

Timor-Leste

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

Timor-Leste

1.2 - Date of ratification/accession/acceptance of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage
31/10/2016

1.3 - Groups and institutions involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Report

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
National Commission for UNESCO
Focal points of other international Conventions/programmes

2. Synergies with other Conventions

2.1. Multilateral Environmental Agreements

2.1.1 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, in addition to the World Heritage Convention, your State is party to/not party to/in the process of adhering to the following agreements. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.1.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		×	
2.1.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)	×		
2.1.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)	×		
2.1.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)	×		
2.1.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	×		
2.1.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)	×		
2.1.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)	×		

2.1.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Ramsar site(s) under the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List). Please check and amend as necessary.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.1.3 - Does your State Party intend to designate any World Heritage property(ies) (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years?

No

2.1.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) your State Party intends to designate (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years.

N/A

2.1.5 - Please list any other relevant global or regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) on natural heritage which have been joined by your State Party.

2.2. UNESCO Culture Conventions

2.2.1 - The table below indicates which of the UNESCO cultural Conventions your State is party to, not party to or in the process of adhering to. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.2.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		×	
2.2.1.2	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		
2.2.1.3	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		
2.2.1.4	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property	×		

2.2.1.5	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.2.1.6	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.2.1.7	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2.2.2 - Please list any other relevant global or regional Conventions or agreements on cultural heritage to which your State Party is party to or in the process of adhering to.

2.2.3 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre the following World Heritage property(ies) located in your State Party have been included on the List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.2.4 - Does your State Party intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection for any of its World Heritage properties under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict in the next three years?

No

2.2.5 - Please list any World Heritage properties for which your State Party intends to request the granting of Enhanced Protection.

N/A

2.3. UNESCO Programmes

2.3.1 - The table below indicates which of the selected UNESCO programmes your State Party participates in. Please check and amend as necessary.

		No	Yes
2.3.1.1	Man and the Biosphere Programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.3.1.2	UNESCO Global Geoparks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2.3.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.3.3 - Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme?

No

2.3.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme:

2.3.5 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s)

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.3.6 - Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?

No

2.3.7 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).

2.4. Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join

2.4.1 - Is there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?

		Not Applicable	No	Yes
2.4.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2.4.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.4.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2.4.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)	×		
2.4.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	×		
2.4.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)	×		
2.4.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)	×		
2.4.1.8	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		
2.4.1.9	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		
2.4.1.10	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property	×		
2.4.1.11	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage	×		
2.4.1.12	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage			×
2.4.1.13	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions			×
2.4.1.14	Man and the Biosphere Programme	×		
2.4.1.15	Global Geoparks	×		

2.4.2 - Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):

The State Secretary for Arts and Culture is a government body in charge of culture, and responsible for the UNESCO conventions ratified by Timor-Leste; UNESCO Convention 1972, 2003 and 2005. The focal points for each convention are composed of a team under the State Secretary for Arts and Culture. Meanwhile the communication among focal points are applicable.

2.4.3 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

Yes

2.4.4 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

Yes

2.5. UNESCO Recommendations

2.5.1 - Is your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		Yes	No
2.5.1.1	1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage		×
2.5.1.2	2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape		×

2.5.2 - Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:

N/A

3. Tentative List

3.1 - In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?

Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region
--

3.2 - Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?

No

If you selected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.

3.3 - Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?

Yes

3.4 - Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?

Yes, among communities

3.5 - Please name the site(s) considered to have this potential

National Park Of Nino Konis Santana is a natural park which consists of biodiversities, archeological and historical sites. So far the government has been working with several entities, national and international organizations as well as communities, to nominate the park as a tentative list to UNESCO.

3.6 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Fair
------------------------------------	------

Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Fair
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities/residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Local industries/tourism and other stakeholders	None
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Poor
Consultants/experts	None
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

3.7 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?

Gender balance **has been explicitly considered** in the process but there are **still deficiencies** in the implementation.

3.8 - Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?

No

If you selected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List.

3.9 - Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)

Concerning to 1972 UNESCO Convention, since the ratification in 2016, Timor-Leste has organized several national consultation and community awareness. Regarding to Tentative list of National Park Of Nino Konis Santana, the government has summoned up all national entities and communities in getting public consensus. However the planning of tentative list has not proceed yet to UNESCO Headquarter.

4. Nominations

4.1 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Poor
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Poor
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities/residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Other specific groups (please specify below)	None
Landowners	Poor
Local industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders	None
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants/experts	None
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	None
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

4.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?

Yes

4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

Promoted environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being	High
Enhanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders	Some
Strengthened protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)	High
Enhanced conservation practices	High
Enhanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes	High
Improved presentation of sites	High
Enhanced honour/prestige	High
Increased funding	High
Additional tool for lobbying/political influence	Some
Fostered peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation	High
Increased number of tourists and visitors	High
Promoted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities	High
Other(s)	None
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

4.4 - Please rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits	High
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change	High
Contributing to inclusion and equity	High
Enhancing quality of life and well-being	High
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights	High
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities	High
Achieving gender equality	High
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods	High
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism	High
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship	High
Ensuring conflict prevention	Some
Protecting heritage during conflict	Some
Promoting conflict resolution	Some
Contributing to post-conflict recovery	Some
Other(s)	No contribution
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

4.5 - Please provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).

Timor-Leste has not nominated any world heritage site property, since the ratification of the convention. However the government has held several meetings aims to discuss about the tentative list and nomination process. Up to now the state is looking for technical assistance and funding, in order to proceed the tentative list, then following by nomination of the property in the future.

5. General Policy Development

5.1. Principal pieces of national cultural and/or natural heritage legislation

5.1.1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

Comment

In 2017 Government of Timor-Leste has approved a national legislation deals with cultural and natural heritage. The Legislation is Decree Law No. 33/2017 of 6 September, the Legal Low of Cultural Heritage. The legislation was taken by the government in order to commit the safeguard historical and cultural wealth and intends, through this legislation, to create and define the conditions indispensable for the inventory, management, protection and enhancement of Timor- Leste's cultural heritage.

5.2. Comment on principal pieces of legislation

5.2.1 - Please comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force

N/A

5.3. Other principal pieces of legislation

5.3.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.

5.4. Contribution of legislation and/or regulations at other levels to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and/or natural heritage

5.4.1 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.

Decree Law No. 33/2017 of 6 September was established to create condition for inventorying, preserving, conserving, protection and valuing the Timorese cultural heritage; It is also highlighted the citizen responsibility in guaranteeing the cultural diversity, contributing to the protection and dissemination in many sort of cultural heritages. The Decree Law No. 33/2017 of 6 September; Legal Law of Cultural Heritage is a measure taken by the government in order to commit the safeguard historic

5.5. Adequacy of the legal framework for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.5.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no legal framework for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is inadequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is partially adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✗	✗

5.6. Adequacy of the legal framework for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.6.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no legal framework for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is inadequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is partially adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✗	✗

5.7. Enforcement of the legal framework

5.7.1 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?

		There is no legal framework.	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework are adequate.
5.7.1.1	Culture			✗	
5.7.1.2	Nature			✗	

5.8. Comments on the enforcement of the legal framework

5.8.1 - Please comment on particular problems of enforcement

The legal framework above mentioned is a general framework established for the protection and conservation for all national cultural heritage. Nevertheless, in the implementation of the law is not taken effectively for the majority of government institution and community in general are uninformed. Hence it is necessary to adopt a new and more specific law to enforce the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

5.9. Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities

5.9.1 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

	Culture	Nature
There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities.		✗
There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.	✗	
There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.		
There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities that are effectively implemented.		

5.10. Examples of specific policies giving heritage a function in the life of communities

5.10.1 - Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities

To give the cultural heritage a function in the life of communities, the government has been integrated in its annual action plan, the policy to support cultural initiatives from individuals and private entities, in order to sustain actively the traditional practice among communities. In addition the government established several cultural centre within communities allowing the accessibility of community to culture.

5.11. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies

5.11.1 - How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits.	Some
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change.	Some
Contributing to inclusion and equality.	Some
Enhancing the quality of life and well-being.	Some
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights.	Some
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities.	Some
Achieving gender equality.	Some
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods.	Some
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism.	Some
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship.	Some
Ensuring conflict prevention.	Some
Protecting heritage during conflict.	Some
Promoting conflict resolution.	Some
Contributing to post-conflict recovery.	Some
Other (please specify).	Some
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

5.12. UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011

5.12.1 - In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):

Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the identification and protection of historic layering in urban environments

5.13. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes

5.13.1 - How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?

There are **no specific policies**, but this is being **achieved on an ad hoc basis.**

5.14. Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

5.14.1 - Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage?

	UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy	No	Yes
5.14.1.1	Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties		✗

5.14.1.2	Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties		×
5.14.1.3	World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy		×
5.14.1.4	World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy		×

5.14.2 - Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

There is **no coordination or integration** of the implementation of these agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.

5.15. Specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

5.15.1 - Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

N/A

5.16. Comments on General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

5.16.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

N/A

6. Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage

6.1 - If the State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?

	Cultural heritage	Natural heritage
National/federal	Process commenced	Process commenced
Regional/provincial/state	No process established	No process established
Local	No process established	No process established
Other	Not applicable	Not applicable

6.2 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		×
Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of heritage.	×	
Inventories/lists/registers capture the full diversity of heritage.		

6.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		×
Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the protection of heritage.	×	
Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the protection of heritage.		

6.4 - In addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?

	Culture	Nature
The State Party does not involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		×
The State Party plans to involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future.		
The State Party sometimes involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.	×	
The State Party regularly involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		

6.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?

	Culture	Nature	Mixed
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		×	

Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.	×		×
Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			
Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			

6.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)

Since the ratification of convention, the government has created several Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural Heritage at national level, although it necessary to adopt an effective way of inventorying. Nevertheless, those inventories created are able to facilitate the mechanism of protection and conservation of heritages. In addition, the natural heritage inventories have not yet been maximized.

7. Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage

7.1 - How effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?

There is **some cooperation** between the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but this could be improved**.

7.2 - How effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

In general, **cooperation exists** between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but there are still deficiencies**.

7.3 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies .	×	×
Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

7.4 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is some cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies .	×	×
Different levels of government cooperate effectively with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

National government funds	Minor source of project funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	No funding/Not applicable
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	No funding/Not applicable
Funds from other international conventions/programmes	No funding/Not applicable
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.)	No funding/Not applicable
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	No funding/Not applicable
Non-Governmental Organizations (international and/or national)	No funding/Not applicable
Private sector funds	No funding/Not applicable
Other	No funding/Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

8.2 - Does the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		No	Yes
8.2.1	Culture	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.2.2	Nature	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

8.3 - Do you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

	Culture	Nature
The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The available budget is adequate to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

8.4 - Please indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

	Category	percentage %
8.4.1	Cultural	N/A
8.4.2	Natural	N/A

8.5 - Please estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

Percentage		Cultural	Natural
8.5.1	National/Federal	0 %	0 %
8.5.2	Regional/Provincial	0 %	0 %
8.5.3	Local	0 %	0 %
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

8.6 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

Human resources	Culture	Nature
Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
A range of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are below optimum .	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

8.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)

Actually, the main budget for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage derives from government state budget, however during many periods; culture is not the priority on the implementation of national action plan. Then, the financial allocated to culture sector have been lower so far.

9. Capacity Development

9.1 - Please prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Culture	Nature
National/federal	High priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists	High priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Nominations	High priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring	High priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: International assistance	High priority	Medium priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	Medium priority	Low priority
Technical and scientific issues	Medium priority	Low priority
Traditional conservation processes	High priority	Medium priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Low priority	No priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	High priority	Medium priority

Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	Low priority	No priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	Low priority	No priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	Medium priority	No priority
Management effectiveness assessment	Low priority	No priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	Low priority	No priority
Awareness raising and outreach	High priority	Medium priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	Medium priority	Low priority
Sustainable development	Medium priority	No priority
Protection and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems	No priority	No priority
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation	No priority	No priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Low priority	No priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage	Low priority	No priority
Inclusive social development in World Heritage management systems	No priority	No priority
Gender balance in management systems	No priority	No priority
Inclusive economic development in World Heritage management systems	No priority	No priority
World Heritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security	No priority	No priority

9.2 - Please rate the priority for training target audiences for each of the specific topics/themes/capacity building needs identified below for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Administrators and government bodies at all levels	Communities, indigenous peoples, landowners, local businesses, other social groups etc.	Universities, NGOs etc.	Heritage practitioners
Implementation of the <i>Convention</i>	High priority	High priority	High priority	Not applicable
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists process	High priority	Low priority	Medium priority	Not applicable
Statutory processes: Nomination process	High priority	Low priority	Medium priority	Not applicable
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring process	High priority	Not applicable	Low priority	Not applicable
Statutory processes: International assistance, etc.	High priority	Low priority	Medium priority	Not applicable
Conservation and management of heritage sites	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority	Not applicable
Technical and Scientific issues	High priority	Low priority	High priority	Not applicable
Traditional conservation processes	High priority	High priority	Low priority	Not applicable
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority	Not applicable
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	High priority	Low priority	Low priority	
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	Medium priority	Low priority	Low priority	
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	Low priority	Low priority	Low priority	
Sustainable tourism use and management	Medium priority	Low priority	Low priority	
Management effectiveness assessment	Low priority	No priority	Low priority	
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	High priority	Low priority	Low priority	
Awareness raising and outreach	High priority	High priority	Low priority	
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	Medium priority	No priority	Low priority	
Sustainable development	High priority	Low priority	Low priority	
Building environmental and social resilience	Low priority	Low priority	Low priority	

Integration of eco-social diversity in management systems	Low priority	Low priority	No priority	
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Low priority	Low priority	No priority	
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems	Low priority	Low priority	No priority	
Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change	Low priority	Low priority	No priority	

9.3 - Please indicate how the State Party is using the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy (2011).

		No	Yes
9.3.1	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level.	✗	
9.3.2	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level.	✗	
9.3.3	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities.	✗	
9.3.4	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes.	✗	
9.3.5	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage.		✗

9.4 - Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is a **national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some **deficiencies in implementation.**

9.5 - Comments: Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Capacity Development (Questions 9.1 to 9.4).

Since the ratification of convention, The government has not implemented any capacity building program according to World Heritage Strategy for Capacity-Building and Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention. However, some of capacity building program was held by state party, as like as strengthening the traditional skill of community how to preserve and conserve the cultural and natural heritages.

10. Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties

10.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage not listed in 5.1 (which focuses on policy general to all heritage), please list them here.

10.2 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage. Please provide web links for the legislation listed above.

N/A

10.3 - Are the services provided by agencies/institutions adequate for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is **some capacity** to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but **some deficiencies** remain.

10.4 - How does the State Party encourage and support World Heritage properties to manage and develop visitation/tourism sustainably?

By providing financial resources and incentives for sustainable tourism related activities

10.5 - Please indicate here any additional information or clarify how the State Party supports sustainable tourism planning and management at a property level.

N/A

10.6 - Does the State Party require the use of impact assessments for programmes (e.g. strategic environmental assessments) or development projects (e.g. environmental impact assessments, heritage impact assessments) that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting?

There is **no regulatory framework** that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects.

10.7 - Please list the different assessment methods used. Please provide weblinks to the guidance for the assessment methods.

N/A

10.8 - Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is **no national capacity building strategy** in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management **but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis**

10.9 - Does the State Party have the institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues?

There is **no institutional capacity** to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues.

10.10 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and receiving

donations for the protection of World Heritage?

No

10.11 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage policies and resources

The government has not adopted a specific legislation, policies and measures for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage. However established a general policy to manage the cultural sector in the country.

11. International Cooperation

11.1 - Has the State Party promoted international cooperation and the establishment of cooperation mechanisms for heritage since the last Periodic Report? If so, please indicate the type of cooperation that best describes your activities.

Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes

Financial support

11.2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level?

No

11.3 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 11.1 to 11.2). If you have twinned World Heritage properties, please list them along with their twins.

The government established a wide range of International cooperations implemented so far, but not specifically focused on conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage yet.

12. Education, Information and Awareness Building

12.1 - Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among communities and different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?

There are **strategies** to raise awareness about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage **but there are some deficiencies in its implementation.**

12.2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following groups about World Heritage in your country

Communities living in/around heritage sites	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Youth	Poor
General public	Poor
Decision makers and public officials	Fair
Private sector	Poor
Tourism industry	Fair
Other specific groups	None
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

12.3 - Does the State Party have heritage education programmes for children and/or youth, that contribute to improving understanding of heritage, promoting diversity and fostering intercultural dialogue?

There are **no heritage education programmes** to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue **but this is being done on an ad hoc basis.**

12.4 - Please rate the level of frequency of the following activities to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue among children and/or youth

Teacher training courses on the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit	None
Courses/activities for students within school programmes	None
Youth forums	None
Skills-training courses for students	None
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	None
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Low
Other	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other', please specify	

12.5 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party **does not participate** in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

12.6 - Please add any additional comments, description of best practices in World Heritage Education, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building (Questions 12.3 to 12.5)

No Comment

13. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

13.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

Synergies with other relevant Conventions, Recommendations and Programmes

- The State Party is **not** using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage
- The State Party is **not** using the provisions of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape
- There is **no coordination or integration** of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies

Identification of heritage

- **No** inventories/lists/registers have been established to capture the diversity of **natural heritage**

National inventories

Tentative List

- Inventories/lists/registers are **not actively used** for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for **cultural heritage**
- **No** inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established for the identification of sites for the Tentative List for **natural heritage**
- Inventories/lists/registers are **not actively used** for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for **mixed heritage**

Effectiveness of legal framework

Function of cultural and natural heritage in the life of the community

- There are **no specific policies** to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being **achieved on an ad hoc basis** - **cultural heritage**
- There are **no specific policies** to give this heritage a function in the life of communities - **natural heritage**

Contribution of heritage to sustainable development policies

- There is **no integration** of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:
- There is **limited integration** of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:
 - Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits
 - Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change
 - Contributing to inclusion and equality
 - Enhancing the quality of life and well-being
 - Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights
 - Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities
 - Achieving gender equality
 - Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods
 - Promoting economic investment and quality tourism
 - Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship
 - Ensuring conflict prevention
 - Protecting heritage during conflict
 - Promoting conflict resolution
 - Contributing to post-conflict recovery

Larger-scale planning

Status of services for protection, conservation and presentation

Financial status

Human resources

Capacity development

- The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the national level**
- The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level**
- The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy to **identify capacity building priorities**
- The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by fundraising to support capacity building programmes**
- The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage**

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some deficiencies in implementation
Policy and resourcing of World Heritage properties
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The services provided by agencies/institutions have some capacities to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but some deficiencies remain
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no regulatory framework that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis
Research on World Heritage properties
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party has no institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues
International cooperation
Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes Financial support
Education, information and awareness building
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are no heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue but this is being done on an ad hoc basis

13.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 13.1).

13.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to ten)

2.5	UNESCO Recommendations	
2.5.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is not using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage The State Party is not using the provisions of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape 	✕
5.9	Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities	
5.9.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are no specific policies to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis - cultural heritage There are no specific policies to give this heritage a function in the life of communities - natural heritage 	✕
5.11	Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies	
5.11.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: There is limited integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change Contributing to inclusion and equality Enhancing the quality of life and well-being Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities Achieving gender equality Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods Promoting economic investment and quality tourism Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship Ensuring conflict prevention Protecting heritage during conflict Promoting conflict resolution Contributing to post-conflict recovery 	
5.14	Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage	
5.14.2	There is no coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies	✕
6	Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage	
6.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No inventories/lists/registers have been established to capture the diversity of natural heritage 	✕
6.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for cultural heritage No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established for the identification of sites for the Tentative List for natural heritage Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for mixed heritage 	✕
9	Capacity Development	

9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage 	X
9.4	There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some deficiencies in implementation	X
10	Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties	
10.3	The services provided by agencies/institutions have some capacities to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but some deficiencies remain	
10.6	There is no regulatory framework that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting	
10.8	There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis	X
10.9	The State Party has no institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues	X
11	International Cooperation	
11.1	Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes Financial support 	
12	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
12.3	There are no heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue but this is being done on an ad hoc basis	X

Please select 0 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

13.3. Priority Actions Assessment

13.3.1 - Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor

2.5	UNESCO Recommendations					
		Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?
2.5.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is not using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage The State Party is not using the provisions of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape 	Revising and Developing the Strategy for Conserving and Protecting of Cultural and Natural Heritage at National Level.	Reviewing and Developing the Conservation and Protection Strategy for Cultural and Natural Heritage will be based on the principles of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation. Several entities will be involved in this revision.	State Secretary for Arts and Culture	1 Year	Yes, we do need the International Assistance for an advisor body for this action.

5.9	Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities					
5.9.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are no specific policies to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis - 	Adopting a specific policies to give the heritage a function in the life of communities	The Specific policy will serve as a guideline for community to beneficiate the function of cultural heritages. Develop mechanisms and protocols to include local communities in monitoring, management, benefit sharing.	State Secretary for Arts and Culture	1 Year	Yes, we do need the International Assistance for an advisory body for this action.

	cultural heritage <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are no specific policies to give this heritage a function in the life of communities - natural heritage 					
5.14	Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage					
5.14.2	There is no coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies	Establish International Cooperation	Create cooperation with other state party of convention and international agencies to share the World Heritage policies and strategies adopted, for the implementation of the world heritage program efficiently in Timor-Leste.	State Secretary of Art and Culture Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Cooperation	5 Years	Yes, we do need the International Assistance for an advisory body for this action.
6	Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage					
6.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No inventories/lists/registers have been established to capture the diversity of natural heritage 	Establish the framework for inventorying	The framework of inventory will serve as a database and mechanism for conservation and protection for the diversity of natural heritage identified in national territory.	State Secretary for Art and Culture Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	3 Years	Yes, we do need the International Assistance to conduct inventory.
6.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for cultural heritage No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established for the identification of sites for the Tentative List for natural heritage Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for mixed heritage 	Establish the framework for inventorying	The Inventory will serve as a database and mechanism for conservation and protection of diversity of cultural heritage identified in national territory. And, It will serve as an instrument to identify the tentative List for cultural heritages.	State Secretary for Art and Culture	3 Year	Yes, we do need the International Assistance to conduct inventory.
9	Capacity Development					
9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities The State Party is not using the Capacity 	Developing Capacity Building Strategies	Developing and improving the Capacity Building Strategies adopted.	State Secretary for Art and Culture	2 Year	Yes, we do need the International Assistance for an advisory body for this action.

	Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage 					
9.4	There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Increase the capacity of Human resource	Increase the capacity of Human resource to implement the strategy effectively due to the lack of human resources is caused some deficiencies in implementation of national strategy.	State Secretary of Art and Culture	1 year	Yes, we do need the International Assistance for an advisory body for this action.

10	Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties					
10.8	There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis	Establish the national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management.	The new mechanism of national capacity building strategy will strength the current strategy in conserving, protecting, presenting and managing the world heritage.	State Secretary for Art and Culture	1 Year	Yes, we do need the International Assistance for an advisory body for this action.
10.9	The State Party has no institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues	Establish a department for world heritage research.	The department will play the role of a research center for world heritage issues. Responsible also for the conservation, protection, presentation and management of cultural heritages.	State secretary for Art and Culture	4 Year	Yes, we do need the International Assistance for capacity building.

12	Education, Information and Awareness Building					
12.3	There are no heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue but this is being done on an ad hoc basis	Strengthening the Heritage Education Program	Strengthen the adopted heritage education program, through integration into the national education curriculum. So, the program itself can increase the knowledge and understanding of students and the wider community through awareness.	State Secretary for Art and Culture	3 Year	Yes, we do need the International Assistance for capacity building.

Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor completed

13.4. Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

13.4.1 - Please indicate any additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

Capacity building on protection of the World cultural and natural heritage Convention and implementation of its programs. The implementation of the convention in Timor-Leste has not been going smoothly since its ratification. Hence an action of the capacity building program would improve the application of the convention's principles and programs. For that, an International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund would be necessary for implementation of the convention in an effective way.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the State Party level.

The good practices implemented so far concerning to the World Heritage Protection, identification, conservation or management at the national level are: 1. Creating legal mechanism to regulate the cultural sector within the country; 2. Encouraging dialogue between communities, agencies and organisations within country to identify, promote and protect the country's cultural and natural heritage; 3. Enhancing local communities' awareness of the benefits of preserving their cultural and natural heritage, and encouraging community participation (particularly by youth and women) in all stages of the World Heritage process. 4. Training workshops on heritage impact assessment and Encouraging communities to proceed the traditional law of environmental protection; 5. Work with the community to identify and include traditional uses, skills, practices and management regimes as integral and important components of management and protection; 6. Supporting fund for cultural initiatives from civil society organizations as well as individuals and private entities, to develop and implement environmentally sustainable economic growth through heritage-related enterprises

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of good practice at the State Party level.

Management
Governance
Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Primary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention

15.1.1 - Which primary institution is responsible for communicating with UNESCO with regards to the World Heritage Convention?

State Secretary for Art and Culture is the primary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention.

15.2. Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.2.1 - Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Not applicable
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies	Fair

15.3. The four Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.3.1 - How well does the current questionnaire address each of the four Objectives of Periodic Reporting?

	Objective	Not at all	Partially	Adequately
15.3.1.1	Provide an assessment of the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> by the State Party			✗
15.3.1.2	Provide an assessment as to whether the values of World Heritage properties are being maintained over time			✗
15.3.1.3	Provide updated information about World Heritage properties to record the changing circumstances and state of conservation of the property			✗
15.3.1.4	Provide a mechanism for regional cooperation and exchange of information and experiences between States Parties about the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> , and World Heritage conservation			✗

15.4. Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.4.1 -

To improve the next periodic reporting exercise we suggest followings: - It is necessary to extend the capacity building training for the national focal points; - The online platform for the exercise of periodic reports must be accessible for a longer time, so that we can have enough time to fill it out calmly and slowly; - Although a portal online format is good, slow internet connection is a possibility in our country. Therefore a manual version would be better

15.5. Use of Data

15.5.1 - How does your State Party plan to use the data?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Awareness raising
Advocacy
Reporting for other conventions/conservation mechanisms
Reporting on implementation of Sustainable Development Goals
Improve the States Party implementation on the Convention

15.6. Timing and resources

15.6.1 - Were your national authorities given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in the questionnaire during this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Yes

15.6.2 - Please estimate the time (working hours) and the number of people involved in completing Section I of the questionnaire.

	Time & people	Number of hours worked	Number of people involved
15.6.2.1	Gathering data	120	5
15.6.2.2	Consulting stakeholders	80	5
15.6.2.3	Filling in the questionnaire	80	5

15.6.3 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.6.4 - Did your national authorities mobilise any additional resources to carry out this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.6.4.1	Human resources	×	
15.6.4.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/training	×	

15.6.5 - Please provide details on any additional resources mobilised.

N/A

15.7. Format and Content of the Periodic Reporting Questionnaire

15.7.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Some of the required information was accessible

15.7.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Using the questionnaire	good
Understanding the questions	good

15.7.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement

Although a portal online format is good, somehow slow internet connection is a possibility in our country. Therefore a manual version would be better

15.8. Training and guidance

15.8.1 - Please rate the level of support received in terms of training and guidance from the following entities for the completion of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

World Heritage Centre	Poor
UNESCO (other sectors)	Poor
UNESCO National Commission	None
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
Category 2 Centres	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

15.8.2 - Were the online training resources provided by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for your national authorities to complete the online questionnaire?

No

15.8.3 - Please provide further comments on the online training resources

It's necessary to provide weekly online training with more attention the states parties that is working on its first periodic report, as Timor-Leste.

15.9. Comments

15.9.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

No comments

15.9.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions.