Independence Hall

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property
Independence Hall

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Independence Hall	39.949 / -75.15	2	0	2	1979
Total (ha)		**2**	**0**	**2**	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Independence Hall	2006	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Independence Hall National Historical Park (U.S. National Park Service)
2. World Heritage in the United States

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	**International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection** (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.2	**List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection** (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.3	**The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)** (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✕
2.1.4	**World Network of Biosphere Reserves** Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✕
2.1.5	**Global Geoparks Network** UNESCO Global Geoparks		✕

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?
No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global

Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The Declaration of Independence was adopted and the Constitution of the United States of America framed in this fine early 18th-century building in Philadelphia.

These events, which took place respectively in 1776 and 1787, were conceived in a national context, but the universal principles of freedom and democracy set forth in these two documents have had a profound impact on lawmakers and political thinkers around the world. They became the models for similar charters of other nations, and may be considered to have heralded the modern era of government. Independence Hall was designed by attorney Andrew Hamilton in collaboration with master builder Edmund Woolley to house the Assembly of the Commonwealth (colony) of Pennsylvania. Begun in 1732 and finished in 1753, it is a dignified brick structure with a wooden steeple that once held the Liberty Bell. The building has undergone many restorations, notably by architect John Haviland in the 1830s and under the direction of the National Park Service beginning in the 1950s, returning it to its appearance during the years when the new country's Declaration of Independence and Constitution were debated and signed. In the Assembly Room, the momentous events that occurred there are explained and their international impact as well as the spread of democracy are discussed.

Criterion (vi) : The universal principles of the right to revolution and self-government, as expressed in the United States of America's Declaration of Independence (1776) and Constitution (1787), which were debated, adopted, and signed in Independence Hall, have profoundly influenced lawmakers and politicians around the world. The fundamental concepts, format, and even substantive elements of the two documents have influenced governmental charters in many nations and even the United Nations Charter.

Integrity

Within the boundaries of the property (the city block known as Independence Square) are located all the elements necessary to understand and express the Outstanding Universal Value of Independence Hall. The actions to adopt the Declaration of Independence and frame the Constitution took place within this building, which has been preserved as a historic site since the early 19th century. It is in the highest possible state of preservation, both structurally and externally, and has benefited from careful and comprehensive conservation studies and expert technical advice. Steel supports were carefully inserted in the mid-20th century to stabilize the structure, and interior restoration was based on thorough research. The impacts of heavy visitation are carefully managed. The 2 ha property is of sufficient size to adequately ensure the complete representation of the features and processes that convey the property's significance, and does not suffer from adverse effects of development and/or neglect. There is no official buffer zone, but the 18 ha Independence National Historical Park provides equivalent protection.

Also in Independence Square but not contributing to the Outstanding Universal Value of Independence Hall are the two-storey East and West wings and the brick arcades linking them to the Hall, which were built in 1897-98 as approximate representations of long-vanished subsidiary structures that originally housed offices and connecting passageways; Congress Hall, built in 1787-89 as a county court house; and Old City Hall, built in 1790-91.

Authenticity

Independence Hall is substantially authentic in terms of its forms and designs, materials and substance, and location and setting. Almost all of the exterior elements of the Hall's structure and design are original material; the interior spaces that housed significant events are intact, as are some of the original interior finishes. The wooden steeple of the bell tower was erected in 1828 to replace an earlier structure. The larger National Historical Park surrounding the property preserves low-scale 18th and 19th-century structures that reinforce the Hall's context.

The most significant pressures on the authenticity of the property relate to the large number of visitors, the degradation of the building due to air pollution and acid rain, and commercial development in the vicinity.

Protection and management requirements

Independence Hall is owned by the City of Philadelphia and administered by the National Park Service as a part of Independence National Historical Park under a formal agreement with the City. The 1948 law creating the National Park has as its express purpose the preservation of the historic structures. Inclusion of Independence Hall in the National Park system gives it the highest possible level of protection, as it is maintained by the federal government. Furthermore, as the country's most important historical site, its preservation will always be of paramount importance, and periodic work is undertaken to further protect it.

The property is managed at the national level by the National Park Service. A comprehensive General Management Plan for the National Historical Park (1998) incorporates the World Heritage status of the Hall as an important aspect, and addresses interpretation as well as issues such as carrying capacity. In the 1990s, new fire detection and suppression and security systems were added. Visitor screening and other enhanced security measures were implemented in 2002, and a major rehabilitation project for the steeple was completed in 2013.

Sustaining the Outstanding Universal Value of the property over time will require managing the large number of visitors, the degradation of the building from environmental pollutants, and urban development pressures in the vicinity.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Building's Brick Exterior	✖			
3.2.2	Wooden Steeple	✖			
3.2.3	Setting and Viewshed	✖			
3.2.4	Interior Spaces	✖			
3.2.5	Feeling and Understanding of the events that occurred in these spaces	✖			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	**⚑ Current**	**⚑ Potential**	**◉ Inside**	**⚑ Outside**	**↘ Decreasing**	**→ Stable**	**↗ Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖			✖			↗

4.1.2 - Commercial development
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	**⚑ Current**	**⚑ Potential**	**◉ Inside**	**⚑ Outside**	**↘ Decreasing**	**→ Stable**	**↗ Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖		→	

4.1.3 - Industrial areas
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	**⚑ Current**	**⚑ Potential**	**◉ Inside**	**⚑ Outside**	**↘ Decreasing**	**→ Stable**	**↗ Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖				↗
⊖ Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.1.1 Over the last two years, roof top additions on two adjacent buildings facing the Hall have been approved by the City. Although set back from the facades facing the Hall, the additions may have a minor impact on the Hall's setting and viewshed. With the approval of these two additions, we anticipate other building owners will follow with similar additions. 4.1.5 NPS updated site waysides to include the stories of underrepresented communities.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant		Not relevant	

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🏷 Current	🏷 Potential	◉ Inside	⬈ Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖	✖			✖		→	
⊖ Negative ✖	✖			✖		→	

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2.5 Vehicular traffic on Chestnut Street immediately north of the Hall remains stable. This has a positive impact as vehicles often slow down to view the Hall. The proximity of the street to the Hall increases the security risk, the vibration from large vehicles has to potential to impact the Hall and finally during peak visitation, the vehicular traffic can have a negative impact on pedestrians/ visitors.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

✖ Relevant		Not relevant	

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🏷 Current	🏷 Potential	◉ Inside	⬈ Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖			✖			↗

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3.4 Requests to install cell antennas on top of existing street light poles within the viewshed of the Hall have been increasing. The poles are owned by the City. The electrical infrastructure exists; the antennas are being added to the top of the poles. NPS works with the City to try to keep the new antenna away from the Hall and its viewshed.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🗗 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖			✖		→	

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🗗 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖			✖			↗

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4.6 - The level of lighting surrounding the site for security and advertisement continues to increase. NPS works with the City to review proposed signage and lighting within the viewshed of the Hall.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.5.2 - Aquaculture
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.5.3 - Land conversion
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.5.5 - Crop production
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

| Relevant | ✖ Not relevant |

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⚑ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖		→	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⚑ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖	✖			↗

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⚑ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖	✖			↗

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7.2 and 4.7.3 Increasing temperatures and humidity impact historic fabric; the exterior conditions impact the park's ability to maintain interior temperatures and humidity, frequent opening and closing of doors to allow tours in and out brings the outdoor conditions into the building. To address this potential impact, an upgrade of the HVAC systems is currently underway.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	◎ **Inside**	🗗 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	◎ **Inside**	🗗 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖	✖			✖		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖		→	
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖				✔

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8.6 Significant number of visitors to the interior of the Hall could begin to impact the resource. The park limits the number of visitors in each tour and the number of tours/day; advanced reservations for timed tours is required.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖		→	

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖		→	

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

✖ Relevant						Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**			**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	☁ **Outside**		↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✒ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive								
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖			→	

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9.2 Increase in graffiti in the park. The security perimeter around the Hall has protected it. 4.9.5 & 4.9.6 The Hall is a magnet for political demonstrations and potential terrorism threats due to its historical significance. The security perimeter around the Hall has ensured its safety. Park rangers coordinate with City police and other federal law enforcement to respond to potential events and increase security as needed. NPS management of the site contributes to its preservation.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant						Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**			**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	☁ **Outside**		↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✒ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive								
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖				✒

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10.1 - Increased number and strength of storms has the ability to impact the site; acceleration of the deterioration of the exterior fabric requires increased maintenance. On 4.1.23, the site was within a tornado warning area. The site is outside of the FEMA flood zone. 4.10.6 - see 4.7.3 where temperature is addressed.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

| Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 Current	🚩 Potential	◉ Inside	📤 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	✏ Increasing
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖		→	

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11.5 - The park is located within an earthquake zone with a low potential for quakes. 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire) Changed to not relevant from 2013 as we are not located in a wildfire area. The potential for fires within the buildings and the surrounding area is low. The park is in the process of updating its fire detection and suppression systems in the Hall.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	📕 **Current**	📕 **Potential**	⚪ **Inside**	📄 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	📕 **Current**	📕 **Potential**	⚪ **Inside**	📄 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	📕 **Current**	📕 **Potential**	⚪ **Inside**	📄 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	📕 **Current**	📕 **Potential**	⚪ **Inside**	📄 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖	✖		→	

⊖ Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

✖ Relevant		Not relevant	

	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	☁ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✎ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

✖ Relevant		Not relevant	

	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	☁ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✎ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (19/08/2013):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
	⊖	🚩			☁	✎
4.1.2 Commercial development						
	⊖		🚩		☁	→
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
	⊕	🚩		⊙		✎
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
	⊕	🚩			☁	→
	⊖	🚩			☁	→
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
	⊖	🚩			☁	✎
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.4 Air pollution						

Factor						
	⊖	🚩			👉	→
4.4.6 Input of excess energy						
	⊖	🚩			👉	↗
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
	⊖		🚩		👉	→
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	⊖		🚩	◉	👉	↗
4.7.3 Temperature						
	⊖		🚩	◉	👉	↗
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	⊕	🚩		◉	👉	→
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	⊕	🚩		◉	👉	→
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	⊕	🚩			👉	→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	⊕	🚩		◉	👉	→
	⊖		🚩	◉		↗
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
	⊖		🚩		👉	→
4.9.5 Terrorism						
	⊖		🚩		👉	→
4.9.6 Civil unrest						
	⊖		🚩		👉	→
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
	⊖		🚩		👉	↗
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	⊖		🚩		👉	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	⊕	🚩		◉		→
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	🚩		◉		→
4.13.3 Governance	⊕	🚩		◉		→
4.13.4 Management activities	⊕	🚩		◉	👉	→
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕	🚩		◉		→

	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	🟢	🚩		◉		→

Legend	🚩 Current	🚩 Potential	🔴 Negative	🟢 Positive	◉ Inside	🚩 Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housing						
	🔴	🚩			🚩	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.2 Commercial development						
	🔴		🚩		🚩	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted

	Localised
✖	

	Extensive

	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare

✖	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent

	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant

✖	Minor

	Significant

	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity

	Medium capacity

	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing

	Static

✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	🚩		◉		➚

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✖	Restricted

	Localised

	Extensive

	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare

✖	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent

	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant

✖	Minor

	Significant

	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	🟢	🚩			👉	→
	🔴	🚩			👉	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities				

			Impact			Origin		Trend
✖			⊖	🚩			↻	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor								
✖	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							●
	Widespread							

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name			Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution								
			⊖	🚩			↻	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor								
	Restricted							
✖	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.6 Input of excess energy						
	⊖	🚩			↻	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wind						
	⊖		🚩		↻	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	⊖		🚩	◉	↻	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic

| | Frequent |
| | On-going |

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temperature						
	⛔		🚩	◉	↻	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

| | Decreasing |

	Static
✖	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	⊕	🚩		◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	⊕	🚩		◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare

	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	⊕	🚩			☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	⊕	🚩		◉	☞	→
	⊖		🚩	◉		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
	⊖		🚩		☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	

✖	One off or rare	
	Intermittent or sporadic	
	Frequent	
	On-going	

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.5 Terrorism						
	⛔		🚩		⟳	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.6 Civil unrest						
	🚫		🚩		☞	➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Storms						
	🚫		🚩		☞	➚

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	⊖		◀		☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	⊕	⚑		◉		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	⚑		◉		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted

	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance	⊕	🚩		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity					
✖						
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	⊕	🚩		◉	☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕	🚩		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted

		Localised
		Extensive
✖		Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

		One off or rare
		Intermittent or sporadic
		Frequent
✖		On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖		Insignificant
		Minor
		Significant
		Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖		High capacity
		Medium capacity
		Low capacity
		No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

		Decreasing
✖		Static
		Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	●	⚑		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

		Restricted
		Localised
		Extensive
✖		Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

		One off or rare
		Intermittent or sporadic
		Frequent
✖		On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖		Insignificant
		Minor
		Significant
		Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor
N/A

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Building's Brick Exterior	✖			
4.18.1.2	Wooden Steeple	✖			
4.18.1.3	Setting	✖			
4.18.1.4	Interior Spaces	✖			
4.18.1.5	Feeling and understanding of events that occurred in these spaces	✖			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?
The boundaries **are known** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The property has **no buffer zone** and **does not need one**

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?
The property has **no known and recognised** buffer zone

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property
Although the site does not have a WH designated buffer zone, the surrounding NPS park provides equivalent protection. The low scale and open setting of the national historical park that immediately surrounds Independence Hall including Independence Square to the south, Independence Mall to the north and Congress Hall, the East and West Wings and Old City Hall to the east and west, effectively provides equivalent protection.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Independence Hall is legally owned by the City of Philadelphia [Broad and Market Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107 USA]. The building became part of Independence National Historical Park which was created by Public Law 798, 80th U.S. congress, approved on June 28, 1948. The National Park Service, a federal agency, is the steward of the building according to the terms of a Memorandum of Agreement between the Secretary of the Interior and the City of Philadelphia dated July 14, 1950. As part of Independence National Historical Park, Independence Hall receives the highest level of conservation protection afforded by federal law in the United States.

Public Law 795, 80th U.S. Congress, approved on June 28, 1948, created the Park. In furtherance of particular terms of the act, the Secretary of the Interior entered into a cooperative agreement with the City of Philadelphia on July 14, 1950, providing for administration and preservation of Independence Hall as a unit of the park. Specifically, the agreement assured "access at all reasonable times to all public portions of the property", and the "no changes or alterations should be made in…its buildings and grounds … except by mutual agreement between the Secretary of the Interior and the [City of Philadelphia] …." The National Park Service assumed custody of Independence Hall on January 1, 1951. The building is in the charge of park authorities and is open to the public every day of the year.

Regulation:

Antiquities Act, 1906, 34 Stat. 225, 16 U.S.C. 431-433.

National Park Service Organic Act, 1916, 16 U.S.C.1.

Historic Sites Act, as amended, 1935 (49 Stat. 666; 16 U.S.C. 461-467).

Establishment of the park: Public law 795, 80th Congress, June 28, 1948.

Stewardship of city property: Memorandum of Agreement, Secretary of the Interior and City of Philadelphia, July 14, 1950.

National Park Service Management Policies.

Source: Periodic Reporting, 1st Cycle, 2004

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?
There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
All work on the Hall is reviewed by a team of NPS specialists with expertise in the fields of historic preservation, conservation, archeology, historic architecture and history and by the City historic commission. The Secretary of Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties are the standards that projects are reviewed against by NPS and the City for reviews. NPS submits proposed projects to the City after completion of its internal review.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
5.2.4. The property has no World Heritage designated buffer zone. NPS owned land that surrounds the Hall provides equivalent protection. The protection provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property
Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property
The National Park Service is responsible for the stewardship and daily operations of Independence Hall by a cooperative agreement with the City of Philadelphia (dated July 14, 1950) which includes provisions for communication and coordination of actions of the federal and municipal entities. The City of Philadelphia retains ownership of the building and its site. The Superintendent of Independence National Historical Park reports to a Regional Director who reports to the Director of the National Park Service. The Director of the National Park Service reports to the Secretary of the Interior. The park is managed locally by a team with expertise in all areas of park management including Visitor and Resource Protection, Facilities Management, Business and Administration, Safety, Public Affairs, Interpretation and Education and Cultural Resources; the team is lead by the Superintendent and the Deputy Superintendent. The management team meets weekly.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

General Management Plan 1997 Foundation Document September 2017 Cultural Resource Stewardship Assessment 2018 Interpretive Plan 2010; update is currently underway.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

There is overlap between the Urban Landscape Recommendations and the NPS Secretary of Interior (SOI) Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties and local preservation law. The SOI Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties and the provisions of the National Historic Preservation Act, carried out by all levels of government, incorporate the principals of HUL Recommendations.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				✖	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✖	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				✖	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples				✖	
5.3.15.5	Women				✖	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✖				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					✖
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✖
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples					✖
5.3.16.4	Landowners					✖
5.3.16.5	Women					✖
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					✖

5.3.16.7	Researchers				✗
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				✗
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				✗
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				✗
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries	✗			
5.3.16.12	NGOs				✗
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	✗			
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify				

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				✗	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					✗
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				✗	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					✗
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				✗	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				✗	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	99 %	100 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	1 %	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?
The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?
The existing sources of funding are **secure over both the medium- and long-term**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	55 %	71 %
6.1.6.2	Women	45 %	29 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?
Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?
No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?
A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; **all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
6.1.6 The site is managed by the National Park Service, recruitment to fill positions is managed at regional and national levels. Efforts are made to advertise positions at local schools. Volunteer positions are advertised, and local citizens serve as volunteers. 6.1.12 A capacity development plan is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?
Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?
There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?
Research results **are shared with local communities and some national agencies**

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
There is always a need for more studies about Independence Hall's history, significant historical events that occurred there and the impact that these events and our founding documents had on people. This includes the impact these documents had on underrepresented communities of color, women and Native Americans. The park is expanding its capacity to complete research in these areas adding an African American Mellon Fellow and coordinating with Native American Fellows at nearby institutions.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?
There is **a planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Youth/children

Researchers	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Interpretation in Independence Hall focuses on the founding of American government. Not every tour emphasizes the influence of America's founding documents on the emergence of modern democracies throughout the world or their influence on the United Nations Charter. However, the program stresses the universal right to revolution and self-governance.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

391,178 / 161, 576 / 68,484 / 546,549 / 560,655 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Ticket Reservations

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

unknown / unknown / unknown / 0 / unknown / unknown /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Economic impact of Independence National Historical Park to the local economy as determined by the NPS Public Use Statistics Office: Visitor Spending: 2021: $97.4 million 2019: $270 million 2018: $270 million Jobs: 2021: 1350 2019: 3850 2018: 3860 Economic Output 2021: $155 million 2019: $400 million 2018: $401 million

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

NPS system

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There **is limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Philly Mobile and INDEGO Bike Share

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Shared with Global Philadelphia

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

NPS continues to use free timed tickets for admission to Independence Hall in order to manage visitor flow. These tickets also provide one source for visitation statistics. In response to COVID, the number of tickets for each tour was reduced to promote social distancing. The tourism industry continues to prefer to see more visitors admitted to the building because their interest is in benefits of tourism to the local economy. 9.1.6 NPS service wide tourism/economic impact data is released.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				✖
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				✖
10.3.3	Character of governance				✖
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	✖			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	✖			
10.3.6	Capacity development				✖

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable

NGOs		Good
Other specific groups		Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify		

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?
No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
N/A

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
Park staff monitors the condition of the property on a daily basis reporting conditions and work/ repairs required in a park wide work request system. The professional staff carries out routine inspections; the inspections align with NPS condition assessment standards.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	**Boundaries and Buffer Zones**	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property **are known** by the management **authority but are not known** by local communities/landowners	✕
5.1.3	The property has **no buffer zone**	✕
5.1.4	The property has **no known and recognised buffer zone**	✕
5.3	**Management System/Management Plan**	
5.3.5	**Some use** has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	✕
5.3.7	**No use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✕
5.3.9	**No use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	✕
6.1	**Funding**	
6.1.10	**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	✕
9	**Visitor Management**	
9.11	There **is limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**	✕
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**	✕
10	**Monitoring**	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage **property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**	✕

> **Please select 0 more issues.**

> ⬇ **Please save this question to reflect changes**

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	**Buildings and Development**						
4.1.1	Housing	Criteria 6: Increasing in the height of housing development has the potential to impact the Hall's viewshed.	Working with the City the park will continue to monitor and comment on development in the surrounding area.	The City's Historic Commission monitors development in the surrounding district; NPS comments.	On- going.	City of Philadelphia and the National Park Service.	The park works with the City to find ways to accommodate building and development while preserving the Hall.
4.1.2	Commercial development	Criteria 6: Increase in commercial development in the surrounding community has the potential to impact the Hall's viewshed.	Working with the City the park will continue to monitor and comment on development in the surrounding area.	The City's Historic Commission monitors development in the surrounding district; NPS comments.	On-going	City of Philadelphia and the National Park Service.	The park works with the City to find ways to accommodate commercial growth while preserving the Hall.

4.2	**Transportation Infrastructure**						
4.2.5	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Criteria 6: Vehicular traffic on Chestnut Street just to the north of the Hall has the potential to impact the Hall. NPS is exploring vibration monitoring from heavy vehicles.	The park will continue to discuss the possibility of closing Chestnut Street in front of the Hall.	The park and the City monitor traffic along Chestnut Street during major events.	On-going	National Park Service	A recent plan "Historical Philadelphia Vision Framework" for the area surrounding the Hall includes a number of initiatives to make some of the streets more pedestrian friendly. NPS will explore vibration monitoring of the Hall.
4.4	**Pollution**						
4.4.4	Air pollution	Criteria 6: Increased levels of pollution have the potential to impact historic fabric.	Examine and track the condition of the resource on a regular basis; identify areas of concern, complete repairs as needed.	Continue to monitor the condition of the historic fabric.	On-going	National Park Service	The Park will continue to follow NPS guidance on impacts of pollution on historic fabric.
4.4.6	Input of excess energy	Criteria 6: Increasing levels of lighting surrounding the site has the potential to impact the resource.	The park works with the city to review proposed signage and lighting within the viewshed.	The City's Historic Commission monitors the installation of signage and lighting in the surrounding district; NPS comments.	On-going	National Park Service and the City of Philadelphia	The park stives to have a good working relationship with the City as it manages development surrounding the Hall and the park. The park works with the City to find ways to accommodate growth including infrastructure while preserving the Hall.
4.7	**Local conditions affecting physical fabric**						
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Criterion 6. Increase in exterior relative humidity has the potential to result in increased humidity on the interior of the building which can impact the resource.	Continue to install and monitor humidity and temperature sensors in the building.	Monitor humidity levels; notify facilities when humidity levels exceed acceptable range. Facilities undertakes corrective measures. Resource management staff monitors the structure for signs of deterioration.	On going	National Park Service	The park is currently replacing the HVAC systems in the building; the new system will function more efficiently and allow for better humidity control.
4.7.3	Temperature	Criterion 6. Increase exterior temperatures has the potential to result in increased deterioration of exterior fabric and increase the temperatures on the interior of the building which can impact the resource.	Continue to install and monitor humidity and temperature sensors in the building.	Monitor temperature levels; notify facilities when temperature levels exceed acceptable range. Facilities undertakes corrective measures. Resource management staff monitors the structure for signs of deterioration.	On going	National Park Service	The park is currently replacing the HVAC systems in the building; the new system will function more efficiently and allow for better temperature control.
4.8	**Social/Cultural uses of heritage**						
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	Criterion 6. . Increasing pressures of tourism carry potential for degradation of exterior and interior of the structure.	The park issues free, timed tickets to control the number of visitors in the building. Occasionally, we open the building at the end of the day to unticketed visitors.	Interpretive staff monitor visitation throughout the year and seasonally adjusts the ticketing plan. Resource management staff monitors the structure for signs of visitor-caused wear.	On-going	National Park Service	The park strives to have good relationships with the local tourism industry and increases hours during peak summer visitation. The number of visitors that we can accommodate is a source of tension. We strive to explain our conservation concerns.
4.9	**Other human activities**						
4.9.5	Terrorism	Criteria 6: Terrorism has the potential to have a significant impact on the site.	Protective bollards surround the site and 24/7 security protect the site.	Threats are monitored by federal and local law enforcement agencies; NPS is notified immediately of any potential threat.	On-going	National Park Service, other federal law enforcement agencies and City police.	The Hall is a magnet for political demonstrations due to its historical significance.
4.10	**Climate change and severe weather events**						

| 4.10.1 | Storms | Criterion 6. Increase in the number and intensity of storms has the potential to impact exterior historic fabric. NPS has begun to monitor, gather data and document increased severe storms (i.e., tornado warning on 4/1/23 for example). | The park monitors weather and the condition of the exterior envelop for deterioration. Maintenance is on-going. | Resource management staff monitors the structure for signs of deterioration. Facilities undertakes regular maintenance; longer term large scale projects are submitted for funding. | On-going | National Park Service | The park has been successful in applying for funds to maintain, repair and/or replace deteriorated elements of the building. |

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	**Boundaries and Buffer Zones**				
		Actions	**Timeframe**	**Lead agency (and others involved)**	**More info / comment**
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property **are known** by the management **authority but are not known** by local communities/landowners	The Park strives to inform the local community about the property's WH status and its boundary. The status is mentioned on our website, our press releases and on social media. It is a talking point when speaking with the press.	On-going effort.	National Park Service	NPS notes the WH status of the Hall in announcements, brochures, other publications and tours.
5.1.3	The property has **no buffer zone**	The surrounding National Park provides protection of the WH site equivalent to a buffer zone.	On-going	National Park Service	NPS management of the site surrounding the site provides equivalent protection.
5.1.4	The property has **no known and recognised buffer zone**	The Hall itself is the limit of the WH designation. The area around it is the rest of Independence National Historical Park, owned and operated by the National Park Service, which provides equivalent protection.	N/A	National Park Service	NPS includes the World Heritage status and boundary in all of its announcements and publications.
5.3	**Management System/Management Plan**				
5.3.5	**Some use** has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	NPS manages the land immediately surrounding the WH site as well. The city reviews development in the surrounding community. NPS reviews are limited to proposed development that might impact the site and the park.	On-going	National Park Service	The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Historic Preservation and the provisions of the National Historic Preservation Act, carried out by all levels of government, incorporate the principles of the HUL Recommendation.
5.3.7	**No use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	The park utilizes the NPS guidance on Climate Change and cultural resources to review and assess the impacts on the site. The park will review the WH guidance as it relates to NPS guidance.	On-going	National Park Service	NPS has a robust Climate Change Response Program that provides technical assistance, guidance and training that includes specific guidance for cultural resources. This program works with national and international groups to share information.
5.3.9	**No use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	NPS has recommendation for reducing risks from disasters for cultural resource sites. The park will review the WH guidance as it relates to NPS guidance and policy.	On-going	National Park Service	NPS will review the Strategy for Reducing Risks for Disasters and utilize this information as appropriate.
6.1	**Funding**				

6.1.10	**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	The park has its own capacity building strategies.	On-going	National Park Service	NPS will review the Strategy for Reducing Risks for Capacity Development and utilize this information as appropriate.
9	**Visitor Management**				
9.11	There **is limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**	The park strives to inform the local community about the property's WH status and its outstanding universal value of the site. NPS will review our website and tours to ensure OUVs are highlighted.	On-going	National Park Service	NPS works with the local tourism representatives in the city. They are aware and include the sites WH designation. We will continue our ongoing efforts to present the Hall's OUV.
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**	The park will review the presentation and interpretation of the site to ensure outstanding universal values are highlighted.	On-going	National Park Service	NPS notes the WH status of the Hall in announcements, brochures, other publications and tours.
10	**Monitoring**				
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage **property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**	The park will review our monitoring of key indicators.	On-going	National Park Service	NPS has a system in place for monitoring the condition of our resources. Going forward NPS will include a review of the status of the sites OUV and key indicators.

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Independence Hall is the highest priority in Independence Park's asset management system. Staff works diligently to identify needs for its continued preservation and to apply for funds for major projects through the NPS system. Since 2014 we have received over $3.7M dollars in funding to replace the roof shingles, repair north elevation masonry, replace the HVAC system, replace fire detection and suppression systems, and to complete accessibility upgrades.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

Independence Hall's World Heritage status helps the park receive NPS project funding. We work hard to maintain the OUV for its selection and to convey its status to an average of 345,688 visitors per year. This number represents total visitation from 2018-2022 of 1,728,442. The reduced visitation numbers from past years are a direct result of COVID shutdowns and restrictions.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The *World Heritage Convention*
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Not needed

Site Managers	Not needed
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?
Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?
Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

40 / 0 / 50 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		✖
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	✖	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?
Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			✖	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			✖	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.