Madara Rider

- 1. World Heritage Property Data
- 1.1 Name of World Heritage property

Madara Rider

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name Coordinates		Property (ha) Buffer zone (ha)		Total (ha)	Inscription year	
Madara Rider	43.277 / 27.119	1.2	501.7	502.9	1979	
Total (ha)		1.2	501.7	502.9		

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Madara Rider. Inscribed property	2009	
Madara Rider Inscribed Property and its Buffer Zone	2009	Œ

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. World Monuments Watch
- 2. Museum Shumen (PDF)
- 3. www.bulgariainside.com
- 4. The Madara national historical-archeological reserve
- 5. Muzei Kazanlak

Comment

https://en.historymuseum.org/mada	arski-kon	nik/ https://museum-shumen.eu/ -		/ https://www.shumen.bg/za-obshtinata/zabelezhitelnosti/
https://100obekta.com/tag/	-	/ https://www.btsbg.org/100nto/madarski-konnik	k	
https://welcome.bg/en/listing/mada	ra-nation	al-historical-archeological-reserve/		

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	×	
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	×	
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

Madara Rider 1 of 42

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World

Madara Rider 2 of 42

Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The Madara Rider is a unique relief, an exceptional work of art, created during the first years of the formation of the Bulgarian State, at the beginning of the 8th century. It is the only relief of its kind, having no parallel in Europe. It has survived in its authentic state, with no alternation in the past or the present.

It is outstanding not only as a work of Bulgarian sculpture, with its characteristically realist tendencies, but also as a piece of historical source material dating from the earliest years of the establishment of the Bulgarian state. The inscriptions around the relief are, in fact, a chronicle of important events concerning the reigns of very famous Khans: Tervel, Kormisos and Omurtag.

Criterion (i): The Madara Rider is an exceptional work of art dating from the beginning of the 8th century. It is the only relief of its kind, having no parallel in Europe.

Criterion (iii): The Madara Rider is outstanding not only as a work of the realist Bulgarian sculpture but also as a piece of historical source material from the earliest years of the Bulgarian state, since the inscriptions around the relief chronicle events in the reigns of famous Khans.

Integrity

The rock relief of the Madara Horseman encompass within its boundaries sufficient elements for its presentation. It lies within an archaeological reserve that includes other archaeological monuments, up to 2000 years old. The defined boundaries, and the protection zone, ensure the conservation of the property's surrounding.

Due to the uncertain stability of the supporting rock, the relief has a serious and enduring conservation problem, although changes in the integrity of the property are not significant. A combination of wind erosion, and surface water run-off from heavy rain and melting snow, together with biological coatings, is causing the rock to erode. The property has been subject to numerous archaeological, geodesic, geological, hydrological, static, seismograph, physical chemistry and, lately, microbiological research investigations. These exceptional research efforts have been incorporated into a database, the results of which have defined the parameters for immediate conservation interventions. In 2007 an international project, seeking solutions for the conservation of the relief, was concluded and an evaluation of proposed interventions is pending.

Authenticity

The form and design, location and setting, materials and substance, and spirit and feeling of the Madara Horseman relief have retained their authenticity.

Protection and management requirements

Management is implemented by virtue of:

- Cultural Heritage Law (Official Gazette No.19 of 2009) and subdelegated legislation. This law regulates the research, studying, protection and promotion of the immovable cultural heritage in Bulgaria, and the development of Conservation and Management plans for its inscribed World Heritage List of immovable cultural properties.

In addition, secondary legislation, issued by the Government in 1981 (Ordinance No. 22 on Protection of the Historical and Archaeological Reserves of Pliska, Preslav and Madara, promulgated in the Official Gazette No. 14 of 1981) also applies.

In order to ensure the conservation of the relief, there is a need to implement the proposed interventions drawn by the 2007 International project.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	The rock relief	×			
3.2.2	The inscriptions	×			
3.2.3	The sculpture	×			
3.2.4	100-m-high cliff	×			
3.2.5					
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

Madara Rider 3 of 42

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant		Not relevant					
Impact Origin			Origin	rigin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant	ı	Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			rigin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×		×			
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Madara Rider 4 of 42

levant	

× Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Madara Rider 6 of 42

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	M Net relevant
Relevant	Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×				

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×		×					

Madara Rider 7 of 42

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×					

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×		×					

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

nt	✗ Not relevant
----	----------------

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×				

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

Positive X	×	×	
Negative			

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×				
Negative								

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			
Negative							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×			
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not	relevant

Madara Rider 9 of 42

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact	Impact			Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×			

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Madara Rider 10 of 42

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×				

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
Relevant	Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

★ Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			igin Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X		×	×					

Madara Rider 11 of 42

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

× Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact			
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×	×				
Negative								

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×	×				
Negative								

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×	×				
Negative								

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			n Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×	×				
Negative								

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×				
Negative								

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			n Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
Notovani	Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------

Madara Rider 13 of 42

4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	•	q	9	@	Œ	
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	O	q	9		Œ	
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
		q		©	Œ	
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
		q		©		
4.7.3 Temperature						
		q	9	•		
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
		q		()		
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
		q		•	Œ	
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	q			Œ	
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	(q		©	G	
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	(q		@	Œ	
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	(q		@	F	
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
			9	@	Œ	
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
			9	②	G	
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
		q	q	()	G	
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
			9	@		
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	•	q		@	Œ	
4.13.2 Legal framework	©	q		@	F	
4.13.3 Governance	©	q		@	G	
	J			,	,	

Madara Rider 14 of 42



- 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors
- 4.16.1 Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors
- 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	oretative and visitation facilities	O	q	9	•	G	
	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

Madara Rider 15 of 42

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Grou	nd transport infrastructure	•	q	9		G	
	lle - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wind						
			9	•	Œ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					

Madara Rider 16 of 42

	laterarite at an appropria				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going Control of the Control of t				
Impact -	Impact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Imp	ict	Origin	Trend
4.7.2 Re	lative humidity				
		•	4	•	
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact -	Impact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
*					

Madara Rider 17 of 42

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
×	Static
1	Increasing

Name	Impac	Impact			Trend
4.7.3 Temperature					
		9	9	•	

		•	9	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact	:	Origin	Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)				
		9	②	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare

Madara Rider 18 of 42

	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact -	impact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manager	nent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - E	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impac	t	Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Mic	ro-organisms					
			9	•	G	
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact -	impact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

Madara Rider 19 of 42

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.1 Ritual	/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	0	9			Œ	
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Socie	ty's valuing of heritage	•	q		•	Œ	
0							

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor			
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact			

Madara Rider 20 of 42

×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identi	ty, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	O	9	•	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×						
	One off or rare					
	One off or rare					
	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic					
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent					
Impact - Im	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going					
	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes					
	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant					
	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor					
×	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant					
×	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major					
X	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond					
X	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or spo					

Madara Rider 21 of 42

Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	de of teacher Michael or Proposition	Impact		Origin	od.	Trend
4.8.6 impac	.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		4	•	F	
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.1 Stori	4.10.1 Storms						
				q	•	G	
2 "							
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						

Madara Rider 22 of 42

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Ea	rthquake						
				9	•	Œ	
Spatial so	cale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						

Madara Rider 23 of 42

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Impact		npact		act		Origin			Trend
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition												
		9	9	•	(

		q	q	•	(
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
			9	•		

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive

Madara Rider 24 of 42

	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend		
4.13.1 Man	.13.1 Management system/Management plan		o			0 4			
Custial ass	le - Area affected by the factor								
Spatiai sca									
	Restricted								
	Localised								
	Extensive								
×	Widespread								
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact								
	One off or rare								
	Intermittent or sporadic								
	Frequent								
×	On-going								
Impact - In	pact on the attributes								
×	Insignificant								
	Minor								
	Significant								
	Major								
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond								
×	High capacity								

Madara Rider 25 of 42

	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact	i	Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	ll framework	O	9	•	C	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name 4.13.3 Gov	arnanca	Impact	: <i>ब</i>	Origin	Œ	Trend
7. 13.3 GOV			-1	G)	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted					

Madara Rider 26 of 42

	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		~3	Origin		Trend
	agement activities	Impact	9	9	Origin	Œ	Trend
	agement activities			9			Trend
4.13.4 Man	agement activities le - Area affected by the factor			9			Trend
4.13.4 Man				4			Trend
4.13.4 Man	le - Area affected by the factor			q			Trend
4.13.4 Man	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted			9			Trend
4.13.4 Man	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised			q			Trend
4.13.4 Man	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive			q			Trend
4.13.4 Man	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread			q			Trend
4.13.4 Man	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact			q			Trend
4.13.4 Man	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread icale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare			q			Trend
4.13.4 Man	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocale - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic			q			Trend
4.13.4 Man Spatial sca X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent			q			Trend
4.13.4 Man Spatial sca X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going			व			Trend
4.13.4 Man Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor			व			Trend
4.13.4 Man Spatial sca X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Sicale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant			q			Trend
4.13.4 Man Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor			q			Trend
4.13.4 Man Spatial sca X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Sicale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant			व			Trend

Madara Rider 27 of 42

	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		~	Origin	-	Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources		9	9	•	F	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	an resources	(i)	q	9	@	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						

Madara Rider 28 of 42

	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name							
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	impact research/monitoring activities	Impact	9	9	Origin	Œ	Trend
	impact research/monitoring activities			9			Trend
4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities le - Area affected by the factor			9			Trend
4.13.7 Low				q			Trend
4.13.7 Low	le - Area affected by the factor			9			Trend
4.13.7 Low	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted			9			Trend
4.13.7 Low	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised			q			Trend
4.13.7 Low	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive			q			Trend
4.13.7 Low	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread			q			Trend
4.13.7 Low	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact			q			Trend
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread icale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare			9			Trend
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocale - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic			q			Trend
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent			q			Trend
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going			q			Trend
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes			9			Trend
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant			q			Trend
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor			q			Trend
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Sicale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant			4			Trend

Madara Rider 29 of 42

	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	100-m-high cliff	×			
4.18.1.2	The inscriptions beside the sculpture	×			
4.18.1.3	The relief	×			
4.18.1.4					
4.18.1.5					

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Declared a historical monument of national importance (according to the classification of historical monuments in Bulgaria) by ministerial decree, as
published in the Official Journal No.46, of 1970. Accesible to the public. The stone relief the Madara Rider is listed as no. 89/1 in the Inventory of
Archaeological Monuments in the Region of Shumen.

Management is implemented by virtue of:

- Law on Cultural Heritage, in force 10 April 2009 (Official Gazette No.19 of 2009) and subdelegated legislation. This law regulates the research, studying, protection and promotion of the immovable cultural heritage in Bulgaria, and the development of Conservation and Management plans for its inscribed World Heritage List of immovable cultural properties. According to the Law on Cultural Heritage, a need to apply the principles of integrated conservation is noted and the types and categories of immovable cultural property are defined, for which conservation and management plans are mandatory required. For the Madara Horsman there is still no conservation and management plan developed, but is in the process of setting an assignment for the preparation of such a plan.
- In addition, secondary legislation, issued by the Government in 1981 (Ordinance No. 22 on Protection of the Historical and Archaeological Reserves of Pliska, Preslav and Madara, promulgated in the Official Gazette No. 14 of 1981) also applies.

Source: Nomination File, SOUV, Periodic Reporting Cycle 1, Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

Madara Rider 30 of 42

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

An annual work plan or business plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An environmental management framework

An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property

- 5.3.3 Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property
- 5.3.4 Management Documents
- 5.3.5 Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is **not relevant** to this property

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

 Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

Madara Rider 31 of 42

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone		×			
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners	×				
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs			×		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach			×		
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	

Madara Rider 32 of 42

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	60 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	100 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	32 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	8 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

- 6.1.2 Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above
- 6.1.3 Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

- ${\bf 6.1.5 \cdot Comments, conclusion, and/or \ recommendations \ related \ to \ finance \ and \ infrastructure}$
- 6.1.6 Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	0 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair

Madara Rider 33 of 42

Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Poor
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Good

Madara Rider 34 of 42

Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local/municipal authorities

Youth/children

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

- 9. Visitor Management
- 9.1 Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

52017 / 53765 / 44602 / 72227 / 71870 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

- 9.4 Please provide the source of information
- 9.5 What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

2/0,5/

- 9.6 Please provide the source of information
- 9.7 Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

- 9.8 Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7
- 9.9 Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

Madara Rider 35 of 42

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			×	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Researchers	Good

Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

- 10.6 Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway
- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs
- 11.1 Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does integrate a human rights-based approach 	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	×
Pleas	e select 0 more issues.	
☑ Ple	ase save this question to reflect changes	

- 12. Summary and Conclusions
- 12.1. Summary Factors affecting the Property
- 12.1.1 Summary Factors affecting the Property

4.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
4.7.1		Wind	So far, no criteria has been affected			
4.7.2		Relative humidity	So far, no criteria has been affected			
4.7.3		Temperature	So far, no criteria has been affected			

Madara Rider 37 of 42

		Water (rain/water table)	So far, no criteria has been affected			
4.7.8 Micr		Micro-organisms S	So far, no criteria has been affected			
4.10 Climate change and severe weather			or events			
4.10.1		Storms	So far, no criteria has been affected			
4.11	Sudden ecolo	ogical or geological ev	vents			
4.11.2		Earthquake	So far, no criteria has been affected			
4.11.5		Erosion and siltation/Deposition	So far, no criteria has been affected on			
4.11.6		Fire (wildfire)	So far, no criteria has been affected			
Question not of	Question not completed					

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

	ry - Management	10003							
5.2	5.2 Protective Measures								
		Actions		Timeframe		Lead agency (and o	others	More info	/ comment
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain								
5.3		Ma	anagement S	System/Management P	lan				
5.3.7		be the Do the CI on He Pr	ome use has een made of e Policy ocument on e Impacts of limate Change n World eritage roperties at e property	è					
5.3.9		be th Re fro at He Pr	ome use has been made of e Strategy for educing Risks om Disasters World eritage roperties at e property						
6.1			Funding	l					
6.1.3			The avail budget i acceptal could be further improve fully mee manager needs of World He property	s ble but ble					

Madara Rider 38 of 42

6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs
9	Visitor Management
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

Madara Rider 39 of 42

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

- 13.2 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts
- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Synergie	gies	
Manager	gement	
Governa	nance	

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity

The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No follow-up
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Fundraising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Focal points of other international conventions/programmes

UNESCO National Commission

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

20 / 10 / 10 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		×		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Madara Rider 42 of 42