

Introduction

- State Party

ailand

- Date of ratification/accession/acceptance of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage

09/1987

- Groups and institutions involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Report

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Focal points of other international Conventions/programmes

World Heritage site managers/coordinators

Synergies with other Conventions

. Multilateral Environmental Agreements

1 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, in addition to the World Heritage Convention, your State is party to/not party to/in the process of adhering to the following agreements. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
.1.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		✕	
.1.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)		✕	
.1.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)		✕	
.1.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)	✕		
.1.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)		✕	
.1.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)		✕	
.1.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)		✕	

2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Ramsar site(s) under the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List). Please check and amend as necessary.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

3 - Does your State Party intend to designate any World Heritage property(ies) (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years?

4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) your State Party intends to designate (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years.

None

5 - Please list any other relevant global or regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) on natural heritage which have been joined by your State Party.

i. UNESCO Culture Conventions

1 - The table below indicates which of the UNESCO cultural Conventions your State is party to, not party to or in the process of adhering to. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
.2.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		✕	
.2.1.2	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		✕	
.2.1.3	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	✕		
.2.1.4	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property	✕		
.2.1.5	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage	✕		
.2.1.6	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage		✕	
.2.1.7	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions	✕		

2 - Please list any other relevant global or regional Conventions or agreements on cultural heritage to which your State Party is party to or in the process of adhering to.

3 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre the following World Heritage property(ies) located in your State Party have been included on the List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

4 - Does your State Party intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection for any of its World Heritage properties under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict in the next three years?

5 - Please list any World Heritage properties for which your State Party intends to request the granting of Enhanced Protection.

None

i. UNESCO Programmes

1 - The table below indicates which of the selected UNESCO programmes your State Party participates in. Please check and amend as necessary.

		No	Yes
.3.1.1	Man and the Biosphere Programme		✕
.3.1.2	UNESCO Global Geoparks		✕

2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

3 - Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme?

4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme:

5 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s)

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

6 - Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?

Yes

7 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).

Dong Phrayayen Khao Yai Forest Complex (Part / Nakhon Ratchasima Province) /

i. Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join

1 - Is there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?

		Not Applicable	No	Yes
.4.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage			✕
.4.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)			✕
.4.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)			✕
.4.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)		✕	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

.4.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)			✕
.4.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)		✕	
.4.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)		✕	
.4.1.8	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		✕	
.4.1.9	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		✕	
.4.1.10	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property		✕	
.4.1.11	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage		✕	
.4.1.12	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage	✕		
.4.1.13	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions	✕		
.4.1.14	Man and the Biosphere Programme			✕
.4.1.15	Global Geoparks			✕

2 - Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):

3 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

4 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

i. UNESCO Recommendations

1 - Is your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		Yes	No
.5.1.1	1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage	✕	
.5.1.2	2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape		✕

2 - Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:

^-

Tentative List

- In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?

COMOS thematic studies

UCN thematic studies

JNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List

World Heritage Resource Manual 'Preparing World Heritage Nominations'

- Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?

ou selected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.

- Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?

- Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?

^, among States Parties

- Please name the site(s) considered to have this potential

numents, Sites and Cultural Landscape of Chiang Mai, Capital of Lanna

- Please rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List

ational government institution(s)	Good
egional/provincial/state government(s)	Good
ocal government(s)	Good
ther government departments	Good
INESCO National Commission	Fair
ocal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
ocal communities/residents	Good
ndigenous peoples	Fair
ther specific groups (please specify below)	Fair
andowners	Good
ocal industries/tourism and other stakeholders	Fair
on-Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
onsultants/experts	Good
ite manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

- Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?

nder balance has not been explicitly considered or implemented in the process.

- Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?

ou selected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List.

- Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)

^-

Nominations

- Please rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers

ational government institution(s)	Good
egional/provincial/state government(s)	Good
ocal government(s)	Good
ther government departments	Fair
INESCO National Commission	Poor
ocal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
ocal communities/residents	Good
ndigenous peoples	Good
ther specific groups (please specify below)	Good
andowners	Good
ocal industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders	Good
on-Governmental Organization(s)	Fair



onsultants/experts	Good
ite manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	Monk, Priest

- Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?

- Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

romoted environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being	High
hanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders	Some
trengthened protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)	High
hanced conservation practices	High
hanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes	Some
mpoved presentation of sites	High
hanced honour/prestige	High
increased funding	Limited
dditional tool for lobbying/political influence	Limited
ostered peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation	Some
increased number of tourists and visitors	High
romoted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities	High
ther(s)	Not applicable
'Other' applies, please specify	

- Please rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

rotecting biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits	High
trengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change	Some
ontributing to inclusion and equity	Not applicable
hancing quality of life and well-being	Some
especting, protecting and promoting human rights	Not applicable
especting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities	Some
chieving gender equality	Not applicable
nsuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods	High
romoting economic investment and quality tourism	High
trengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship	High
nsuring conflict prevention	Some
rotecting heritage during conflict	Limited
romoting conflict resolution	Limited
ontributing to post-conflict recovery	Not applicable
ther(s)	Not applicable
'Other' applies, please specify	

- Please provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).

4.-

General Policy Development

. Principal pieces of national cultural and/or natural heritage legislation

1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

mmment

ules of the Prime Minister's Office, Governing Implementation of the Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage B.E. 2559 (2016) 2. Act on Ancient Monuments, Antiques, Objects of Art and National Museums B.E. 2504 (1961) and 2nd amendment in B.E. 2515 (1992) 3. Wildlife Conservation and Protection Act, B.E. 2562 (2019) (Revised version) 4. National Park Act, B.E. 2562 (2019) (Revised version) 5. Forest Act, 8th amendment in B.E. 2562 (2019)

1. Comment on principal pieces of legislation

1 - Please comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force

4.-

1. Other principal pieces of legislation

1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.

National Reserved Forest Act / Natural / National /

Paleontological Resources Protection Act / Natural / National /

The Plant Variety Protection Act / Natural / National /

1. Contribution of legislation and/or regulations at other levels to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and/or natural heritage

1 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.

he legislation and regulations are tools for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage. - In terms of the control of land use, landscape, and building construction in cultural heritage use.

1. Adequacy of the legal framework for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Natural
here is no legal framework for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
he legal framework is inadequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
he legal framework is partially adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
he legal framework is adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.	×	×

1. Adequacy of the legal framework for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Natural
here is no legal framework for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
he legal framework is inadequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
he legal framework is partially adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
he legal framework is adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.	×	×

1. Enforcement of the legal framework

1 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?

		There is no legal framework.	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework are adequate.
.7.1.1	Culture				×
.7.1.2	Nature			×	

I. Comments on the enforcement of the legal framework

.1 - Please comment on particular problems of enforcement

A-

I. Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities

.1 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

	Culture	Nature
here are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities.		
here are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis .		
here are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.	×	
here are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities that are effectively implemented .		×

0. Examples of specific policies giving heritage a function in the life of communities

0.1 - Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities

ional Park Act, B.E. 2562 (2019) (Revised version) Section 3 Protection, maintenance and preservation of national parks National Park Management Plan must at least consist of operation methods, management guidelines and supervision of the use of the area and public hearing and the participation with the communities and stakeholders.

1. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies

1.1 - How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

rotecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits.	Limited
trengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change.	Limited
ontributing to inclusion and equality.	Not applicable
nhancing the quality of life and well-being.	Some
especting, protecting and promoting human rights.	Some
especting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities.	High
chieving gender equality.	Not applicable
nsuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods.	High
romoting economic investment and quality tourism.	High
trengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship.	Some
nsuring conflict prevention.	Some
rotecting heritage during conflict.	Some
romoting conflict resolution.	Some
ontributing to post-conflict recovery.	Some
ther (please specify).	Not applicable
'Other' applies, please specify	

2. UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011

2.1 - In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):

Adapt the application of the Recommendation and its approach to the States Party's specific context	
Encourage the HUL approach across its territory	
Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the harmonious integration of contemporary intervention into the historic urban fabric	
Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the integration of urban heritage conservation strategies into national development policies and agendas	
Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for monitoring the implementation of the Recommendation and its impact on the conservation and management of historic cities	

3. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes

3.1 - How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?

are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

4. Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

4.1 - Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage?

	UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy	No	Yes
.14.1.1	Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties	×	
.14.1.2	Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties		×
.14.1.3	World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy		×
.14.1.4	World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy		×

4.2 - Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

re is **limited coordination and integration** of the implementation of these multilateral agreements, Programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.

5. Specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

5.1 - Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

A-

6. Comments on General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

5.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

A-

Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage

- If the State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?

	Cultural heritage	Natural heritage
ational/federal	Process completed or continuously updated	Process completed or continuously updated
egional/provincial/state	Not applicable	No process established
ocal	Not applicable	No process established
ther	Not applicable	

- Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?

	Culture	Nature
o inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of heritage.		
inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of heritage.	×	×
inventories/lists/registers capture the full diversity of heritage.		

- Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
no inventories/lists/registers have been established.		
inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the protection of heritage.		
inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the protection of heritage.		
inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the protection of heritage.	X	X

- In addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?

	Culture	Nature
the State Party does not involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
the State Party plans to involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future.		
the State Party sometimes involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
the State Party regularly involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.	X	X

- Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?

	Culture	Nature	Mixed
no inventories/lists/registers have been established.			X
inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			
inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.		X	
inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.	X		

- Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)

1.-

Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage

- How effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?

there is **effective cooperation** between principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.

- How effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

in general, **cooperation exists** between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but there are still deficiencies**.

- How effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
there is no cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
there is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
in general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies .	X	X
different levels of government cooperate effectively for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

- How effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
there is no cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
there is limited cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
there is some cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies .	X	X
different levels of government cooperate effectively with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

Financial Status and Human Resources

- Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

national government funds	Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance
other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance
international assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Minor source of project funding
funds from other international conventions/programmes	Minor source of project funding
international multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.)	Minor source of project funding
international bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Minor source of project funding
non-Governmental Organizations (international and/or national)	Minor source of project funding
private sector funds	Minor source of project funding
other	No funding/Not applicable
'Other' applies, please specify	

- Does the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		No	Yes
2.1	Culture	X	
2.2	Nature		X

- Do you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

	Culture	Nature
the available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.		
the available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.	X	X
the available budget is adequate to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.		

- Please indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

	Category	percentage %
4.1	Cultural	0.08
4.2	Natural	0.82

- Please estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

Percentage		Cultural	Natural
3.5.1	National/Federal	72 %	17 %
3.5.2	Regional/Provincial	28 %	83 %
3.5.3	Local	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

- Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

human resources

human resources are **inadequate** for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage.

range of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are **below optimum**.

human resources are **adequate** to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.

- Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)

Capacity Development

Please prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Culture	Nature
ational/federal	Medium priority	High priority
itutory processes: Tentative Lists	High priority	Low priority
itutory processes: Nominations	High priority	Low priority
itutory processes: Reporting and monitoring	High priority	Low priority
itutory processes: International assistance	Medium priority	Low priority
onservation and management of heritage sites	High priority	Medium priority
echnical and scientific issues	Medium priority	Medium priority
raditional conservation processes	Medium priority	Low priority
ustainable resource utilisation and management	Medium priority	Medium priority
terpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Medium priority	Medium priority
isk preparedness and disaster risk management	Medium priority	Low priority
mpact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	Low priority	Low priority
ustainable tourism use and management	Medium priority	Medium priority
anagement effectiveness assessment	Low priority	Medium priority
anagement approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	Low priority	Not applicable
awareness raising and outreach	Medium priority	Medium priority
overnance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	Medium priority	Medium priority
ustainable development	High priority	Medium priority
rotection and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems	Low priority	High priority
trenchening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation	Low priority	Medium priority
option of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Low priority	Low priority
velopment of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage	Low priority	Medium priority
clusive social development in World Heritage management systems	Low priority	Low priority
ender balance in management systems	Not applicable	Medium priority
clusive economic development in World Heritage management systems	Medium priority	Low priority
World Heritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security	Not applicable	No priority

Please rate the priority for training target audiences for each of the specific topics/themes/capacity building needs identified below for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Administrators and government bodies at all levels	Communities, indigenous peoples, landowners, local businesses, other social groups etc.	Universities, NGOs etc.	Heritage practitioners
plementation of the Convention	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority
itutory processes: Tentative Lists process	High priority	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority
itutory processes: Nomination process	High priority	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority
itutory processes: Reporting and monitoring process	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
itutory processes: International assistance, etc.	High priority	Not applicable	High priority	Medium priority
onservation and management of heritage sites	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
echnical and Scientific issues	High priority	Low priority	Medium priority	High priority
raditional conservation processes	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority
ustainable resource utilisation and management	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
terpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
isk preparedness and disaster risk management	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
mpact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority	High priority
ustainable tourism use and management	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
anagement effectiveness assessment	High priority	Medium priority	High priority	High priority
anagement approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	High priority	Medium priority	High priority	High priority
awareness raising and outreach	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
overnance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	High priority	High priority	Low priority	High priority
ustainable development	High priority	High priority	High priority	Medium priority
uilding environmental and social resilience	High priority	High priority	High priority	Medium priority
tegration of eco-social diversity in management systems	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
option of rights-based approaches to heritage management	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
velopment of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
adaptation to and mitigation of climate change	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority

Please indicate how the State Party is using the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy (2011).

	No	Yes
3.1 The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level.		x
3.2 The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level.		x
3.3 The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities.		x
3.4 The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes.	x	
3.5 The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage.		x

Thailand

8 of 13

- Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

ire is a **national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some **deficiencies in implementation**.

- **Comments:** Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Capacity Development (Questions 9.1 to 9.4).

4-

. Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties

1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage not listed in 5.1 (which focuses on policy general to all heritage), please list them here.

2 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage. Please provide web links for the legislation listed above.

4-

3 - Are the services provided by agencies/institutions adequate for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage properties in your country?

ire is **some capacity** to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but **significant deficiencies** remain.

4 - How does the State Party encourage and support World Heritage properties to manage and develop visitation/tourism sustainably?

3y providing financial resources and incentives for sustainable tourism related activities

3y developing policies and/or requiring sustainable tourism strategies to be developed

3y providing capacity building for site managers

3y facilitating network cooperation and stakeholder engagement through the development of governance structures or other mechanisms for cooperation

5 - Please indicate here any additional information or clarify how the State Party supports sustainable tourism planning and management at a property level.

ude the tourism management in the Master Plan

5 - Does the State Party require the use of impact assessments for programmes (e.g. strategic environmental assessments) or development projects (e.g. environmental impact assessments, heritage impact assessments) that may have an impact on the World Heritage proper
buffer zone and the wider setting?

ire is a **regulatory framework** that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects and it **is effectively implemented**.

7 - Please list the different assessment methods used. Please provide weblinks to the guidance for the assessment methods.

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)

://www.onep.go.th/eia/%E0%B8%81%E0%B8%8E%E0%B8%AB%E0%B8%A1%E0%B8%B2%E0%B8%A2%E0%B8%97%E0%B8%B5%E0%B9%88%E0%B9%80%E0%B8%81%E0%B8%B5%E0%B9%88%E0%B8%A2%E0%B8%A7%E0%B8%82%E0%B9%89%E0%B8%AD%E0%B8%87/e

Environmental and Health Impact Assessment: EHIA

://www.onep.go.th/eia/%e0%b8%81%e0%b8%8e%0%b8%ab%e0%b8%a1%e0%b8%b2%e0%b8%a2%e0%b8%97%e0%b8%b5%e0%b9%88%e0%b9%80%e0%b8%81%e0%b8%b5%e0%b9%88%e0%b8%a2%e0%b8%a7%e0%b8%82%e0%b9%89%e0%b8%ad%e0%b8%87/ehia

8 - Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

ire is a **national capacity building strategy** in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection and management but there are **some deficiencies in its implementation**

9 - Does the State Party have the institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues?

ire **is capacity** at the institutional level to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues **but it could be improved**.

10 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and receiving donations for the protection of World Heritage?

;

11 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage policies and resources

4-

. International Cooperation

1 - Has the State Party promoted international cooperation and the establishment of cooperation mechanisms for heritage since the last Periodic Report? If so, please indicate the type of cooperation that best describes your activities.

3ilateral and multilateral agreements

Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities

Financial support

Participation in foundations for international cooperation

Sharing expertise for capacity building

Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars

Distribution of material/information

2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level?

;

3 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 11.1 to 11.2). If you have twinned World Heritage properties, please list them along with their twins.

ier Park between Great Smoky Mountains National Park and Khao Yai National Park (Dong Phrayayen Khao Yai Forest Complex)

. Education, Information and Awareness Building

1 - Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among communities and different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?

ire **are strategies** to raise awareness about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage **but there are some deficiencies in its implementation**.

2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following groups about World Heritage in your country

ommunities living in/around heritage sites	Good
idigenous peoples	Fair
outh	Good
eneral public	Good
ecision makers and public officials	Good
ivate sector	Good
ourism industry	Good
ther specific groups	Not applicable
you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

3 - Does the State Party have heritage education programmes for children and/or youth, that contribute to improving understanding of heritage, promoting diversity and fostering intercultural dialogue?

ire **are heritage education programmes** to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue **but there are deficiencies in implementation**.

4 - Please rate the level of frequency of the following activities to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue among children and/or youth

eacher training courses on the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit	Low
ourses/activities for students within school programmes	Medium
outh forums	Low
kills-training courses for students	Low
rganized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	High
ctivities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Low
ther	Not applicable
you selected 'Other', please specify	

5 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

State Party **participates** in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

5 - Please add any additional comments, description of best practices in World Heritage Education, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building (Questions 12.3 to 12.5)

4-

. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

nergies with other relevant Conventions, Recommendations and Programmes

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is not using the provisions of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies 	
Identification of heritage		
National inventories		
Tentative List		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established for the identification of sites for the Tentative List for mixed heritage 	
Effectiveness of legal framework		
Function of cultural and natural heritage in the life of the community		
Contribution of heritage to sustainable development policies		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change There is limited integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhancing the quality of life and well-being Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship Ensuring conflict prevention Protecting heritage during conflict Promoting conflict resolution Contributing to post-conflict recovery 	
Larger-scale planning		
Status of services for protection, conservation and presentation		
Financial status		
Human resources		
Capacity development		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some deficiencies in implementation 	
Policy and resourcing of World Heritage properties		
Research on World Heritage properties		
International cooperation		
	<p>Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bilateral and multilateral agreements Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities Financial support Participation in foundations for international cooperation Sharing expertise for capacity building Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars Distribution of material/information 	
Education, information and awareness building		

2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 13.1).

2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to ten)

4	Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join	
4.4	World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage	X
5	UNESCO Recommendations	
5.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is not using the provisions of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape 	X
11	Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies	
11.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is limited integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhancing the quality of life and well-being Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship Ensuring conflict prevention Protecting heritage during conflict Promoting conflict resolution Contributing to post-conflict recovery 	X
14	Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage	
14.2	There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies	X
	Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage	
5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established for the identification of sites for the Tentative List for mixed heritage 	X
	Capacity Development	
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage 	X
4	There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some deficiencies in implementation	X
1	International Cooperation	

1.1	Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bilateral and multilateral agreements • Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities • Financial support • Participation in foundations for international cooperation • Sharing expertise for capacity building • Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars • Distribution of material/information
-----	---

Please select 0 more issues.

■ Please save this question to reflect changes

.3. Priority Actions Assessment

3.1 - Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor

.4	Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join	Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?
.4.4	World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-

.5	UNESCO Recommendations					
.5.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The State Party is not using the provisions of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape 	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-

.11	Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies					
.11.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is limited integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits • Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change 	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-

.14	Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage					
.14.2	There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-

	Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage					
.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established for the identification of sites for the Tentative List for mixed heritage 	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-

	Capacity Development					
.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level • The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level • The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities • The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes • The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage 	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-

4	There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some deficiencies in implementation	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-
1	International Cooperation					
1.1	Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Bilateral and multilateral agreements ● Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities ● Financial support ● Participation in foundations for international cooperation ● Sharing expertise for capacity building ● Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars ● Distribution of material/information 	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-	-N/A-

Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor/ completed

4. Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

4.1 - Please indicate any additional actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention*

4-

. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

1 - **Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the State Party level.**

stablish the Protected Area Committee (PAC) in World Heritage Area - Organized training on enhancing effectiveness and SMART Patrol Technique for Protected Area Management (SMART, Spatial Monitoring And Reporting Tool). - Jointly survey with the relevant agencies in the Protected Area ining activities organized to improve the capacity of local craftspeople who undertake conservation activities.

2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of good practice at the State Party level.

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

.1. Primary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention

1.1 - Which primary institution is responsible for communicating with UNESCO with regards to the World Heritage Convention?

ce of Natural Resources and Environmental Policy and Planning

2. Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

2.1 - Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
INESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies	Good

.3. The four Objectives of Periodic Reporting

3.1 - How well does the current questionnaire address each of the four Objectives of Periodic Reporting?

	Objective	Not at all	Partially	Adequately
5.3.1.1	Provide an assessment of the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> by the State Party		✗	
5.3.1.2	Provide an assessment as to whether the values of World Heritage properties are being maintained over time		✗	
5.3.1.3	Provide updated information about World Heritage properties to record the changing circumstances and state of conservation of the property			✗
5.3.1.4	Provide a mechanism for regional cooperation and exchange of information and experiences between States Parties about the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> , and World Heritage conservation		✗	

4. Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

4.1 -

ne parts should be deleted or improve e.g. Part 8.4 and 8.5 it's difficult to estimate the seed money, which expend in cultural and natural heritage activities especially for local level.

.5. Use of Data

5.1 - How does your State Party plan to use the data?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Updating management plans
Awareness raising
Advocacy
Reporting for other conventions/conservation mechanisms
Reporting on implementation of Sustainable Development Goals
Improve the States Party implementation on the Convention

.6. Timing and resources

5.1 - Were your national authorities given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in the questionnaire during this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

5-

5.2 - Please estimate the time (working hours) and the number of people involved in completing Section I of the questionnaire.

	Time & people	Number of hours worked	Number of people involved
--	---------------	------------------------	---------------------------

5.6.2.1	Gathering data	N/A	3
5.6.2.2	Consulting stakeholders	5	10
5.6.2.3	Filling in the questionnaire	N/A	3

5.3 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the filling out of this questionnaire?
Gender balance has not been explicitly considered or implemented in the process.

5.4 - Did your national authorities mobilise any additional resources to carry out this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
5.6.4.1	Human resources		x
5.6.4.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/training		x

5.5 - Please provide details on any additional resources mobilised.

Online training for site manager to fill in the report. - Translate the related documents include a Handbook for Site Manager in Thai Language by the World Heritage Centre in the cooperate with UNESCO Bangkok.

7. Format and Content of the Periodic Reporting Questionnaire

7.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

7.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Using the questionnaire	fair
Understanding the questions	fair

7.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement

None

8. Training and guidance

8.1 - Please rate the level of support received in terms of training and guidance from the following entities for the completion of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

World Heritage Centre	Good
INESCO (other sectors)	Good
INESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IJCN International	Not applicable
ICROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IJCN national/regional	Not applicable
Category 2 Centres	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

8.2 - Were the online training resources provided by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for your national authorities to complete the online questionnaire?

8.3 - Please provide further comments on the online training resources

None

9. Comments

9.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

None

9.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions.