coupled necks and their twin heads, directly under the intersections of the beams of the ceiling.

Criterion (iii): This ensemble of majestic approaches, monumental stairways, throne rooms (Apadana), reception rooms, and annex buildings is classified among the world's greatest archaeological sites, among those which have no equivalent and which bear witness of a unique quality to a most ancient civilization.

Criterion (vi): The terrace of Persepolis continues to be, as its founder Darius would have wished, the image of the Achaemenid monarchy itself, the summit where likenesses of the king reappear unceasingly, here as the conqueror of a monster, there carried on his throne by the downtrodden enemy, and where lengthy cohorts of sculpted warriors and guards, dignitaries, and tribute bearers parade endlessly.

Integrity

Within the boundaries of the property are located the known elements and components necessary to express the Outstanding Universal Value of the property, including the archaeological remains of the terrace and of its related royal palaces and buildings.

The most significant identified challenge to the integrity of the property and its buffer zone is controlling its borders and boundaries against agricultural, industrial, and constructional development. The principal potential threats are the growth of Marvdasht town, new village developments, and the arrival of polluting industries. These threats are considered to be increasing.

Authenticity

The archaeological ruins at Persepolis are authentic in terms of their locations and setting, materials and substance, and forms and design. The present location of the Persepolis terrace and its related buildings has not changed over the course of time. Restoration work has carefully respected the authenticity of the monuments, utilizing traditional technology and materials in harmony with the ensemble. No changes have been made to the general plan of Persepolis. Moreover, there are no modern reconstructions at Persepolis; the remains of all the monuments are authentic.

Protection and management requirements

The Persepolis Ensemble was registered in the national list of Iranian monuments as item no. 20 on the 24th of the month Shahrivar, 1310 SAH (15 September 1931). Relevant national laws and regulations concerning the property include the *National Heritage Protection Law* (1930, updated 1998) and the 1980 Legal bill on preventing clandestine diggings and illegal excavations. The inscribed World Heritage property, which is owned by the Government of Iran, and its buffer zone are under the legal protection and management of the Iranian Cultural Heritage, Handicrafts and Tourism Organization (which is administered and funded by the Government of Iran). The property and buffer zone are also under a regional master plan with its own regulations. A management plan covering the identification of borders, buffer zone, land ownership, conservation priorities, and time-tabled management interventions was introduced in 2001. Persepolis Research Base, a management and conservation office established in Persepolis in 2001, is responsible for the investigation, conservation, restoration, reorganization, and presentation of the property. Training and skills upgrading are offered by the office in cooperation with universities and scientific institutes in Iran and abroad. Financial resources for Persepolis are provided through national and provincial budgets, and site admission fees.

Sustaining the Outstanding Universal Value of the property over time will require creating monitoring and evaluation systems for air pollutants, weathering, and environmental factors; controlling the borders and boundaries of the property against agricultural, industrial, and constructional development; developing indicators for measuring the effects of the potential growth and development of Marvdasht town and new villages; and investigating, evaluating, and eliminating any negative impact such growth and development that may have on the Outstanding Universal Value, integrity or authenticity of the property.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	The Gate of All Nations	×			
3.2.2	Underground Waterways	×			
3.2.3	Inscriptions such as: Foundation Inscriptions of Darius I at the Southern Wall of the Terrace and etc	×			

3.2.4	Fortification & Treasury Tablets	×		
3.2.5	Motifs & Sculptures and Bas-reliefs, Such as: The motif of the Lion attacking the bull, of subject so frequently illustrated on conspicuous places of Persepolis and 23 gift-bearing delegations on the South Wing of the eastern Stairway of the Apadana	×		
3.2.6	Achaemenid museum objects includes: Pottery and Stone Vessels and golden objects	×		
3.2.7	Capitals, such as: Eagle- Griffin Capitals	×		
3.2.8		×		
3.2.9				
3.2.10				
3.2.11				
3.2.12				
3.2.13				
3.2.14				
3.2.15				

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			P

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
	×	×		×		→	

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×			1
Negative							

Persepolis 4 of 63

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			•
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×	×		×		→	
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×	×		×		→	
Negative							

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
T. C.	Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

positively

Persepolis 5 of 63

★ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×		→	
Negative							

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×			7
Negative	×	×		×		\rightarrow	

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin Trend		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			/
Negative							

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Persepolis 6 of 63

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or

positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
Noioyant	Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Not relevant Not relevant	Relevant	X Not relevant
---------------------------	----------	----------------

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

× Relevant			ı	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×			P

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin	igin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×	>		

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Persepolis 7 of 63

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		⇒	

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×	S		

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
	×	×		×		→	

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant			Not relevant		
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact	

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×	S		

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X	×	×		×			7	

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×		×		\rightarrow		
Negative X	×	×		×	S			

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative	×	×		×			/	

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

¥ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		\Rightarrow	

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Persepolis 9 of 63

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×	>		

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

✗ Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×		→	
Negative X	×	×		×		\Rightarrow	

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Not relevant

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×		S		

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×	×	×				P

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

★ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×			/
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×			<i>P</i>
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×				1
	×		×		S		

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×	S		

Persepolis 11 of 63

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×	S		

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							

Persepolis 12 of 63

○ Negative X X	×
----------------	---

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			7

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

Persepolis 13 of 63

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant	Relevant	X Not relevant
-----------------------	----------	----------------

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×	S		

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

× Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact Origi				Trend of impact	rend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X	×	×	×			→		

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	W Not relevant
Relevant	Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
Rolevant	Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

Persepolis 14 of 63

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			7
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			1
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×	×	×	×			7
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin Trend of impact			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×				•
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			7
Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	ct				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			7			
Negative										

Persepolis 15 of 63

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			,
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (08/09/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			1
Negative							

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	i		Origin	Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
		9	9		Œ	7
4.1.3 Industrial areas						
		A	q		Œ	\rightarrow
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	O	q	q		C	-
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	0	9	9	•	Œ	7
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	O	9	9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	O	q	q		C	→
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	O	9	9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.3.4 Localised utilities	•	9	9		Œ	1
		9	q		Œ	\rightarrow
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	•	9	q	•	Œ	<i>P</i>

Persepolis 16 of 63

4.4 Pollution						
4.4.4 Air pollution						
		9	9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3 Land conversion						
		A	q		Œ	7
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals		•	•			
		A			æ	
4.5.5 Crop production		-0			3	•
-soo stop production			ø		æ	_
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection			-1		G	
4.3.7 Subsistence wild plant conection		a	a		æ	
		4	4		G.	*
4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.1 Mining						
		9	9		G	→
4.6.2 Quarrying						
		9			G	*
4.6.4 Water (extraction)						
		9	9		G	/
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind	O	9	9		Œ	\rightarrow
		9	9		G	S
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
		9	9		Œ	7
4.7.3 Temperature						
		9	9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
		9	q		Œ	\rightarrow
4.7.5 Dust						
		9	q		G	S
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	O	9	9		G	→
		9	9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.7.7 Pests						
		q	q	©		S
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
		9	q	•		1
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		A	a		æ	7
	9	0			Ç	
4.9.2 Secietado valuina of horitogo		a	a		æ	
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	•	-1	-1		G	

Persepolis 17 of 63

4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation				0	9	9	•		1
					9		•		S
4.9 Other human activities									
4.9.1 Illegal activities									
					9	9		ઉ	•
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage									
					9	9		F	•
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events									
4.10.1 Storms									
					9	9		F	→
4.10.3 Drought									
					9	9		F	/
4.10.4 Desertification									
					9	9		G	/
4.10.6 Temperature change									
					9	9		G	\rightarrow
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events									
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)									
						9	•	F	•
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species									
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species									
					9	9	•		→
4.13 Management and institutional factors									
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan				O	9	9	•	F	/
440.01					-3	-3		net.	
4.13.2 Legal framework				•	9	9	•	(7
4.13.3 Governance				O	a	q	•	(\$,
					•	•	4	4	
4.13.4 Management activities				•	q	q	•		7
4.13.5 Financial resources				O	9	9	•	G	7
4.13.6 Human resources				•	9	9	•	G	1
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities				•	9	9	•	(7
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities				•	4	9	•	F	1
Legend	al	Negative	O Positive	Inside	de		Outsi	de	

Persepolis 18 of 63

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name

Name		Impact	:		Origin 1		Trend
4.1.1 Housi	ng						
			9	9		G	-
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Γemporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
mpact - Im	pact on the attributes						
X	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Frend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name 4.1.3 Indust	rial areas	Impact			Origin		Trend
. no maas			A	q		(F	→
			- 0	-1		3	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Restricted Localised						
×							

Origin

Impact

Persepolis 19 of 63

×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	•	9	9		G	-
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Futancius						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s							
Temporal s	Widespread						
Temporal s	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact						
Temporal s	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare						
Temporal s	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent						
×	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going						
×	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes						
×	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant						
₩ Impact - Im	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor						
₩ Impact - Im	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant						
₩ Impact - Im	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major						
Impact - Im	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond						
Impact - Im	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Intermittent or sporadic High capacity						

Persepolis 20 of 63

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities	©	4	9	•	(-
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•	9	9		Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			

Persepolis 21 of 63

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	ansport infrastructure	Impact	q	q	Origin	Œ	Trend
4.2.3 All tre	majort miraati ucture		-1	-1		G	
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial sca	Restricted						
Spatial sca							
	Restricted						
	Restricted Localised						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurrence of the impact						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread coale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Ipact on the attributes Insignificant Minor						
X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant						
X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major						
X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond						
X Impact - Im X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity						

Persepolis 22 of 63

	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Increasing

Name	e Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.3.1 Water	4.3.1 Water infrastructure		9	9		Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.3.4 Localised utilities	•	9	A		(/
		q	9		(\rightarrow

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				

Persepolis 23 of 63

	Widespread						
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	linear utilities	Impact ③	9	9	Origin	Œ	Trend
	linear utilities			9			
4.3.5 Major	linear utilities			9			
4.3.5 Major				9			
4.3.5 Major	lle - Area affected by the factor			q			
4.3.5 Major	lle - Area affected by the factor Restricted			9			
4.3.5 Major	lle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised			q			
4.3.5 Major Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive			q			
4.3.5 Major Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread			व			
4.3.5 Major Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurrence of the impact			q			
4.3.5 Major Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare			q			
4.3.5 Major Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic			q			
4.3.5 Major Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent			व			
4.3.5 Major Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocale - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going			q			
4.3.5 Major Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cone off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Example 1. On-going Example 2. One of the attributes			q			
4.3.5 Major Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant			q			
4.3.5 Major Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Gale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Apact on the attributes Insignificant Minor			व			
4.3.5 Major Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant			q			
4.3.5 Major Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Gazle - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Insignificant Minor Significant Major			q			

Persepolis 24 of 63

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.4.4 Air pollution							
			9	9		G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.5.3 Land conversion						
		F	9		Œ	7
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Desired					
	Static					
×						
×	Static					
Name	Static Increasing	Impact		Origin		Trend
Name	Static			Origin	nd.	Trend
Name	Static Increasing	Impact	q	Origin	Œ	Trend
Name 4.5.4 Lives	Static Increasing			Origin	Œ	Trend
Name 4.5.4 Lives	Static Increasing tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals			Origin	Œ	Trend
Name 4.5.4 Lives Spatial sca	Increasing tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals ale - Area affected by the factor			Origin	Œ	Trend
Name 4.5.4 Lives Spatial sca	Static Increasing tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted			Origin	Œ	Trend
Name 4.5.4 Lives Spatial sca	Static Increasing tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised			Origin	&	Trend
Name 4.5.4 Lives Spatial sca	Static Increasing tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals tle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive			Origin	Œ	Trend
Name 4.5.4 Lives Spatial sca	Static Increasing tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread			Origin	(Trend
Name 4.5.4 Lives Spatial sca	Static Increasing tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals tle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact			Origin	(Trend
Name 4.5.4 Lives Spatial sca	Static Increasing tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals tle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare			Origin	©	Trend
Name 4.5.4 Lives Spatial sca	Static Increasing tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals tle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going			Origin	©	Trend
Name 4.5.4 Lives Spatial sca	Static Increasing tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals toc			Origin	(Trend
Name 4.5.4 Lives Spatial sca	Static Increasing tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals tle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going			Origin	(Trend
Name 4.5.4 Lives Spatial sca X Temporal s	Static Increasing tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals tle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor			Origin	©	Trend
Name 4.5.4 Lives Spatial sca X Temporal s	Static Increasing tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals tle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant			Origin	&	Trend

Persepolis 26 of 63

Management response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years			
×	Decreasing			
	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.5 Crop production					
		9		G	→

		q	E	\Rightarrow
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - In	npact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection						
		4	9		Œ	S

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Persepolis 27 of 63

×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing
	Increasing

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name	me		Impact				Trend
4.6.1 Minin	4.6.1 Mining						
			9	9		Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						

Persepolis 28 of 63

	Major					
Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

4.6.2 Quarrying		
	Œ	•

				G	•
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going .				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
×	Decreasing				
	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.6.4 Water (extraction)						
		9	9		Œ	>

Persepolis 29 of 63

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.7.1 Wind	4.7.1 Wind		9	9		C	\rightarrow
			9	9		Œ	•
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						

Persepolis 30 of 63

	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relativ	ve humidity						
			q	9		(
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.3 Temperature			

Persepolis 31 of 63

				q		.ng	_
			-1	-1		G	_
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.4 Radia	ion/Light						
			q	9		G	→

			A	q		F	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						

Persepolis 32 of 63

	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.5 Dust						~	
			9	9		G	•
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend

4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)

P

			9	9		Œ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name 4.7.7 Pests		Impact			Origin		Trend

		A	9	•	•
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				

Persepolis 34 of 63

Insignificant Minor

	Significant									
	Major									
Management response - Capacity of management to respond										
×	High capacity									
	Medium capacity									
	Low capacity									
	No capacity and / or resources									
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years									
×	Decreasing									
	Static									
	Increasing									
Name 4.7.8 Micro	organisms	Impact			Origin		Trend			
4.7.0 MICTO	O gamons				()		7			
			-1	-1	9		•			
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor									
	Restricted									
	Localised									
×	Extensive									
	Widespread									
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact									
	One off or rare									
×	Intermittent or sporadic									
	Frequent									
	On-going									
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes									
	Insignificant									
×	Minor									
	Significant									
	Major									
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond									
×	High capacity									
	Medium capacity									
	Low capacity									
	No capacity and / or resources									
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years									
	Decreasing									
×	Static									
	Increasing									

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend	

4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		•	9	9		ઉ	/
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	ty's valuing of heritage	Impact	q	q	Origin	Œ	Trend
	, c		-,	-,		3	· ·
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going .						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

Persepolis 36 of 63

	Wass					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name	All that a Paragraphic Control of the Control of th	Impac			Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impa	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	0	9	9	•	,
			q		•	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.9 Other human activities

Persepolis 37 of 63

4.9.1 Illegal	I.9.1 Illegal activities						
			9	9		F	>
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal se	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Delibe	rate destruction of heritage						

Impact

Origin

Trend

Name

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
		9	9		Œ	\$

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes					

Persepolis 38 of 63

	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

1 Storms	q	9		
	9	9		
			G	\rightarrow
al scale - Area affected by the factor				
Restricted				
Localised				
Extensive				
Widespread				
ooral scale - Occurence of the impact				
One off or rare				
Intermittent or sporadic				
Frequent				
On-going				
ct - Impact on the attributes				
Insignificant				
Minor				
Significant				
Major				
gement response - Capacity of management to respond				
High capacity				
Medium capacity				
Low capacity				
No capacity and / or resources				
d - Developement over the last 6 years				
Decreasing				
Static				
Increasing				

Persepolis 39 of 63

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.10.3 Drou	ght						
			q	9		G	-
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.4 Dese	rtification		e01			<i>(</i> ₩	
			9	9		G	7

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Persepolis 40 of 63

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	poseturo change	Impact	i		Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Telli	perature change		4	q		æ	_
			4	4		Ġ.	—
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Persepolis 41 of 63

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.6 Fire	.11.6 Fire (wildfire)					
			9	•	G	•
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.12.2 Inva	sive/Alien terrestrial species						
			9	9	•		\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						

Persepolis 42 of 63

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.1 Mana	gement system/Management plan	O	9	9	•	G	P
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						

Persepolis 43 of 63

Trand Day	relopement over the last 6 years						
Trend - Dev							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	l framework	•	q	q	•	G	<i>></i>
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Opatiai sca	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
^	Widespread						
Tammanala							
remporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name 4.13.3 Gove	Manage .	Impact	q	9	Origin	Œ	Trend
4.13.3 0000	and the		-1	-1	Q)	Ģ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						

Persepolis 44 of 63

	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
	pact on the attributes					
past	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
	int response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
^						
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Irend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
	13.4 Management activities		q	q	@	<i>*</i>
Spatial sc	lle - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Managem	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					

Persepolis 45 of 63

	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	O		9	•	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name 4.13.6 Hum	an resources	Impact	q	9	Origin	Œ	Trend
		Ū	•	•	4	4	
0	And the state of t						
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
~	Localised						
×	Extensive						
Taxon	Widespread						
remporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

Persepolis 46 of 63

	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact	ŀ	q	Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low	4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities				•	C	-
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						

Persepolis 47 of 63

	Static					
×	Increasing					
~						
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.8 High	impact research/monitoring activities	·	9	•	G	_
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

- 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)
- 4.17.1 If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor
- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.
- 4.18.1 Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	The Gate of All Nations	×			
4.18.1.2	Underground Waterways	×			
4.18.1.3	Inscriptions such as: Foundation Inscriptions of Darius I at the Southern Wall of the Terrace and etc	×			
4.18.1.4	Fortification & Treasury Tablets	×			

×

- 5. Protection and Management of the Property
- 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones
- 5.1.1 Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

 The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

- 5.1.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property
- 5.2. Protective Measures
- 5.2.1 Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Relevant national laws and regulations concerning the WH property include: (i) the Law on the Protection of National Treasures, 1930; (ii) the Law on the Registration of National Treasures, 1973; (iii) the Legal Regulation about illegal excavations, 1979; and (iv) Regulations for Boundaries and Buffer Zones of the Persepolis Site.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1975 / The law on Iran joining the convention of protecting natural and cultural heritage / 1954 /

Enhanced Protection under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict / 2002 / Bylaw on the Conservation of the Iranian Cultural Heritage /

2015 / National Strategy Document on Revitalizing, Upgrading, Renovating and Enabling Deteriorated and Underutilized Urban Fabrics /

 $2019\,\slash$ The law on supporting the restoration and rehabilitation of historic fabrics /

1992 / Tourism development law /

1990 / Charter for the Protection and Management of the Archaeological Heritage /

2008 / ICOMOS Charter on the Interpretation and Presentation of Cultural Heritage Sites /

1999 / International Cultural Tourism Charter - Managing Tourism at Places of Heritage Significance / 1931 /

Athens Charter for the Restoration of Historic Monuments / 2010 / Lima Declaration for Disaster Risk Management of Cultural Heritage /

1972 / Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage /

1954 &1999 / Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the licit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property, 1954 and its Protocol I, 1954 and Protocol II, 1999 /

2004 / Executive regulations of Article(5) of the Law on the Establishment of the Cultural Heritage and Tourism /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Persepolis 49 of 63

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

According to extension of Naqsh_e Rostam Site to Persepolis, a new management plan have updated since one year ago and it will be completed for next months.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the

Persepolis 50 of 63

Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups				×	
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify	NGOs				

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.16.4	Landowners			×		
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups				×	
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	Academic Depart	ments			

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

Persepolis 51 of 63

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	5 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	65 %	70 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	10 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	25 %	25 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

International Sanctions against Iran, have resulted in significant reduction of credits, specified for Persepolis World Heritage restoration and preservation tasks.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair

Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Poor
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair

Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Fair
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	Students

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Not needed
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Good
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	Using identification technologies in the form of Virtual Reality(VR) and Audio Guide

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

619180 / 912252 / 830360 / 826082 / 1021087 / 77430 / 81721 / 101125 / 71335 / 49809 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Available Statistics

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

18 / 8 / 16 / 4 / 1.6 / 120 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Travel and Tourist Agency

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, through the UNESCO Tourism Management Assessment Tool

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

By the private sector, Establishment of a number of stalls of local products by Indigenous people

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

accommodation of ecolodge, Festival of traditional foods and beverage, Introducing of traditions and customs, Booths of Introducing and selling handicrafts

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×

10.3.3	Character of governance	×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×
10.3.6	Capacity development	×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Monitoring in Persepolis is planned and defined in three-time ranges. The time range includes short-term, medium-term &long-term plans. Key indicators have been defined and will soon be approved by technical and expert committees. Conservation and restoration measures and issues related to buffer zones, subsidence, drought, interventions due to the presence of the local community in buffer zones and historical monuments located in the Persepolis buffer zone are the most important indicators.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Non-existent
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	Government institutions such as the Housing Foundation, the Geological Survey, the Rural Water Authority, The Weather Institutions

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
10	Monitoring	

Persepolis 56 of 63

☑ Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property											
4.5	Biological resource use/modification										
4.5.3 Land conversion Dissociation and land conversion of agricultural cropland that associates with intervened in the lands. it is a serious menace intense of conversion of natural landscape and it causes visual turbulence in original and natural landscape in Persepolis		and la coope institu encor suppe	Preventing dissociation and land conversion in cooperation with relevant institutions and encouragement and support of farming with shallow plowing.		Making aerial photographs with a drone, Preparation Cadastre plans and protection in Use of physical protection forces and enforcement (custodians, police).		Monitoring at quarterly intervals	accompany with Agricultural Jihad Organization, Enforcement (custodians, police	Heritage Site accompany with Agricultural Jihad Organization, Enforcement (custodians, police) and Natural Resources		
4.6	Physical re	source extraction									
4.6.4	Consecutive periods of drought and declining groundwater levels, Increasing the number of agricultural water wells and increasing extraction from groundwater resources has created gaps in the ground and around Persepolis&Naqsh-e Rostam(Subcidence).		ral ing vater	Preliminary geology and hydrology studies in collaboration with Shiraz Uni. Correspondence with local authorities (Governor& the Office of Water Resources& Agriculture), Provincial and National, Ministries of Cultural Heritage& the Ministry of energy Identifying and preparing the distribution map of water wells in Persepolis buffer zones and their control. Planning and monitoring of wells in the Persepolis buffer zones by enforcement (custodians, police)		n er r ng of	Monitoring is seasonal and ongoing. Persepolis enforcement (custodians, police), Persepolis archaeological group with additional help from the Water Resources and Agricultural Jihad Office, Rural Water Department, Attorney General, Governorship, Provincial Government.		comp hydro regio conti level. wells withous mar Reco	Carrying out comprehensive hydrological studies in the region. controlling the continuous groundwater level. Blocking illegal wells. Control of water withdrawal by installing smart meters. Recommendations for planting low-water crops.	
4.7	Local cond	itions affecting physica	al fabric								
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Effective in activating biological agents, Effective in activating and transferring of active salts.	of biolog the site, contamin		frequer measur (Humid Recogn contam	but constant and the environmental rements lity parameters), nition of surface linants by literal analysis.		ly and monthly teorological statistics	Conservation and restoration of Persepolis World Heritage Site Group and Weather department.	p	consolidation of damaged surfaces and Use coverings on the surface of the historical works.
4.7.3		Temperatu	re								
4.7.4	Radiation/Light	The effects of solar radiation. Colour change in some of t reliefs of Persepolis that bear traces of ancient colour pigments.	he re	to specific action an be taken in this agard. This can be revented to some attent, only by noving some object to the museum.	s m	continuous visual nonitoring		Monthly, during Spring and Summer.	In this regard, Persepolis Site, as directly responsibl agency in coopera with the Ministry o Cultural Heritage o applies the require items.	e ition f of Iran,	It would be better that the World Heritage Center propose clear case studies in this regard.
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Due to the calcium- carbonate nature of the stone materials, rainfall has caused surface erosions on many reliefs and carvings. In particular, the	covers in important monumer Persepor Stones it strength have been	ve roofing now the more nt parts of the ents on the blis site. have been lened, joints en repaired, cks have been	extensi docume damage prepara conserv restora well as	entation of stone es in the ation of all vation and tion priorities, as		tinuously during rainy seasons.	Documentation and Monitoring Group of the Persepolis World Heritage Site (Parsa Pasargadae Research Foundation), Conservation and Restoration Group of th Persepolis World	e el P si pi la ai ai e el	rue to the increase in rosion speed in the ersepolis site, it is suggested that rotective roofs cover a orger part of the site and the restoration of roded sections to be eveloped.

Persepolis 57 of 63

		presence (earthy) v the struct rocks and dissolution to scaling porosity of rocks. Du moisture the appear soluble si	veins in future of fid their line in has led grand of the line to erosion arance of alts has	restored to further dam floors of all have been a sloped. Dre excavation Persepolis undergroun waterways.	ages. The buildings gently dging and of	on the on a m especia	er accumulation Persepolis site onitoring map, ally during the easons.				nelp from the epartment of y and the	p	establishment of a ermanent local neteorological station ear Persepolis
4.7.8			Micro-organi	sms									
4.8	Social/Cu	tural uses o	of heritage										
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation	/Recreation	Positive impalocal communication of the eventual increasing depressed in Monuments, of non-existed protection management program.	ong with threat of amage in in case ence of	Assessing areas of th and provid preventive preparation	e site ing	Video surveilla of the area dur the crowded tir and the peak of visits(for exam in Nowruz).	ring mes, of	Monitoring the presence of tourists during peak periods.	Restorati Documer Monitorir Informati Technolo	on and ogy (IT) group polis World		- Preparing a management plan to visit the site Preparing risk maps of different parts of the site.
4.10	Climate c	nange and s	evere weather	r events									
4.10.3	Drought	areas and ar and du Ground reduction the addisoil pa	dwater level ion, Reducing hesion of the rticles and ng subsidence	abstra rt Prever drilled, cultiva Recon	ol of groundwa ction amount, ntion of new v Correction o tion algorithm nmended plar water crops in	vells f n,	Periodic groundw level survey, Continuous monitoring of gre areas in the site.		Monitoring and supervision at regular intervals of six months.	Herita addition the Ru Supply Depar Agricu	polis World ge Site with onal help from iral Water y Department, tment of liture and Resources tment.		Supervision of proportional utilization of groundwater resources, and also Use of water resources transferred from dams in the area.
4.10.6	change Outstanding cover Universal Value of the Persepolis site is related to stone decorations and which historical inscriptions, which to sor due to the physical and mechanical properties of the stone, has been covered		monument Persepolis Which will temperatu to some e Restoration strengther	e more parts of the ts on the s site, control tre changes xtent. on and hing of parts in the s world	of cor long to chang docur new v	nuous monitoring ntemporary and erm temperature ges and mentation of any veathering nce at the site.		tinuously, daily monthly	Conservat Restoratio Departmen Persepolis Heritage S additional the region. Departmen Meteorologi	n of the World Site with help from al	the I order of Tode of Implify light roofs permanent	ective roofing covers farger part of the site in ear to reduce the effect changes on stone prations. (With the ementation of weight protective s) Establishment of a nanent local eorological station r Persepolis	
Question	not completed												

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan								
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment				
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	-Gradual excavation and geophysical study of this historic city and the protection of excavation remains are among the strategies of the archaeology group -In conservation better use of landscape strategies and control of environmental interventions	The control of environmental interventions in the landscape of Persepolis is done weekly and monthly using the continuous patrol of the Enforcement (custodians, police). The issue of excavation in the Persepolis site is being carried out as a joint mission(Iranian and foreign teams), from the past to the present.	Enforcement (custodians, police) of the Persepolis site. Conservation and Restoration group of the Persepolis Site. Iranian and foreign archaeological Teams.	-Taking more possession of the agricultural fields of Persepolis Buffer zoneDevelopment of archaeological activities, especially with a focus on subsurface studies (geophysics) In order to protect more effective the cultural/historical landscape of Persepolis.				

Persepolis 58 of 63

5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	of the collected as background collected as background information for the past years, and the erosion of the area's stone motifs surfaces, orld Heritage especially due to biological factors, is being monitored.		d in specific He man Mills Iran bu co	In this regard, Persepolis World Heritage Site as a direct manager in cooperation with the Ministry of Cultural Heritage of Iran, applies the required items but the academic capacities and cooperation of the Meteorological Organization are also used		suggested that the World itage Center provide clear case dies in this regard.	
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Many emergency measures have been taken to protect and restore the stone reliefs and a "Risk Map" of the Persepolis site is being developed.	The risk map will be of two-year processes.	co fie an	A number of on-site conservation specialists (in the field of emergency protection) and a number of consultants are involved in preparing a risk map		suggested that the World itage Center provide the essary expert and consulting acities in this regard.	
6.1	Funding							
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Using the capacities of the priv sector in investing and exploiti the services of the Persepolis World Heritage Site	-		Private sector and investors		Replacing unrelated activities in Persepolis buffer zone (such as: industries, etc.) with units related to the tourism industry.	
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Using the participation of other Stakeholders.	Stakeholder pa an ongoing pro	rticipation will be cess	Persepolis World Heritage Site accompany with local guides, units and tourism services in the buffer zones and farmers located in the buffer zones of Persepolis World Heritage Site		Continued cooperation can compensate for the lack of human resources	
6.1.10 Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property		Launching a tourism network, transportation capacities and guidance services	Done over the years		Persepolis World Heritage Site accompany with Cultural heritage headquarters units, travel agencies and service units		Maximum use of the historical capacities of the Persepolis site and buffer zone with the aim of helping the sustainability and increase the tourism capacity in Persepolis Site.	
8	Education, Information	tion and Awareness Building						
8.2	planned education and	Archaeology and Child Workshop. Cultural Heritage volunteers (Kids a teenagers) General conservation training.	During peak site nd such as Nowruz.	. Rest	s, Archaeology Team, Conservation Restoration Team and Tourists families.		Creating a permanent place on the site for educational events can increase the quality of programs.	
9	Visitor Management							
9.7	strategy to commanage visitors, tourism activity and its derived and	nvestigating the aggregation and crowed in different parts of the Persepolis site through video monitoring and investigating the amount of noise pollution caused by tourism services in the site	In the range of 3 years.	Technology) of Persepolis World Heritage Site Unit with Academic collaboration		measure	ne cross-sectional ements, it is necessary to use ent systems and to be functional ld of visitor management.	
10	Monitoring							

Persepolis 59 of 63

10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	Daily and monthly receipt of all climatic factors, Get regular weather information (Wind, humidity, temperature) by receiving regular meteorological information, Monitoring of biological agents in the site with the special sensors.	Accordingly, measurements can be continuous or periodic.	Persepolis World Heritage Site accompany with weather department, Department of Environment, Research Institute of Scientific and Industrial Studies.	Setting up a permanent monitoring center in the site, for each of the topics such as climatic and environmental factors.
------	---	--	--	---	--

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Research and monitoring Management effectiveness Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive Very positive
	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	very positive
	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

Persepolis 60 of 63

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

- Implementing opportunities for international collaboration and training programs in the field of study, conservation, and restoration of the monuments on the Persepolis World Heritage Site. - Cooperation with Restauratori senza Frontiere (Restorers without Borders) and collaboration with the Institute of Conservation and Restoration of Rome (ISCR). - Development of conservation and restoration workshops on the site of Persepolis and its buffer zones; implementing theoretically advanced new methods in conservation, for example at the Tachara Palace, Council Hall Palace, Hadish Palace, One Hundred Columns Palace and areas located in the Persepolis buffer zones such as Naqsh-e Rostam, Istakhr city and Naqsh-e Rajab. -Special emphasis on training in the field of restoration and arranging for training workshops for a generation of younger experts. -Special emphasis on including local communities in the field of protection by holding meetings, providing consultation, and entrusting aspects of preservation in the buffer zone after fieldwork and restoration. -Consulting and monitoring selected stone conservation and restoration projects in the region. -Restoration and conservation of monuments located in the Persepolis buffer zone and adding them to the tourism capacity of the region. -Using advanced technologies such as mobile audio guides and virtual reality (VR) in visitor centres. -Introducing Persepolis and its related sites in cyberspace. For example: creating and maintaining a website, creating a News portal, launching: Instagram, Telegram, etc. -Memorandum of Understanding: introducing, identifying, and researching other institutions for cooperation projects including Shiraz University of Arts, cultural departments, private sectors, and NGOs. -Holding scientific meetings to present results of archaeological fieldwork and conservation & restoration activities. -Basic and applied research in the field of conservation and archaeology, including four seasons of excavation of Persepolis underground waterways. -Pre

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

ustainable Development	
ynergies	
tate of Conservation	
anagement	
overnance	
apacity Building	

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy

Persepolis 61 of 63

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Local communities
Indigenous people
ICOMOS International
IUCN International

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

336 / 84 / 504 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				×
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	Good
IUCN International	Good
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	Good

ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Good

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
 - Geographic information table

Reason for update: Buffer zone (ha): 7338.31 Property(ha): 116.78

• Map(s)

Reason for update: New maps and information of Persepolis World Heritage File have been sent in the supplement of February 1, 2021.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Persepolis 63 of 63