

Rock-Hewn Churches, Lalibela

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Rock-Hewn Churches, Lalibela

1.2 - World Heritage property details

Comment

I don't have additional comment.

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Rock-hewn Churches, Lalibela	12.033 / 38.817	0	0	0	0
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

Totally modification is not done. The area of the core zone and the buffer zone of the property have been demarcated and is 41.74 and 38 hectare respectively .

1.4 - Map(s)

Comment

The boundary for the property has been clearly demarcated and a buffer zone has . been provided.

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [UNESCO Courier, 2008, Number 8](#)

Comment

No comment

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

None

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

None

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Ethiopian Epiphany (Timket) The Finding of the True Cross of Jusus Christ (Meskel) are inscribed in the Intangible Cultural Heritage Representative List.

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed - under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Yes

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World

Programme of which you aware.

Manuscripts of the Ethiopian Orthodox Church are listed Un the Memory of the World Program.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

In a mountainous region in the heart of Ethiopia, some 645 km from Addis Ababa, eleven medieval monolithic churches were carved out of rock. Their building is attributed to King Lalibela who set out to construct in the 12th century a 'New Jerusalem', after Muslim conquests halted Christian pilgrimages to the holy Land. Lalibela flourished after the decline of the Aksum Empire.

There are two main groups of churches – to the north of the river Jordan: Bieta Medhani Alem (House of the Saviour of the World), Bieta Mariam (House of Mary), Bieta Maskal (House of the Cross), Bieta Denagel (House of Virgins), Bieta Golgotha Mikael (House of Golgotha Mikael); and to the south of the river, Bieta Amanuel (House of Emmanuel), Bieta Qeddus Mercoreus (House of St. Mercoreos), Bieta Abba Libanos (House of Abbot Libanos), Bieta Gabriel Raphael (House of Gabriel Raphael), and Bieta Lehem (House of Holy Bread). The eleventh church, Bieta Ghiorgis (House of St. George), is isolated from the others, but connected by a system of trenches.

The churches were not constructed in a traditional way but rather were hewn from the living rock of monolithic blocks. These blocks were further chiselled out, forming doors, windows, columns, various floors, roofs etc. This gigantic work was further completed with an extensive system of drainage ditches, trenches and ceremonial passages, some with openings to hermit caves and catacombs.

[Bieta Medhani Alem](#), with its five aisles, is believed to be the largest monolithic church in the world, while Bieta Ghiorgis has a remarkable cruciform plan. Most were probably used as churches from the outset, but Bieta Mercoreos and Bieta Gabriel Rafael may formerly have been royal residences. Several of the interiors are decorated with mural paintings.

Near the churches, the village of Lalibela has two storey round houses, constructed of local red stone, and known as the Lasta Tukuls. These exceptional churches have been the focus of pilgrimage for Coptic Christians since the 12th century.

Criterion (i): All the eleven churches represent a unique artistic achievement, in their execution, size and the variety and boldness of their form.

Criterion (ii): The King of Lalibela set out to build a symbol of the holy land, when pilgrimages to it were rendered impossible by the historical situation. In the Church of Bieta Golgotha, are replicas of the tomb of Christ, and of Adam, and the crib of the Nativity. The holy city of Lalibela became a substitute for the holy places of Jerusalem and Bethlehem, and as such has had considerable influence on Ethiopian Christianity.

Criterion (iii): The whole of Lalibela offers an exceptional testimony to the medieval and post-medieval civilization of Ethiopia, including, next to the eleven churches, the extensive remains of traditional, two storey circular village houses with interior staircases and thatched roofs.

Integrity

The drainage ditches were filled up with earth for several centuries, before being cleared in the 20th century, and have been disrupted by seismic activity. This has resulted in a severe degradation of the monuments from water damage, and most of them are now considered to be in a critical condition.

Structural problems have been identified in Bieta Amanuel where an imminent risk of collapse is possible, and other locations need to be monitored. Serious degradation of the paintings inside the churches has occurred over the last thirty years. Sculptures and bas-reliefs (such as at the entrance of Bieta Mariam) have also been severely damaged, and their original features are hardly recognisable. All of this threatens the integrity of the property.

Temporary light-weight shelters have now been installed over some churches and these, while offering protection, impact on visual integrity.

Other threats include encroachment on the environment of the churches by new public and private construction, housing associated with the traditional village adjacent to the property, and from the infrastructure of tourism.

Authenticity

The Rock-Hewn Churches of Lalibela are still preserved in their natural settings. The association of the rock-hewn churches and the traditional vernacular circular houses, in the surrounding area, still demonstrate evidences of the ancient village layout. The original function of the site as a pilgrimage place still persists and provides evidence of the continuity of social practices. The intangible heritages associated with church practices are still preserved.

Protection and management requirements

For centuries, the Church and State have been jointly responsible for the holy site of Lalibela. Home to a large community of priests and monks, it is a living site which draws many pilgrims to celebrate the great feasts of the Ethiopian Christian calendar. This active and energetic perspective is central to the management of the site.

No special legal framework is provided to protect the Rock-Hewn Churches except the general law, Proclamation No. 209/2000, which has also established the institution in charge, the Authority for Research and Conservation of Cultural Heritage (ARCCH). With the Ethiopian Church as a partner, the ARCCH has a representative in Lalibela but a principle difficulty has been the harmonization of the different projects and effective coordination between the partners.

The property is administered under the regional and the Lasta district culture and tourism office. To prevent the property from the impact of development, a draft proclamation has been prepared but this is not yet ratified. A management plan has not yet been established. A four year Conservation Plan was established in 2006 but this has yet to be fully implemented.

The boundary for the property has not yet been clearly delineated and a buffer zone has not yet been provided.

There is a need for stronger planning controls for the setting of the churches that address housing, land-use tourism and for a management plan to be developed that integrates the Conservation action plan, and addresses the overall sustainable development of the area, with the involvement of the local population.

Comment

From the above clarification what should be corrected is the group of the churches is three.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
-----------------------------------	-----------	-------------	-----------------------	------

3.2.1	SYMBOLIC VALUE	X			
3.2.2	HIGH LEVEL OF ARTISTIC VALUE	X			
3.2.3	ENGINEERING VALUE	X			
3.2.4	LIVING RELIGIOUS VALUE	X			
3.2.5	HISTORICAL VALUE	X			
3.2.6	HALTEDCHRISTIANPILGRIMAGES	X			
3.2.7	Hewn from a living rock	X			
3.2.8	Exceptional testimony value	X			
3.2.9	Traditional two story circularizes	X			
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Potential, Outside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	X			X			

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	X	X		X			

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Hotels size and location may be not appropriate as well as not being indigenous architecture factors negatively.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

NEGATIVELY AFFECTING THE PROPERTY - VIBRATION RESULTS CRACK AND DAMAGING THE THE SURROUNDING OF THE PROPERTY.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

no

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The blowing dust always remains on the property. Then getting water when rainy season small plants and bush like trees starts to grow up on. The consequence will be cracking. Its impacts negative.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
--	---------------------------------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant				
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

ENCOME GENERATE, JOB OPPORTUNITY, IMAGE BUILDING , DEVELOPMENT, CONSERVATION.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant

Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant

Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

None.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔊 Current	🔊 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕				↗
➖ Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔊 Current	🔊 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕				↗
➖ Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔊 Current	🔊 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕				↘		
➖ Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔊 Current	🔊 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			↗
➖ Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔊 Current	🔊 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			↗
➖ Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/10/2010):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔊 Current	🔊 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			↗
➖ Negative							

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

HIGHLY RESEARCH NEEDED AND WEL MANAGEMENT, GOOD LEGAL FRAME WORK

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

None.

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
4.1.2 Commercial development						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.4 Air pollution						
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						
4.13.2 Legal framework						
4.13.3 Governance						
4.13.4 Management activities						
4.13.5 Financial resources						

4.13.6 Human resources						
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housing						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.2 Commercial development						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread






Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor

	Significant
✘	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✘	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✘	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✘	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✘	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------

4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor





	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✘	Increasing





4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.1 Wind			
			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✘	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✘	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✘	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✘	Static

Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes




<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	  	 	




Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic



	Frequent
✘	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✘	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✘	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✘	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing
-------------------------------------	------------

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes




<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	  	 	






Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact





<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Governance	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing

	Static
✘	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✘	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✘	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes




	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✘	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 





Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✘	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

✘	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✘	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	 	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✘	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✘	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing

	Static
X	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
X	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
X	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
X	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
X	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
X	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
X	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
X	Frequent

	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
X	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
X	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
X	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

None.

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Spiritual	X			
4.18.1.2	Engineering	X			
4.18.1.3	Landscape	X			
4.18.1.4	Social	X			
4.18.1.5	Research	X			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **inadequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value because **some attributes** of the property are **outside the boundary**

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are **known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

None.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

National Law of 1968 on the Administration of Antiquities

Source: Nomination file, par. 4.d

Comment

None.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Legal frameworks are designed by authorities and given orientation for the local community and for stake holders. Strategies are developed how to practice to use.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

None.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The government at the national level and the local community manage the property jointly.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
A Management Plan for the Rock-hewn Churches of Lalibela World Heritage Site, Ethiopia (2015)	N/A	Available	2015	

Comment

Management plan is developed for the Rockhewn churches of Lalibela and is being practising.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

The historic urban landscape is being kept and preserved.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts

of Climate Change on the property:

A lot of researches are under taking on the impact of the climate changes along with the conservation of the world heritage property.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

None.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **only partially implemented**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists **despite an identified need**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				✗	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✗	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	✗				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.15.5	Women				✗	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups				✗	
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					✗
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✗
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.16.4	Landowners	✗				
5.3.16.5	Women					✗
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					✗
5.3.16.7	Researchers				✗	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					✗
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					✗
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					✗
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			✗		
5.3.16.12	NGOs					✗
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	✗				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			×		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

None.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

None.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	100 %	100 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

None.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure over both the medium- and long-term**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The budget which is being allocated for the property should be based on the nature of the property.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	22 %	15 %

6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	23 %
		Total 62 %	Total 38 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Not available
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Not available
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Protecting and promoting property. And also make use indigenous knowledge.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, **but most technical work is carried out by external staff**

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

We would like have the most skill should be available from the local community and experts.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are not shared at any level

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Scientific studies should be shared at any level of the government structure and for whom is owned the property.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Poor
Trails/routes	Poor
Printed information materials	Poor

Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

The ministry of education should incorporate widely in conservation.protecting and promoting about the world heritage at any level of educational grades.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

75,053 / 61,453 / 37,709 / 63,241 / 49,403 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Documents like Researches that have been made by the regional culture and tourism bureau on the staying of visitors.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

30USD / 30USD / 4USD / 50USD / 7USD / 5USD /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

There is a research made by the Regional Culture and Tourism Beraou

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

UNESCO should work in collaborate with WTO in promoting visitors should respect the hosting country culture and rules.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

tourism management is deveoed andbeing applied.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

job creating

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

There should be awareness creation the interaction between the stakeholders.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount of monitoring**, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate** and **key indicators have been defined** but **monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				x
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				x
10.3.3	Character of governance				x
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	x			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			x	
10.3.6	Capacity development			x	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

None.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

Capacity building should be given by large for local community.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

Scones media is expected to do more.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones
5.1.1	Inadequacies in the boundaries make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value since some attributes of the property are outside the boundary
5.2	Protective Measures

5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	X
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	
5.3.14	There is no existing annual work/action plan for the property despite an identified need	
5.3.17	In a limited manner , the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality	X
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	X
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	X
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
7.3	Research results are not shared at any level	X
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	X
9.11	There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	X
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	X
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	

Please select 2 more issues.

Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and Development						
4.1.1	Housing	Visual intrusion	Regulate new buildings	Development proposal evaluation.	2021-2023	ARCCH, Lalibela Municipality and the Amhara Region Culture and Tourism Bureau	Minimize negative impacts.
4.1.2	Commercial development	Tourism facility	Regulate and monitor provision of facilities	Follow up the implementation strategy	2021-2024	ARCCH, Lalibela Municipality and the Amhara Region Culture and Tourism Bureau	Integrate with site conservation

4.4		Pollution					
4.4.4	Air pollution	The rise of population, the expressionist of urbanization, infrastructure development around the property, uncomfortable religious celebration, weather, human contact and mass tourist flow like x-mass pilgrimage.	environmental protecting,	establishing rules and regulations	2022-2023	ARCCH, Lalibela Municipality and the Amhara Region Culture and Tourism Bureau	capacity building
4.7		Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
4.7.1	Wind	Reduce impacts that affect world heritage attributes	Minimize negative impacts	Establish implementation strategy	2021-2022	ARCCH, Lalibela Municipality and the Amhara Region Culture and Tourism Bureau	Introduce sustainable development strategy to improve site protection and development.

Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.2		Protective Measures					
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	identifying the problem and sharing the idea to protect the property.		every quarter		The management office collaborating with culture and tourism department and the local community,	Strong protective legal frameworks should be developed locally.
5.3		Management System/Management Plan					
5.3.17	• In a limited manner , the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality	Improve benefit sharing to ensure gender equality.		2021-2022		ARCCH, Lalibela Municipality and the Amhara Region Culture and Tourism Bureau and the Church administration.	Initiate relevant strategy
6.1		Funding					
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	Seek for additional budget sources		2022-2023		ARCCH, Lalibela Municipality and the Amhara Region Culture and Tourism Bureau and the Church administration.	Ensure sustainable budget allocation.

6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Initiate world heritage strategy for capacity development.	2022-2024	ARCCH, Lalibela Municipality and the Amhara Region Culture and Tourism Bureau and the Church administration.	Improve capacity development at the property
--------	---	--	-----------	--	--

7 Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.3	Research results are not shared at any level	Ensure research result publications	2021-2023	ARCCH, Lalibela Municipality and the Amhara Region Culture and Tourism Bureau and the Church administration.	Improve the information sharing system
-----	---	-------------------------------------	-----------	--	--

9 Visitor Management

9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	Initiate visitor management strategy	2021-2024	ARCCH, Lalibela Municipality. Tourism Ethiopia, the Amhara Region Culture and Tourism Bureau and the Church administration.	Ensure safe and secured visitor facility
-----	---	--------------------------------------	-----------	---	--

9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Improve site interpretation and presentation	2021-2024	ARCCH, Lalibela Municipality. Tourism Ethiopia, the Amhara Region Culture and Tourism Bureau and the Church administration	Ensure awareness of world heritage property outstanding universal value
------	--	--	-----------	--	---

10 Monitoring

10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	Establish regular monitoring system	2022-2023	ARCCH, Lalibela Municipality. Tourism Ethiopia, the Amhara Region Culture and Tourism Bureau and the Church administration.	Improve site monitoring system
------	--	-------------------------------------	-----------	---	--------------------------------

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The property is damaged by natural factors. Therefore, it needs quick conservation.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	No impact
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive

Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Very positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

None.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Set up legal frame work. Open for scientific studies. Resettle village that exists around the property Ensure follow up and concern during conservation and protection.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

This is my first time .

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes

Local communities

ICOMOS International

External experts

Donors

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

28 / 20 / 12 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		X
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	X	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			X	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		X		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

If possible avoiding redendency.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Poor
UNESCO National Commission	Poor
ICOMOS International	Poor
IUCN International	Poor
ICCROM international/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable

IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
------------------------	----------------

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

The online training is not adequate.computer system listening one the other was a pproblem.

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Geographic information table**

Reason for update: Totally modification is not done.The area of the core zone and the buffer zone of the property have been demarcated and is 41.74 and 38 hectare respectively .

- **Map(s)**

Reason for update: The boundary for the property has been clearly demarcated and a buffer zone has . been provided.

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee**

Reason for update: From the above clarification what should be corrected is the group of the churches is three.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

Frequent and balanced monitoring.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.