

# Plitvice Lakes National Park

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Plitvice Lakes National Park

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Plitvice Lakes National Park	44.878 / 15.614	29630.77	0	29630.77	1979
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>29630.77</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>29630.77</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Plitvice Lakes National Park - map of inscribed extension	2000	
Plitvice Lakes National Park - Map of the inscribed property	2012	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [Natural site datasheet from WCMC](#)
2. <https://np-plitvicka-jezera.hr/> <https://www.facebook.com/PlitviceLakesNP/> <https://www.instagram.com/plitvicelakesnp/> [https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCcMTK\\_RIDQfk7JqaObLSLYg](https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCcMTK_RIDQfk7JqaObLSLYg) <https://twitter.com/PlitviceLakesNP> <https://www.tiktok.com/@plitvicelakesnp> <https://hr.linkedin.com/company/plitvice-lakes-national-park>

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

### 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

### 2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

### 2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

No

### 2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

**2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?**

No

**2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes**

<b>2.7.1</b>	<b>1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.2</b>	<b>Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.3</b>	<b>Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.4</b>	<b>Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.5</b>	<b>UNESCO Global Geoparks</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

**2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)**

**2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?**

No

**2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware**

**2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?**

No

**2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.**

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

**3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee**

**Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

## Brief synthesis

Plitvice Lakes National Park, Croatia's largest national park covering almost 30,000 hectares, is situated in the lower elevations of the Dinarides in the central part of the country. Within a beautiful karst landscape dominated by a mix of forests and meadows, the magnificent Plitvice lake system stands out, fascinating scientists and visitors alike. Interconnected by many waterfalls and watercourses above and below ground, the lakes are grouped into the upper and lower lakes. The former are formed on dolomites, with mild relief, not so steep shores and enclosed by thick forests, whereas the latter, smaller and shallower, are situated in limestone canyon with partially steep shores. The lake system is the result of millennia of ongoing geological and biochemical processes creating natural dams known as tufa barriers. These are formed by the deposition of calcium carbonate from the waters flowing through the property. In the case of the Plitvice lake system, this geochemical process of tufa formation interacts with living organisms, most importantly mosses, algae and aquatic bacteria. The scale of the overall lake system and the natural barriers are an exceptional expression of the aesthetically stunning phenomenon, acknowledged since the late 19th century. Plitvice Lakes National Park area is mainly covered with very well preserved forests essential for the continuity of geochemical processes in water system (above and below ground), which include an area of 84 ha of old-growth forest of beech and fir. Besides the striking landscape beauty and the processes that continue to shape the lakes, the park is also home to noteworthy biodiversity. The tufa barriers themselves provide habitat for diverse and highly specialized communities of non-vascular plants. Brown Bear, Grey Wolf and Lynx along with many rare species roam the forests, while the meadows are known for their rich flora.

**Criterion (vii):** Embedded in a mosaic of forests and meadows in the lower elevations of the Dinarides, Plitvice Lakes National Park conserves a strikingly beautiful and intact series of lakes formed by natural tufa barriers. The tufa barriers are the result of longstanding and ongoing interaction between water, air, sediments (geological foundation) and organisms. The extension of the dynamic, constantly evolving lake system, the proportion of the tufa barriers, jointly with the numerous dynamic waterfalls and clear water courses and the expression of colours, make Plitvice Lakes National Park an aesthetically outstanding natural spectacle of global importance.

**Criterion (viii):** The key extraordinary process which has been shaping and continues to shape the Plitvice lake system is the tufa creation which forms barriers across the watercourse. Due to the characteristics of karst base, the waters of Plitvice Lakes are naturally supersaturated with calcium carbonate. Under certain physico-chemical and biological conditions, the dissolved calcium carbonate is deposited on the bottoms and margins of the lakes, as well as on obstacles in the water courses. Over time, this process leads to the formation of porous, simultaneously hard and fragile limestone barriers, which retain the water of creeks and rivers. The lake system is a subject to constant changes largely due to the dynamics of growth and erosion of tufa barriers. A closer look of the barriers reveals the ubiquitous remains of mosses and other terrestrial and aquatic organisms inhabiting the highly specialized habitat. The scale and intactness of the tufa formation phenomena at Plitvice Lakes amount to an outstanding example of a largely undisturbed on-going process. Extensive research on the formation, age and structure and ecological characteristics illustrate the major scientific importance of the property.

**Criterion (ix):** Overlapping with the above "geological criterion", Plitvice's famous process of the tufa creation is also the result of exceptional ecological processes. Living organisms play a decisive role in the sedimentation of calcium carbonate in Plitvice. More concretely, highly specialized mosses, algae and bacteria enable and enhance the sedimentation, thereby contributing to the creation of the natural barriers. This is why the presence of these easily overlooked organisms and micro-organisms is an integral and essential component of the ancient processes which gave rise to the outstanding lake system. It becomes clear that the process and system requires a water quality that permits the presence of the often sensitive organisms. The extensive tufa formations of the Plitvice Lakes National Park are a testimony of an exceptional interplay between sediments (geological foundation), water, air and living organisms.

## Integrity

Following an extension in 2000, Plitvice Lakes National Park covers the entire catchment area and most of the underground system of the lake system. The lakes, the fragile heart of the property, are surrounded by a belt of well-preserved forest, contributing to the maintenance of water supply and quality and thereby supporting the on-going and dynamic process of calcium carbonate deposition and tufa creation. Logging is prohibited in the forests within the national park, and such legal prohibition is an important measure to maintain the integrity of the Plitvice lake system. A state road crosses the park area but its use is restricted in order to minimize disturbance. It comes as no surprise that Plitvice Lakes National Park attracts impressive numbers of visitors. Inevitably, heavy visitation potentially poses direct and indirect risks to the integrity of the property.

## Protection and management requirements

The creation of the "Association for the Conservation and Enhancement of the Plitvice Lakes" in 1893 illustrates a long history of dedication to the best possible conservation of what today constitutes the World Heritage property. Early conservation efforts were formalized when Plitvice Lakes became a national park in 1949. In 1997, the national park was enlarged on the grounds of protecting the entire catchment area of the lakes and most of the groundwater system. Since the extension of the World Heritage property in 2000, the surface area of Plitvice Lakes National Park and the World Heritage property are identical. The majority of the land is state owned. Legally, the property falls under the Nature Protection Act and complementary legislation. A specialized public institution established by the Croatian Government and under supervision of the Ministry responsible for the nature protection, implements management of the national park. Staff, infrastructure and activities are funded from the park's own resources. Regularly updated, participatory physical and management planning guides all aspects of management and use. An adequately staffed and equipped research centre carries out important research, providing important insights for both science and management.

Plitvice Lakes National Park is well-managed in line with its long history of conservation. The legal, administrative and financial conditions in place need to be maintained and, if needed, consolidated and adapted to respond to the visitation, which is constantly growing. While this puts Plitvice in a privileged position from economic and educational perspectives, the well-documented downsides of tourism require careful consideration. Beyond the risk of direct physical damage to the highly sensitive system, tourism also bears indirect risks stemming from water contamination and excess nutrients through wastewater. As high water quality of the entire freshwater system is a crucial foundation of fundamental processes that underlie the OUV of the property, physical and management planning, education of stakeholders and surveillance of the property are indispensable. Permanent monitoring of the water quality and aquatic organisms.

## Comment

In question 3.1. there is an error in the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee. The last sentence is not completely written. Instead of "Permanent monitoring of the water quality and aquatic organisms", it should be "Permanent monitoring of the water quality and aquatic organisms is needed to understand and be able to respond to possible changes."

## 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	forest	×			
3.2.2	meadow	×			
3.2.3	lake system	×			
3.2.4	waterfalls		×		

3.2.5	watercourses	×			
3.2.6	tufa barriers		×		
3.2.7	mosses, algae, aquatic bacteria	×			
3.2.8	water quality	×			
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative ✗	×		×	×			

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The construction of buildings for rent has been drastically reduced over the last 6 years. It is only occasionally that new family houses are built that are also used for rent.

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant

Not relevant

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant

Not relevant

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

#### 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Vehicle traffic does not significantly affect the site, but like everywhere else, it would be desirable if it were less.

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant

Not relevant

#### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

#### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

#### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant				
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

### 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The positive effects on the existing services infrastructures are in the process of renovation and replacement of worn out sewage and water pipes, which prevents water losses or sewage leaks.

## 4.4. Pollution

### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

### 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

#### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In the last 6 years, the number of livestock kept by local herders has increased. In this way, the meadows of the site are maintained and their succession is prevented.

### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

#### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant  Not relevant

#### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant  Not relevant

#### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant  Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

#### 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

We believe that water should not be drawn from the Kozjak lake in the park, but at the moment there is no other way to supply water to the local population. From the ecological point of view, this is not such a problem, because for example in the driest years, at the time of the highest water consumption, up to 5 % of the flow was captured. However, the design of a new water supply system is in the process, and water will no longer be drawn from Lake Kozjak.

#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

##### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant  Not relevant

##### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant  Not relevant

##### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant  Not relevant

##### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant  Not relevant

##### 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant  Not relevant

##### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant  Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing



Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

#### 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

##### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

##### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

##### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

##### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

##### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

##### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

#### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Since last reporting cycle Visitor management plan have been implemented. By selling tickets for the exact day, hour and entrance to the park, high level of visitation is avoided.

#### 4.9. Other human activities

#### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

#### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

#### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

#### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

#### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

#### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

#### 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

##### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

##### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

##### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

##### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

##### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant		<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

#### 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

##### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

##### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

##### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

##### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

##### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

##### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

#### 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

##### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
--	---------------------------------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

#### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

#### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

#### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.13.3 - Governance

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/09/2014):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

#### 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

High impact research mitigates the consequences of human activities in the past.

#### 4.14. Other factor(s)

##### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

##### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
<b>4.1 Buildings and Development</b>						
4.1.1 Housing						
<b>4.2 Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
<b>4.3 Services Infrastructures</b>						
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
<b>4.5 Biological resource use/modification</b>						
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals						
<b>4.6 Physical resource extraction</b>						

4.6.4 Water (extraction)									
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric									
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)									
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage									
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation									
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events									
4.10.6 Temperature change									
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species									
4.12.1 Translocated species									
4.13 Management and institutional factors									
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan									
4.13.4 Management activities									
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities									
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities									
<b>Legend</b> Current     Potential     Negative     Positive     Inside     Outside									

#### 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

##### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

###### 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.1 Housing			

###### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor





<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

###### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✘	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✘	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
✘	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing





## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure			
			 

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✘	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✘	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✘	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✘	Static

Increasing

### 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities	 		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

- Restricted
- Localised
- Extensive
- Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

- One off or rare
- Intermittent or sporadic
- Frequent
- On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes




- Insignificant
- Minor
- Significant
- Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

- High capacity
- Medium capacity
- Low capacity
- No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

- Decreasing
- Static
- Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	 		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

- Restricted
- Localised
- Extensive
- Widespread





#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

- One off or rare
- Intermittent or sporadic



	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✘	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✘	Static
	Increasing






#### 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	 		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✘	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✘	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	

	Decreasing
	Static
X	Increasing

#### 4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.6.4 Water (extraction)			
	 	 	

##### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

X	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

##### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
X	On-going

##### Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
X	Minor
	Significant
	Major





##### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
X	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

##### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
X	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric





Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)			
	 		

##### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

X	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive

	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✘	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✘	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation			
	 		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✘	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✘	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✘	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

#### 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources





Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

#### 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.12.1 Translocated species	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>




Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

#### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	 		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
	Increasing





Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	 		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread




<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	 		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	 		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor

×	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

**4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor**

#### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

**4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)**

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	forest	×			
4.18.1.2	meadow	×			
4.18.1.3	tuffa barriers		×		
4.18.1.4	water quality	×			
4.18.1.5	mosses, algae, aquatic bacteria	×			

### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

**5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?**

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

**5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The property has **no buffer zone** and **does not need one**

**5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?**

The property has **no known and recognised** buffer zone

**5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property**

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

**5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).**

- Agreements: Statute of Public Institution (2000); Book of Rules on Internal Order (1996)
- Law on Proclaiming National park; Law on Adoption of Physical Plan (1986)
- Act on Proclamation of Plitvice Lakes National Park (Official Gazete no. 29/49, 13/97)
- Statute of Public Institution of Plitvice Lakes National Park ( 2014 )
- Management Plan for Plitvice Lakes National Park (2007)
- Decision on the adoption of the Physical Plan for the Plitvice Lakes National Park (OG no. 49/2014)

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1, Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

#### Comment

Documents missing from the list are attached in table 5.2.2.

**5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional)**



## not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2019 / Plitvice Lakes National Park Management Plan 2019-2028 /  
<https://np-plitvicka-jezera.hr/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Plitvice-Lakes-NP-Management-Plan.pdf>

2021 / Ordinance on the Protection And Preservation of the "Plitvice Lakes" National Park /  
<https://np-plitvicka-jezera.hr/en/about-the-park/documents/>

2021 / Ordinance on Granting Concession Licences in Protected Areas /  
<https://np-plitvicka-jezera.hr/en/about-the-park/documents/>

### 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

### 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has **no buffer zone**

### 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

### 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

## 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

### 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An environmental management framework

### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Nacionalni park Plitvicka Jezera Prostorni Plan (Prijedlog) (1976-77)	N/A	Available	1977	

### Comment

Listed Spatial Plan is invalidated. Documents missing from the list are: Plitvice Lakes National Park Spatial Plan 2014, Plitvice Lakes National Park Management Plan 2019-2028, Ordinance on Protection and Conservation of the Plitvice Lakes National Park (2021), Ordinance on Concession Approvals in the Protected Area (2021)

### 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is **not relevant** to this property

### 5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

### 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

### 5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

### 5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

**5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done**

**5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property**

There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

**5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

**5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work/action plan **exists and many of its activities are being implemented**

**5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?**

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			x		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			x		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			x		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	x				
5.3.15.5	Women			x		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	x				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

**5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups**

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				x	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					x
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	x				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				x	
5.3.16.5	Women				x	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					x
5.3.16.7	Researchers					x
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					x
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					x
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					x
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				x	
5.3.16.12	NGOs					x
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	x				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention**

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

**5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above**

**5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan**

## 6. Financial and Human Resources

### 6.1. Funding

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	80 %	80 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	20 %	20 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

**6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above**

**6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is adequate for effective management of the World Heritage property

**6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

**6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.**

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

**6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?**

**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

**6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.**

**6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?**

A **site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; **all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

**6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

**7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?**

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

**7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects****8. Education, Information and Awareness Building****8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

**8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?**

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

**8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?**

Local communities
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry

**8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building**

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

## 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

### 9. Visitor Management

#### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1.162.018 / 805.465 / 445.000 / 1.771.542 / 1.796.670 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

Transportation services

Tourism industry

Visitor surveys

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

#### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

4,2 / 7,6 / 1,8 / 30,2 / 0,06 / 4 /

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Public Institution Plitvice Lakes National Park, Department of Plan and Analysis

#### 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

#### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

#### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

#### If a different system, please specify

Different surveys are done every year: live survey and electronic survey. In addition, platforms with visitor reviews are analyzed. It is a comprehensive combination of multidimensional scaling, sentiment analysis, and natural language processing approaches to a sample area of international naturalistic interest. Daily patrols by rangers and other park employees also reveal information about the effectiveness of visitor management.

#### 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to **present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **acceptable but improvements could be made**

#### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

#### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

**Fees are collected** and make a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

#### If 'Yes', please specify

#### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

#### If 'Yes', please specify

Every year, up to 6,5% of the park's earnings from admission fees are given to local municipalities.

#### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

## 10. Monitoring

### 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

### 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				✘
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				✘
10.3.3	Character of governance			✘	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			✘	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				✘
10.3.6	Capacity development				✘

### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

## 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	<b>Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>	
5.1.3	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	✘
5.1.4	The property has <b>no known and recognised buffer zone</b>	✘
5.2	<b>Protective Measures</b>	
5.2.4	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	✘
5.2.6	There is <b>acceptable capacity/resources</b> to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	✘

5.3	<b>Management System/Management Plan</b>	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✕
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	✕
6.1	<b>Funding</b>	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	✕
9	<b>Visitor Management</b>	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements could be made</b>	✕
9.11	There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry <b>to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation</b>	✕
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property <b>is acceptable but improvements could be made</b>	✕
Please select 0 more issues.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes		

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
4.1.1	Housing	None	New Spatial Plan will reduce the possibility of building in the park.	Various inspections and rangers regularly visit and check all buildings in the park.	New Spatial Plan will be finished till the end of 2024.	Ministry of Physical Planning, Construction and State Assets, Ministry of Economy and Sustainable Development, Public Institution Plitvice Lakes National Park	Banning construction of all houses is not a desirable solution because it will prevent the local population from living a normal life and the possibility for their children to stay in park.
4.2	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
4.2.5	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	None	The construction of two bypasses is being prepared, in order to reduce traffic through the park as much as possible.	The possibility of a negative traffic impact is monitored through air and water quality control.	A prerequisite for further actions for road construction is the amendment of the Spatial Plan at the county level.	Ministry of Maritime Affairs, Transport and Infrastructure, Ministry of Economy and Sustainable Development, Public Institution Plitvice Lakes National Park	Counting the traffic on the busiest road that passes through the park shows that the average annual daily traffic is 4217 vehicles. Such traffic does not affect the quality of air or water, as shown by constant measurements of these parameters.
4.6	<b>Physical resource extraction</b>						
4.6.4	Water (extraction)	waterfalls	The design of a new water supply system that will draw water outside the park is underway.	Monitoring is carried out at 14 hydrological stations.	The exact time of construction of the new water supply system has not yet been defined.	Croatian Waters, Korenica Water Supply, Ministry of Economy and Sustainable Development, Public Institution Plitvice Lakes National Park	Due to the location, water pumping can affect five of the sixteen lakes and a smaller number of waterfalls.
4.7	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Tufa barriers, waterfalls	There is no possibility of influencing the amount of precipitation, nor the possibility of preventing possible damage caused by high water..	Monitoring of the condition of tufa barriers and water level is carried out daily.	Monitoring is permanent.	Public Institution Plitvice Lakes National Park	In recent years, hydrological maxima and minima occur more often than before.
4.8	<b>Social/Cultural uses of heritage</b>						



4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	None	The number of visitors is limited by the Management Plan to 650 persons per hour and per entrance to the park. This year, the number has been further reduced to 600, so the impact of visitors is minimal.	In addition to monitoring of the number of visitors, their influence is monitored indirectly through the excessive number of habitat and species monitoring.	Monitoring is permanent.	Public Institution Plitvice Lakes National Park	The possibility of a negative impact of visitors is also reduced with their dispersion outside the lake zone by the construction of the viewpoint, which will be built in a month.
-------	--	------	---	--	--------------------------	---	--

#### 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.6	Temperature change	None	Increaseing the number of measuring stations for temperature and analysis of air and water temperature trends.	Measurement of air and water temperature is carried out on a daily basis.	Monitoring is permanent.	Public Institution Plitvice Lakes National Park	The temperature is also measured in caves.
--------	--------------------	------	--	---	--------------------------	---	--

#### 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1	Translocated species	Lake system	Alien fish species have been caught for the third year already. At the same time, the process of stocking the lake with domestic trout is being carried out, and it is expected that trout will once again become the dominant species.	Monitoring of fishing success is carried out twice a year.	The results of the monitoring, which will be carried out at the end of the year, will show the success and the necessary duration of fishing and stocking.	Faculty of science, Aquatika, Public Institution Plitvice Lakes National Park	This is the first conservation project of this scale in Croatia.
--------	----------------------	-------------	---	--	--	---	--

### Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.3	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	None	A prerequisite for planning activities is the amendment of the Law on Nature Protection.	Parliament of the Republic of Croatia, Ministry of Economy and Sustainable Development	In the Law on nature protection, the formation of buffer zones around protected areas is not possible.
5.1.4	The property has <b>no known and recognised buffer zone</b>	None	A prerequisite for planning activities is the amendment of the Law on Nature Protection.	Parliament of the Republic of Croatia, Ministry of Economy and Sustainable Development	In the Nature Protection Low, the formation of buffer zones around protected areas is not possible.

#### 5.2 Protective Measures

5.2.4	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	None	A prerequisite for planning activities is the amendment of the Law on Nature Protection.	Parliament of the Republic of Croatia, Ministry of Economy and Sustainable Development	In the Nature Protection Low, the formation of buffer zones around protected areas is not possible.
5.2.6	There is <b>acceptable capacity/resources</b> to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	Deficiencies of enforcement will be solved with new Establishment Plan.	Establishment Plan will be adopted next year.	Public Institution Plitvice Lakes National Park, Ministry of Economy and Sustainable Development	The shortcoming of the existing Establishment Plan is the insufficient number of rangers who directly enforce legislation and regulation.

#### 5.3 Management System/Management Plan

5.3.7	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Complete assessment on the Impacts of climate change will be done.	Monitoring of climate changes is permanent. and actions according to Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties will be carrying out next year.	Public Institution Plitvice Lakes National Park	The impact of climate change is monitored in various ways, not strictly related to Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties
5.3.9	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Complete assessment on the Impacts of reducing risks from disasters will be done.	Actions according to the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties will be carrying out next year.	Public Institution Plitvice Lakes National Park	A network of seismographs has been established in the area of the park.

6.1	<b>Funding</b>				
6.1.10	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Document World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development will be completely adopted.	Work on document World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property will be carrying out next year.	Public Institution Plitvice Lakes National Park	The mentioned document has not been significantly used until now, because a similar document was issued by our relevant ministry.

9	<b>Visitor Management</b>				
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements could be made</b>	A visitor center is planned.	The visitor center is planned to open in 2025.	Public Institution Plitvice Lakes National Park	In this way, visitors will be additionally educated about the values of the park.
9.11	There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to <b>present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation</b>	Guides from tourist agencies are trained every year in order to better interpret the park's values.	Permanent yearly education	turist birous, tourist agencies, Public Institution Plitvice Lakes National Park	Information is passed on to the tourism industry, but its transmission to tourists is not always satisfactory.
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property <b>is acceptable but improvements could be made</b>	The presentation center has been designed and the Plitvice Lakes National Park Public Institution has applied for its construction on EU funds.	The visitor center is planned to open in 2025.	Public Institution Plitvice Lakes National Park	In this way, visitors will be additionally educated about the park's values in an interesting way.

Summary - Management Needs completed

## 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

**Not applicable** (sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x (natural World Heritage properties))

### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property **has been compromised** by factors described in this report

### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value **has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions.**

### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

### 12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

## 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

## 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

The results of monitoring and research are validated and active management measures are implemented based on them. Habitat restoration measures are planned or already implemented.

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development

State of Conservation

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity

Monitoring and reporting

**15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Poor

**15.2. Use of Data**

**15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?**

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

**15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting**

**15.3. Timing and resources**

**15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)**

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

**15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?**

Gender balance has been **given limited consideration** and implementation is in process.

**15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?**

Yes

**15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire**

80 / 4 / 40 /

**15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?**

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		X
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	X	

**15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report**

**15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?**

All required information was accessible.

**15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?**

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			X	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		X		

**15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**15.5. Training and Guidance**

**15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire**

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	No support

**15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

**15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?**

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

**15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?**

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

**15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee**

Reason for update: In question 3.1. there is an error in the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee. The last sentence is not completely written. Instead of "Permanent monitoring of the water quality and aquatic organisms", it should be "Permanent monitoring of the water quality and aquatic organisms is needed to understand and be able to respond to possible changes."

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

**15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.**