

Ukraine

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

Ukraine

1.2 - Date of ratification/accession/acceptance of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage
12/10/1988

1.3 - Groups and institutions involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Report

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
National Commission for UNESCO
Focal points of other international Conventions/programmes
World Heritage site managers/coordinators
Non-Governmental Organizations
ICOMOS national/regional
ICCROM International/regional

2. Synergies with other Conventions

2.1. Multilateral Environmental Agreements

2.1.1 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, in addition to the World Heritage Convention, your State is party to/not party to/in the process of adhering to the following agreements. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.1.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		×	
2.1.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)		×	
2.1.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)		×	
2.1.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)		×	
2.1.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)		×	
2.1.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)	×		
2.1.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)		×	

2.1.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Ramsar site(s) under the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List). Please check and amend as necessary.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.1.3 - Does your State Party intend to designate any World Heritage property(ies) (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years?

No

2.1.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) your State Party intends to designate (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years.

2.1.5 - Please list any other relevant global or regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) on natural heritage which have been joined by your State Party.

Framework Convention on the Protection and Sustainable Development of the Carpathians (Carpathian Convention) (2003) /

2.2. UNESCO Culture Conventions

2.2.1 - The table below indicates which of the UNESCO cultural Conventions your State is party to, not party to or in the process of adhering to. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.2.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		×	

2.2.1.2	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		X	
2.2.1.3	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		X	
2.2.1.4	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property		X	
2.2.1.5	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage		X	
2.2.1.6	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage		X	
2.2.1.7	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions		X	

2.2.2 - Please list any other relevant global or regional Conventions or agreements on cultural heritage to which your State Party is party to or in the process of adhering to.

European Cultural Convention (Paris, 1954) /

Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe (Granada, 1985) /

European Convention on the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage of Europe (revised) (Valletta, 1992) /

European Council Landscape Convention (Florence Convention) (2000) /

Council of Europe Framework Convention on the Value of Cultural Heritage for Society (Faro, 2005) /

2.2.3 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre the following World Heritage property(ies) located in your State Party have been included on the List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.2.4 - Does your State Party intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection for any of its World Heritage properties under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict in the next three years?

Yes

2.2.5 - Please list any World Heritage properties for which your State Party intends to request the granting of Enhanced Protection.

2.3. UNESCO Programmes

2.3.1 - The table below indicates which of the selected UNESCO programmes your State Party participates in. Please check and amend as necessary.

		No	Yes
2.3.1.1	Man and the Biosphere Programme		X
2.3.1.2	UNESCO Global Geoparks	X	

2.3.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.

Primeval Beech Forests of the Carpathians and the Ancient Beech Forests of Germany

2.3.3 - Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme?

No

2.3.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme:

2.3.5 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s)

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.3.6 - Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?

No

2.3.7 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).

2.4. Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join

2.4.1 - Is there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?

		Not Applicable	No	Yes
2.4.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage			✗
2.4.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)	✗		
2.4.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)	✗		
2.4.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)	✗		
2.4.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	✗		
2.4.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)	✗		
2.4.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)	✗		
2.4.1.8	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict			✗
2.4.1.9	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict			✗
2.4.1.10	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property			✗
2.4.1.11	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage	✗		
2.4.1.12	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage			✗
2.4.1.13	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions			✗
2.4.1.14	Man and the Biosphere Programme	✗		
2.4.1.15	Global Geoparks	✗		

2.4.2 - Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):

There is no designated World Heritage Focal Point in Ukraine. The Ministry of Culture and Information Policy of Ukraine has designated focal points for the 1972 Convention and Periodic Reporting, for the 1954 Hague Convention, and its second protocol, for the 2003 Convention, the 2005 Convention, and the 1970 Convention. There are also designated focal points for Natural Conventions/programs within the Ministry of Environmental Protection and Natural Resources.

2.4.3 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

No

2.4.4 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

Yes

2.5. UNESCO Recommendations

2.5.1 - Is your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		Yes	No
2.5.1.1	1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage	✗	
2.5.1.2	2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape		✗

2.5.2 - Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:

There are no special policies based on these Recommendations but there are used ad hoc. Ukraine took appropriate legal measures by including the concept of World Heritage in the Law on Cultural Heritage protection as well as mandatory HIAs. The HUL Recommendation provisions are reflected when developing use regimes of the Buffer Zones and in the Planning and Development State Building Standards; inventorying of the intangible heritage of local communities in the cities

3. Tentative List

3.1 - In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?

ICOMOS thematic studies
IUCN thematic studies
Filling the gaps – an Action Plan for the future by ICOMOS

3.2 - Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?

No

If you selected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.

3.3 - Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?

Yes

3.4 - Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?

Yes, among States Parties

3.5 - Please name the site(s) considered to have this potential

Tyras - Bilhorod (Akkerman), on the way from the Black Sea to the Baltic Sea Dendrological Park "Sofijivka" Archaeological Site "Stone Tomb" (currently under occupation of the Russian Federation) Trading Posts and Fortifications on Genoese Trade Routes. From the Mediterranean to the Black Sea (currently under occupation of the Russian Federation)

3.6 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Poor
Local communities/residents	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Local industries/tourism and other stakeholders	Fair
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants/experts	Fair
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

3.7 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?

Gender balance **has been given limited consideration** and implementation in the process.

3.8 - Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?

No

If you selected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List.

3.9 - Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)

There is a need to revise and update the Tentative List of Ukraine. Nomination processes in particular to the List of World Heritage in Danger were activated during the war because many Ukrainian sites are under the threat of destruction because of the war. Some of them are under the occupation of Russia.

4. Nominations**4.1 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Fair
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Poor
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good

Local communities/residents	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Local industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders	None
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Good
Consultants/experts	Good
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

4.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?

No

4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

Promoted environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being	Some
Enhanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders	Limited
Strengthened protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)	High
Enhanced conservation practices	High
Enhanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes	Some
Improved presentation of sites	Some
Enhanced honour/prestige	High
Increased funding	Some
Additional tool for lobbying/political influence	Some
Fostered peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation	High
Increased number of tourists and visitors	High
Promoted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities	Limited
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

4.4 - Please rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits	Some
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change	No contribution
Contributing to inclusion and equity	Limited
Enhancing quality of life and well-being	Some
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights	Some
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities	Limited
Achieving gender equality	No contribution
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods	Some
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism	Some
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship	Limited
Ensuring conflict prevention	No contribution
Protecting heritage during conflict	Some
Promoting conflict resolution	Limited
Contributing to post-conflict recovery	Some

Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

4.5 - Please provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).

In 2022 a nomination file for the historic city of Odesa was prepared and processed on an emergency basis and inscribed at the same time to the List of WH in Danger.

5. General Policy Development

5.1. Principal pieces of national cultural and/or natural heritage legislation

5.1.1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

Comment

Constitution of Ukraine, Civil and Land Codes of Ukraine, Laws of Ukraine, "On Culture", "On Cultural Heritage Protection", "On Protection of Archaeological Heritage", "On Fundamentals of Urban Development", "On Regulation of Urban Development", "On Architectural Activity", "On the State Land Cadastre", "On Museums and Museum affair", "On Export, Import, and Return of Cultural Values", "On tourism", "On Environmental Protection", "On Nature Reserve Fund of Ukraine", Forestry Code of Ukraine

5.2. Comment on principal pieces of legislation

5.2.1 - Please comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force

5.3. Other principal pieces of legislation

5.3.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.

Criminal Code of Ukraine / both / National /
Code of Ukraine of Administrative Offences / both / National /
Law of Ukraine On Ensuring Civil Rights and Freedoms, and the Legal Regime on the Temporarily Occupied Territory of Ukraine / both / National /
Resolution of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine on the Procedure for carrying out certain types of work at cultural heritage sites under martial law dated November 15, 2022. / Cultural / National /

5.4. Contribution of legislation and/or regulations at other levels to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and/or natural heritage

5.4.1 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.

In 2018 amendments were made to the Law on the Protection of the Cultural Heritage concerning sites on the UNESCO World Heritage List. The concept of the World Heritage Site, buffer zone, management plan, etc. has been introduced. Decree of the President of Ukraine On measures to support the culture, protection of cultural heritage, development of creative industries and tourism (2020) which provides as well for defining and implementing actions regarding World Heritage.

5.5. Adequacy of the legal framework for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.5.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no legal framework for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is inadequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is partially adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✗	✗

5.6. Adequacy of the legal framework for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.6.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no legal framework for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is inadequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is partially adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✗	
The legal framework is adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		✗

5.7. Enforcement of the legal framework

5.7.1 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?

		There is no legal framework.	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework are adequate.
5.7.1.1	Culture			×	
5.7.1.2	Nature			×	

5.8. Comments on the enforcement of the legal framework

5.8.1 - Please comment on particular problems of enforcement

Regulations should be developed on strengthening responsibility for violations of legislation in the field of protection of cultural and natural heritage Cultural and natural heritage should be introduced in the Law on National Security as a full-fledged fundamental interest of Ukraine (underway). Ratification of the Nicosia Convention is underway Harmonization of the legal framework with the European legislation. A framework law on culture is to be developed.

5.9. Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities

5.9.1 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

	Culture	Nature
There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities.		
There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.		×
There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.	×	
There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities that are effectively implemented.		

5.10. Examples of specific policies giving heritage a function in the life of communities

5.10.1 - Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities

National Strategy for Barrier-Free Environment in Ukraine up to 2030. Updated State Building Regulations on creating a barrier-free space in Ukraine, approved by the Ministry of Development of Communities and Territories of Ukraine in 2021 (DBN "Inclusiveness of Buildings and Structures").

5.11. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies

5.11.1 - How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits.	Some
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change.	Some
Contributing to inclusion and equality.	No integration
Enhancing the quality of life and well-being.	Some
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights.	No integration
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities.	No integration
Achieving gender equality.	Limited
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods.	No integration
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism.	Limited
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship.	Limited
Ensuring conflict prevention.	Limited
Protecting heritage during conflict.	Limited
Promoting conflict resolution.	Limited
Contributing to post-conflict recovery.	Limited
Other (please specify).	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

5.12. UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011

5.12.1 - In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):

Encourage the HUL approach across its territory

5.13. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes

5.13.1 - How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

5.14. Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

5.14.1 - Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage?

	UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy	No	Yes
5.14.1.1	Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.14.1.2	Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.14.1.3	World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.14.1.4	World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

5.14.2 - Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

There is limited coordination and integration of the implementation of these multilateral agreements, Programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.

5.15. Specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

5.15.1 - Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

Strategy of the Development of the Carpathian Region approved by the order of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine dated October 20, 2021 Nr. 1363 Strategy for environmental security and adaptation to climate change for the period until 2030 approved by the order of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine dated October 20 2021, 1363- Decree of the President of Ukraine on the Sustainable Development Goals of Ukraine for the period until 2030

5.16. Comments on General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

5.16.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

State and regional strategies in the field of cultural and natural heritage need to be developed, as well as state target programs for the protection of cultural and natural heritage. There is a need to develop the Strategy for the protection of the WH sites during the war on the whole territory of the country.

6. Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage

6.1 - If the State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?

	Cultural heritage	Natural heritage
National/federal	Process completed or continuously updated	Process completed or continuously updated
Regional/provincial/state	Not applicable	Process commenced
Local	Process completed or continuously updated	Process commenced
Other	Not applicable	

6.2 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers capture the full diversity of heritage.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

6.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
--	---------	--------

No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the protection of heritage.	×	×

6.4 - In addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?

	Culture	Nature
The State Party does not involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
The State Party plans to involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future.		
The State Party sometimes involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.	×	×
The State Party regularly involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		

6.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?

	Culture	Nature	Mixed
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.			
Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.		×	×
Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.	×		
Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			

6.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)

State Register of the Immovable Cultural Monuments of Ukraine of national and local importance. The cultural heritage property is entered into the List of cultural heritage properties until the issue of its registration as a monument is resolved and acquires the legal status of a newly discovered cultural heritage property. State Cadastre of Territories and Nature Reserve Fund Objects of Ukraine. The digitization of all registers and inventories is one of the main priorities.

7. Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage

7.1 - How effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?

There is **some cooperation** between the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but this could be improved**.

7.2 - How effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

In general, **cooperation exists** between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but there are still deficiencies**.

7.3 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies .	×	×
Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

7.4 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

There is some cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies .	✗	✗
Different levels of government cooperate effectively with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

National government funds	Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Minor source of project funding
Funds from other international conventions/programmes	Minor source of project funding
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.)	Major source of project funding
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Minor source of project funding
Non-Governmental Organizations (international and/or national)	Major source of project funding
Private sector funds	Minor source of project funding
Other	No funding/Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

8.2 - Does the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		No	Yes
8.2.1	Culture		✗
8.2.2	Nature		✗

8.3 - Do you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

	Culture	Nature
The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.		
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.	✗	✗
The available budget is adequate to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.		

8.4 - Please indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

	Category	percentage %
8.4.1	Cultural	
8.4.2	Natural	

8.5 - Please estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

Percentage		Cultural	Natural
8.5.1	National/Federal	%	%
8.5.2	Regional/Provincial	%	%
8.5.3	Local	%	%
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

8.6 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

Human resources	Culture	Nature
Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
A range of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are below optimum .	✗	✗

Human resources are **adequate** to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.

8.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)

Restrictions on the functioning of cultural institutions due to the COVID pandemic, and then the full-scale invasion of Russia into the territory of Ukraine on February 24, 2022, negatively affected the budget for the protection, conservation, restoration, and everyday maintenance. A major part of the State budget is allocated to defense needs. The same situation is with human resources because many Ukrainians were forced to remove to other regions of Ukraine or abroad because of the war

9. Capacity Development

9.1 - Please prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Culture	Nature
National/federal	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Nominations	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring	Low priority	Low priority
Statutory processes: International assistance	Medium priority	Medium priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	Medium priority	No priority
Technical and scientific issues	Low priority	No priority
Traditional conservation processes	No priority	No priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Low priority	Low priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Low priority	Low priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	High priority	High priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	Low priority	No priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	Low priority	No priority
Management effectiveness assessment	No priority	No priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	Low priority	Not applicable
Awareness raising and outreach	Low priority	No priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	Medium priority	Medium priority
Sustainable development	High priority	High priority
Protection and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems	Low priority	Low priority
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation	Low priority	Low priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	No priority	No priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage	Low priority	Low priority
Inclusive social development in World Heritage management systems	Medium priority	Not applicable
Gender balance in management systems	Low priority	Low priority
Inclusive economic development in World Heritage management systems	Low priority	No priority
World Heritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security	High priority	Low priority

9.2 - Please rate the priority for training target audiences for each of the specific topics/themes/capacity building needs identified below for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Administrators and government bodies at all levels	Communities, indigenous peoples, landowners, local businesses, other social groups etc.	Universities, NGOs etc.	Heritage practitioners
Implementation of the <i>Convention</i>	Medium priority	High priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists process	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority	Low priority
Statutory processes: Nomination process	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring process	Medium priority	Low priority	Low priority	Medium priority

Statutory processes: International assistance, etc.	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	Medium priority	High priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Technical and Scientific issues	Low priority	Medium priority	High priority	High priority
Traditional conservation processes	Medium priority	High priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	Medium priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	Medium priority	Medium priority	No priority	Medium priority
Management effectiveness assessment	Low priority	No priority	No priority	No priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	High priority	Low priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Awareness raising and outreach	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	High priority	No priority	No priority	No priority
Sustainable development	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Building environmental and social resilience	Medium priority	High priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Integration of eco-social diversity in management systems	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority	Low priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Medium priority	Medium priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority	Not applicable
Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change	Medium priority	Medium priority	Low priority	Low priority

9.3 - Please indicate how the State Party is using the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy (2011).

		No	Yes
9.3.1	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level.	✗	
9.3.2	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level.	✗	
9.3.3	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities.		✗
9.3.4	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes.		✗
9.3.5	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage.		✗

9.4 - Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is **no national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is **being done on an ad hoc basis.**

9.5 - Comments: Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Capacity Development (Questions 9.1 to 9.4).

10. Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties

10.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage not listed in 5.1 (which focuses on policy general to all heritage), please list them here.

The Law of Ukraine on the Basic principles (strategy) of the state environmental policy of Ukraine for the period up to 2030 / 2019 / Nature / National /

10.2 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage. Please provide web links for the legislation listed above.

The Law on the Red Data Book of Ukraine <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/3055-14#Text> The Law on the Animal World <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2894-14#Text> The Decree of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine on the approval of the Procedure for the creation of protective zones for the preservation of biodiversity in forests and the Procedure for the creation of protective zones for the preservation of objects of the Red Data Book of Ukraine (May 12, 2023 No. 499)

10.3 - Are the services provided by agencies/institutions adequate for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is **some capacity** to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but **some deficiencies** remain.

10.4 - How does the State Party encourage and support World Heritage properties to manage and develop visitation/tourism sustainably?

By developing policies and/or requiring sustainable tourism strategies to be developed

10.5 - Please indicate here any additional information or clarify how the State Party supports sustainable tourism planning and management at a property level.

Strategy for the Development of Tourism and Resorts for the period until 2026 to create conditions for ensuring the accelerated development of the tourism and resorts sector, transforming it into a highly efficient industry integrated into the world market. Implementation of the Strategy includes: ensuring the safety of tourists and protecting their legal rights and interests, implementation of EU legislation in the field of tourism, and ensuring comprehensive development of territories.

10.6 - Does the State Party require the use of impact assessments for programmes (e.g. strategic environmental assessments) or development projects (e.g. environmental impact assessments, heritage impact assessments) that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting?

There is a **regulatory framework** that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects which is implemented **but it needs improvement**.

10.7 - Please list the different assessment methods used. Please provide weblinks to the guidance for the assessment methods.

The procedure for informing the Intergovernmental Committee for the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage about intentions to carry out urban planning transformations on the territory of a cultural heritage site included in the World Heritage List and/or within its buffer zone approved by the order of the Ministry of Culture of Ukraine dated 20.04.2018 345 provides for mandatory HIAs.

10.8 - Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is **no national capacity building strategy** in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management **but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis**

10.9 - Does the State Party have the institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues?

There is **capacity** at the institutional level to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues **but it could be improved**.

10.10 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and receiving donations for the protection of World Heritage?

No

10.11 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage policies and resources

11. International Cooperation

11.1 - Has the State Party promoted international cooperation and the establishment of cooperation mechanisms for heritage since the last Periodic Report? If so, please indicate the type of cooperation that best describes your activities.

Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes

Bilateral and multilateral agreements

Financial support

Sharing expertise for capacity building

Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars

Distribution of material/information

11.2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level?

No

11.3 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 11.1 to 11.2). If you have twinned World Heritage properties, please list them along with their twins.

Memorandums of patronage over national parks were signed, in particular, Lithuania took patronage over the Puscha Radzivil National Park

12. Education, Information and Awareness Building

12.1 - Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among communities and different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?

There are **no strategies** to raise awareness about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is **being done on an ad hoc basis**.

12.2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following groups about World Heritage in your country

Communities living in/around heritage sites	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Youth	Fair
General public	Poor
Decision makers and public officials	Good
Private sector	Poor
Tourism industry	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

12.3 - Does the State Party have heritage education programmes for children and/or youth, that contribute to improving understanding of heritage, promoting diversity and fostering intercultural dialogue?

There are **no heritage education programmes** to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue **but this is being done on an ad hoc basis**.

12.4 - Please rate the level of frequency of the following activities to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue among children and/or youth

Teacher training courses on the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit	None
Courses/activities for students within school programmes	Low
Youth forums	None
Skills-training courses for students	Medium
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	High
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Medium
Other	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other', please specify	

12.5 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party **participates** in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

12.6 - Please add any additional comments, description of best practices in World Heritage Education, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building (Questions 12.3 to 12.5)

In 2017 Junior Academy of Sciences of Ukraine got the status of the UNESCO Category II Centre for Scientific Education.

13. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

13.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

Synergies with other relevant Conventions, Recommendations and Programmes
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage The State Party is not using the provisions of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies
Identification of heritage
National inventories
Tentative List
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for natural heritage Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for mixed heritage
Effectiveness of legal framework
Function of cultural and natural heritage in the life of the community

- There are **no specific policies** to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being **achieved on an ad hoc basis - natural heritage**

Contribution of heritage to sustainable development policies

- There is **no integration** of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:
 - Achieving gender equality
 - Promoting economic investment and quality tourism
 - Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship
 - Ensuring conflict prevention
 - Protecting heritage during conflict
 - Promoting conflict resolution
 - Contributing to post-conflict recovery
- There is **limited integration** of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:
 - Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits
 - Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change
 - Enhancing the quality of life and well-being

Larger-scale planning

Status of services for protection, conservation and presentation

Financial status

Human resources

Capacity development

- The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the national level**
 - The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level**
 - The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy to **identify capacity building priorities**
 - The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by fundraising to support capacity building programmes**
 - The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage**
- There is **no national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is **being done on an ad hoc basis**

Policy and resourcing of World Heritage properties

- The services provided by agencies/institutions have **some capacities** to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but **some deficiencies** remain
- There is **no national capacity building strategy** in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management **but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis**

Research on World Heritage properties

International cooperation

Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report:

- Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes
- Bilateral and multilateral agreements
- Financial support
- Sharing expertise for capacity building
- Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars
- Distribution of material/information

Education, information and awareness building

- There are **no strategies** to raise awareness among communities and stakeholders about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is **being done on an ad hoc basis**
- There are **no heritage education** programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue **but this is being done on an ad hoc basis**

13.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 13.1).

13.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to ten)

2.4	Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join
2.4.3	World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage
2.5	UNESCO Recommendations

2.5.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is not using the provisions of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape 	✕
5.9	Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities	
5.9.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are no specific policies to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis - natural heritage 	✕
5.11	Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies	
5.11.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is limited integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change Enhancing the quality of life and well-being 	✕
5.14	Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage	
5.14.2	There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies	✕
6	Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage	
6.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for natural heritage Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for mixed heritage 	
9	Capacity Development	
9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage 	✕
9.4	There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is being done on an ad hoc basis	✕
10	Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties	
10.3	The services provided by agencies/institutions have some capacities to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but some deficiencies remain	✕
10.8	There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis	
11	International Cooperation	
11.1	Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes Bilateral and multilateral agreements Financial support Sharing expertise for capacity building Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars Distribution of material/information 	✕
12	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
12.1	There are no strategies to raise awareness among communities and stakeholders about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is being done on an ad hoc basis	✕
12.3	There are no heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue but this is being done on an ad hoc basis	✕
Please select 0 more issues.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes		

13.3. Priority Actions Assessment

13.3.1 - Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor

2.5	UNESCO Recommendations					
	Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?	

2.5.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is not using the provisions of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape 	To adapt the application of the Recommendation and its approach to the States Party's specific context. To make HUL Recommendation operational in the perspective of sustainable development for city/territory system regeneration	Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the integration of urban heritage conservation strategies into national development policies and agendas	Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, Research Institutes	2024-2030	-
5.9	Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities					
5.9.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are no specific policies to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis - natural heritage 	To develop management plans with the involvement of local communities for the protection and conservation	Empowerment of the local community and activation of relationships between stakeholders	Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources	2024-2030	-
5.11	Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies					
5.11.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is limited integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Achieving gender equality Promoting economic investment and quality tourism Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship Ensuring conflict prevention Protecting heritage during conflict Promoting conflict resolution Contributing to post-conflict recovery 	To integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies	-	Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources	2024-2030	-
5.14	Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage					
5.14.2	There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies	To adapt World Heritage policies and strategies to the national legal context	To make an analysis of the agreements, programmes, policies, and strategies that can be integrated into the national policy documents	Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources	2024-2030	-
9	Capacity Development					

9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level • The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level • The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities • The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes • The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage 	To develop a national Capacity Building Strategy	The priority field is risk preparedness, emergency response, and protection of cultural and natural heritage in emergency situations	Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources	2024-2030	Yes
9.4	There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is being done on an ad hoc basis	To develop a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management.	To improve the professional skills of heritage specialists. To include the specialty of Restorer of immovable cultural heritage monuments in the list of fields of knowledge and specialties for which higher education applicants are trained.	Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine	2024-2030	Yes
10	Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties					
10.3	The services provided by agencies/institutions have some capacities to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but some deficiencies remain	To improve the provisions of the regulations and by-laws in the field of World cultural heritage protection and management including for transboundary and transnational properties.	Development and adoption of documents on the enhancement of the national system of management of the World Heritage Properties	Ministry of Culture and Information Policy of Ukraine, Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine	2024-2030	-
11	International Cooperation					
11.1	<p>Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes • Bilateral and multilateral agreements • Financial support • Sharing expertise for capacity building 	To promote international cooperation and enhance cooperation mechanisms for heritage in emergency situations	To enhance International cooperation in a short-term, mid-term, and long-term perspective to protect the cultural and natural heritage during wartime and provide for recovery in the post-war period.	Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources	Constantly	Yes

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars • Distribution of material/information 					
12	Education, Information and Awareness Building					
12.1	There are no strategies to raise awareness among communities and stakeholders about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is being done on an ad hoc basis	To develop raise awareness strategies	Digitalization, cooperation with NGOs in the field of raising awareness activities	Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, NGOs	2024-2030	Yes
12.3	There are no heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue but this is being done on an ad hoc basis	To introduce World Heritage in Young Hands Kit in education programmes in UNESCO Associated schools network. To develop education courses and programmes.	-	Ministry of Culture and Information Policy, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine, Ministry of Foreign Affairs.	2024-2030	-

Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor completed

13.4. Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

13.4.1 - Please indicate any additional actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention*

Development and approval of scientific and project documentation on the definition of boundaries and use regimes of Buffer zones of WH sites, and management plans of WH sites. Ensuring the proper preparation of documents regarding the nomination of cultural heritage sites to the World Heritage List. Digitization of the State registers of movable and immovable heritage. Development of the Strategy for the protection of the WH sites during the war on the whole territory of the country.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the State Party level.

On November 21, 2018, the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine adopted Decree Nr. 892-p On some issues of preservation of the Ukrainian component of the UNESCO World Heritage Natural site Ancient and Primeval Beech Forests of the Carpathians and Other Regions of Europe and sustainable development of the adjacent territories. The approved Action Plan was developed by the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine and includes two large sections. Section I provides for the implementation of a set of measures aimed at the preservation and protection of the Ukrainian component of the UNESCO site Ancient and Primeval Beech Forests of the Carpathians and Other Regions of Europe. Section II prescribes the most important actions of government structures and local authorities, which are aimed at ensuring the sustainable development of territories adjacent to the World Heritage site. The government order requires the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources, the Ministry of Education and Science, and the State Forestry Agency to ensure the coordination on the preservation of the Ukrainian Component of the UNESCO Site, in particular, by participating in the meetings of the joint Committee for the management of the WH site; creating and implementing an integrated management system of the WH site; ensuring cooperation with institutions of other European countries that protect ancient and primeval beech forests, primarily with Romanian and Slovakian institutions located along the Ukrainian-Romanian and Ukrainian-Slovakian borders; ensuring the implementation at the national level of the activities and decisions taken at the meetings of the joint Committee for the management of the WH site.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of good practice at the State Party level.

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Primary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention

15.1.1 - Which primary institution is responsible for communicating with UNESCO with regards to the World Heritage Convention?

Ministry of Culture and Information Policy of Ukraine

15.2. Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.2.1 - Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies	Good

15.3. The four Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.3.1 - How well does the current questionnaire address each of the four Objectives of Periodic Reporting?

	Objective	Not at all	Partially	Adequately
15.3.1.1	Provide an assessment of the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> by the State Party			✗
15.3.1.2	Provide an assessment as to whether the values of World Heritage properties are being maintained over time			✗
15.3.1.3	Provide updated information about World Heritage properties to record the changing circumstances and state of conservation of the property		✗	
15.3.1.4	Provide a mechanism for regional cooperation and exchange of information and experiences between States Parties about the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> , and World Heritage conservation		✗	

15.4. Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.4.1 -

15.5. Use of Data

15.5.1 - How does your State Party plan to use the data?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Updating management plans

Reporting on implementation of Sustainable Development Goals

Improve the States Party implementation on the Convention

15.6. Timing and resources

15.6.1 - Were your national authorities given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in the questionnaire during this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

No

15.6.2 - Please estimate the time (working hours) and the number of people involved in completing Section I of the questionnaire.

	Time & people	Number of hours worked	Number of people involved
15.6.2.1	Gathering data	520	3
15.6.2.2	Consulting stakeholders	160	1
15.6.2.3	Filling in the questionnaire	240	1

15.6.3 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has **not been explicitly considered** or implemented in the process.

15.6.4 - Did your national authorities mobilise any additional resources to carry out this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.6.4.1	Human resources	✗	
15.6.4.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/training	✗	

15.6.5 - Please provide details on any additional resources mobilised.

There was no possibility to mobilize additional resources due to wartime in the country. The wartime, bombing and blackouts, changes in the structure of the Ministry negatively affected the periodic reporting process in Ukraine

15.7. Format and Content of the Periodic Reporting Questionnaire**15.7.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

15.7.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Using the questionnaire	good
Understanding the questions	fair

15.7.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement**15.8. Training and guidance****15.8.1 - Please rate the level of support received in terms of training and guidance from the following entities for the completion of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Poor
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
Category 2 Centres	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

15.8.2 - Were the online training resources provided by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for your national authorities to complete the online questionnaire?

Yes

15.8.3 - Please provide further comments on the online training resources**15.9. Comments****15.9.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

There were difficulties connected with the limited number of characters for comments, and technical issues. It appeared impossible to collect the information for questions 8.4 and 8.5 (Financial Resources). The absence of information in the prefilled questions. The way Chapter 13 generates the conclusions doesn't allow us to choose management issues that are the main priorities and describe the respective actions.

15.9.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions.