Tiya

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property Tiya

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Tiya	8.435 / 38.612	0	0	0	1980
Total (ha)			0		

1.4 - Map(s)

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. UNESCO Courrier, 2008, Number 8

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

the world heritage site managers network should strengthened for their level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programme.

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware No property

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

I do not have any information

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

3.2.1	anthropomorphic stele	×	
3.2.2	potsherds	×	
3.2.3	stele with swords and discs	×	
3.2.4	sword-shaped stelae	×	
3.2.5	numerous tombs	×	
3.2.6			
3.2.7			
3.2.8			
3.2.9			
3.2.10			
3.2.11			
3.2.12			
3.2.13			
3.2.14			
3.2.15			

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value above and about the overall state of conservation of the OUV and its attributes needs due attentions to conserve sustainably in the future.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.2 - Commercial developmentPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.1.3 - Industrial areasPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infra Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	structure
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastrue	cture
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.3. Services Infrastructures	
 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.4 - Localised utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.4. Pollution

Relevant

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):
 - Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.4 - Air pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.5 - Solid waste Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.5. Biological resource use/modification	
4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
🤤 Negative 🗙	×			×			

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

Relevant

- X	Relevant	

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×		→	
 4.5.6 - Commercial wild pla Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/ Not relevant 							
Relevant			× Not relevant				
 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild pla Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/ Not relevant 							
Relevant			× Not relevant				
 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/ Not relevant 	-						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/ Not relevant 	-						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood pro Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/ Not relevant 							
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.5.11 - Please comment as positively	s necessary on	how the factors	selected as	relevant in 4.5	are affecting the p	roperty either	negatively or
	···- · · · · ·						

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	
463 - Oil and gas							

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): • Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×				1
· ·							

× Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			1

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			
4.7.7 - Pests Previous answer Cycle 2 (1 [.]	1/10/2010):						

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	
	X Not relevant
4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage	
 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge s Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	system
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	on and community
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.9. Other human activities	
 4.9.1 - Illegal activities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.3 - Military training Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.5 - TerrorismPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.6 - Civil unrestPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.10. Climate change and severe weather events	
4.10.1 - StormsPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.2 - FloodingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.6 - Temperature change Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	× Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events	
 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.2 - EarthquakePrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire) Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
🤤 Negative 🗙	×		×	×			1	
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):								

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 	
Relevant	× Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

× Relevant			I	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×					
Negative								

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×				
Negative								

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×				
4.13.6 - Human resources								
X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×				
4.13.7 - Low impact researd Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/ • Not relevant		activities						
Relevant			X Not relevant					
 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/10/2010): Not relevant 								
Relevant			× Not relevant					

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin			
4.5 Biological resource use/modification							
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals							
	0	4			F	\$	
4.5.5 Crop production							
	0	4			Ċ	→	
4.6 Physical resource extraction							
4.6.2 Quarrying							
	9		9		Ċ	→	
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
4.7.2 Relative humidity							
	9	9		٢		1	
4.7.4 Radiation/Light							
	0	4		۲	Ċ		
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)							
	0	4		٢	Ċ		
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species							
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species							
	0	4		۲	Ċ		

4.13 Management	and institutional factors									
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan					٢	9	9	۹		1
4.13.2 Legal frame	ework				٢	9		٢	Ċ	
4.13.3 Governance	9				0	9		٢	Ċ	
4.13.4 Managemer	nt activities				٩	9		٢	Ċ	
4.13.5 Financial re	SOURCOS									
4.15.5 Financial re	sources				0	4		٢	Ċ	
4.13.6 Human reso	ources					-,		9	3	
					9	9		٩	Ċ	
Legend	4 Current	4 Potential	Negative	O Positive	 Insid 	de		C Outsi	de	
Logona			- Hoganio		G 1130			C Outon	40	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.5.4 Live	stock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals					
		9	9		۴	N
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact	1	Origin		Trend
4.5.5 Crop	production					
		9	9		٢	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
x	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.6.2 Quai	rying					
		0	9		Ċ	→
•						
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					

Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

					_
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.2 Relat	ive humidity				
		0	4	۲	
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				

X Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Depreent over the last 6 years Decreasing X Static Increasing

	Impact		Origin	Origin	
4.7.4 Radiation/Light					
6	• •	1	٢	Ċ	1

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water	(rain/water table)					
		9	9	۲	Ċ	
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					

	Widespread				
Temporal s	icale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
	sive/Alien terrestrial species	mpact		Origin		riend
4.12.2 IIIva						
		0	9	٢	Ċ	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
х	High capacity					

	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	nagement system/Management plan		9	9	()		
					<u> </u>		
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Leg	al framework	٢	9		۹	٢	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						

	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Immedia		Oninin		Trend
4.13.3 Gov	ernance		9	Origin		Trend
						_
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

Major

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
Trend - Dev	Velopement over the last 6 years Decreasing
Trend - Dev	

ame		Impact			Origin	
4.13.4 Management activities	٢	9		۹	Ċ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources					
	0	9	۲	۴	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
Restricted					

	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin	
an resources					
	٢	4	۲	¢	
o - Area offected by the factor					
Restricted					
Localised					
Extensive					
Widespread					
cale - Occurence of the impact					
One off or rare					
Intermittent or sporadic					
Frequent					
On-going					
pact on the attributes					
Insignificant					
Minor					
Significant					
	Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor	resources - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Localised	an resources I and a factor I and a	an resources I and a factor I and a	an resources Image: Content of the second of t

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

Major

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	swords		×		
4.18.1.2	tombs		×		
4.18.1.3	anthropomorphic,		×		
4.18.1.4	hemispherical		×		
4.18.1.5	engravings		×		

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The boundaries and the buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognized by both the management authority and local communities/landowners. But it needs further collaboration and continuous awareness raising among the concerned stakeholders.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Proclamation n. 209/2000

Source: Periodic Reporting Section II questionnaire, 2001, par. II.4.1.a

Comment

Besides Proclamation n. 209/2000, Tiya world Heritage Reserved area Designation Council of Ministers Regulation

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal

Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

We have legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation. However, there are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property in practice.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

Every concerned stakeholders need to have carried out his/her responsibilities in accordance with the management plan and other regulations to sustain the conservation of the property.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

also at national level

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The management systems is designed to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups. but it lacks practical applications.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

Tiya World Heritage site Management Plan(2016-2025)

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists but few of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	×				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups				×	
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners	×				
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			×		
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry			×		
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups				×	
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	

5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood		×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property		×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

It needs further works to fully implement and to make it practical

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

It needs further works to fully implement and to make it practical

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	80 %	30 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	70 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	20 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage. hence we need to look for potential donors and any other potentials to solve budget constraints for sustainable conservation of the property.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	80 %	60 %
6.1.6.2	Women	20 %	40 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are inadequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Not available

Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property? Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done. Awareness creation for the local community and capacity building has been given for the local experts.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

In the near future a site-based capacity building plan or programme has to be fully implemented so as all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated? Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Scientific studies and research projects have been undertaken in collaboration with Universities. currently based on the research results the state party is doing some conservation works on the site.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Fair
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue? There is no education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs
Other specific groups

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Poor
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Poor
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

on the managment plan every things(the aformentioned issues have been incorporated. however, it is not sufficiently implemented. so it needs further works.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

7000 / 6200 / 7,000 / 8,000 / 5764 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Sources of information from visitor survey and entry register.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

15 / 10 / 20 / 10 / 25 / 5 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Visitor survey

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation. which means it is already there in the managment plan but not yet fully implemented becuse of lack of human and financial resources.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

There is tourist flow to the property. but there is a need to work on the presentation and interpretation center. the dos and donts of tourists around the property, tourist facilities to increase tourist stay around the property so as to benefit the local communities and so on. These are already included in the management plan but not practical.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the

understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in	place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			×	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			×	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

State of conservation Effectiveness of the management system Character of governance Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations Contribution to sustainable development Capacity development

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Poor
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

The management plan considers issues related to monitoring. however, it was not fully implemented based on the plan.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×

Anagement System/Management Pian Imagement System/Management Pian 3.3.3 No use has been made of the Historic Uthan Landscape Recommendation to develop policias and best practices for the protection of the property Imagement System/Management Pian 3.3.4 No use has been made of the Stategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property but it sould be improved Imagement System at the property is only being partially implemented 3.3.1 There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved Imagement System at the property is only being partially implemented 3.3.1 The management system at the property is only being partially implemented Imagement System at the property but few of the activities are being implemented 3.3.1 The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property Imagement 4.1 Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property Imagement 5.1.1 Alsebased capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transformed or dustanding of Outstanding Imagement 7.1 Some use has been made of the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Imagement 7.1 Someture are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active out			
Amagement System/Management Plan 63.5 No use has been made of the Histoic Udean Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the property X 63.7 No use has been made of the Paicy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change no World Heritage Properties at the property X 63.8 No use has been made of the Sintley for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property X 63.10 The management system at the property is only being partially implemented X 63.11 The management system at the property is only being partially implemented X 63.13 The management system at the property is only being partially implemented X 63.14 A annual world/ucidon plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented X 63.15 The available budget is inadequate for beam management needs and presents a serious constaint to the capacity for manage the World Heritage property X 63.16 Aller-base decamposity Usiding plan or programme has been developed but it in not implemented and dub its are not being transferred X 7.10 Research results and examenes programme has been developed but it in not implemented and dub its are not being transferred X 7.2 Research results and Awareness Budding X 7.3 Research results and	5.2.5		
315 No use has been made of the Historic Uthan Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property X 317 No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disastes at World Heritage Properties at the property X 318 There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved X 313 There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved X 318 An annual work/actor plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented X 319 The evaluable budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity building property X 310 The evaluable budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred X 310 Bore use has been made of the World Heritage property but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred X 311 There is no heritage education and avaeneess building property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding of Outstanding of Outstanding of Usets and presents and or the World Heritage property X 312 Research results are shared wit	5.2.6	There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property	×
Sint Properties at the property bockment on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property Image: Properties at the property Sint Properties at the property is only being partially implemented Image: Properties at the property, but it could be improved Image: Properties at the property Sint Properties at the property is only being partially implemented Image: Properties at the property is only being partially implemented Image: Properties Property Sint Property is only being partially implemented Image: Properties Property Image: Properties Property Image: Property Sint Property is only being partially implemented Image: Property Image: Properties Property Image: Properity Image: Properity	5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
An ouse has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property. Note that been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property. But it could be improved Note it could be improved 3.11 There is coerdination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property. But it could be improved Note it could be impr	5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
1 There is condimation between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property. but it could be improved 2 3.3.13 The management system at the property is only being partially implemented 3 3.3.14 A nanual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented 3 3.3.15 The available budget is inadequate for thesion management needs and presents is aerious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property 2 3.1.17 Human resources are inadequate for the and geneent needs of the World Heritage property 2 3.1.17 Human resources are inadequate for the anagement needs of the World Heritage property 2 3.1.18 A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred 2 3.1.17 Hare is considerable research. In the World Heritage property but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred 2 3.1.18 Research Instructs are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies 2 3.1.19 Water Kanagement 2 X 3.11 There is no astrategy to manage vicitors, turiem activity out the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
S13 The management system at the property is only being partially implemented In a management system at the property but few of the activities are being implemented In a management system at the property but few of the activities are being implemented In a management system at the property but few of the activities are being implemented In a management system at the property but few of the activities are being implemented In a management system at the property but few of the activities are being implemented In a management system at the property but few of the activities are being implemented In a management system at the property but few of the World Heritage property In a management system at the World Heritage property In a management system at the World Heritage property In a management system at the World Heritage property In a management system at the World Heritage property In a management system at the World Heritage property In a management system at the World Heritage property In a management system at the World Heritage property In a management system at the World Heritage property In a management system at the World Heritage property In a management system at the World Heritage property In a management system at the World Heritage property In a management system at the World Heritage property In a system at the world Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Meressi Value In a management system at the world Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value at the property is managed but improvements	5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
S1314 An endual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented Implemented S1314 Funding Implemented Implemented S1315 The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs of the World Heritage property Implemented Implemented S1316 Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property Implemented Implemented S1317 Some use has been made of the World Heritage property Implemented and skills are not being transferred Implemented S1316 Some use has been made of the World Heritage property but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred Implemented Implemented S1317 Research results are shared with boal communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies Implemented Implemented S1317 There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despte an identified need Implemented Implemented S1318 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and Increase appreciation Implemented S1318 There is in britage appreciation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property is	5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×
And Funding Image: Constraint of the work of the state management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property Image: Constraint of the state management needs of the World Heritage property Image: Constraint of the state management needs of the World Heritage property Image: Constraint of the state management needs of the World Heritage property Image: Constraint of the World Heritage property wis ina	5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	
1.1 The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property No 6.1.7 Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property No 6.1.7 Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Davelopment at the World Heritage property No 6.1.10 Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Davelopment at the World Heritage property No 6.1.12 A steb-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred To 7 Scientific Studies and Research Projects To 7.13 Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies No 7.14 Studiation, Information and Awareness Building No 7.15 There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need No 7.15 There is no heritage tooperty is managed but improvements could be made No 7.16 Usitor Management No 7.17 There is no heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding Universal Value and inductinge property is acceptable but improvements could be mad	5.3.14	An annual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented	
1.17 Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property N 1.1.10 Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property N 1.1.12 A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred N 7 Scientific Studies and Research Projects N 7.13 Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies N 8.14 Education, Information and Awareness Programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need N 9.1 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and Increase appreciation N 9.1 Visitor use of the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding Universal Value and Increase appreciation N 9.1 Visitor Management N 9.2 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made N 9.3 There is imited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and Increase appreciation N <td>6.1</td> <td>Funding</td> <td></td>	6.1	Funding	
6.1.10 Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property Image: Capacity Development at the World Heritage property 6.1.12 A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not imperented and skills are not being transferred Image: Capacity Development at the World Heritage property but it is not imperented and skills are not being transferred Image: Capacity Development at the World Heritage property but it is not imperented and skills are not being transferred Image: Capacity Development at the World Heritage property but it is not imperented and skills are not being transferred Image: Capacity Development at the World Heritage property but it is not imperented and skills are not being transferred Image: Capacity Development at the World Heritage property but it is not imperented and skills are not being transferred Image: Capacity Development at the World Heritage property but it is not imperented and skills are not being transferred Image: Capacity Development at the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and interpretation of the World Heritage property is acceptable but improvements could be made Image: Capacity Development at the World Heritage property is acceptable but improvements could be made Image: Capacity Development at the World Heritage property is acceptable but improvements could be made Image: Capacity Development at the World Heritage property is acceptable but improvements could be made Image: Capacity Development at the World Heritage property is acceptable but improvements could be made Image: Capacity Development at the World Heritage property is acceptable but im	6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	
6.1.12 A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred Implemented and skills are not being transferred 7 Scientific Studies and Research Projects Implemented and skills are not being transferred and skills are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies Implemented and Awareness Building 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building Implemented and skills are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies Implemented and awareness Building 9.12 There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need Implemented and skills are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies Implemented and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need Implemented and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need Implemented adentified need Implemented adented implemented adented implementes adentif	6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
Control Scientific Studies and Research Projects 7 Scientific Studies and Research Projects 7.2 There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value Improve the Scientific Studies and Research Projects 7.3 Research results are shared with local communities and pathers but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies Improve the Scientific Studies and Awareneess Building 8.1 Education, Information and Awareneess Building Improve the Scientific Studies and Awareneess programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need Improve the Scientific Studies and Awareneess programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need Improvement 9.7 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made Improvements 9.1 There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property at acceptable but improvements could be made Improvements 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made Improvements 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made Improvements 9.11 Th	6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
7.2 There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding X 7.3 Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies X 8 Education, Information and Awareness Building X 9.1 There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need X 9.1 Visitor Management X 9.1 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property X 9.1 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made X 9.11 There is individe cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made X 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made X 9.12 There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding Outstanding Universal Value X 9.12 There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicato	6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	
Universal Value Initial Control of Contrel Control of Control of Contrel Control of Co	7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
And and a manufacture of the constraints of the constraint of	7.2		×
8.2 There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need X 9 Visitor Management X 9.7 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property X 9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made X 9.11 There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation X 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made X 10.1 There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value X 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved X 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved X 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved X <td>7.3</td> <td>Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies</td> <td></td>	7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	
9 Visitor Management 9.7 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property ** 9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made ** 9.11 There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation ** 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made ** 10.1 Monitoring There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value ** 10.1 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved ** 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved ** 11.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved ** 12.3 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of i	8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
9.7 There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property ** 9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made ** 9.11 There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation ** 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made ** 9.12 There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value ** 10.1 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved ** 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved ** 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved ** 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved **	8.2	There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	×
9.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made 9.1 9.11 There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation 9.1 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 9.1 9.10 Monitoring 1 10.1 There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value 1 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved 1 Please select 0 more issues.	9	Visitor Management	
9.11 There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation 9.12 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made X 10 Monitoring 10 10.1 There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value X 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved X Please select 0 more issues.	9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	×
increase appreciation increase appreciation 9.12 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made X 10 Monitoring 10.1 There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
10 Monitoring 10.1 There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value 10.2 Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved Please select 0 more issues.	9.11		
10.1 There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved Please select 0 more issues.	9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
Universal Value Universal Valu	10	Monitoring	
improved Please select 0 more issues.	10.1		
	10.2		
Please save this question to reflect changes	Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
	D Pl	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

4.5.4	Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	Livestock grazing be avoided	Design strategy to reduce livestock grazing	On going	On going	ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tourism Bureau and the local government and community	Restricted grazing in the area.
4.5.5	Crop production	Farming in close proximity of the property	Design strategy to restrict crop production around the property	On going	On going	ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tourism Bureau and the local government and community	Restrict crop production around the site

4.6	Physical resou	rce extraction										
4.6.2	Quarrying	Control Illegal quarrying	Design resource utilization strategy	On- <u>(</u>	going		On-going)	and the	CCH, Regional Culture Tourism Bureau and local government and munity		void illegal Jarrying
4.7	Local condition	ns affecting physical fa	bric									
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Draining the water lodged field of the property	Develop strategy to drain and control the water lodged field of the property	Regula	r	202	1-2022		and the l	CH, Regional Culture Tourism Bureau and ocal government and munity	s	Drain the field and tabilize the unidity
4.7.4	Radiation/Light	The stele field is fully exposed to radiation	Design strategy to avoid radiation	Ong	going	2021-2	2023		and To	H, Regional Culture ourism Bureau and al government and unity		nimize the effect of liation.
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Stabilized the water table	Develop strategy to manage the water lodged field of the property	o Or	n-going		2022		and Tou	Regional Culture rism Bureau and government and ity		ilized the stele of roperty
4.12	Invasive/alien	species or hyper-abund	ant species									
4.12.2	Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	Algea/lichen settle on surface of the stale.	the Design a monitor strategy	oring	On-going		On	-going		ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tourism Bureau and the local government and community		Manage to avoid the invasive species.
4.13	Management a	nd institutional factors										
4.13.5	Financial resources	Strengthened management and institutional framework	Improve the management an institutional capa the property		Regular	monitor	ring	2021-2022	2	ARCCH, Regional Culture and Touris Bureau and the lo government and community	sm	Improve the gaps.
4.13.6	Human resources	Provide the necessary human resource	Employ the necessary staff and provide trainin		legular		2021-202	22	Cu Bu go	RCCH, Regional Ilture and Tourism Ireau and the local overnment and mmunity		mproved staff apacity

Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buff	Boundaries and Buffer Zones							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment				
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage proper are known by the management authorit but are not known by local communities/landown	rty f y	2021-2023	ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tourism Bureau and the local government and community	Improved understanding.				
5.2	Protective Measures								
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in	familarizing the legal framework to the concerned stakeholders and the communities.	Based on the Management action plan	the state party(ARCCH), site Management office, Regional Bureau of Culture, Tourism and Sport.	using integrated management plan every concerned stakeholders have to be carried out their responsibilities so as to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property.				

	implementation				
5.2.6	There are major deficiencies in capacity/resourc to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property		2021	ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tourism Bureau and the local government and community	Ensure capacity building to enforce legislation.
5.3	Manageme	nt System/Management Plan			
5.3.7	No use has been made the Policy Document of the Impacts Climate Cha on World Heritage Properties a the property	of of climate change on world propertues on ange		ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tourism Bureau and the local government and community	Improve awareness
5.3.11	There is coordinatic between the range of administrati bodies invo in the management the property but it could improved	e ve Ived /,	On Going	ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tourism Bureau and the local government and community	Enhanced coordination
6.1	Funding				
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	employing skilled man power for the property	Based on the Management action plan.	Authority for Research and Conservation of Cultural Heritage (ARCCH), The Regional Culture and Tourism Bureau (BoCT)	based on the Management plan things have to be done.
7	Scientific Studies	and Research Projects			
	There is considerable research in the World Heritage oroperty but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	considerable research works have t be directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value,	to based on the action plans that has to be prepared.		in collaboration with the state party and other concerned stakeholders considerable research works have to be directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value,
8	Education, Inform	nation and Awareness Building			
8.2	There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	Establish strategy for heritage education and awareness programm	2022-2023	ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tourism Bureau and the local government and community and local university	Improved heritage education and awareness
9	Visitor Manag	jement			

9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	Develop visitor management plan	2022	ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tourism Bureau and the local government and community	Improved visitor managment		
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Design heritage presentation and interpretation strategy	2022	ARCCH, Regional Culture and Tourism Bureau and the local government and community	Enhanced site interpretation and presentation.		
Summary - Management Needs completed							

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been compromised by factors described in this report

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property has been compromised by factors described in this report

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been seriously impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation can be addressed, or is currently being addressed through management actions.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Eventhough the state party is currently undertaking conservation works on the property, it needs strong financial and human assistances from UNESCO.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	Positive
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive

Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

With regards to World Heritage status and its impacts everythings are cosidered by the managment plan. But not adequately implemented. so there is urgent need to implement adequately by the concerned stakeholders soon.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

As such there is no best example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level. May be I can mention that the state party made research in collaboration with local universities to conserve the property. Based on the findgs currently the state part is undertaking some conservation works on the site. And also craft centers were built but not yet functional. capacity buildings took place for local communities and experts.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No follow-up
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

It is very nice, but it needs further exercise/training.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes

Staff from other World Heritage properties
Local communities
Non-Governmental Organizations
ICOMOS International
IUCN International
ICCROM International/regional
External experts

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has been **explicitly considered** in the process **but there are still deficiencies in the implementation**.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

2 months / 1 months / 1 months /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Not all required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

In my understanding periodic reporting questionnaires are very important not only to fullfill it for formality but also to assure sustainable conservation of the property. there is enternet problem in some sites. Hence, you need to provide the periodic reporting questionnaires in hard copy if it is possible.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Fair
ICCROM international/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Fair

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Fair

ICCROM International/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Fair

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented? It is very nice the only challenge is enternet access. So you need to provide the materials in hard copy through the state party or any other means.

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise Filling the Periodic Reporting Exercise by itself is not the final results instead it is a process. Hence, actions that would be required by UNESCO and other concerned stakeholders to work closely to implement the 1972 Convention.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.