Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis

1.2 - World Heritage property details

Comment

Ancient Thebes with its necropolis is no longer belong to Governorate of Qina. It belongs to Governorate of Luxor since December 2009. Please change the name of the governorate to be "Luxor" instead of "Qina"

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0/0	?	?	?	
	0/0	?	?	?	
Temple of Karnak	25.719 / 32.657	69.86	443.55	513.41	
Temple of Luxor	25.7 / 32.639	4.75	?	4.75	
Ancient Thebes Necropolis	25.731 / 32.597	7315.55	0	7315.55	
Total (ha)		7390.16	443.55	7833.71	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis - Map displaying all components of the inscribed property and their buffer zone	2008	
Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis - Map displaying components "Temple of Karnak" (087-001) and "Temple of Luxor" (087-002)	2008	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1.

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 1 of 63

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.7 Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Not aware

- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 2 of 63

Programme of which you aware.

- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee
- 3.2 Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1					
3.2.2					
3.2.3					
3.2.4					
3.2.5					
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

- 3.3 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Orig				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
	×			×			

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not re	levant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 3 of 63

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
② Positive X	×	×	ж	×			

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

¥ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			1
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×			

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

* Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Orig			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×			×			
Negative							

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	© Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

× Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 4 of 63

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×			×			

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×			×			

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Relevant, Positive, Outside

≭ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×			×			
Negative							

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin	rigin Trend of impa		t		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
	×		×	×				

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 5 of 63

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×	×	×	×			

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact	pact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×				

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
	×			×				

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 6 of 63

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
	×	×		×			

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact	f impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×			×				

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 7 of 63

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
	×	×		×				

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relev	ant	X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 8 of 63

✗ Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

* Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×				

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

* Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×	×	×	×			

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X		×		×				

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Not relevant

	M
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 9 of 63

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X		×	×	×				
Negative								

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
○ Positive ★	×	×	×	×				
Negative								

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×				
Negative								

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
	×		×				

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact Origin		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 10 of 63

O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		
Negative						

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant			!	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×				

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×					

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Releva	nt	X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative		×	×	×				

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

≭ Relevant	Relevant			Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×	×			

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 11 of 63

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×	×			

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×				

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 12 of 63

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	ı	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×			

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×				

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 13 of 63

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

Not relevant

Relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or

× Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✗ Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×	×	×	×					
Negative									

4.13.3 - Governance

✗ Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×				
Negative								

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 14 of 63

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×				
Negative X	×	×						

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×	×	×	×					
Negative									

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2009):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			
Negative							

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 15 of 63

4.1.1 Housing 4.1.1 Housing 4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure 4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure 4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure 4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure 4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure 4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure 4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure 4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure 4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure 4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure 4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure 4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure 4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure 4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure 4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure 4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure 4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure 4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure 4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure 4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure 4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure 4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure 4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure 4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure 4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure 4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure 4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure 4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure 4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure 4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	
4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure 4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure 4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	
4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure 4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure 4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure 4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	
	→
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	
○ •	
4.3 Services Infrastructures	
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	
4.3.4 Localised utilities	
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	
4.4 Pollution	
4.4.2 Ground water pollution	
4.4.3 Surface water pollution	
4.4.4 Air pollution	
4.4.5 Solid waste	
○ 4	
4.5 Biological resource use/modification	
4.5.3 Land conversion	
○ 9 9 9	
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	
 ○ 9 9 	
4.5.5 Crop production	
4.5.5 Crop production	
○ ¶	

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 16 of 63

		9	9		Œ
4.6.4 Water (extraction)					
			9		Œ
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
4.7.2 Relative humidity					
		9	9	•	F
4.7.3 Temperature					
		9	9	•	Œ
4.7.5 Dust					
		9	9	•	G
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)					
			9		G
4.7.8 Micro-organisms					
		9	9	•	G
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage					
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O		9	•	G
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	O	9	9	•	G
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	O	9		•	G
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community					
		9		•	
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	0	9	9	•	Œ
4.9 Other human activities					
4.9.1 Illegal activities					
		9	9	•	
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage					
		9	9	•	
4.9.5 Terrorism					
40000			9	•	ઉ
4.9.6 Civil unrest			~3		
4.10 Climate sharpe and source weather events	9		9	•	·
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events					
4.10.1 Storms					(G
A 10.2 Flooding			4	Q	5
4.10.2 Flooding					(G
4.10.6 Tomporature change			4	②	5
4.10.6 Temperature change					(G
4.14 Sudden ecological or geological events		~1	4	•	9
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events					

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 17 of 63

4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	E
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.13 Management and institutional factors	7
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.13 Management and institutional factors	7
4.13 Management and institutional factors	Œ
4.13 Management and institutional factors	
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	
	Œ
4.13.2 Legal framework	E
4.13.3 Governance	F
4.13.4 Management activities	Œ
4.13.5 Financial resources	Œ
4.13.6 Human resources	Œ
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	G
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	G
Legend ☐ Current ☐ Potential ☐ Negative ☐ Positive ☐ Inside ☐ O	utside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Hous	ing					
			9		G	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 18 of 63

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	0	9	9	•	F	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 19 of 63

Name		Impact			Origin		
4.1.5 Inter	pretative and visitation facilities	O	9	q	•	Œ	/
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.2.1 Grou	nd transport infrastructure						
			A			C	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 20 of 63

	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.2 Under	ground transport infrastructure	0	9		G	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 21 of 63

Name		Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.3 Air tra	insport infrastructure	O	9			C	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.4 Marin	e transport infrastructure						

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.4 Marin	e transport infrastructure					
			9		Œ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					

	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effect	s arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
			4		(
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 23 of 63

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Application	Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
Restorcised Localised Enternative Wildespread Temporal scale - Occurrence of the Impact One of or rare Internation or spondic Frequent Or agoing Impact - Impact on the attributes Marco Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond Major and or resources Trend - Development over the last 6 years Decreasing Decreasing Decreasing Decreasing Decreasing Static Increasing Name Name Impact - Impa	4.3.1 Water	infrastructure	O	9			F	
Restorcised Localised Enternative Wildespread Temporal scale - Occurrence of the Impact One of or rare Internation or spondic Frequent Or agoing Impact - Impact on the attributes Marco Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond Major and or resources Trend - Development over the last 6 years Decreasing Decreasing Decreasing Decreasing Decreasing Static Increasing Name Name Impact - Impa								
Restrocted Localised Enternative Widespread Temporal scale - Occurrence of the Impact One of or rare Internations or spondice Frequent Original Impact - Impact on the attributes Impact - Im	Spatial aga	lo. Area effected by the feeter						
Exercisive Exercisive Modespread Exercisive Modespread Exercisive Modespread Exercisive Modespread Exercision Exercision Modespread Exercision Exe	Spatial Sca							
Extensive Widespread								
Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact Cone off or rare Intermittent or spondic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Minor Significant Minor Significant High capacity of management to respond High capacity Modium capacity Low capacity and / or resources Tend - Decreasing Tend - Decreasing Static Increasing Name Name Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		Localised						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the Impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-gring Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Parage Static Increasing Name A.3.4 Localised utilities Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		Extensive						
One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Insignificant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity and for resources Trend - Development over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing Name A.3.4 Localised utilities Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		Widespread						
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Devolupement over the last 6 years Trend - Devolupement over the last 6 years Name As Al Localised utilities Name Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Major Management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and /or resources Trend - Decreasing Static Increasing Name Name A,3.4 Localised utilities Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		One off or rare						
Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Static Increasing Static Name A.3.4 Localised utilities Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		Intermittent or sporadic						
Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing Name A.3.4 Localised utilities Impact Origin Trend A.3.5 Localised utilities Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		Frequent						
Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity of resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing Name 4.3.4 Localised utilities Impact Origin Trend Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		On-going						
Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing Name Name Management to respond Impact Origin Trend 4.3.4 Localised utilities	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing Name A.3.4 Localised utilities Impact Origin Trend 4.3.4 Localised utilities Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		Insignificant						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing Name 4.3.4 Localised utilities Impact Origin Trend Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		Minor						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity		Significant						
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing Name 4.3.4 Localised utilities Impact Origin Trend Frend Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		Major						
Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing Name 4.3.4 Localised utilities Impact Origin Trend Find Origin Trend Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing Name 4.3.4 Localised utilities Impact Origin Trend Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		High capacity						
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing Name 4.3.4 Localised utilities Impact Origin Trend Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		Medium capacity						
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing Name A.3.4 Localised utilities Impact Origin Trend Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		Low capacity						
Decreasing Static Increasing Name 4.3.4 Localised utilities Impact Origin Trend Gright Trend Gright Trend		No capacity and / or resources						
Static Increasing Name 4.3.4 Localised utilities Impact Origin Trend A.4.5 Localised utilities Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
Name 4.3.4 Localised utilities Impact Origin Trend		Decreasing						
Name 4.3.4 Localised utilities Impact Origin Trend 4.3.4 Localised utilities Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		Static						
4.3.4 Localised utilities		Increasing						
4.3.4 Localised utilities								
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	4.3.4 Locali	sed utilities						
				q	9	•	G	
	Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Localised	74							

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 24 of 63

	Facetone					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	int response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
	linear utilities	impact		Origin		rrend
			9	•	Œ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 25 of 63

×	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.2 Groun	d water pollution						
			9	9	•	C	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Mana					0-1-1-		T

4.4.3 Surface water pollution	Name	Impact		ict						Ori		Origin	
	4.4.3 Surface water pollution												
			9	9	•	G							

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 26 of 63

	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
Managama	Major						
wanageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
**	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impac	t		Origin		Trend
4.4.4 Air p	ollution						
			4	9	•	Œ	
Spatial car	ala. Avan affantad by the factor						
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised						
	Restricted Localised Extensive						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurrence of the impact						
* Temporal	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic						
* Temporal	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent						
X Temporal	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going						
X Temporal	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mpact on the attributes						
X Temporal	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mpact on the attributes Insignificant						
X Temporal	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor						
X Temporal	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant						
X Impact - In	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major						
X Impact - In	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
X Impact - In X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity						
X Impact - In	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity						
X Impact - In X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity						
X Impact - In X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity						

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 27 of 63

	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.5 Solid	waste					
			q		G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.5.3 Land	conversion						
			9	9		G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 28 of 63

×						
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.4 Lives	ock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals					
			9		F	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s						
	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
×						
×	One off or rare					
×	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic					
	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent					
	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going					
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes					
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant					
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor					
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant					
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major					
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond					
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major ht response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity					

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 29 of 63

Decreasing Static	
Static	
* Increasing	
Name Impact Origin	Trend
4.5.5 Crop production	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
* Restricted	
Localised	
Extensive	
Widespread	
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
X One off or rare	
Intermittent or sporadic	
Frequent	
On-going On-going	
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
* Insignificant	
Minor	
Significant	
Major	
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
High capacity	
Medium capacity	
Low capacity	
No capacity and / or resources	
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
Decreasing	
X Static	
Increasing	

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.6.2 Quarr	.6.2 Quarrying						
			P	q		F	
Snatial coa	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca	ie - Area arrected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 30 of 63

-									
	scale - Occurence of the impact								
×	One off or rare								
	Intermittent or sporadic								
	Frequent								
	On-going								
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes								
×	Insignificant								
	Minor								
	Significant								
	Major								
Managen	ent response - Capacity of management	nt to respond							
	High capacity								
×	Medium capacity								
	Low capacity								
	No capacity and / or resources								
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years								
	Decreasing								
	Static								
×	Increasing								
Name				l	mpact		Origin		Trend
4.6.4 Wat	er (extraction)								
				(•	9		G	
Spatial se	cale - Area affected by the factor								
×	Restricted								
	Localised								
	Extensive								
	Widespread								
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact								
×	One off or rare								
	Intermittent or sporadic								
	Frequent								
	On-going								
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes								
×	Insignificant								
	Minor								
	Significant								
	Major								
Managen	ent response - Capacity of management	nt to respond							
	High capacity								
×	Medium capacity								
×	Medium capacity Low capacity								

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 31 of 63

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.7.2 Relati	1.7.2 Relative humidity						
			9	9	•	ઉ	
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
opana. ooa	Restricted						
×	Localised						
^	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend		
4.7.3 Temperature							
		q	9	•	(

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 32 of 63

	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact	ı		Origin		Trend
Name 4.7.5 Dust		Impact					Trend
		Impact	9	9	Origin	Ğ	Trend
4.7.5 Dust	le - Area affected by the factor	Impact		9		Ğ	Trend
4.7.5 Dust	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted	Impact		9		Œ	Trend
4.7.5 Dust		Impact		q		Œ	Trend
4.7.5 Dust Spatial sca	Restricted	Impact		q		Œ	Trend
4.7.5 Dust Spatial sca	Restricted Localised	Impact		q		Œ	Trend
4.7.5 Dust Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive	Impact		q		Œ	Trend
4.7.5 Dust Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread	Impact		4		Œ	Trend
4.7.5 Dust Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurrence of the impact	impact		न		(\$	Trend
4.7.5 Dust Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare	impact		व		(Trend
4.7.5 Dust Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic	impact		व		(%	Trend
4.7.5 Dust Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent	impact		4		(\$	Trend
4.7.5 Dust Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going	impact		न		(\$	Trend
4.7.5 Dust Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes	impact impac		ब		(\$	Trend
4.7.5 Dust Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant	impact		q		(Trend
4.7.5 Dust Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor	impact impac		q		(\$	Trend
4.7.5 Dust Spatial sca X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant	impact impac		<i>ब</i>		(\$\frac{1}{2}\$	Trend
4.7.5 Dust Spatial sca X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major	impact impac		ब		(%	Trend

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 33 of 63

	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water	(rain/water table)					
		•	9		C	

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water	r (rain/water table)					
			9		G	
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Name	Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
		9	9	•	Œ	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 34 of 63

	Widespread				
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Management response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		O		q	•	Œ	
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity						

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 35 of 63

×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage		0	9	9	•	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name 4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system		Impact	q		Origin	G	Trend
					3	3	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 36 of 63

	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.0.5 Identi	y, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		4	•	
			4	Q	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Minor Significant				

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 37 of 63

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impac	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	©	9	9	•	(
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
~	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.9 Other human activities

Restricted

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
		9	9	•		
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 38 of 63

×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	No capacity and / or resources velopement over the last 6 years				
Trend - De					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
Trend - Det	velopement over the last 6 years Decreasing				
	Decreasing Static				
X	velopement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing	Impact		Origin	Trend
X	Decreasing Static				Trend
X	velopement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing	Impact	9		Trend
Name 4.9.2 Delibe	velopement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static Increasing		9		Trend
Name 4.9.2 Delibe	Decreasing Static Increasing erate destruction of heritage		4		Trend
Name 4.9.2 Deliber	Decreasing Static Increasing erate destruction of heritage		9		Trend
Name 4.9.2 Deliber	Decreasing Static Increasing erate destruction of heritage lile - Area affected by the factor Restricted		9		Trend
Name 4.9.2 Deliber	Decreasing Static Increasing erate destruction of heritage elle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised		9		Trend
Name 4.9.2 Deliber Spatial sca	Decreasing Static Increasing erate destruction of heritage tile - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive		4		Trend
Name 4.9.2 Deliber Spatial sca	Decreasing Static Increasing erate destruction of heritage lile - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread		4		Trend
Name 4.9.2 Deliber Spatial sca	Decreasing Static Increasing erate destruction of heritage tile - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact		9		Trend
Name 4.9.2 Deliber Spatial sca	Decreasing Static Increasing erate destruction of heritage sile - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare		9		Trend

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 39 of 63

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.5 Terrorism					
		9	•	G	

				9	•	F		
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor								
×	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
×	Insignificant							
	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
×	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
	Static							
×	Increasing							

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.9.6 Civil unrest						
			9	•	G	

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 40 of 63

	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Stor	4.10.1 Storms					
			9	•	F	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 41 of 63

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.2 Flooding					
		9	•	G	

			4	Q	G.	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.6 Temperature change						
		4	9	•	Œ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 42 of 63

×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		oact Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Earti	11.2 Earthquake					
			9	•	ઉ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 43 of 63

	Major								
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond								
	High capacity								
	Medium capacity								
×	Low capacity								
	No capacity and / or resources								
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years									
	Decreasing								
×	Static								
	Increasing								
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend					

4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition	Trend

		q	9	•	Œ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
			9	•	G	

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 44 of 63

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin			Trend
4.13.1 Man	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9	9	•	G	
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca	ie - Alea allected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 45 of 63

	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	l framework	•	9	9	•	F	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Decreasing Static						
×							

4.13.3 Governance

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	agement activities	Impact	q	q	Origin	G	Trend
4. 13.4 Mail	agement activities		7	7	•	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 47 of 63

×	Significant						
	Major						
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Finar	cial resources	O	9	9	•	F	
			4	9			
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Huma	an resources	•	9	9	•	C	

Ancient Thebes with its Necro	polis	48 of 63

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities	O	q	9	•	ઉ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 49 of 63

	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	impact research/monitoring activities	Impact	q	q	Origin	Œ	Trend
4. 13.6 High	impact research/monitoring activities		7	7	•	9	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 50 of 63

- 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)
- 4.17.1 If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor
- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.
- 4.18.1 Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1					
4.18.1.2					
4.18.1.3					
4.18.1.4					
4.18.1.5					

- 5. Protection and Management of the Property
- 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones
- 5.1.1 Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

- 5.1.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property Boundaries are under research according to the new modification of Luxor area.
- 5.2. Protective Measures
- 5.2.1 Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).
- 5.2.2 Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category
- 5.2.3 Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- **5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice** A new modification on the the law of antiquities no. 117 for the year 1983 had been made on 2018
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

There is a co-operation between Ministry of Antiquities and Police of Tourism and Antiquities to protect the property.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 51 of 63

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups
Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property
A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property
A code of practice developed by local communities or other groups
A management plan
An annual work plan or business plan
A visitor/visitation management plan
An environmental management framework

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

There is a main plan of management created by MoA. This plan is applied on all monumental areas on the country. Each Monumental site is using this plan according to the needs of the site. This plan is based on Protection, documentation, development, monitoring, restoration and conservation.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Madinat Habu Pilot Site Management Plan	N/A	Available	2007	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has

The department of Risks from Disasters management put a plan every season to deal with any kind of risks. Usually an operation room is being formed to control all kinds of risk immediately.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

 $The \ management \ system/plan \ is \ \textbf{only partially adequate} \ to \ maintain \ the \ property's \ Outstanding \ Universal \ Value$

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	

5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone		×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples		×		
5.3.15.5	Women		×		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×			
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify				

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples			×		
5.3.16.4	Landowners			×		
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups			×		
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding
- 6.1.1 If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

|--|

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 53 of 63

6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	40 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	60 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

 $\label{prop:equate} \mbox{Human resources are } \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{adequate}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{for}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{management}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{needs}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{a}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{c}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{a}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{c}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{c}}}$

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair

Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Many training programs had been done on the main office of UNESCO in Cairo and in some of World Heritage Sites in order to increase capacity building for the persons who are responsible for the World Heritage sites.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

More training programs are needed to improve the skills of persons who are responsible for World Heritage Sites.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 55 of 63

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Youth/children	
Researchers	
Local Visitors	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

- 8.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building
- 9. Visitor Management
- 9.1 Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report
- 9.2 What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

- 9.4 Please provide the source of information
- 9.5 What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)
- 9.6 Please provide the source of information
- 9.7 Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

- 9.8 Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7
- 9.9 Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

 $\label{thm:could} \textit{Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but \textbf{improvements could be made} \\$

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, through the UNESCO Tourism Management Assessment Tool

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 56 of 63

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

Not displayed at all

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Nο

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				X
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			×	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				X
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Poor
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs
- 11.1 Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property				
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property				
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property				
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved				
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value				
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented				
5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				
6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property				
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property				
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×			
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects				
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned				
7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	×			
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building				
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×			
9	Visitor Management				
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×			
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made				
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation				
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×			
10	Monitoring				
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×			
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done				
Pleas	Please select 4 more issues.				
☑ Ple	☐ Please save this question to reflect changes				

- 12. Summary and Conclusions
- 12.1. Summary Factors affecting the Property
- 12.1.1 Summary Factors affecting the Property

4.4	Pollution

4.4.2			Ground water pollution						
4.4.3			Surface water pollution						
4.4.4			Air pollution						
4.4.5	Solid waste	e Not a	affected	Removing solid was co-operation with Lu Governorate.		There is a monitoring formed by MoA and L. Governorate.		Seasonally	
4.5	Biologica	I resource us	se/modification						
4.5.3			Land conversion						
4.7	Local con	nditions affec	ting physical fabric	:					
4.7.2		elative umidity	Not affected		There are se by MoA	easonally treatment of re	estoration		
4.7.3	T	emperature	Not affected		There are se by MoA	easonally treatment of re	estoration		
4.7.5	D	oust	Not affected		There are se by MoA	easonally treatment of re	estoration		
4.9	Other hun	man activities	•						
4.9.1		Illegal a	ctivities Not affe	ected					
4.13	Managem	ent and insti	tutional factors						
4.13.5			nancial Ne sources	ot affected					
Question not	completed								

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

			agoo 10000						
6.1		Funding	Funding						
			Actions	Timeframe		Lead agency (an involved)	nd others	More info / comment	
6.1.12		A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	MoA is providing several training program to increase capacity building.	Monthly		Ministry of Antiqu	ities		
7	Scie	entific Studies and	Research Projects						
7.3	resi sha loca com and but acti outi natii	ults are arcl red with of the all muunities partners there is no	he co-operation between MoA and haeological missions are publishin he scientific researches.		Seasonally		Ministry of Anti	quities	

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 59 of 63

8	Education, Information and Awareness Building							
8.2	limited and ad inst	A in co-operation with civil society itutions are making campaigns and trses to increase heritage awareness.	seasonaly		Ministry of Antiquities			
9	Visitor Manageme	nt						
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Training programs are necessary to treat the deficiencies in implementation for the people on the field.		9 month	Ministry of Antiquities - Ministry of Tourism			
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	A detailed description about Outstanding Uni Value had been prepared for the property.	versal	6 month	Ministry of Antiquities			
10	Monitoring							
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	MoA is preparing a plan to improve the mon system and to be connected with managements.	-	12 month	Ministry of Antiquities			
Summary - Mar	nagement Needs con	npleted						

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

 The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive

Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
State of Conservation
Management

- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting
- 15.1.1 Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity

The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Fundraising

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Focal points of other international conventions/programmes

Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has not been explicitly considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Poor
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	Poor
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair

UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Poor
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Ancient Thebes with its Necropolis 63 of 63