# Old City of Dubrovnik

# 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Old City of Dubrovnik

# 1.2 - World Heritage property details

#### Comment

2018, minor change of boundaries approved by WH Committee

# 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0/0	?	?	?	
	0/0	?	?	?	
Old City of Dubrovnik	42.641 / 18.109	24.7	1188.6	1213.3	
Lokrum Island	42.629 / 18.118	72	?	72	
Total (ha)		96.7	1188.6	1285.3	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Old City of Dubrovnik, scale 1:10000	2008	
Old City of Dubrovnik - Map of the inscribed minor boundary modification	2018	

# 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. <u>UNESCO Heritage in Croatia, (Croatian Tourist Information Service)</u>
- 2. Dubrovnik, (www.hr)

# Comment

Official web site of Management plan for the UNESCO heritage site of the Old city of Dubrovnik https://zod.hr/plan-upravljanja/

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

# 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

Old City of Dubrovnik 1 of 42

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

  No
- 2.7 Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the

Old City of Dubrovnik 2 of 42

#### Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Festivity of St. Blaise, Patron Saint of Dubrovnik, Klapa Multipart Singing of Dalmatia, Mediterranean Diet, Art of dry stone walling, knowledge and techniques

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Comment

The draft of the Statement of OUV has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is currently in status of awaiting approval by the Committee.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Urban structure of the historic core	×			
3.2.2	Fortification system	×			
3.2.3	Public buildings	×			
3.2.4	Residential buildings	×			
3.2.5	Sacral buildings	×			
3.2.6	Public spaces	×			
3.2.7	Historic infrastructure	×			
3.2.8	Pile suburb	×			
3.2.9	Lokrum island	×			
3.2.10	Movable heritage	×			
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

- 3.3 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

# 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

✗ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×	<b>S</b>		

# 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	int
-------------------------	-----

Old City of Dubrovnik 3 of 42

### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>	

# 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×				1
Negative							

# 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin							
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶		×	×	×			<i>P</i>	
Negative X	×		×	×	•			

# 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

Old City of Dubrovnik 4 of 42

O Positive X	×	×			<b>P</b>
Negative	×		×	•	

# 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×		<b>S</b>		

# 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

# 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

# 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.4. Pollution

# 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

Old City of Dubrovnik 5 of 42

#### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Old City of Dubrovnik 6 of 42

#### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

# 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

# 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant \*\* Not relevant

# 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Old City of Dubrovnik 7 of 42

### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Occasional heavy rainfall events have negative impact. it is being dealt with within sewerage restoration.

# 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

# 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×				•
Negative							

# 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive    ★	×		×				<b>/</b>
Negative							

Old City of Dubrovnik 8 of 42

### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	W Not relevant
Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×				1
Negative X	×	×	×	×			7

# 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×				1
Negative X	×			×		<b>→</b>	

# 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

High level of visitation is the major impact factor, .....

#### 4.9. Other human activities

# 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Old City of Dubrovnik 9 of 42

Relevant	X Not relevant
Neievalit	Not relevant

# 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

#### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		$\Rightarrow$	

### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Delevent	<b></b>
Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	★ Not relevant
----------	----------------

# 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

lovont V Not release	
levant X Not relevant	ant

# 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

# 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or

# positively

# 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

Not relevant

Old City of Dubrovnik 10 of 42

# 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×		×		<b>→</b>	

### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

#### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		<b>→</b>	

# 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Rel	evant	X Not relevant

# 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

Old City of Dubrovnik 11 of 42

### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors	selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or

# positively

# 4.13. Management and institutional factors

# 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×				<i>P</i>
Negative							

# 4.13.2 - Legal framework

<b>X</b> Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>Gurrent</b>	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×				1
Negative							

### 4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant		Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×				7		
Negative									

### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×	×	×				/		
Negative									

# 4.13.5 - Financial resources

Relevant	Not relevant

Old City of Dubrovnik 12 of 42

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$			
Negative									

# 4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant	1	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>			
Negative									

# 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin								
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×	×	×				,		
Negative									

# 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

positively

	Relevant	X Not relevant
4	.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors	selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or

# 4.14. Other factor(s)

# 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

# 4.15. Factors Summary Table

# 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
			9	•	Œ	•
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
		9	9	•	Œ	$\rightarrow$
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	<b>O</b>	9	9	•		1
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	<b>O</b>		9	•	C	<i>P</i>
		9		•	Œ	<b>S</b>
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	•	9		•		1
		9			Œ	•
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
		9		•		<b>S</b>

Old City of Dubrovnik 13 of 42

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric											
4.7.6 Water (rain/w	ater table)										
						9			Œ	$\rightarrow$	
4.8 Social/Cultural	4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage										
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritu	al/Religious and associa	tive uses			0	q		•		<i>P</i>	
4.8.2 Society's value	uing of heritage				<b>O</b>	4		•		<i>&gt;</i>	
4.8.5 Identity, soci	al cohesion, changes in I	ocal population and commu	unity		•		9	•		<i>P</i>	
						q	9	•	Œ	/	
4.8.6 Impacts of to	4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						9	•		1	
						q			Œ	$\rightarrow$	
4.10 Climate chang	ge and severe weather ev	rents									
4.10.1 Storms											
							9		Œ	<b>→</b>	
4.11 Sudden ecolo	gical or geological event	s									
4.11.2 Earthquake											
							9		Œ	<b>→</b>	
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire	<b>)</b>										
							q		<b>F</b>	<b>→</b>	
4.13 Management	and institutional factors										
	t system/Management pl	an			<b>O</b>	q	9	•		_	
4.13.2 Legal frame	work				<b>O</b>	<b>A</b>	9	•		7	
·							•				
4.13.3 Governance					•	q		•		,	
						·					
4.13.4 Managemen	t activities				<b>O</b>	q	ø	•		_	
4. 10.4 managemen	i donvinos					-,	- 0	9		Ť	
4.13.5 Financial re	cources				<b>O</b>	q		•	78	_	
T. 10.0 I Mancial le	50u.063				•	-1		G.	G		
4.13.6 Human reso	uircas					q		(8)			
4.13.0 numan reso	urces				<b>O</b>	4		•		<b>-</b>	
4407:		hateta -								,	
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring acti	vities			<b>O</b>	4	9	•			
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	O Positive	<ul><li>Insi</li></ul>	ide		<b>Outsi</b>	de		

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

# 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

# 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.1 Housing			

Old City of Dubrovnik 14 of 42

				9	•	<b>G</b>	•		
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor								
×	Restricted								
	Localised								
	Extensive								
	Widespread								
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact								
×	One off or rare								
	Intermittent or sporadic								
	Frequent								
	On-going								
Impact - Im	npact - Impact on the attributes								
	Insignificant								
×	Minor								
	Significant								
	Major								
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond								
×	High capacity								
	Medium capacity								
	Low capacity								
	No capacity and / or resources								
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years								
×	Decreasing								
	Static								
	Increasing								
Name	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	Impact			Origin		Trend		

4.1.4 Major	.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
			9	9	•	F	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	ele - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						

Old City of Dubrovnik 15 of 42

	Significant					
	Major					
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		-78	Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	etative and visitation facilities	•	<b>A</b>	9	•	
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

# 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend	

Old City of Dubrovnik 16 of 42

4.2.1 Groun	nd transport infrastructure			q	•	<b>(</b>	-
			9		•	Œ	<b>S</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	e transport infrastructure	Impact	q		Origin		Trend
			9		3	<b>₹</b>	
			-1			G	3
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

Old City of Dubrovnik 17 of 42

×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years				
×	Decreasing				
	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impac	t	Origin	Trend
4.2.5 Ef	fects arising from use of transportation infrastructure		_		
			9	•	•
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact	- Impact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years				
×	Decreasing				

# 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Static Increasing

Old City of Dubrovnik 18 of 42

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water	(rain/water table)					
			q		F	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

# 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Impact			Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	<b>A</b>		•	<b>/</b>
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

Old City of Dubrovnik 19 of 42

×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Socie	ty's valuing of heritage	<b>(</b>		•	-
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				

Old City of Dubrovnik 20 of 42

Increasin

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	<b>O</b>		9	•		1
		<b>A</b>	q	•	C	1

			9	<b>(</b> )	F	
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	•		9	•		<b>/</b>
		4			<b>(</b>	$\rightarrow$

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

Old City of Dubrovnik 21 of 42

×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ont response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

# 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.1 Stor	ms					
			9		<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					

Old City of Dubrovnik 22 of 42

×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Earth	4.11.2 Earthquake						
				9		<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
Snatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
opatiai ooa	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)					
		9		<b>(</b>	$\rightarrow$

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					

Old City of Dubrovnik 23 of 42

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.1 Mana	gement system/Management plan	•	9	9	•		1
Snatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
Opatiai sca							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						

Old City of Dubrovnik 24 of 42

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
Trella - Dev	Decreasing					
	Static					
~						
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
	framework	<b>O</b>	q	q	•	,
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Gove	rnance	<b>O</b>	9		•	1
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
	cale - Occurence of the impact					
. cporar S	- Commission of the impact					

Old City of Dubrovnik 25 of 42

	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name	grammat activities	Impact		ma .	Origin	Trend
4. 13.4 Wall	agement activities		4	9	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					

Old City of Dubrovnik 26 of 42

Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	<b>O</b>	q	•	Œ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name	an resources	Impact	q	Origin		Trend
4. 13.0 Hum	an resources		-7			
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					

Old City of Dubrovnik 27 of 42

	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
		Impact				
	Name 4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		q	9	Origin	Trend
4.10.7 201	mpate rescal of minorities migrations.	<b>②</b>	-,	-,	3	Ť
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going Control of the Control of t					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					

Old City of Dubrovnik 28 of 42

Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

- 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)
- 4.17.1 If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor
- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.
- 4.18.1 Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Urban structure of the historic core	×			
4.18.1.2	Fortification system	×			
4.18.1.3	Public buildings	×			
4.18.1.4	Residential buildings	×			
4.18.1.5	Sacral buildings	×			

- 5. Protection and Management of the Property
- 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones
- **5.1.1 Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value
- 5.1.2 Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

- 5.1.3 Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

  The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value
- 5.1.4 Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

- 5.1.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property
- 5.2. Protective Measures
- 5.2.1 Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Decision on founding the Committee of the Municipal Council of the City of Dubrovnik for monitoring the condition of monumental heritage. In its work, the Committee cooperates with the Institute for the Reconstruction of Dubrovnik, the Ministry of Culture - Conservation Office in Dubrovnik, the Society of Friends of Dubrovnik Antiquities, and the Administration of the City of Dubrovnik

Law on the reconstruction of the endangered monumental complex of Dubrovnik (OG, no. 21/86) and further amendments (1989, 1999)

Source: Section II questionnaire

# Comment

- 5.2.2 Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category
- 5.2.3 Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection** 

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

Old City of Dubrovnik 29 of 42

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Other

### If 'Other', please specify

Public management system joint national/ regional / local

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An environmental management framework

An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property

#### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

#### Comment

management plan conservation plan for buffer zone

# 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The policy for dealing with development proposals is fully based on the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done. izvu iz Plana upravljanja
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

The policy for dealing with climate change is fully based on the agreed World Heritage policy

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- **5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?** The risk management policy is **fully based** on the agreed Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

# 5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

Currently City of Dubrovnik develops Preparedness and risk management plan for the World Heritage site Dubrovnik.

# 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

#### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

Old City of Dubrovnik 30 of 42

### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

# 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups				×	
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify	civil society ass	sociations, University, the priva	ate sector		

# 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups				×	
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				

Old City of Dubrovnik 31 of 42

5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood		×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property		×	

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding
- 6.1.1 If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	10 %	5 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	1 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	40 %	94 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	20 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	30 %	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

- 6.1.2 Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above
- 6.1.3 Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

- 6.1.5 Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure
- 6.1.6 Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	40 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	60 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

# 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property

# 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good

Old City of Dubrovnik 32 of 42

Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

# 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

# 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

- **6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training** program za obnovu stolarije
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable

Old City of Dubrovnik 33 of 42

Landowners	Fair
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Fair
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

# 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

# 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

# 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

### 9. Visitor Management

# 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

 $1.036.618 \, / \, 522.786 \, / \, 222.308 \, / \, 1.444.762 \, / \, 1.272.346 \, /$ 

# 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments

Old City of Dubrovnik 34 of 42

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stavs

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

State Information System - eVisitor

#### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

data not available / data

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

TOMAS 2018, USD 185 per day -guest consumption from accommodation, USD 65.00 per day - guests from international cruise ships, USD 110.00 per day - guests from cruise ships within the Republic of Croatia

# 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

#### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

#### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

### If a different system, please specify

GSTC

# 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

#### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

# 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

# If 'Yes', please specify

Respect the city

# 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

# If 'Yes', please specify

Cruise tax used for the maintenance and improvement of local transportation infrastructure, Tourist tax used for maintaining urban green spaces, eco-actions (seabed cleaning, tree planting), co-financing of most cultural and sport events in the City, aimed in the first place to the benefit of the local inhabitants, maintaining and improvement of walking/trekking/biking paths

### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

Question 9.16: Cruise tax used for the maintenance and improvement of local transportation infrastructure, Tourist tax used for maintaining urban green spaces, eco-actions (seabed cleaning, tree planting), co-financing of cultural and sport events, local inhabitants, maintaining and improvement of walking/trekking/biking paths

## 10. Monitoring

# 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

# 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

Old City of Dubrovnik 35 of 42

# 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				X
10.3.3	Character of governance				X
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

# 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Fair
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

# 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

# 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

# 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

# 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

# 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7	Human resources <b>partly meet</b> the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a <b>limited and ad hoc education</b> and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	×
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	×
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×

Old City of Dubrovnik 36 of 42

Please select 0 more issues.

☑ Please save this question to reflect changes

# 12. Summary and Conclusions

# 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

# 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings an	d Development										
4.1.1	Housing	WH Criteria (iv) Attribute RESIDENTIAL BUILDIN	GS) num perr by le prop fami	increase in hoer of manent residents ending the City's perties to large illies for long n rent	Loca	al administration	10	years	City o	f Dubrovnik	Manage	ement plan action
4.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	WH Criteria (iv) Attribute RESIDENTIAL BUILDIN	IGS) nur unit	just the mber of rented ts to carrying pacity	Local a	dministration	3 yea	ars	City of I	Dubrovnik	Manage	ment plan action
4.2	Transportati	on Infrastructure										
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	WH Criteria (i, iv) Attribu (PILE SUBURB / HISTORIC LANDSCAP	prot	eure that the tected area is blocked by fic	local a	administration	5 ye	ears	City of	Dubrovnik	Manage	ement plan action
4.2.4	Marine transport infrastructure	WH Criteria (i, iv) Attributes (PILE SUBUR / HISTORIC LANDSCAPE)	B mobility the but electric	e sustainable ty development in ffer zone - c boat line en Port Gruž - ld Port	local	administration	3 ye	ars		Dubrovnik ment agency	Mana action	gement plan
4.2.5	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	WH Criteria (i) Attributes (HISTORIC LANDSCAF	PE) mol	sure sustainable bility development buffer zone	in	local administration		tbd	City	of Dubrovnik	dev	al authorities are eloping pilot ects.
4.8	Social/Cultu	ral uses of heritage										
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	WH Criteria (iii) Attributes (CULTURAL TRADITION)	Socio-dem census	nographic research	1,	local administration		continuo	JS	City of Dul Croatian E Statistics		Census on the national level
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/R	WH Criteria (i ecreation Attributes (UF STRUCTURE HISTORIC LANDSCAPE LOKRUM ISL	RBAN	continuation in developing City strategy of integ tourism manage establish an inte tourism develop action plan	ement - egrated	local administration	1	3 years	Ur	ty of Dubrovnik, niversity of ubrovnik	Mana action	igement plan ns
4.10	Climate char	nge and severe weather e	events									
4.10.1	Storms	WH Criteria (i, iii, iv) Attributes (URBAN STRUCTURE, HISTORIC LANDSCAPE, LOKRUM ISLAND)	Disaste	nentation of	loca	al administration	conti	inuous	6 S	City of Dubrovnik emergency services, Ministry of Internal Affairs Civil Protection	mai cult ann DRI	aster risk nagement plan for ural heritage is ex of general M plan which is ady in use
4.11	Sudden ecol	logical or geological ever	nts									

Old City of Dubrovnik 37 of 42

4.11.2	Earthquake	WH Criteria (i, iii, iv) Attributes (URBAN STRUCTURE, HISTORIC LANDSCAPE, LOKRUM ISLAND)	creation and implementation of Disaster risk management plan for cultural heritage anti-seismic strengthening of historic buildings in the core zone	local administration	continuous	City of Dubrovnik, Ministry of Internal Affairs - Civil Protection, Seismological Institute, emergency services Institute for the Restoration of Dubrovnik	Disaster risk management plan for cultural heritage is annex of general DRM plan which is already in use
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	WH Criteria (i, iii, iv) Attributes (HISTORIC LANDSCAPE, LOKRUM ISLAND)	creation and implementation of Disaster risk management plan for cultural heritage	local administration	continuous	City of Dubrovnik, Ministry of Internal Affairs - Civil Protection, emergency services	Disaster risk management plan for cultural heritage is annex of general DRM plan which is already in use

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed** 

# 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

# 12

12.2.1 - Sum	nmary - Managem	ent Needs			
6.1	Funding				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
6.1.3	The available budget is for management needs Agreement with other public institutions i.e. University of could be Dubrovnik, Dubrovnik Port Authority, and Tourist Board to jointly fund the management needs of the World Heritage property			all stakeholders	enhancement of financial means increase
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Strengthening of the role of the Institute for the Restoration of Dubrovnik, as coordinator of management bodies	2 years	Institute for the Restoration of Dubrovnik	New employees needed
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property		3 years	City of Dubrovnik, Institute for the Restoration of Dubrovnik	Aim is to strengthen the awareness capacity of stakeholders with regard to all areas of OUV trough various sets of activities
7	Scientific Studies and	d Research Projects			
7.3	results are co shared with Du local Du	esearch in the field of Tourism in Illaboration between the City of Jorovnik, the University of Jorovnik, the Dubrovnik Port Jorovnik, and the Tourist Board	years / continuous	City of Dubrovnik	Agreement recently initiated
8	Education, Information	and Awareness Building			

38 of 42 Old City of Dubrovnik

	There is a  limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	Organize the continuous education of children, citizens, and local experts on natural and anthropogenic risks Children and youth awareness programme	continuously		Education on risks is being held by Civil Protection department A new children and youth awareness programme should be initiated through the capacity-building strategy
9	Visitor Manage	ment			
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	Develop a Carrying Capacity Plan / Strategy of Integrated tourism Management for the entire World Heritage Site area	6 months	City of Dubrovnik	Outcomes of the Carrying Capacity Plan / Strategy of Integrated tourism Management should foresee the improvements.
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	Educational programme for the Tourist guides	continuous	Ministry of Culture, Tourist board	Education and awareness rising actions on the OUV should be taken, such as leaflets, booklets, presentations, and curriculum for Tourist guide courses
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Interpretation and visitor center	3 years	City of Dubrovnik / Tourist Board Conservation Department	/ An overall Interpretation plan for the World Heritage Site area is necessary for a better presentation of the OUV The establishment of the Dubrovnik World Heritage Centre is planned in the new urban planning instruments

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

  The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

Summary - Management Needs completed

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Positive
Education	Not applicable

Old City of Dubrovnik 39 of 42

Infrastructure development	Not applicable
Funding for the property	Not applicable
International cooperation	Not applicable
Political support for conservation	Not applicable
Legal/Policy framework	Not applicable
Advocacy	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Not applicable
Security	Not applicable
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Not applicable
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Not applicable
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

- 13.2 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts
- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level
- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting
- 15.1.1 Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

# 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

# 15.2. Use of Data

# 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Awareness raising

Old City of Dubrovnik 40 of 42

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

# 15.3. Timing and resources

## 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

# 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has not been explicitly considered or implemented in the process.

# 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

# 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

40 / 8 / 40

### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				×
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

# 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

# 15.5. Training and Guidance

# 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

# 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

Old City of Dubrovnik 41 of 42

IUCN International Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
  - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

    Reason for update: The draft of the Statement of OUV has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is currently in status of awaiting approval by the Committee.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Old City of Dubrovnik 42 of 42