Stari Ras and Sopo ani

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Stari Ras and Sopo ani

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Mediaeval Town of Ras	43.119 / 20.423	196.73	?	196.73	1979
Sopo ani Monastery	43.132 / 20.379	0.53	?	0.53	1979
St. Peter's Church	43.166 / 20.526	0.34	?	0.34	1979
Monastery of Djurdjevi Stupovi	43.171 / 20.499	1.12	?	1.12	1979
Total (ha)		198.72	0	198.72	

Comment

Buffer zone (ha) 9 935,34 ha

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Stari Ras and Sopo ani - Mediaeval Town of Ras	2010	
Stari Ras and Sopo ani - Sopo ani Monastery	2010	
Stari Ras and Sopo ani - The Holy Apostles St Peter and St Paul Church - St Peter Church	2010	æ
Stari Ras and Sopo ani - Djurdjevi Stupovi Monastery	2010	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the

Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Slava, celebration of family saint patron's day (2014)

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief synthesis

Stari Ras and Sopo ani is a serial property consisting of four separate components located in the Raška region of southern Serbia: Sopo ani Monastery, Djurdjevi Stupovi Monastery, Holy Apostles St Peter and St Paul Church (St Peter's Church), and the archaeological site of the Medieval Town of Ras. The impressive collection of three ecclesiastical monuments dating from the 10th to the 13th centuries eminently illustrates the birth of artistic activity in medieval Serbia, which attained the highest standards in the art and culture of the Byzantine Empire and the regions of Central and Southeastern Europe. The unique architectural complex formed by numerous structures in Stari Ras (Old Ras), situated at a crossroads of eastern and western influences, testifies to the period from 12th to the early 14th centuries when the ancient town was the first capital of the Serbian state.

The frescoes in the Sopo ani Monastery church, dating from about 1270-1276, are among the finest in Byzantine and Serbian medieval art. These exceptional paintings represent the work of the best artists of that period who were unable to work in the territory of the Byzantine Empire and found refuge at the court of the Serbian king. At Sopo ani these artists introduced a refined spirit of antiquity to the prevailing medieval conventions. St George's Church in the Djurdjevi Stupovi Monastery, founded in 1170-1171, is the earliest example of a distinctive new regional architecture that blended Romanesque and Byzantine styles. Known as the Raška School, this style came to dominate architecture in this area for almost a century and a half. The church also features two layers of preserved frescoes dating from 1175 and 1282-1283 that are among the finest from that period in the Balkans. The preserved frescoes in St Peter's Church, built in the 10th century on the foundations of a 6thcentury baptistery and now the oldest surviving Christian church in the Balkans, also present evidence of the developments that took place in pictorial art between the 10th and 14th centuries.

Stari Ras is located along the mountainous setting near the confluence of the Raška and Sebe evo rivers, and it became the first capital of the Serbian independent state on the accession of the Nemanji dynasty in 1159. It was the focal point of all the decisive events underlying the state's birth, development and consolidation. Now an archaeological site, it contains the remains of structures built from about the 9th century onwards, including the hilltop fortress of Gradina and the lower town of Trgovište. The combination of historical, cultural, artistic and natural values gives this group of monuments its significance. Together, they represent a unique contribution of the Serbian nation to the culture of Slavonic and other nations during the Middle Ages.

Criterion (i): Sopo ani Monastery is renowned for the exceptional quality of its decorative frescoes. The frescoes in the narthex, which opens to two projecting chapels, provide a valuable historical record of the family of the founder of this monastery. The plastic quality of these compositions, mostly carried out in the 13th century, testifies to the vitality of Byzantine art at a time when Constantinople was in the hands of the Crusaders. The composition of the frescoes that adorn St George's Church in the Djurdjevi Stupovi Monastery is original in the treatment of the figures in the manner of icons and draws its inspiration from ancient art. St Peter's Church, the seat of the Bishop of Raška, was also decorated with frescoes mainly in the 13th century.

Criterion (iii): The ancient town of Ras drew its strength from its location at a crossroads and was enriched through its contact with both eastern and western influences. Its numerous monuments form a unique architectural complex that testifies to the period when the capital of the Serbian state was located in Stari Ras. These buildings, erected for the most part between the 9th and 11th centuries, express in their plan and pictorial decoration an architectural interest that is characteristic of the Raška School.

Integrity

All the elements that sustain the Outstanding Universal Value of Stari Ras and Sopo ani are located within the boundaries of the 199-ha serial property. There is also a very large 9,936-ha buffer zone. The property is therefore of adequate size to ensure the complete representation of the features and processes that convey its significance. The state of conservation of all the components, including the remains of the fortress of Gradina and the lower town of Trgovište, and especially the very delicate wall paintings, is good, and their condition is constantly monitored by the relevant experts. Conservation and restoration works in the exonarthex of the Sopo ani Monastery church and St George's Church in Djurdjevi Stupovi Monastery were in the service of restoring their original appearance and were preceded by serious archaeological and architectural investigations. The property does not suffer unduly from adverse effects of development and/or neglect.

Authenticity

A complete and intact set of attributes conveys the Outstanding Universal Value of Stari Ras and Sopo ani, including their forms and designs, materials and substance, and uses and functions. All conservation and restoration works have been carried out in the original materials and traditional techniques and do not threaten the authenticity of the monuments. They are accompanied by detailed architectural, artistic, archaeological and historical documentation that justifies their selection and assures their authenticity.

Known threats and risks to the property include development pressures related to the nearby city of Novi Pazar, environmental pressure and the number of inhabitants. Rehabilitation of the original function of Djurdjevi Stupovi Monastery, while a positive action in terms of sustainable use, is also considered to be a potential threat to its authenticity.

Protection and management requirements

The owner of the three ecclesiastical monuments in the serial property is the Serbian Orthodox Church, and the owner of the Stari Ras archaeological site (including the fortress of Gradina and lower town of Trgovište) is the state. The owner of most of the area included in the buffer zone is the Republic of Serbia. Stari Ras and Sopo ani benefit from the highest level of legal protection in the Republic of Serbia, established by the 1994 Law of Cultural Heritage. The area around Stari Ras and Sopo ani is additionally protected by the Ras-Sopo ani Landscape of Outstanding Interest (1995) and the Spatial Plan of the Republic of Serbia (Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, June 1996). Management of the property is the responsibility of the Serbian Orthodox Church and the Government of the Republic of Serbia. Maintenance of the monuments is funded by the Serbian Orthodox Church, the Republic of Serbia, and the Municipality of the nearby town of Novi Pazar. Jurisdiction is divided among several governmental institutions, including the Institute for the Protection of Cultural Monuments of Serbia for preventive protection, conservation, restoration and presentation; the Institute for the Protection of Cultural Monuments of Serbia, the Archaeological Institute, and the Ras Museum in Novi Pazar for archaeological research; and the Ras Museum in Novi Pazar for maintenance of the archaeological sites and safekeeping of the archaeological material. All monastery and protected area conservation and restoration work projects are subject to approval, clearance and monitoring by the expert committee responsible

for Stari Ras and Sopo ani, an agency of the Serbian Ministry of Culture. The Institute for the Protection of Cultural Monuments of Serbia is responsible for preparing a management plan, which has been in progress since 2010. Key indicators for monitoring the property have been identified, though there is no formal monitoring programme.

Sustaining the Outstanding Universal Value of Stari Ras and Sopo ani over time will require completing, approving and implementing the management plan for the serial property; implementing a formal monitoring programme; and addressing the known and potential threats and risks to the property, including development pressures, environmental pressure, the number of inhabitants and the rehabilitation of the original function of Djurdjevi Stupovi Monastery.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Wholeness: number of components	×			
3.2.2	Wholeness: locations of components	×			
3.2.3	Wholeness: architectural/building structure	×			
3.2.4	Wholeness: architectural decoration	×			
3.2.5	Wholeness: mural paintings	×			
3.2.6	Wholeness: the wider surrounding	×			
3.2.7	Materials: preservation in situ	×			
3.2.8	Materials: stone	×			
3.2.9	Materials: mural paintings (fresco mortar and pigments)	×			
3.2.10	Visual-aesthetic integrity: artistic and cultural aspects of medieval epoch	×			
3.2.11	Visual-aesthetic integrity: the most impressive series of 13th century Byzantine and Serbian medieval art	×			
3.2.12	Visual-aesthetic integrity: the picturesque settings	×			
3.2.13	Authenticity: mural paintings represent richness of iconographic subjects	×			
3.2.14	Authenticity: first capital of Serbian independent state	×			
3.2.15	Authenticity: the intangible cultural heritage – the high point of Serbian history	×			

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant	X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×		×			1	
4.1.2 - Commercial develop Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant								
Relevant			× Not relevant					
 4.1.3 - Industrial areas Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014): Not relevant 								
Relevant			× Not relevant					

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X		×	×	×		\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant			
 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014): Not relevant 				
Relevant	X Not relevant			
 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014): Not relevant 				
Relevant	X Not relevant			
4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):				

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow		

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

	Relevant	X Not relevant		
4	4.4.2 - Ground water pollution			
F	Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):			
	 Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside 			

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×		\rightarrow		
4.4.3 - Surface water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant								

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

 Relevant, Negative, Current 							
× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow	
 4.6 - Input of excess ener revious answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2 Not relevant 							
Relevant			X Not relevant	t			
.4.7 - Please comment as ositively	necessary on I	now the factors	selected as r	elevant in 4.4 a	re affecting the pro	operty either ı	negatively or
.5. Biological resource us	se/modificatio	n					
 5.1 - Fishing/collecting ac revious answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2 • Not relevant 		es					
Relevant			X Not relevant	t			
 5.2 - Aquaculture revious answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2 Not relevant 	2014):						
Relevant			X Not relevant	t			
 5.3 - Land conversion revious answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2 Not relevant 	2014):						
Relevant			× Not relevant	t			
.5.4 - Livestock farming/G revious answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2 • Not relevant		esticated animal	s				
Relevant			× Not relevant	t			
.5.5 - Crop production revious answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2 • Not relevant	2014):						
Relevant			X Not relevant	t			
 .5.6 - Commercial wild pla revious answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2 Relevant, Positive, Curren 	2014):	3					
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	ৰ্ত Outside ×	✤ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant			× Not relevant	t						
4.5.8 - Commercial hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant										
Relevant			× Not relevant	t						
4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant	-									
Relevant			X Not relevant							
4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood pro Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant										
Relevant			X Not relevant	t						
 4.5.11 - Please comment as positively 4.6. Physical resource ex 4.6.1 - Mining Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant 	traction	n how the factors	s selected as	relevant in 4.5	are affecting the p	roperty either	negatively or			
Relevant			× Not relevant	t						
4.6.2 - Quarrying Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Relevant, Negative, Curre										
× Relevant			Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X	×	×		×		→				
 4.6.3 - Oil and gas Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ Not relevant 	/2014):									
Relevant			X Not relevant							
4.6.4 - Water (extraction) Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant	/2014):									
Relevant X Not relevant										
			X Not relevant	t						

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant			× Not releva	nt							
4.7.3 - Temperature Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant	2014):										
Relevant			X Not relevant								
4.7.4 - Radiation/Light Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant	2014):										
Relevant			X Not relevan	nt							
4.7.5 - Dust Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2 • Not relevant	2014):										
Relevant	× Not releva	nt									
4.7.6 - Water (rain/water tak Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant	-										
Relevant			× Not releva	nt							
4.7.7 - PestsPrevious answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2Not relevant	2014):										
Relevant			X Not relevant								
 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014): Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside 											
X Relevant				Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact						
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing				
O Positive											

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

×

×

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

×

×

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Negative X

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin	Origin Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow			
Negative									
482 - Society's valuin	a of beritage								

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

O Positive						
Negative X	×	×		×	→	
4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant		collecting				
Relevant			X Not relevant			
 4.8.4 - Changes in tradition Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ Not relevant 	-	and knowledge :	system			
Relevant			× Not relevant			
4.8.5 - Identity, social cohe Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant	-	in local populati	on and com	nunity		
Relevant			× Not relevant			
4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/ Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant		eation				
Relevant			× Not relevant			

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×	×		×					
4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2 • Not relevant	-								
Relevant				t					
4.9.3 - Military training Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant	2014):								
Relevant			X Not relevant	Not relevant					
4.9.4 - War Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant	2014):								
Relevant			X Not relevant	t					
4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant	2014):								
Relevant			× Not relevant	t					

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.2 - Flooding Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.6 - Temperature change Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014): Not relevant 	

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant	2014):				
Relevant		× Not relev	rant		
 4.11.2 - Earthquake Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ Relevant, Negative, Poter 	-				
× Relevant			Not relevant		
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact	

Impact Original Operative	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Subscreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow			
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wav Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant									
Relevant			× Not relevant						
 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014): Not relevant 									
Relevant			X Not relevant	t					
4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Not relevant	-								
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire) Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/ • Relevant, Negative, Poter		le							
X Relevant			1	Not relevant					

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant			1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current 4 Potential		 Inside 	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×		×	×		\rightarrow			

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant			Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow			
Negative									

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant				
	Impact O		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant			1	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact						
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X		×		×		\rightarrow				
Negative										

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

In	npact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
	O Positive X	×			×		→	
	Negative							
4.1	3.7 - Low impact resear	ch/monitoring a	activities					

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current 4 Potential		Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X		×	×	×		\rightarrow				
Negative										

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
	0	9	9		Ċ	
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢		9	٢	Ċ	→
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
	0	9	9		Ċ	
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
	0	9	9		Ċ	→
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
	0	9	9	۹	Ċ	→
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
	0	9		٢	Ċ	→
4.4.5 Solid waste						
	0	9			Ċ	→
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection	٢	9	9		Ċ	→

4.6 Physical resource	ce extraction									
4.6.2 Quarrying										
					٢	9	9		Ċ	→
4.7 Local conditions	s affecting physical fab	ric								
4.7.8 Micro-organis	ms									
					9	9	9	۲	Ċ	→
4.8 Social/Cultural u	uses of heritage									
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritua	al/Religious and associa	ative uses			٢	9	9	٢	Ċ	→
4.8.2 Society's value	ing of heritage									
					٢	9	9		Ċ	\rightarrow
4.9 Other human ac	ctivities									
4.9.1 Illegal activitie	es									
					٢	9	9		Ċ	→
4.11 Sudden ecolog	gical or geological even	its								
4.11.2 Earthquake										
					0		9		Ċ	\rightarrow
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire))									
					9		9		Ċ	→
4.13 Management a	Ind institutional factors									
4.13.1 Management	t system/Management p	olan								
					9	9		۲	Ċ	→
4.13.2 Legal framew	vork				٢	9		۲	Ċ	→
4.13.3 Governance					0	4		٩	œ	→
					-					
4.13.4 Management	tactivities				0	9		٢	C ^e	→
								3	3	
4.13.5 Financial res	COURCOS				٥		9		œ	
4.15.5 Financial res	Sources				•		4		G	-
						~			~	
4 40 6 11-					\odot	9			1	
4.13.6 Human resou	urces									
									~	
	urces research/monitoring ac	tivities			٥	•	9	٢	<u>چ</u>	→
		tivities			٥		9	۲	~	→

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housing						
	0	9	9		Ċ	

Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact -	Impact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Managen	nent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - D	Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢		9	۲	Ċ	→

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	In sime the and

	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant

	Major						
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	lame Impact		Origin			Trend	
4.2.1 Grou	nd transport infrastructure						
		٢	4	9		Ċ	
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
opatial sea	Restricted						
~	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
T							
_	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	lame		Impact				Trend	
4.3.4 Loca	1.3.4 Localised utilities							
		0	9	9		Ċ	→	
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
×	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
×	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - I	npact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
×	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
×	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							
N					Ortota		Trend	
Name 4.3.5 Maio	or linear utilities	Impact	:		Origin		Trend	
		0	9	9	٢	Ċ	→	
		-			4	9		
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor							
~	Restricted							
×	Localised							
	Extensive							
_	Widespread							
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							

	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.2 Ground water pollution					
	0	9	۲	Ċ	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Resided Version Version <td< th=""><th>Spatial sca</th><th>le - Area affected by the factor</th></td<>	Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
kensive kensive Versives Temperature consolid or are kensive kensive <tr< th=""><th></th><th>Restricted</th></tr<>		Restricted
image: minipage: minipa	×	Localised
Tender Cocurrence of the impact Cone off or rare Reference X Intermittent or sporadic Frequent Frequent Import - Termittent or sporadic Import - Termittent or sporadic Import - Termittent or sporadic Import - Termittent or sporadic Import - Termittent or the attributes Import - Termittent or the attribute Import - Termittent or the attributes Import - Termittent or the attribute Import - Termittent or the attributes Import - Termittent or ter		Extensive
Ne off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Intermittent or sporadic Insignificant X Minor Significant X Minor Insignificant Kagenty Minor Minor Kagenty Major Kagenty Major Kagenty		Widespread
K infmittent or sporadic Frequent Frequent Inspin Inspin Inspin Inspin Inspin Spinficant X Spinficant X Spinficant X Minor X Spinficant X Spinficant X Minor X More X More <th>Temporal s</th> <th>scale - Occurence of the impact</th>	Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
requent requent on-going Impact - Imp		One off or rare
import import	×	Intermittent or sporadic
Impact - Impact		Frequent
Insignificant Insignificant Minor Significant Maior Major Management to respond Main capacity of management to respond Imagement to respond Medium capacity More capacity of management to respond Imagement to respond Imageme		On-going
K Minor Significant Major Management to respond Minor Medium capacity of management to respond Medium capacity Medium capacity Modium capacity No capacity and / or resources No capacity and / or resources Tend - Decreasing Decreasing X Static	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
Significant Major Management to respond Migh capacity of management to respond Medium capacity Medium capacity kow capacity No capacity and / or resources Frend - Evert the last 6 years b cereasing kaio Staic		Insignificant
Major Management Consequent to respond Major Mijor capacity of management to respond Medium capacity Medium capacity Medium capacity No capacity No capacity of resources Ternet - Derement over the last 6 years Secretaring Major Static	×	Minor
Hanagement to response - Capacity of management to respond Image: Imag		Significant
High capacity Hodium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Main		Major
Medium capacity K Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Static	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
kow capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing becreasing Static		High capacity
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Deperment over the last 6 years Decreasing X Static		Medium capacity
Trend - Decreasing X Static	×	Low capacity
Decreasing X Static		No capacity and / or resources
X Static	Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
		Decreasing
Increasing	×	Static
		Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.5 Solid	4.4.5 Solid waste					
		0	9		Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	icale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection		٢	9	9		Ċ	→
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						

	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name	ne		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.6.2 Qua	4.6.2 Quarrying						
		0	9	9		Ċ	→
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - I	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years						

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro	4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
		0	9	9	٢	Ċ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Vame		Impact				Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		•	9	9	٢	Ċ	\rightarrow
Owerfallerer							
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						

	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing
	No capacity and / or resources relopement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
	0	9	9		Ċ	→
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

•	•
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
	4.9.1 Illegal activities				e.ig.ii		
-		0	9	9		Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	0		9		Ċ	→
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	ppact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	Name			Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire	(wildfire)					
		0	9		۴	→

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

N		·····		Orderla		Treed
Name	nagement system/Management plan	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.1 War	ragement system/management plan		-			
		9	4	۲	Ċ	→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Leg	al framework	٢	4	۲	Ċ	→

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.3 Gov	4.13.3 Governance		9		٢	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						

× Significant
 Major
 Management to respond
 Migh capacity of management to respond
 Medium capacity
 Medium capacity
 Low capacity
 No capacity and / or resources
 Trend - Decreasing
 Static

Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.4 Management activities	٢	9		٢	Ċ	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

opunai oou	e - Area anecteu by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	icale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
						_
Name	ncial resources	Impact	9	Origin	Ċ	Trend
4. 13.3 FINA		v	-1		G	-

Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

Name	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Hur	.13.6 Human resources		9			Ċ	→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						

Significant
 Significant
 Major
 Management to respond
 Migh capacity of management to respond
 Medium capacity
 Medium capacity
 Low capacity
 I cow capacity of management capacity
 Decreasing
 Static

Increasing

Name			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9	٢	Ċ	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

kiede kiede </th <th></th> <th>•</th>		•
American America		Restricted
kapanad two paradic two paradic kapanad		Localised
Tenper Cocurence of the impact Project of or rate Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Project Impact - Impact - Impact of the attributes Inspiricant Inspiricant Inspiricant Impact - Impact - Impact of the attributes Inspiricant Impact - Impact - Impact of the attributes Inspiricant Impact - Impact of the attributes Inspiricant Impact - Impact of the attributes Impact of the attributes Impact - Impact of the attributes Impact of the attributes Impact - Impact of the attributes Impact of the attributes Impact - Impact of the attributes Impact of the attributes Impact - Impact of the attributes Impact of the attributes Impact - Impact of the attributes Impact of the attributes Impact of the attributes <t< th=""><th>×</th><th>Extensive</th></t<>	×	Extensive
Answer Intermitted or sporadic Intermitted or sporadic Intermitted or sporadic Index		Widespread
infinition of sporadic infinition of sporadic infinition of sporadic infinition of sporadic infinition i	Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
K Fequent Proposed Proposed Instruction Instruction		One off or rare
inping		Intermittent or sporadic
Impact - Intentifieders Insignificant Minor Significant Agoin Mage Mage Mage Mage Mage Mage Minor Mage Mage <th>×</th> <th>Frequent</th>	×	Frequent
isipificant isipif		On-going
Minor X Spirificant Major Margement to respond Madium capacity of management to respond Medium capacity Medium capacity No capacity and / or resources Terd-to ter the last 6 years Decreasing Mage Spin Minor	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
* Sinifican Map Map Mage: Capacity of management to respond * Mip capacity Medium capacity Mip capacity Moi capacity Moi capacity Moi capacity Moi capacity Text-Event to test of		Insignificant
Major Management or respond X High capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity and / or resources Trend - Evernet over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Static		Minor
Management to respond K Igh capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Io capacity of management to respond	×	Significant
Kipi capacity Medium capacity Low capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Static		Major
Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Depresent over the last 6 years Static	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Static	×	High capacity
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Depresent over the last 6 years Decreasing Static		Medium capacity
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static		Low capacity
Decreasing Static		No capacity and / or resources
Static	Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
		Decreasing
X Increasing		Static
	×	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Locations of components	×			
4.18.1.2	Architectural/building structure	×			
4.18.1.3	Mural paintings (fresco mortar and pigments)	×			
4.18.1.4	Materials: stone - architectural decoration	×			
4.18.1.5	The wider surrounding	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

- Stari Ras and Sopo ani scheduled as a spatial cultural and historical complex in 1978 (the 1977 SFRY Cultural Property Protection Act).
- Individual components: Medieval Town of Ras, Djurdjevi Stupovi Monastery and the Sopo ani Monastery in 1947 (1945 DFY Cultural Monuments and Natural Rarities Protection Act); St Peter's Church in 1948 (1945 NRS Cultural Monuments and Natural Rarities Protection Act)
- Spatial and urban planning documents.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation; 2004 ICOMOS mission report; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property? An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

An annual work plan or business plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children	×				
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	

5.3.16.9	National/International tourists		×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry		×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries	×		
5.3.16.12	NGOs	×		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×		
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify			

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	×				
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property		×			

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	70 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	30 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property? Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Landowners	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

0/0/0/0/0/

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Other

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0/0/0/0/0/0/

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property? Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the

Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted? The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives? Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities? Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood The management system of the World Heritage property does not contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property 	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	×
9	Visitor Management	

9.7

×

Please select 0 more issues.

Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1 **Buildings and Development** Governmental institutions 4.1.1 Governmental institutions Housing The wider Planning - urban planning/ Four years New construction and surrounding implementation of existing Local authorities urban sprawl don't plans affect World Heritage criteria and attributes. but do have negative impact on visual identity and setting of the property. 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure 4.2.1 The wider Planning - urban planning/ Governmental institutions Four years Governmental institutions Ground transport Ground transport surrounding implementation of existing Local authorities infrastructure don't infrastructure plans affect World Heritage criteria and attributes, but do have negative impact on visual identity and setting of the property. 4.3 Services Infrastructures 4.3.4 Localised utilities Governmental institutions Localised utilities The wider surrounding Planning - urban planning/ Governmental institutions Four years implementation of existing Local authorities don't affect World Heritage criteria plans and attributes, but do have negative impact on visual identity and setting of the property. 4.3.5 Major linear Planning - urban planning/ The wider Cooperation between of Four years Governmental institutions Linear utilities in the governmental institutions utilities surrounding implementation of existing Local authorities vicinity of the plans and local authorities. monuments don't affect World Heritage criteria and attributes but do have negative impact on visual identity and setting of the property. 4.4 Pollution 4.4.2 Ground water The wider Annual monitoring Governmental institutions Governmental institutions Relevant for the Continuity pollution surrounding Local authorities Local authorities immediate natural surroundings. 4.4.5 Solid waste Governmental institutions Solid waste don't The wider surrounding Planning - urban planning/ Governmental institutions Four years implementation of existing Local authorities affect World plans Heritage criteria and attributes, but do have negative impact on visual identity and setting of the property. 4.6 Physical resource extraction

4.6.2	Quarrying	i	Planning - urban planning/ implementation of existing plans	Governmental institutions	Four years	Governmental institution Local authorities	s Quarrying don't affect World Heritage criteria and attributes, but do have negative impact on visual identity and setting of the property.
4.11	Sudden ecol	ogical or geological events	s				
4.11.2	Earthquake	Wholeness: number of components, locations of components and architectural/building struc	Preparation of Disaster Risk management wi definition of activities. Incorporating relevant measur urban planning.	competent administrators to check the current	Continuity	Governmental institutions Local authorities	Stronger earthquakes were not recorded in the area of property.
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	Wholeness - architectural/building struc	Preparation of Disaster Risk management wi definition of activities. Incorporating relevant measur urban planning.	competent administrators to check the current	Continuity	Governmental institutions Local authorities	No fires were recorded near the components.
4.13	Management	and institutional factors					
4.13.1	Management system/Managen plan	Authenticity: the intangible cultural heritage – the high point of Serbian history	Revision of management activitie Workshops and education in cooperation with experts	Governmental s. institutions	i	Governmental institutions Local authorities	The management is of good quality, but not all stakeholders are involved.
Summary	- Factors affectin	g the Property complete	ed				

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measur	Protective Measures								
		Actions		Timeframe)	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment			
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	implementa Better comm	rban planning/ ion of existing plans nunication between the al institutions	Four years		Governmental institutions Local authorities	It is necessary better coordination with local authorities.			
5.3	Management Sy	Management System/Management Plan								
5.3.7	Some use has b of the Policy Doc the Impacts of Cl Change on Work Properties at the	cument on limate d Heritage	Education and workshops topic of climate changes Preparation of the plan for risk assessment for the pr	disaster	Four years	Governmental institutions Local authorities	Governmental institutions are recognizing the influence of climate changes to the property.			

5.3.9	Some use has been of the Strategy for Re Risks from Disasters World Heritage Prop the property	educing topic of reducing risks at disasters Preparation	s from of the plan for	Two years	Governmental institutions Local authorities	reco	ernmental institutions are ignizing the influence of icing risks from disasters.
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the managemen system of the World Heritage property doe contribute to fostering inclusive local economic developmen and to enhancing livelihood The managemen system of the World Heritage property doe not contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property 	t		Four years	Governmental institutions Local authorities	is lo the i	process of local development ng, a better understanding of mpact of development is acted.
6.1	Funding						
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Preparation new programs of f Ministry level	iunding on Fr	iour years	Governmental institutions		There is need of new programs and strategy for funding.
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	Working with the local commun developing new programs of implementation.	nities and Fr	our years	Governmental institutions Local authorities		There is need of support new local experts and managers.
8	Education, Information	and Awareness Building					
8.2	planned instit	king with educational tutions at the local but also at onal level.	Four years	Governme	ental institutions Local authorities	the Mi highlig	en and youth learn the history of ddle Ages in schools. Not the hted Outstanding Universal in scholar programs.

	needs				
9	Visitor Manageme	ent			
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Update the management plan and determining new activities in coordination state and local tourist agencies.	Four years	Governmental institutions Local authorities	The components are popular for visitation, both with travel agencies and individual.

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Or status and souther and increases of a more status for all tensors after a formation disability, which is a status and a	
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Not applicable
	Not applicable Positive
economic or other status	
economic or other status Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

The book "Sopo ani, History and architecture of the monastery" was published in 2016. Expert restorers perform regular work on the preservation of frescoes, the main attribute of the property.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Update of management plans

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Focal points of other international conventions/programmes
Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

40 / 40 / 10 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire? All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Good
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Fair
IUCN International	Fair

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Geographic information table

Reason for update: Buffer zone (ha) 9 935,34 ha

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.