

d Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

duction

te Party

ingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

te of ratification/accession/acceptance of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage
384

oups and institutions involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Report

mental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
il Commission for UNESCO
oints of other international Conventions/programmes
eritage site managers/coordinators

argies with other Conventions

ultilateral Environmental Agreements

ccording to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, in addition to the World Heritage Convention, your State is party to/not party to/in the process of adhering to the following agreements. Please check and
as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Pr
	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		✕	
	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)		✕	
	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)		✕	
	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)		✕	
	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)		✕	
	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)		✕	
	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)		✕	

ccording to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Ramsar site(s) under the List of Wetlands
ional Importance (The Ramsar List). Please check and amend as necessary.

; and East Devon Coast,Gough and Inaccessible Islands

ent

on to Dorset and East Devon Coast and Gough and Inaccessible Islands, The English Lake District should also be included as a World Heritage Site where certain areas / parts within are also designated as Ramsar sites.

oes your State Party intend to designate any World Heritage property(ies) (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years?

lease indicate which World Heritage property(ies) your State Party intends to designate (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years.
's Cave Complex (in part)

lease list any other relevant global or regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) on natural heritage which have been joined by your State Party.

ent on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (AEWA) /
ent on the Conservation of Albatrosses and Petrels (ACAP) /
ent on the Conservation of Small Cetaceans of the Baltic, North East Atlantic, Irish and North Seas (ASCOBANS) /
ent on the Conservation of Populations of European Bats (EUROBATS) /
ition on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern Convention) /
ition for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (OSPAR Convention) /
ional Convention for the Regulation of Whaling /

ESCO Culture Conventions

he table below indicates which of the UNESCO cultural Conventions your State is party to, not party to or in the process of adhering to. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Pr
	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		✕	
	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		✕	
	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		✕	
	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property		✕	
	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage	✕		
	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage	✕		
	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions		✕	

lease list any other relevant global or regional Conventions or agreements on cultural heritage to which your State Party is party to or in the process of adhering to.

uropean Cultural Convention /
onvention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe (Granada) /
onvention for the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage of Europe (Valletta) /
uropean Landscape Convention (Florence) /
uropean Landscape Convention /

1

1

ogress

1

of

1

1

ogress

1

1

According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre the following World Heritage property(ies) located in your State Party have been included on the List of Cultural Property under the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.

According to the information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

Does your State Party intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection for any of its World Heritage properties under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict in the next three years?

Please list any World Heritage properties for which your State Party intends to request the granting of Enhanced Protection.

UNESCO Programmes

The table below indicates which of the selected UNESCO programmes your State Party participates in. Please check and amend as necessary.

	Man and the Biosphere Programme
	UNESCO Global Geoparks

According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.

According to the information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme in the next three years?

Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme in the next three years?

According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s).

According to the information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?

Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).

Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join

Does there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?

	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage
	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)
	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)
	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)
	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)
	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)
	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)
	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict
	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict
1	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property
2	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage
3	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage
4	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions
5	Man and the Biosphere Programme
6	Global Geoparks

Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):
regular communication between the Focal Point for World Heritage and other UNESCO Conventions and programmes including the 1954 Hague Convention, Global Geoparks, Biosphere Reserves, etc. – at both the governmental and expert level.

Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

UNESCO Recommendations

Does your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?

	1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage
	2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:

1. Measures taken to implement but supported by national strategies/policies; UK presented data on implementation in 3rd UNESCO member state consultation in 2022; UKNC has employed participatory research reports influencing local policies/strategies; Durham Neighbourhood Plan, World Heritage Canopy case study; High Street Heritage Action Zones link heritage conservation with urban regeneration plans.

Tentative List

In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?

1	Thematic studies
2	Thematic studies
3	The gaps – an Action Plan for the future by ICOMOS
4	World Heritage List: Future priorities for a credible and complete list of natural and mixed sites by IUCN

party under Enhanced Protection under the

rotection of Cultural Property in the Event of

	No	Yes
		✕
		✕

phere Reserve(s) under the

ramme?

phere Programme:

lobal Geopark(s)

	Not Applicable	No	Yes
			✕
		✕	
		✕	
		✕	
		✕	
		✕	
		✕	
		✕	
			✕
			✕
			✕
	✕		
	✕		
			✕
			✕
			✕

s, Creative Cities, and Memory of the World

heritance?

heritance?

	Yes	No
	✕	
	✕	

natory approaches in urban settings in their
nd economic growth; 6 UK WHS are HUL

Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?

If you elected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.

Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?

Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?

If yes, among States Parties and communities

Which site(s) do you consider to have this potential?

On the UK's Tentative List are considered to have the potential to generate dialogues and cooperation among States Parties and communities - these sites are: Birkenhead the People's Park [Cultural], East Atlantic Flyway – England East Coast Wetlands [Natural], The Flow Country [Natural], Gracehill Moravian Church Settlements [Cultural, Transnational], Little Cayman Marine Parks and Protected Areas [Natural], York [Cultural], The Zenith of Iron Age Shetland [Cultural].

How do you rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List?

National government institution(s)	Good
Local/provincial/state government(s)	Good
Government(s)	Fair
Government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Communities/residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Owners	Fair
Industries/tourism and other stakeholders	Fair
Non-governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Scientists/experts	Good
Manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If specific groups' applies, please specify	

Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?

Gender balance has been explicitly considered in the process but there are still deficiencies in the implementation.

Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?

If elected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List. The East Atlantic Flyway – England East Coast Wetlands - Ramsar sites; The Flow Country - Ramsar site; Little Cayman Marine Parks and Protected Areas - Ramsar site; The Zenith of Iron Age Shetland - UNESCO Global Geopark; York - UNESCO World Cultural Heritage Site. All sites are expected to gain additional benefits from inscription on the World Heritage List centred around the level of support, recognition, understanding and appreciation. Each site has also been judged to make a valuable and important contribution to the Global World Heritage List.

Do you provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)?

Nominations

How do you rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?

National government institution(s)	Good
Local/provincial/state government(s)	Good
Government(s)	Good
Government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Communities/residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Owners	Good
Industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders	Good
Non-governmental Organization(s)	Good
Scientists/experts	Good
Manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If specific groups' applies, please specify	

Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?

How do you rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List?

Enhanced environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being	Some
Enhanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders	Some
Enhanced protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)	High
Enhanced conservation practices	High
Enhanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes	High
Enhanced presentation of sites	High

zed honour/prestige	High
ied funding	Some
inal tool for lobbying/political influence	Some
rd peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation	Some
ied number of tourists and visitors	High
ted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities	Some
9)	Not applicable
ir* applies, please specify	

ase rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for able Development.

ling biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits	Some
thening resilience to natural hazards and climate change	Limited
uting to inclusion and equity	Limited
cing quality of life and well-being	Some
cting, protecting and promoting human rights	Some
cting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities	Some
ing gender equality	Some
ng growth, employment, income and livelihoods	Some
ting economic investment and quality tourism	High
thening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship	Some
ng conflict prevention	Not applicable
ting heritage during conflict	Not applicable
ting conflict resolution	Not applicable
uting to post-conflict recovery	Not applicable
9)	Not applicable
ir* applies, please specify	

ase provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).

eral Policy Development

incipal pieces of national cultural and/or natural heritage legislation

'rincipal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

ent

rt from the UNESCO Database for the UK has been amended to include legislation enacted since the last cycle of Periodic Reporting, identify legislation no longer in force, and include information on legislation missing from the Database more comprehensive coverage. By agreement with the WHC this is being submitted via email rather than adding additional information in the response to Section 5.3.1.

omment on principal pieces of legislation

'lease comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force

K two interlocking legal approaches are applied to the protection of heritage under which form the framework for managing change: designation and spatial planning policy. The list of principal pieces of legislation provided as a separate e to Q5.1.1 includes legislation associated with specific heritage designations, protecting the natural environment, and governing spatial planning.

her principal pieces of legislation

' there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.

ontribution of legislation and/or regulations at other levels to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and/or natural heritage

'lease describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.

lative and regulatory framework supports implementation of World Heritage Convention objectives through designation, heritage consents and/or provisions under other consent procedures. Different areas within the UK issue specific gui legislation and regulation should be used to protect heritage.

lequacy of the legal framework for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

s the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	
s no legal framework for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
al framework is inadequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
al framework is partially adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
al framework is adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✕	

lequacy of the legal framework for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

s the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	
s no legal framework for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
al framework is inadequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
al framework is partially adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
al framework is adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✕	

orforcement of the legal framework

an the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?

	There is no legal framework.	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework are ade
--	------------------------------	--	---	--

Culture					×
Nature					×

omments on the enforcement of the legal framework

Please comment on particular problems of enforcement

ocused enforcement is not always sufficiently prioritised due to impact on workloads/need for specialist knowledge despite periodic initiatives to encourage action. Historic England, National Police Chief's Council, Crown Prosecution Se local authorities developed a partnership model for prevention/enforcement of heritage crime and anti-social behaviour. Aim: To deliver a programme of realistic, efficient and deliverable preventative measures and enforcement activities.

olicies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities

How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

	Culture	
There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities.		
There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis .		
There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.		
There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities that are effectively implemented .	×	

Examples of specific policies giving heritage a function in the life of communities

Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities

Planning Policy Framework e.g. NNPF 189 Conservation of WHS so that they can be enjoyed for their contribution to the quality of life of existing and future generations; NPPF 7-14 achievement of sustainable development; pursuit of GI r Sustainable Development; Provision for public consultation prior to decision making under planning system and listed building consent regulations; Community groups can consider role of their local heritage in neighbourhood planning p

Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies

How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

Integrating biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits.	Some
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change.	Limited
Contributing to inclusion and equality.	Limited
Improving the quality of life and well-being.	Some
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights.	Some
Consulting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities.	Some
Ensuring gender equality.	Some
Promoting growth, employment, income and livelihoods.	Some
Encouraging economic investment and quality tourism.	High
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship.	Some
Ensuring conflict prevention.	Not applicable
Protecting heritage during conflict.	Not applicable
Facilitating conflict resolution.	Not applicable
Contributing to post-conflict recovery.	Not applicable
(If not applicable, please specify).	Not applicable
If it applies, please specify	

UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011

In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):

Integrate the HUL approach across its territory	
Identify and adopt supporting public policies for the identification and protection of historic layering in urban environments	
Identify and adopt supporting public policies for the harmonious integration of contemporary intervention into the historic urban fabric	
Identify and adopt supporting public policies for the integration of urban heritage conservation strategies into national development policies and agendas	

Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes

How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?

Are there any policies that are effectively implemented.

Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

	UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy	No
1	Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties	
2	Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties	×
3	World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy	×
4	World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy	

Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

Are there any adequate coordination and integration of the implementation of these multilateral agreements, Programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.

Are there any specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

urpose of planning system to contribute to achievement of sustainable development. The UK has agreed to pursue the 17 Global Goals for Sustainable Development in the period up to 2030. Each part of the UK also implements world policy on a regional basis. Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies have their own policies which are generally effective in protecting cultural and natural heritage.

Comments on General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

Government is currently considering reforms to the planning system in England that may see revisions to the NPPF and new legislation that will e.g. streamline and modernise the planning process, and improve outcomes on design and quality. Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies have their own legal protection systems which are generally effective in protecting cultural and natural heritage.

Inventory/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage

he State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?

	Cultural heritage	Natural heritage
l/federal	Not applicable	Process completed or continuously updated
al/provincial/state	Process completed or continuously updated	Process completed or continuously updated
	Process completed or continuously updated	Process completed or continuously updated
	Not applicable	Not applicable

› inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?

	Culture
ntories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.	
ries/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of heritage.	
ries/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of heritage.	
ries/lists/registers capture the full diversity of heritage.	✕

› inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture
ntories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.	
ries/lists/registers are not actively used for the protection of heritage.	
ries/lists/registers are sometimes used for the protection of heritage.	
ries/lists/registers are frequently used for the protection of heritage.	✕

addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?

	Culture
ite Party does not involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.	
ite Party plans to involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future.	
ite Party sometimes involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.	
ite Party regularly involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.	✕

› inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?

	Culture	Nature
ntories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
ries/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.	✕	✕
ries/lists/registers are sometimes used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.		
ries/lists/registers are frequently used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.		

mmments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)

entories for cultural heritage curated by Historic England (National Heritage List for England); Cadw (Wales) (Cof Cymru); Historic Environment Scotland (Historic Environment Portal); Dept for Communities (Historic Environment Record i
1 Ireland). Inventories for natural heritage curated by four UK govts & statutory nature conservation bodies (Natural England, Natural Resources Wales, Nature Scot, Northern Ireland Environment Agency) and the Joint Nature Conservat
ee.

us of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage

w effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?

effective cooperation between principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.

w effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural herit
al, **cooperation exists** between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but there are still deficiencies**.

w effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture
› no cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	
› limited cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	
ral, cooperation exists between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies .	
It levels of government cooperate effectively for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✕

w effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture
› no cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	
› limited cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	
› some cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies .	✕
It levels of government cooperate effectively with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	

ncial Status and Human Resources

ase assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

al government funds	Major source of project funding
evels of government (provincial, state, local)	Major source of funding for running costs/mainten
tional assistance from the World Heritage Fund	No funding/Not applicable
from other international conventions/programmes	No funding/Not applicable
tional multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.)	Minor source of project funding
tional bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	No funding/Not applicable
overnmental Organizations (international and/or national)	Minor source of funding for running costs/mainten
ector funds	Minor source of funding for running costs/mainten

	Major source of project funding
ir' applies, please specify	'Other' funding is grants from the Heritage Lottery other distributors of funds from the National Lotte project work on individual World Heritage property

es the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		No
	Culture	✖
	Nature	✖

you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

	Culture	
ailable budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.		
ailable budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.	✖	
ailable budget is adequate to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.		

ase indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

	Category	percentage %
	Cultural	0.51
	Natural	0.04

ase estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

entage		Cultural	Natural
	National/Federal	55 %	
	Regional/Provincial	0 %	
	Local	45 %	
		Total 100 %	Total

available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

in resources	Culture	
resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are below optimum .		
resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.	✖	

mmments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)

orce for Q.8.4 & 8.5: <https://sdgdata.gov.uk/11-4-1/> - Office for National Statistics data on SDG Indicator 11.4.1 based on the year 2020. Cultural heritage spend data is split into central govt & local govt, and natural heritage spend data is central govt level, with additional limitations. Regional / Devolved Administration level data is assumed to be incorporated under central govt data on the basis that all spend / funding is ultimately distributed from central govt.

acity Development

ase prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Culture	Nature
il/federal	No priority	Medium priority
ry processes: Tentative Lists	Low priority	Low priority
ry processes: Nominations	Medium priority	Medium priority
ry processes: Reporting and monitoring	High priority	High priority
ry processes: International assistance	Low priority	Low priority
vation and management of heritage sites	Medium priority	Medium priority
cal and scientific issues	Low priority	Low priority
nial conservation processes	High priority	High priority
able resource utilisation and management	High priority	High priority
station/communication of World Heritage properties	Medium priority	Medium priority
paredness and disaster risk management	Medium priority	Medium priority
assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	High priority	High priority
able tourism use and management	Medium priority	Medium priority
ment effectiveness assessment	Medium priority	Medium priority
ment approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	Medium priority	Medium priority
ess raising and outreach	Medium priority	Medium priority
ance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	High priority	High priority
able development	High priority	High priority
on and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
hening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation	High priority	High priority
n of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Medium priority	Medium priority
ment of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage	Medium priority	Medium priority
e social development in World Heritage management systems	High priority	High priority
balance in management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
e economic development in World Heritage management systems	High priority	High priority
eritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security	Low priority	Low priority

Please rate the priority for training target audiences for each of the specific topics/themes/capacity building needs identified below for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Administrators and government bodies at all levels	Communities, indigenous peoples, landowners, local businesses, other social groups etc.	Universities, NGOs etc.	Heritage practitioners
Implementation of the Convention	High priority	Low priority	Low priority	High priority
Key processes: Tentative Lists process	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority	High priority
Key processes: Nomination process	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority	High priority
Key processes: Reporting and monitoring process	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority	High priority
Key processes: International assistance, etc.	High priority	Low priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority
Archaeological and Scientific issues	Low priority	Low priority	High priority	High priority
Natural conservation processes	Low priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority
Heritage resource utilisation and management	High priority	Medium priority	High priority	High priority
Visitation/communication of World Heritage properties	Low priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Preparedness and disaster risk management	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority	High priority
Assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority	High priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Management effectiveness assessment	Medium priority	High priority	Low priority	High priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	High priority	Low priority	High priority	High priority
Access raising and outreach	Low priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Policy: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority	High priority
Capacity development	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority
Policy on environmental and social resilience	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority
Integration of eco-social diversity in management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Integration of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Implementation of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority

Please indicate how the State Party is using the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy (2011).

	No
The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level.	✗
The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level.	✗
The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities.	✗
The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes.	✗
The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage.	✗

Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?
no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

Comments: Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Capacity Development (Questions 9.1 to 9.4).

Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties

What are the principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage not listed in 5.1 (which focuses on policy general to all heritage), please list them here.

Stonehenge Regulations / 1997 / Culture / Local /

Stonehenge and Country Planning (Jodrell Bank Radio Telescope) Direction / 1973 / Culture / Local /

Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage. Please provide web links for the legislation above.

Stonehenge Regulations regulate public access to the Stonehenge monument: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukSI/1997/2038/made> The Jodrell Bank Direction advises the protection of the 'radio silence zone' in a 6 mile radius of Jodrell Bank www.cheshireeast.gov.uk/planning/spatial-planning/cheshire_east_local_plan/site-allocations-and-policies/sadpd-examination/documents/examination-library/CEC-30-Town-and-Country-Planning-Jodrell-Bank-Radio-Telescope-Direction-1

Are the services provided by agencies/institutions adequate for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage properties in your country?
adequate capacity within services to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties.

How does the State Party encourage and support World Heritage properties to manage and develop visitation/tourism sustainably?

Providing financial resources and incentives for sustainable tourism related activities

Developing policies and/or requiring sustainable tourism strategies to be developed

Facilitating network cooperation and stakeholder engagement through the development of governance structures or other mechanisms for cooperation

Please indicate here any additional information or clarify how the State Party supports sustainable tourism planning and management at a property level.

Information is provided by the Scottish Government to make Scotland a sustainable place to visit, bringing together 13 UNESCO sites through the UNESCO Scotland Trail. Information is provided to visitors to help them make responsible and sustain when following the Trail. This is intended to help preserve the environmental and cultural integrity of the sites and support the sustainable development of the communities which care for them.

Does the State Party require the use of impact assessments for programmes (e.g. strategic environmental assessments) or development projects (e.g. environmental impact assessments, heritage impact assessments) that have a significant impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting?
a regulatory framework that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects and it is effectively implemented.

Please list the different assessment methods used. Please provide weblinks to the guidance for the assessment methods.

Environmental framework for Environmental Impact Assessment is set out in legislation relevant to the situation or environment e.g. planning/agriculture/forestry. The principles of assessment are consistent and applied with reference to guidance in the relevant sector. EIA/SEA guidance is provided by UK Government, their principal planning agency, planning authorities, and other bodies. Weblinks to guidance are provided separately by email.

Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?
no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis

Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?
no institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues but research is conducted in collaboration with partners.

Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?
Votre État partie a-t-il contribué à créer des fondations ou des associations nationales, publiques et privées, pour réunir des fonds et recevoir des dons pour la protection du patrimoine mondial ?

Commentaires, conclusions et/ou recommandations sur les politiques et ressources des biens du patrimoine mondial (questions 10.1 à 10.10)

The State Party does not directly commission World Heritage research and has not established specific funds for the protection of World Heritage, the various funding/capacity building/conservation funds managed at national level all have jute to that objective.

International Cooperation

otre État partie a-t-il promu des coopérations internationales et des mécanismes de coopération pour le patrimoine depuis le dernier Rapport périodique ? Si oui, indiquez le type de coopération reflétant le mieux ces activ

ation à d'autres programmes des Nations Unies, comme les programmes de développement durable et les programmes sur les droits et l'égalité hommes-femmes
§ bilatéraux et multilatéraux
§ des compétences pour promouvoir une participation équitable des communautés aux mécanismes liés au patrimoine
§ financier
utions aux organismes privés pour la préservation du patrimoine culturel et naturel
ation à des fondations pour la coopération internationale
§ des compétences pour le renforcement des compétences
ation et/ou participation à des cours/séminaires internationaux de formation
n de matériel/d'information

vez-vous un ou des biens du patrimoine mondial qui ont été jumelés avec d'autres du patrimoine mondial au niveau national ou international ?

ommentaires, conclusions et/ou recommandations sur la coopération internationale (questions 11.1 à 11.2). Si vous avez des biens du patrimoine mondial jumelés, indiquez-les avec les biens concernés.

Causeway and Causeway Coast WHS entered into a Sisterhood Agreement with Jeju Island and Volcanic Lava Tubes WHS (Republic of Korea) in 2018, Hadrian's Wall (Frontiers of the Roman Empire WHS) and The Great Wall WHS (China) in 2017, Old and New Towns Edinburgh WHS twinned with Kiev (St Sophia Cathedral WHS) & Florence (WHS), Conwy Castle (Castles and Town Walls of King Edward in Gwynedd WHS) and Himeji Castle (WHS, Japan) twinned in 2019.

Education, Information and Awareness Building

otre État partie dispose-t-il d'une stratégie pour sensibiliser les différents acteurs et communautés à la conservation, à la protection et à la mise en valeur du patrimoine mondial ?

s stratégies de sensibilisation à la conservation, à la protection et à la mise en valeur du patrimoine mondial, mais il y a quelques manquements à la mise en œuvre.

euillez évaluer le niveau de connaissance du patrimoine mondial dans votre pays dans les groupes suivants

unautés vivant sur/aux alentours des sites du patrimoine	Bonne
s autochtones	Sans objet
§ :	Moyenne
public	Moyenne
urs et fonctionnaires	Moyenne
r privé	Moyenne
r du tourisme	Bonne
groupes spécifiques	Sans objet
§ avez choisi « Autres groupes spécifiques », veuillez préciser :	

otre État partie a-t-il mis en place des programmes d'éducation sur le patrimoine pour les enfants et/ou les jeunes contribuant à mieux faire comprendre le patrimoine, promouvoir la diversité et encourager le dialogue culturel ?

s programmes d'éducation sur le patrimoine pour mieux faire comprendre le patrimoine culturel et naturel, promouvoir la diversité et encourager le dialogue interculturel qui sont effectivement mis en œuvre.

récrivez le niveau de fréquence des actions suivantes pour mieux faire comprendre le patrimoine culturel et naturel, promouvoir la diversité et encourager le dialogue interculturel parmi les enfants et/ou les jeunes

pour les enseignants sur l'utilisation du Kit du patrimoine mondial aux mains des jeunes	Sans objet
activités pour les élèves dans le cadre des programmes scolaires	Fréquence faible
s des jeunes	Fréquence moyenne
de formation professionnelle pour les étudiants	Fréquence faible
scolaires organisées sur les biens/sites naturels et culturels du patrimoine mondial	Fréquence importante
is liées au patrimoine dans le cadre des Associations, Centres et Clubs UNESCO	Sans objet
	Sans objet
§ avez choisi « Autre », veuillez préciser :	

otre État partie participe-t-il au programme de l'UNESCO « Le patrimoine mondial aux mains des jeunes » ?

at partie ne participe pas au programme de l'UNESCO « Le patrimoine mondial aux mains des jeunes ».

ommentaires et/ou description des meilleures pratiques en matière d'éducation au patrimoine mondial, conclusions et/ou recommandations concernant l'éducation, l'information et la sensibilisation (Questions 12.3 à 12.5)

orld Heritage Sites have individual engagement programmes with both primary and secondary education facilities in and close to their site.

Conclusions and Recommended Actions

itate Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

ies avec d'autres conventions, recommandations et programmes pertinents
cation du patrimoine
ires nationaux
indicative
L'État partie ne compte pas utiliser le processus en amont pour réviser sa Liste indicative
Les inventaires/listes/registres ne sont pas activement utilisés pour identifier des sites culturels à inscrire sur la liste indicative
Les inventaires/listes/registres ne sont pas activement utilisés pour identifier des sites naturels à inscrire sur la liste indicative
Les inventaires/listes/registres ne sont pas activement utilisés pour identifier des sites mixtes à inscrire sur la liste indicative
uridique
§ patrimoine culturel et naturel dans la vie de la communauté

ution du patrimoine aux politiques de développement durable	
Il n'y a pas d'intégration de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable pour : ● Renforcer la résilience aux catastrophes naturelles et au changement climatique ● Contribuer à l'inclusion et à l'égalité	
Il y a une intégration limitée de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable pour : ● Protéger la diversité biologique et culturelle ainsi que les services et les bénéfices des écosystèmes ● Améliorer la qualité de vie et le bien-être ● Respecter, protéger et promouvoir les droits de l'homme ● Respecter, consulter et impliquer les peuples autochtones et les populations locales ● Parvenir à l'égalité des genres ● Garantir la croissance, l'emploi, les revenus et les moyens de subsistance ● Soutenir le renforcement des compétences, l'innovation et l'entrepreneuriat local	
ation à plus grande échelle	
s services relatifs à la protection, la conservation et la mise en valeur	
rces financières	
rces humaines	
cement des compétences	
Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau national	
Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau régional/sous-régional	
Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour identifier les priorités de renforcement des compétences	
Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la collecte de fonds pour appuyer les programmes de renforcement des compétences	
Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la sensibilisation à la nécessité de conserver et de gérer le patrimoine naturel et culturel	
Il n'y a pas de stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, protection, mise en valeur et gestion du patrimoine, mais cela se pratique malgré tout de manière ponctuelle	
je et ressources des biens du patrimoine mondial	
Il n'y a aucune stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, de protection, de mise en valeur et de gestion du patrimoine mondial, mais cela se pratique malgré tout de manière ponctuelle	
ches sur les biens du patrimoine mondial	
L'État partie n'a pas la capacité institutionnelle de procéder à des études spécifiques aux problématiques du patrimoine mondial, mais elles sont quand même réalisées avec des partenaires	
ation internationale	
i: de coopérations internationales et de mécanismes de coopération pour le patrimoine promues depuis le dernier Rapport périodique : Participation à d'autres programmes des Nations Unies, comme les programmes de développements durable et les programmes sur les droits et l'égalité hommes-femmes Accords bilatéraux et multilatéraux Partage des compétences pour promouvoir une participation équitable des communautés aux mécanismes liés au patrimoine Soutien financier Contributions aux organismes privés pour la préservation du patrimoine culturel et naturel Participation à des fondations pour la coopération internationale Partage des compétences pour le renforcement des compétences Organisation et/ou participation à des cours/séminaires internationaux de formation Diffusion de matériel/d'information	
ion, information et sensibilisation	
ctions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 13.1).	
Veuillez sélectionner les points les plus importants (dix maximum)	
Tentative List	
L'État partie ne compte pas utiliser le processus en amont pour réviser sa Liste indicative	
Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies	
● Il n'y a pas d'intégration de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable pour : ● Renforcer la résilience aux catastrophes naturelles et au changement climatique ● Contribuer à l'inclusion et à l'égalité ● Il y a une intégration limitée de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable pour : ● Protéger la diversité biologique et culturelle ainsi que les services et les bénéfices des écosystèmes ● Améliorer la qualité de vie et le bien-être ● Respecter, protéger et promouvoir les droits de l'homme ● Respecter, consulter et impliquer les peuples autochtones et les populations locales ● Parvenir à l'égalité des genres ● Garantir la croissance, l'emploi, les revenus et les moyens de subsistance ● Soutenir le renforcement des compétences, l'innovation et l'entrepreneuriat local	
Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage	
● Les inventaires/listes/registres ne sont pas activement utilisés pour identifier des sites culturels à inscrire sur la liste indicative ● Les inventaires/listes/registres ne sont pas activement utilisés pour identifier des sites naturels à inscrire sur la liste indicative ● Les inventaires/listes/registres ne sont pas activement utilisés pour identifier des sites mixtes à inscrire sur la liste indicative	
Capacity Development	
● Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau national ● Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau régional/sous-régional ● Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour identifier les priorités de renforcement des compétences ● Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la collecte de fonds pour appuyer les programmes de renforcement des compétences ● Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la sensibilisation à la nécessité de conserver et de gérer le patrimoine naturel et culturel	
Il n'y a pas de stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, protection, mise en valeur et gestion du patrimoine, mais cela se pratique malgré tout de manière ponctuelle	
Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties	
Il n'y a aucune stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, de protection, de mise en valeur et de gestion du patrimoine mondial, mais cela se pratique malgré tout de manière ponctuelle	
L'État partie n'a pas la capacité institutionnelle de procéder à des études spécifiques aux problématiques du patrimoine mondial, mais elles sont quand même réalisées avec des partenaires	
International Cooperation	

Formes de coopérations internationales et de mécanismes de coopération pour le patrimoine promues depuis le dernier Rapport périodique : ● Participation à d'autres programmes des Nations Unies, comme les programmes de développement durable et les programmes sur les droits et l'égalité hommes-femmes ● Accords bilatéraux et multilatéraux ● Partage des compétences pour promouvoir une participation équitable des communautés aux mécanismes liés au patrimoine ● Soutien financier ● Contributions aux organismes privés pour la préservation du patrimoine culturel et naturel ● Participation à des fondations pour la coopération internationale ● Partage des compétences pour le renforcement des compétences ● Organisation et/ou participation à des cours/séminaires internationaux de formation ● Diffusion de matériel d'information
--

ase select 0 more issues.

Please save this question to reflect changes

Priority Actions Assessment

Veuillez indiquer les actions à mener en priorité pour traiter les points jugés déficients

Tentative List	Action	Brève description	Autorité(s) responsable(s)	Calendrier	Cette action peut-elle nécessiter d'une assistance internationale du Fonds du patrimoine mondial ?
L'État partie ne compte pas utiliser le processus en amont pour réviser sa Liste indicative	The State Party does not believe that any action is required.	The State Party does not believe that any action is required.	The State Party does not believe that any action is required.	The State Party does not believe that any action is required.	The State Party does not believe that any International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund is required.

Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies						
	● Il y a une intégration limitée de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable pour : ● Renforcer la résilience aux catastrophes naturelles et au changement climatique ● Contribuer à l'inclusion et à l'égalité	The State Party is currently in the process of reviewing and reforming planning policy which includes the exploration of legislation and policy to support the integration of heritage consideration in sustainable development policies and strategies.	The State Party is currently in the process of reviewing and reforming planning policy which includes the exploration of legislation and policy to support the integration of heritage consideration in sustainable development policies and strategies.	State Party	Ongoing	The State Party does not believe that any International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund is required.

Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage						
	● Les inventaires/listes/registres ne sont pas activement utilisés pour identifier des sites culturels à inscrire sur la liste indicative ● Les inventaires/listes/registres ne sont pas activement utilisés pour identifier des sites naturels à inscrire sur la liste indicative ● Les inventaires/listes/registres ne sont pas activement utilisés pour identifier des sites mixtes à inscrire sur la liste indicative	The State Party is currently reviewing the Tentative List process and whether adopting a more top-down process in the future that makes use of inventories of cultural and natural heritage would be beneficial.	The State Party is currently reviewing the Tentative List process and whether adopting a more top-down process in the future that makes use of inventories of cultural and natural heritage would be beneficial.	State Party	1-2 years	The State Party does not believe that any International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund is required

Capacity Development						
	● Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau national ● Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau régional/sous-régional ● Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour identifier les priorités de renforcement des compétences ● Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la collecte de fonds pour appuyer les programmes de renforcement des compétences ● Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la	The State Party is reviewing the approach to capacity building and at what level the Strategy could potentially be implemented.	The State Party is reviewing the approach to capacity building and at what level the Strategy could potentially be implemented.	State Party	After the completion of the third cycle of Periodic Reporting - a more defined timeframe will be known once the State Party has undertaken a post-Periodic Reporting actions, reflections and next steps planning process.	The State Party does not believe that any International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund is required.

	sensibilisation à la nécessité de conserver et de gérer le patrimoine naturel et culturel					
	Il n'y a pas de stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, protection, mise en valeur et gestion du patrimoine, mais cela se pratique malgré tout de manière ponctuelle	The State Party is reviewing the approach to capacity building and at what level the Strategy could potentially be implemented.	The State Party is reviewing the approach to capacity building and at what level the Strategy could potentially be implemented.	State Party	After the completion of the third cycle of Periodic Reporting - a more defined timeframe will be known once the State Party has undertaken a post-Periodic Reporting actions, reflections and next steps planning process.	The State Party does not believe that any International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund is required.

	Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties					
	Il n'y a aucune stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, de protection, de mise en valeur et de gestion du patrimoine mondial, mais cela se pratique malgré tout de manière ponctuelle	The State Party is reviewing the approach to capacity building and at what level the Strategy could potentially be implemented.	The State Party is reviewing the approach to capacity building and at what level the Strategy could potentially be implemented.	State Party	After the completion of the third cycle of Periodic Reporting - a more defined timeframe will be known once the State Party has undertaken a post-Periodic Reporting actions, reflections and next steps planning process.	The State Party does not believe that any International Assistance from the World He Fund is required.
	L'État partie n'a pas la capacité institutionnelle de procéder à des études spécifiques aux problématiques du patrimoine mondial, mais elles sont quand même réalisées avec des partenaires	As part of the State Party's review of capacity building, it will consider potential capacity for world heritage research in the future.	As part of the State Party's review of capacity building, it will consider potential capacity for world heritage research in the future.	State Party	As part of the State Party's review of capacity building, it will consider potential capacity for world heritage research in the future.	The State Party does not believe that any International Assistance from the World He Fund is required.

	International Cooperation					
	Formes de coopérations internationales et de mécanismes de coopération pour le patrimoine promues depuis le dernier Rapport périodique : ● Participation à d'autres programmes des Nations Unies, comme les programmes de développement durable et les programmes sur les droits et l'égalité hommes-femmes ● Accords bilatéraux et multilatéraux ● Partage des compétences pour promouvoir une participation équitable des communautés aux mécanismes liés au patrimoine ● Soutien financier ● Contributions aux organismes privés pour la préservation du patrimoine culturel et naturel ● Participation à des fondations pour la coopération internationale ● Partage des compétences pour le renforcement des compétences ● Organisation et/ou participation à des cours/séminaires internationaux de formation ● Diffusion de matériel d'information	The State Party does not believe that any action is required.	The State Party does not believe that any action is required.	State Party	The State Party does not believe that any action is required.	The State Party does not believe that any International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund is required.

ez indiquer les actions à mener en priorité pour traiter les points jugés déficients completed

dditional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

Actions supplémentaires pour mettre en œuvre la *Convention du patrimoine mondial*

od Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

xemple de bonnes pratiques de protection, d'identification, de conservation ou de gestion du patrimoine mondial par l'État partie

State Party recently updated the 2012 Tentative List, in line with the World Heritage Centre's advice to do so approximately every ten years. The approach to the 2022-23 refresh of the Tentative List has been a bottom-up, community-led h, which has helped to increase awareness, technical knowledge and understanding of World Heritage across the country and at different levels of government. An expert panel was convened to assess the nominations and make endations to the UK Government. The process of convening this panel considered the social demographics of candidates, such as gender, and their amount of experience, with the aim of including very experienced panellists in the proce ro are likely to go on to be experts in the field of World Heritage in their careers, contributing to UK-wide World Heritage capacity building objectives. Alongside this process, guidance has been produced about transnational nominations a se can be progressed, where there is a UK component site in the property, separately from the usual Tentative List process. This guidance has been published alongside the UK's updated Tentative List in 2023 and is accessible to memb ic who may be interested in supporting or leading a bid for a World Heritage nomination in the future. Publicising this information will ultimately make the World Heritage nomination process in the UK more transparent and accessible for a e List process also complements the UK's Technical Evaluation process, where, with close involvement from the UK National Commission for UNESCO and UK-wide cultural and natural heritage bodies, sites that are in the process of ing their nominations undergo an assessment from a range of experts as to the quality of their nomination. The feedback that is then provided to sites ultimately strengthens the quality of their nominations and increases the likelihood of ful inscription on the World Heritage List.

éfinissez les sujets couverts par cet exemple de bonnes pratiques de l'État partie

conservation
nance
ement des compétences

essment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

rimary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention

Quelle institution principale est chargée des communications avec l'UNESCO sur la mise en œuvre de la Convention du patrimoine mondial ?
Department for Culture, Media and Sport in its role acting as the UK State Party.

levance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

Pertinence et objectifs de l'exercice du Rapport périodique

rtie	Suivi moyen
nnaires de sites	Suivi moyen
du patrimoine mondial	Suivi moyen
sations consultatives (ICOMOS, UICN, ICCROM)	Suivi moyen

he four Objectives of Periodic Reporting

Dans quelle mesure le questionnaire répond-il aux quatre objectifs des Rapports périodiques ?

		Pas du tout	En partie	Parfai
1	Permettre une évaluation de la mise en œuvre de la Convention du patrimoine mondial par l'État partie.			
2	S'assurer que les valeurs patrimoniales des biens inscrits sur la Liste du patrimoine mondial sont maintenues au cours du temps.		✖	
3	Fournir des informations à jour sur les biens du patrimoine mondial afin d'enregistrer tout changement dans les conditions et l'état de conservation des biens.		✖	
4	Constituer un mécanisme pour la coopération régionale et l'échange d'informations et d'expériences entre les États parties concernant la mise en œuvre de la Convention et la conservation du patrimoine mondial.		✖	

lease provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

the character limit for comment sections; Remove duplication of questions; Reduce crossover between criteria assessed in Section 9; Allow updates to protection and management section of Statement of OUV; Facilitate better reporting
es and trends over large and/or serial/transnational properties including factors affecting the property; For some questions more guidance and/or example answers would be helpful; Disparity between number of attributes and future forec

Ise of Data

Comment votre État partie prévoit-il d'utiliser les données ?

n des priorités/stratégies/politiques de protection, gestion et conservation du patrimoine
jour des plans de gestion
lisation
ion
ation de la mise en œuvre de la Convention par l'État partie
)
gular reporting to State Party about State of Conservation of individual World Heritage properties

iming and resources

Les autorités nationales ont-elles eu suffisamment de temps (environ dix mois) pour recueillir les informations nécessaires pour remplir le questionnaire sur ce cycle de soumission de Rapports périodiques ?

Estimez le temps (heures de travail) et le nombre de personnes qui auront été nécessaires pour remplir la Section I du questionnaire.

	Temps & nombre de personnes	Nombre total d'heures travaillées	Nombre de personnes impliquées
1	Recueil de données	10	22
2	Consultation des parties prenantes	10	5
3	Remplissage du questionnaire	55	6

L'équilibre hommes-femmes a-t-il été pris en compte dans le remplissage du présent questionnaire ?
re hommes-femmes a été explicitement pris en compte et efficacement mis en œuvre dans le processus

Vos autorités nationales ont-elles mobilisé des ressources supplémentaires dans le cadre de ce cycle de soumission de Rapports périodiques ?

	Ressources supplémentaires	Non
1	Ressources humaines	✖
2	Ressources financières pour l'organisation des réunions de consultation/ormations	✖

Veillez détailler les ressources supplémentaires mobilisées.

ormat and Content of the Periodic Reporting Questionnaire

Quel était le degré d'accessibilité des informations requises pour compléter le Rapport périodique ?
es informations requises étaient accessibles

Le questionnaire était-il facile à utiliser et clair à comprendre ?

ion du questionnaire	Moyen
éhension des questions	Moyen

Proposez ici des suggestions d'amélioration

the character limit for comment sections; Remove duplication of questions; Reduce crossover between criteria assessed in Section 9; Allow updates to protection and management section of Statement of OUV; Facilitate better reporting
es and trends over large and/or serial/transnational properties including factors affecting the property; For some questions more guidance and/or example answers would be helpful; Disparity between number of attributes and future forec

aining and guidance

Veillez évaluer, pour compléter le questionnaire sur l'exercice de soumission de Rapports périodiques, le niveau de soutien des entités suivantes

du patrimoine mondial	Bon soutien
IO (autres secteurs)	Sans objet
ssion nationale pour l'UNESCO	Sans objet
S international	Sans objet
ternational	Sans objet

M international/régional	Sans objet
S national/régional	Sans objet
ational/régional	Sans objet
s de catégorie 2	Sans objet
	Sans objet
i avez choisi « Autre », précisez :	

Les ressources de formation en ligne sur la soumission de Rapports périodiques, proposées par le Centre du patrimoine mondial, ont-elles aidé vos autorités nationales à remplir le questionnaire en ligne ?

Indiquez les modifications souhaitées au niveau des ressources sur la formation en ligne

id all materials provided to be generally helpful.

omments

Commentaires, conclusions et/ou recommandations concernant l'évaluation de l'exercice de soumission de Rapports périodiques

be helpful to be clearer throughout the questionnaire (including in guidance tabs) whether questions relate to cultural and natural heritage in general or specifically to World Heritage.

Merci d'avoir répondu à toutes les questions.