Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region	12.607 / 37.466	0	0	0	1979
Total (ha)			0		

1.4 - Map(s)

Comment

the heritage property has it,s own boundary demarcation by it,s core and buffer zone, this is already put on the paper but it is not clear on the ground ,due to a certain factors that affect the preservation and protect of heritage property; among those factors a town encroachment, lack of job opportunity. Question of development, lack of awareness and education.

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
 - 1. Gondar the Camelot of Ethiopia
 - 2. UNESCO Courrier, 2008, Number 8
- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

I have no comment.

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
 No

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Ethiopian Epiphany (timikt) from emperor Fasil swimming pool.

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

I am not aware the mention documentary heritage listed under the world program me memory.

- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 2 of 45

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief Synthesis

Fasil Ghebbi is located in the Amhara National Regional State, in North Gondar Administrative Zone of the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia. The serial property consists of eight components. Within the Fasil Ghebbi palace compound are: the Castle of Emperor Fasilidas, the Castle of Emperor Iyasu, the Library of Tzadich Yohannes; the Chancellery of Tzadich Yohannes; the Castle of Emperor David, the Palace of Mentuab and Banqueting Hall of the Emperor Bekaffa. The remaining seven components are located in and around the city of Gondar: the Debre Berhan Selassie (Monastery and church); the Bath of Fasilidas; Kiddush Yohannes; Qusquam (Monastery and Church); Thermal Area; the Sosinios (also known as Maryam Ghemb); the Gorgora (Monastery and Church) and the Palace of Guzara

Between the thirteenth and seventeenth centuries, Ethiopian rulers moved their royal camps frequently. King Fasil (Fasilidas) settled in Gondar and established it as a permanent capital in 1636. Before its decline in the late eighteenth century, the royal court had developed from a camp into a fortified compound called Fasil Ghebbi, consisting of six major building complexes and other ancillary buildings, surrounded by a wall 900 metres long, with twelve entrances and three bridges.

The fortress city functioned as the centre of the Ethiopian government until 1864. It has some twenty palaces, royal buildings, highly decorated churches, monasteries and unique public and private buildings, transformed by the Baroque style brought to Gondar by the Jesuit missionaries. The main castle has huge towers and looming battlemented walls, resembling a piece of medieval Europe transposed to Ethiopia. Beyond the confines of the city to the north-west by the Qaha River, there is a two-storey pavilion of a bathing palace associated to Emperor Fasilidas. The building is a two-storey battlemented structure situated within and on one side of a rectangular pool of water which was supplied by a canal from the nearby river. The bathing pavilion itself stands on pier arches, and contains several rooms reached by a stone bridge, part of which could be raised for defence. Subsequent rulers, such as Iyasu the Great, continued building, improving the techniques and architectural style and expanded to the hills north-west of the city centre, in the area known as Qusquam.

Fasil Ghebbi and the other remains in Gondar city demonstrate a remarkable interface between internal and external cultures, with cultural elements related to **Ethiopian Orthodox Church**, Ethiopian Jews and Muslims. This relationship is expressed not only through the architecture of the sites but also through the handicrafts, painting, literature and music that flourished in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries.

After its decline in the 19th century, the city of Gondar continued to be an important commercial and transport hub for northwest Ethiopia. Some of the monuments still retain their original spiritual function and the surrounding landscape has significant cultural importance for the local inhabitants.

Criterion (ii): The characteristics of the style of "the Gondarian Period" appeared from the beginning of the 17th century in the capital, Gondar, and significantly influenced the development of Ethiopian architecture for over 200 years.

Criterion (iii): Fasil Ghebbi, Qusquam and other sites bear an exceptional testimony of the modern era of Ethiopian civilization on the highlands, north of Lake Tana, from the 16th to 18th centuries.

Integrity

The maps for all the components of the serial property have yet to be prepared and boundaries for the property and buffer zones remain to be delineated. However, several of the component sites, including Fasil Ghebbi, are walled and these provide natural boundaries. These enclosed sites retain all the important attributes that substantiate the Outstanding Universal Value of the property.

Although there are general decay conditions, related to both natural and cultural factors, including lack of maintenance and inadequate past interventions, the components of the property still maintain the necessary conditions of integrity.

A sustained programme for conservation and maintenance is still needed to improve conditions at the overall property and prevent further erosion of the integrity of the property. Additionally, means to address the existing conflicts to balance the conservation of the historic value of the property with the need to improve the traditional liturgical functions have yet to be implemented. Definition and enforcement of regulatory measures for the management of the buffer zones are also needed to preserve the settings of the component parts of the property.

Authenticity

Most of the monuments have preserved their authenticity and remain in an overall good state of conservation. But, inappropriate conservation interventions, carried out between 1930 and 1936, using cement and reinforced concrete caused damage to the original materials and impacted the authenticity of the intervened components. The situation was partially reversed with the restoration works carried out by UNESCO in the 1970s, which replaced the cement and concrete work with the original mixes of lime mortar as well as with subsequent major conservation programmes implemented since 1990. Currently conservation activities at the property seek to reverse the prior impacts so as to maintain the authenticity of the property and focus on the use of original techniques and materials. To prevent future impacts, on the authenticity of the component parts of the property, guidelines and interventions for historic buildings need to be defined and enforced through a sustained conservation and maintenance action plan.

Protection and Management Requirements

Ethiopian Law 1958 (EC) 'Antiquities Administration' provides the national legislative background for the protection and preservation of the Ethiopian cultural heritage. No special legal framework is provided to protect Fasil Ghebbi and the other component parts of the property, except the general law, Proclamation No. 209/2000, the revised proclamation for Research and Conservation of Cultural Heritage which also establishes ARCCH as the institution in charge.

ARCCH and the Regional and Zonal Culture, Tourism and Information Bureaus are responsible for the management. Monuments that are used for religious services are under the direct responsibility of the Ethiopian Orthodox Church. Consequently, the management of the property falls at all the three levels - the property, the region, and the central administration, although the day-to-day management is the responsibility of the property at the local level. The Amhara National Regional State is responsible for the recurrent budget that goes to salaries and regular expenditures. The ARCCH is responsible for capital budget that goes for development works such as restoration and preservations. Additional sources of income are derived from tourist fees and these go to Government treasury and the Ethiopian Orthodox Church.

In spite of the existence of these arrangements, a more efficient decision-making structure is needed, with clearly defined roles and responsibilities at the national, regional and local levels, as well as with established mechanisms to promote the engagement of stakeholders in the definition and implementation of actions geared toward the management, conservation, protection and use of the component parts of the property. The revised structure needs to be fully supported by legal provisions to ensure adequate financial and human resources for its efficient and sustained operation, including considerations for multilateral and bilateral cooperation projects.

The management system needs to be clearly set out in an appropriate Management Plan for the serial property, including the definition of policies to bring about the integrated sustainable development and adequate use of the property. The Management Plan needs to be articulated with other planning tools, such as Gondar's Master Plan, to ensure the conservation of the attributes that sustain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property. Integrated annual plans need also to be implemented in a sustained manner to address the pending needs for inventory, archaeological research, conservation, restoration and interpretation.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 3 of 45

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	architecture		×		
3.2.2	historical	×			
3.2.3	speritual	×			
3.2.4	landscape		×		
3.2.5	political		×		
3.2.6	Educational		×		
3.2.7	Scientific		×		
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		×		×			•

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			7

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

× Relevant	Not relevant

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 4 of 45

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×			/
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×					1
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

the above mention interpretive and visiting factors affect positively. if there is no full fill the relevant facilities .

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×		\rightarrow	

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

|--|

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			•

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 5 of 45

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

* Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 6 of 45

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No comment.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
	160 160 160

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

* Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact		Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive							
Negative	×	×		×		→	

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 7 of 45

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010): • Not relevant	
Relevant	¥ Not relevant
4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood productionPrevious answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):Not relevant	
Relevant	¥ Not relevant
4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively I don't have any comment.	selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.6. Physical resource extraction	
4.6.1 - Mining Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.2 - Quarrying	

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 8 of 45

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			n Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×			\rightarrow	

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X	×	×	×				1	

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×				,	

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×				→	
Negative							

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 9 of 45

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant								
	Impact Origin			Origin Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×	×					,		
Negative									

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×			→	

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 10 of 45

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors spositively	selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.10. Climate change and severe weather events	
4.10.1 - Storms Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010): ● Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.2 - Flooding Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010): ● Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic watersPrevious answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.6 - Temperature changePrevious answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

I have no comment.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact Origin						
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	Outside	Decreasing → Stable		Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×			\rightarrow	

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

I don't have comment.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×	×	×			\rightarrow	

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 12 of 45

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×			→		
Negative								

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×			→		
Negative								

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×			→	
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			•
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant	Not relevant
** Ivelevalit	10.15614.1

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 13 of 45

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing → Stable		Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			7
Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant			ı	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			-	
Negative								

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

I am not aware about low and high impact recherche. .

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
			9		Œ	
4.1.2 Commercial development						
			9		G	1
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	•	9	9		C	1
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	0	q	9			1
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
		q	9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
		q	9		Œ	
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
		q	9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 14 of 45

4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domestic	cated animals										
					9	9		Œ	→		
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric											
4.7.5 Dust											
					q	9	•	Œ	→		
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)											
					q	9	•		→		
4.7.7 Pests											
					A	A	()		<i>p</i>		
4.7.8 Micro-organisms					,	Ť					
					m ² 1	m3	()		a		
4.0 Casial/Cultural upon of houstons					7	7	9				
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage											
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses						9			→		
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage				O	q	9			*		
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	on										
					A	q	•		\rightarrow		
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events											
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition											
					A	q	@		→		
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abunda	ant species										
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species											
					A	9	•		<u> </u>		
4.13 Management and institutional factors					-,	- 0	9		·		
					~	~3					
4.13.1 Management system/Management pla	an			•	4	9	•		→		
4.13.2 Legal framework				()	4	9	•		\rightarrow		
4.13.3 Governance				O	9	9	•		\rightarrow		
4.13.4 Management activities				O	9	9	•	G	1		
4.13.5 Financial resources				O	9	9	•	G	1		
4.13.6 Human resources				O	9	9	•	G	1		
Legend	Potential	Negative	O Positive	Inside	de		Outside	de			

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 15 of 45

				9		G	1		
Spatial scal									
	Restricted								
×	Localised								
	Extensive								
	Widespread								
Extensive									
×	One off or rare								
	Intermittent or sporadic								
	Frequent								
	On-going								
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes								
×	Insignificant								
	Minor								
	Significant								
	Major								
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond								
	High capacity								
×	Medium capacity								
	Low capacity								
	No capacity and / or resources								
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years								
	Decreasing								
×	Static								
	Increasing								

Impact

Origin

Trend

Name

4.1.1 Housing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.2 Commercial development						
			9		F	/

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 16 of 45

	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	O	4	9		(1
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemei	t response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 17 of 45

Impact

Origin

Trend

Name

4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities		O	9	9						
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor									
	Restricted									
×	Localised									
	Extensive									
	Widespread									
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact										
	One off or rare									
×	Intermittent or sporadic									
	Frequent									
	On-going									
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes									
	Insignificant									
×	Minor									
	Significant									
	Major									
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond									
	High capacity									
	Medium capacity									
×	Low capacity									
	No capacity and / or resources									
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years									
	Decreasing									
×	Static									
	Increasing									

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Name		Impact			Origin	
4.2.1 Groun	nd transport infrastructure						
			9	q		Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 18 of 45

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	s arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Impact	i		Origin		Trend
4.2.3 Ellect			9	a		G	7
			-1	-1		G	•
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 19 of 45

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.3.5 Major	linear utilities							
			9	9		G	\rightarrow	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
×	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
×	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
×	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
×	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend			
4.5.4 Lives	tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals								
				9		Œ	\rightarrow		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor								
	Restricted								
×	Localised								
	Extensive								
	Widespread								
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact									
	One off or rare								

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 20 of 45

×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.5 Dust							
			q	q	•	G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 21 of 45

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		act		ct		npact		mpact		Impact		Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)																							
		P	9	•	→																		

		7	7	Q	_
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.7.7 Pests					
		9	9	•	1

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact					

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 22 of 45

	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.7.8 Micro	organisms					
			9	9	•	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 23 of 45

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.8.1 Ritua	//Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	q	9		\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Socie	ty's valuing of heritage	•	A	9		1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 24 of 45

Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4 8 6 Imna	4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation					
4.8.6 Impa	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		q	a	•	→
4.8.6 Impa	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		9	9	©	→
	ale - Area affected by the factor	©	9	9	•	⇒
		•	9	9	•	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor	•	9	9	•	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted	9	9	9	@	→
Spatial sc:	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread	•	q	9	•	→
Spatial sc:	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact	•	4	9	•	
Spatial sc:	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare	•	9	9	•	-
Spatial sc:	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic	•	9	9	•	→
Spatial sc:	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent	Θ	4	9	•	
Spatial sc:	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going	Θ	4	9	•	
Spatial sc:	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mpact on the attributes	•	4	9	•	-
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mpact on the attributes Insignificant	•	9	4	•	-
Spatial sc:	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going mact on the attributes Insignificant Minor	•	4	9	•	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant	•	4	9	•	
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cone off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		4	9	•	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond		4	4	•	
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going npact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity		4	4	•	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond		4	4	•	

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 25 of 45

	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		Impact Origin			Trend
4.11.5 Eros	on and siltation/Deposition						
			9	q	•	→	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Restricted

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species					
		9	9	•	→
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 26 of 45

×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Man	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9	9	•	\rightarrow
0 "						
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 27 of 45

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	1 formanism	Impact		e73	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Lega	l framework	•	9	9	•	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	Vinance .	Impact		13	Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Gove	grance	O	9	9	•	→

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 28 of 45

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Nama					0		T
Name 4.13.4 Man	igement activities	Impact	q	q	Origin	Œ	Trend
	9		•	•	3	3	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
remporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
**	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent Co. soins						
Impost Im	On-going						
impact - im	pact on the attributes Insignificant						
	Minor						
٧	Significant						
×	Major						
Manager							
wanayeme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 29 of 45

	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact	İ		Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	O	9	9	•	G	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Hum	an resources	•	9	9	•	F	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 30 of 45

	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Architectural value	×			
4.18.1.2	Historical value	×			
4.18.1.3	Place value	×			
4.18.1.4	Spiritual Value	×			
4.18.1.5	Engineering value	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 31 of 45

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

in our sate party the demarcation of the world heritage core and buffer zone in already ratified in the legal framework. But practically, it has not been implemented. So the next time will be ready and as well as the concerned body should follow this activities.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The Proclamation n° 209/2000 (the revised proclamation for Research and Conservation of Cultural Heritage) is the only basic legal framework for the site. There is no protective designation or law at local level.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{A}}$ statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

 No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 32 of 45

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is little coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists but few of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women			×		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities			×		
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners	×				
5.3.16.5	Women			×		
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups				×	
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

Not	No	Limited	Significant	Full	
applicable	contribution			achievement	

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 33 of 45

5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality		×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status		×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach			×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property		×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	100 %	%
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

the management fee is not covered by the government.only covered by salary.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

There is no budget for the effective management of the World Heritage property despite an identified need

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **not secure**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	100 %	%
6.1.6.2	Women	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

 $\label{prop:equate} \mbox{Human resources are } \mbox{\emph{inadequate}} \mbox{ for management needs}$

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 34 of 45

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Not available
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

in the national level ,the capacity building training has been given. interments of heritage management and heritage conservation.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 35 of 45

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Non-existent
Local/municipal authorities	Non-existent
Indigenous peoples	Non-existent
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Not applicable
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Poor
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Local Visitors	
NGOs	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Not needed
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building let me say about the information ,and awareness are in the site needed .

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

130.000.00 / 120.000.00 / 110.000.00 / 100.00.00 / 90.000.00 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries	
Visitor surveys	

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 36 of 45

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

60 usd\$ / 10usd / 8usd / 7usd / 20 / 5 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, but make no contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a \boldsymbol{small} \boldsymbol{amount} of $\boldsymbol{monitoring},$ but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			×	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		

10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×	
10.3.6	Capacity development	×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Poor
Researchers	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Non-existent
Other specific groups	Poor
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is planned, but has not yet begun

- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	
5.2.6	There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.11	There is little coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property	
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	
5.3.14	An annual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented	

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 38 of 45

5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property 	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	There is no budget for effective management of the World Heritage property despite an identified need	
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	
Pleas	se select 2 more issues.	
□ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and	Buildings and Development								
4.1.2		Commerci developm								
4.2	Transportation	n Infrastructure								
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Regulate traffic movement	Initiate regu	lation	On going	2022	B G	RCCH, Amhara Re ureau for Cutler an condar City Adminis funicipality	d Tourism,	Control traffic movement
4.3	Services Infra	structures								
4.3.5	Major linear utilities	Ensure to control	Design strategy to manage linear utilities	On-going	2	2022-2023		ARCCH, Amhar Bureau for Cutle Tourism, Gonda Administration a Municipality	er and r City	Ensure restriciton
4.5	Biological res	ource use/modifica	tion							

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 39 of 45

4.5.4	Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	Ensure to manage livestock movement around the site		nitiate strategy estrict the activ	-	ing	2023	3	ARCCH, Amhara Bureau for Cutler Gondar City Admi Municipality	and Tourism,	Restrict Livestock access.
4.7	Local condition	ns affecting physic	cal fabric								
4.7.5	Dust	Ensure to manage dust particles	Design manag activity		On-going		2024-2025	5	ARCCH, Am Bureau for C Tourism, Goi Administratic Municipality	ndar City	Manage to improve the impact.
4.7.7	Pests	Control pest impact		a strategy age pests	On-going		On-going			ara Regional ler and Tourism, dministration and	
4.7.8	Micro-organisms	Ensure to control the damage	_	strategy to man organisms	age effects	On-going		2025	ARCCH, Ar Bureau for Tourism, G Administrat Municipality	ondar City ion and	Reduce the impact
4.8	Social/Cultura	I uses of heritage									
4.8.6		Impacts of tourism/Visita	ition/Recrea	tion							
4.11	Sudden ecolog	gical or geological	events								
4.11.5			sion and tion/Depositi	ion							
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species											
4.12.2		terr	asive/Alien estrial cies								
Question n	ot completed										

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer	Zones			
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowne		On-going	ARCCH, Amhara Regional E for Cutler and Tourism, Gon- City Administration and Municipality	_
5.2	Protective Measure	es			
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Enforced legislation	2026	ARCCH, Amhara Regional Bureau for Cutter and Tourism, Gondar City Administration and Municipality	Improve legal enforcement

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 40 of 45

5.3	Management System/Manag	ement Plan			
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Create awareness about significance of the policy document		ARCCH, Amhara Regional Bureau for Cutler and Tourism, Gondar City Administration and Municipality	Better awareness
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Initiate strategy to imple the Disaster reduction program	ement 2026	ARCCH, Amhara Regional Bureau for Cutler and Tourism, Gondar City Administration and Municipality	Ensure implementation of the same
5.3.17	In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	Design strategy to integ program	rated 2025	ARCCH, Amhara Regional Bureau for Cutler and Tourism, Gondar City Administration and Municipality	Ensure implementation.
6.1	Funding				
6.1.12	capacity ensure	integrated strategy to site based capacity g program	2025-2026	ARCCH, Amhara Regional Bu Cutler and Tourism, Gondar C Administration and Municipalit	ity
9	isitor Management				

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 41 of 45

10.1 There is a small amount of system System System Support System System Support System System Support System	9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Design interpretation and presentation strategy	2024-2025	ARCCH, Amhara Regional Bureau for Cutler and Tourism, Gondar City Administration and Municipality	Implement the same
amount of system Cutler and Tourism, Gondar City monitoring at the World Cutler and Tourism, Gondar City Administration and Municipality	10	Monitoring				
property, but it is not planned	10.1	amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it		2023-2024	Cutler and Tourism, Gondar City	Impenitent the same

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	No impact
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Negative
Education	Negative
Infrastructure development	Negative
Funding for the property	Negative
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	No impact
Advocacy	Negative
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Gender equality	Negative
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Negative
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Not applicable

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 42 of 45

Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Negative
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Negative
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation

Management

Governance

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Update of management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes

Staff from other World Heritage properties

UNESCO National Commission

Local communities

ICOMOS International

ICOMOS national/regional

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 43 of 45

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has been explicitly considered in the process but there are still deficiencies in the implementation.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? N_0

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

40 working hours , / 2 weeks / 1 months /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Continuous training is required to implement the planned actions.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Poor
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	Poor
ICCROM International/regional	Poor
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Poor
IUCN International	No support

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 44 of 45

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Map(s)

Reason for update: the heritage property has it,s own boundary demarcation by it,s core and buffer zone, this is already put on the paper but it is not clear on the ground ,due to a certain factors that affect the preservation and protect of heritage property; among those factors a town encroachment, lack of job opportunity. Question of development, lack of awareness and education.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the guestions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Fasil Ghebbi, Gondar Region 45 of 45