## Spain

- 1. Introduction
- 1.1 State Party

Spain

- 1.2 Date of ratification/accession/acceptance of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage 04/05/1982
- 1.3 Groups and institutions involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Report

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

- 2. Synergies with other Conventions
- 2.1. Multilateral Environmental Agreements
- 2.1.1 According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, in addition to the World Heritage Convention, your State is party to/not party to/in the process of adhering to the following agreements. Please check and amend as necessary.

|         |   | Not Party to | Party to | Adhesion in Progress |
|---------|---|--------------|----------|----------------------|
| 2.1.1.1 | Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage         |              | ×        |                      |
| 2.1.1.2 | Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)  |              | ×        |                      |
| 2.1.1.3 | Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES) |              | ×        |                      |
| 2.1.1.4 | Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)               |              | ×        |                      |
| 2.1.1.5 | Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)                  |              | ×        |                      |
| 2.1.1.6 | International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)      |              | ×        |                      |
| 2.1.1.7 | International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)  |              | ×        |                      |

2.1.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Ramsar site(s) under the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List). Please check and amend as necessary.

Doñana National Park, Ibiza, Biodiversity and Culture

- 2.1.3 Does your State Party intend to designate any World Heritage property(ies) (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years?

  No
- 2.1.4 Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) your State Party intends to designate (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years.
- 2.1.5 Please list any other relevant global or regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) on natural heritage which have been joined by your State Party.
- 2.2. UNESCO Culture Conventions
- 2.2.1 The table below indicates which of the UNESCO cultural Conventions your State is party to, not party to or in the process of adhering to. Please check and amend as necessary.

|         |  | Not Party<br>to | Party<br>to | Adhesion in<br>Progress |
|---------|--|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| 2.2.1.1 | Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage  |                 | ×           |                         |
| 2.2.1.2 | 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict   |                 | ×           |                         |
| 2.2.1.3 | Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict                  |                 | ×           |                         |
| 2.2.1.4 | 1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property |                 | ×           |                         |
| 2.2.1.5 | 2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage  |                 | ×           |                         |
| 2.2.1.6 | 2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage   |                 | ×           |                         |

24

2.2.2 - Please list any other relevant global or regional Conventions or agreements on cultural heritage to which your State Party is party to or in the process of adhering to.

UNIDROIT /

EEC 3911/92 export of cultural goods /

93/7/EEC return objects /

2.2.3 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre the following World Heritage property(ies) located in your State Party have been included on the List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.2.4 - Does your State Party intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection for any of its World Heritage properties under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict in the next three years?

No

- 2.2.5 Please list any World Heritage properties for which your State Party intends to request the granting of Enhanced Protection.
- 2.3. UNESCO Programmes
- 2.3.1 The table below indicates which of the selected UNESCO programmes your State Party participates in. Please check and amend as necessary.

|         |                                 | No | Yes |
|---------|---------------------------------|----|-----|
| 2.3.1.1 | Man and the Biosphere Programme |    | ×   |
| 2.3.1.2 | UNESCO Global Geoparks          |    | ×   |

2.3.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.

Doñana National Park, Garajonay National Park, Pyrénées - Mont Perdu

#### Comment

- Gran Canaria Biosphere Reserve includes nearly all WH property of Risco Caido (1578). Tower of Hercules (1312): surrounded by Mariñas Coruñesas e Terras do Mandeo BR. Covaciella Cave (310-003): included in the Picos de Europa BR. Santimamiñe Cave (310-016): included in the Urdaibai BR. Some components of 'Rock Art of the Mediterranean Basin on the Iberian Peninsula' (874) are included in Alto Turia and Valle del Cabriel BR. See property 699bis PR for more cases. 45COM-Menorca BR
- 2.3.3 Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme?

  No
- 2.3.4 Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme:
- 2.3.5 According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s)

Pyrénées - Mont Perdu

#### Comment

- The property 'Pirineos Monte Perdido' (773bis) is included in Sobrarbe Geopark. Ekain Cave (310-017) is included in the Basque Coast Geopark. The caves of Altamira (310-001) and El Pendo (310-03) are inside the territory of the aspiring Costa Quebrada Geopark. Some components of 'Rock Art from the Mediterranean Basin on the Iberian Peninsula' (874) are included in Sobrarbe, Maestrazgo, Comarca de Molina-Alto Tajo, Orígens, and Granada Geoparks. See property 699bis PR for more cases.
- 2.3.6 Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?

Yes

2.3.7 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).

There is a project to promote the declaration of Calatrava Region Volcanoes as global geopark. The World Heritage site of Almadén would be included. /

Spain 2 of 19

2.4. Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to ioin

## 2.4.1 - Is there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?

|          |  | Not Applicable | No | Yes |
|----------|--|----------------|----|-----|
| 2.4.1.1  | Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage  |                |    | ×   |
| 2.4.1.2  | Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)   |                | ×  |     |
| 2.4.1.3  | Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)  |                | ×  |     |
| 2.4.1.4  | Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)  |                | ×  |     |
| 2.4.1.5  | Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)   |                |    | ×   |
| 2.4.1.6  | International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)   |                | ×  |     |
| 2.4.1.7  | International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)   |                | ×  |     |
| 2.4.1.8  | 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict   |                |    | ×   |
| 2.4.1.9  | Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict                  |                |    | ×   |
| 2.4.1.10 | 1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property |                |    | ×   |
| 2.4.1.11 | 2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage  |                |    | ×   |
| 2.4.1.12 | 2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage   |                |    | ×   |
| 2.4.1.13 | 2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions   |                |    | ×   |
| 2.4.1.14 | Man and the Biosphere Programme  |                |    | ×   |
| 2.4.1.15 | Global Geoparks  |                |    | ×   |

- 2.4.2 Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):
- 2.4.3 Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

  Yes
- 2.4.4 Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

  Yes
- 2.5. UNESCO Recommendations
- 2.5.1 Is your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?

|         |  | Yes | No |
|---------|--|-----|----|
| 2.5.1.1 | 1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage | ×   |    |
| 2.5.1.2 | 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape  | ×   |    |

- 2.5.2 Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:
- 3. Tentative List
- 3.1 In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?

| ICOMOS thematic studies  |
|--|
| Filling the gaps – an Action Plan for the future by ICOMOS   |
| The World Heritage List: Future priorities for a credible and complete list of natural and mixed sites by IUCN |
| UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List                       |
| World Heritage Resource Manual 'Preparing World Heritage Nominations'  |

Spain 3 of 19

#### 3.2 - Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?

Nο

If you selected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.

### 3.3 - Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?

NIC

## 3.4 - Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?

Yes, among States Parties and communities

#### 3.5 - Please name the site(s) considered to have this potential

Sites with potential to generate dialogue among State Parties: - The Olive Grove Landscapes of Andalusia - Les témoignages matériels de la construction de l'État des Pyrénées: la Co-principauté d'Andorre - Roman Ways. Itineraries of the Roman Empire - Bulwarked Frontier Fortifications - Mediterranean Wind Mills Sites with potential to generate dialogue among Communities: - Mesta Livestock trails

### 3.6 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List

| National government institution(s)                   | Good           |
|--|----------------|
| Regional/provincial/state government(s)              | Good           |
| Local government(s)                                  | Good           |
| Other government departments                         | Good           |
| UNESCO National Commission                           | Poor           |
| Local authorities within or adjacent to the property | Fair           |
| Local communities/residents                          | Fair           |
| Indigenous peoples                                   | Not applicable |
| Other specific groups (please specify below)         | Not applicable |
| Landowners   | Fair           |
| Local industries/tourism and other stakeholders      | Fair           |
| Non-Governmental Organization(s)                     | Fair           |
| Consultants/experts                                  | Good           |
| Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)                       | Good           |
| If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify   |                |

## 3.7 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?

Gender balance has been explicitly considered in the process but there are still deficiencies in the implementation.

## 3.8 - Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?

No

If you selected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List.

### 3.9 - Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)

The Autonomous Communities (regions) propose the nominations, but the authority responsible for the approval of the Tentative List is the Historical Heritage Council (a coordinating body between the State Administration and the Autonomous Communities in the topic of cultural heritage). The Ministry of Culture and Sport submits the Tentative List to the WHC.

### 4. Nominations

## 4.1 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers

| National government institution(s)                   | Good |
|--|------|
| Regional/provincial/state government(s)              | Good |
| Local government(s)                                  | Good |
| Other government departments                         | Fair |
| UNESCO National Commission                           | None |
| Local authorities within or adjacent to the property | Good |

| Local communities/residents                                 | Fair           |
|---|----------------|
| Indigenous peoples  | Not applicable |
| Other specific groups (please specify below)                | Not applicable |
| Landowners  | Good           |
| Local industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders | Fair           |
| Non-Governmental Organization(s)                            | Fair           |
| Consultants/experts   | Good           |
| Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)                              | Good           |
| If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify          |                |

# 4.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?

Yes

## 4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

| Promoted environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being                   | High           |
|--|----------------|
| Enhanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders                       | High           |
| Strengthened protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional) | High           |
| Enhanced conservation practices  | High           |
| Enhanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes                                    | High           |
| Improved presentation of sites   | Some           |
| Enhanced honour/prestige   | Some           |
| Increased funding  | Limited        |
| Additional tool for lobbying/political influence   | Limited        |
| Fostered peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation                                | Some           |
| Increased number of tourists and visitors  | High           |
| Promoted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities                  | High           |
| Other(s)   | Not applicable |
| If 'Other' applies, please specify   |                |

# 4.4 - Please rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

| Protecting biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits | High    |
|--|---------|
| Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change                   | High    |
| Contributing to inclusion and equity   | Some    |
| Enhancing quality of life and well-being   | Some    |
| Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights                                | Some    |
| Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities    | High    |
| Achieving gender equality  | Some    |
| Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods                              | High    |
| Promoting economic investment and quality tourism                                | High    |
| Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship           | High    |
| Ensuring conflict prevention   | Limited |
| Protecting heritage during conflict  | High    |
| Promoting conflict resolution  | Limited |
| Contributing to post-conflict recovery   | Limited |

Spain 5 of 19

| Other(s)                           | Not applicable |
|------------------------------------|----------------|
| If 'Other' applies, please specify |                |

- 4.5 Please provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).
- 5. General Policy Development
- 5.1. Principal pieces of national cultural and/or natural heritage legislation
- 5.1.1 Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

#### Comment

National legislation: - Law 16/1985 on the Spanish Historical Heritage, developed by Royal Decree 111/1986. - Law 5/2007 on the National Parks network - Law 43/2003 on Mounts - Law 4/1989 for the Conservation of Natural Spaces - Law 42/2007 on Natural Heritage and Biodiversity - Law 10/2015 for the safeguarding of Intangible Cultural Heritage Regional legislation: Every Autonomous Community (total of 17) has its own Law on Cultural and Natural Heritage.

- 5.2. Comment on principal pieces of legislation
- 5.2.1 Please comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force

It is foreseen to update the 1985 Law on Spanish Historical Heritage and merge it with the 2015 Law for the safeguarding of Intangible Cultural Heritage. A draft was completed in 2021 and submitted to the Council of Ministers but the process is on hold.

- 5.3. Other principal pieces of legislation
- 5.3.1 If there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.
- 5.4. Contribution of legislation and/or regulations at other levels to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and/or natural heritage
- 5.4.1 Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.

Cultural Heritage laws include the obligation to create and keep up inventories of different kinds of heritage, both cultural and natural, at different levels of legal protection. They also include definitions of different kinds of heritage, preventive and promotion measures for safekeeping, and sanctions for protecting heritage. National Plans establish general guidelines for protecting, managing, and preserving cultural heritage at a practical level, with no legal scope.

- 5.5. Adequacy of the legal framework for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage
- 5.5.1 Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

|  | Culture | Nature |
|--|---------|--------|
| There is <b>no legal framework</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.               |         |        |
| The legal framework is <b>inadequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.         |         |        |
| The legal framework is <b>partially adequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage. |         |        |
| The legal framework is adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.                  | ×       | ×      |

- 5.6. Adequacy of the legal framework for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage
- 5.6.1 Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

|   | Culture | Nature |
|---|---------|--------|
| There is <b>no legal framework</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.               |         |        |
| The legal framework is <b>inadequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.         |         |        |
| The legal framework is <b>partially adequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage. |         |        |
| The legal framework is adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.                  | ×       | ×      |

- 5.7. Enforcement of the legal framework
- 5.7.1 Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?

|  | There is no      | There is no effective             | Existing capacity/resources to enforce the | Existing capacity/resources to enforce the |
|--|------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
|  | legal framework. | capacity/resources to enforce the | legal framework could be strengthened.     | legal framework are adequate.              |
|  |                  | legal framework.                  |  |  |

Spain 6 of 19

| 5.7.1.1 | Culture |  | × |
|---------|---------|--|---|
| 5.7.1.2 | Nature  |  | × |

- 5.8. Comments on the enforcement of the legal framework
- 5.8.1 Please comment on particular problems of enforcement
- 5.9. Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities
- 5.9.1 How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

|   | Culture | Nature |
|---|---------|--------|
| There are <b>no specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities.   |         |        |
| There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.        |         |        |
| There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but there are some deficiencies in their implementation. |         |        |
| There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities that are effectively implemented.                        | ×       | ×      |

- 5.10. Examples of specific policies giving heritage a function in the life of communities
- 5.10.1 Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities
- 5.11. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies
- 5.11.1 How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

| Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits. | High           |
|---|----------------|
| Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change.                             | High           |
| Contributing to inclusion and equality.   | Some           |
| Enhancing the quality of life and well-being.   | Some           |
| Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights.  | Some           |
| Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities.              | Some           |
| Achieving gender equality.  | Some           |
| Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods.  | High           |
| Promoting economic investment and quality tourism.  | High           |
| Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship.                     | High           |
| Ensuring conflict prevention.   | Some           |
| Protecting heritage during conflict.  | Some           |
| Promoting conflict resolution.  | Some           |
| Contributing to post-conflict recovery.   | Some           |
| Other (please specify).   | Not applicable |
| If 'Other' applies, please specify  |                |
|   |                |

- 5.12. UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011
- 5.12.1 In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):

Encourage the HUL approach across its territory

- 5.13. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes
- 5.13.1 How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

5.14. Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set

Spain 7 of 19

national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

## 5.14.1 - Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage?

|          | UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy                                      | No | Yes |
|----------|---|----|-----|
| 5.14.1.1 | Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties |    | ×   |
| 5.14.1.2 | Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties       |    | ×   |
| 5.14.1.3 | World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy                                     | ×  |     |
| 5.14.1.4 | World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy                                 |    | ×   |

- 5.14.2 Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage? There is **limited coordination** and **integration** of the implementation of these multilateral agreements, Programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.
- 5.15. Specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies
- 5.15.1 Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

The UNESCO Conventions Unit of the Ministry of Culture and Sport is promoting the elaboration of Management Plans for the Spanish WH sites, as well as the use of Heritage Impact Assessment in cases of potential impact on the properties.

- 5.16. Comments on General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)
- 5.16.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)
- 6. Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage
- 6.1 If the State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?

|                           | Cultural heritage                         | Natural heritage                          |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| National/federal          | Process completed or continuously updated | Process completed or continuously updated |
| Regional/provincial/state | Process completed or continuously updated | Process completed or continuously updated |
| Local                     | Process completed or continuously updated | Process completed or continuously updated |
| Other                     | Not applicable                            | Not applicable                            |

### 6.2 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?

|   | Culture | Nature |
|---|---------|--------|
| No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.                       |         |        |
| Inventories/lists/registers are <b>inadequate</b> to capture the diversity of heritage. |         |        |
| Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of heritage.                  | ×       | ×      |
| Inventories/lists/registers capture the <b>full</b> diversity of heritage.              |         |        |

## 6.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?

|   | Culture | Nature |
|---|---------|--------|
| No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.                 |         |        |
| Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the protection of heritage. |         |        |
| Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the protection of heritage.    |         |        |
| Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the protection of heritage.   | ×       | ×      |

## 6.4 - In addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?

|   | Culture | Nature |
|---|---------|--------|
| The State Party <b>does not involve</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.              |         |        |
| The State Party plans to involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future. |         |        |

| The State Party <b>sometimes involves</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers. | × | × |
|--|---|---|
| The State Party <b>regularly involves</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers. |   |   |

#### 6.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?

|   | Culture | Nature | Mixed |
|---|---------|--------|-------|
| No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.   |         |        |       |
| Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List. |         |        |       |
| Inventories/lists/registers are <b>sometimes used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.    |         |        |       |
| Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.          | ×       | ×      | ×     |

## 6.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)

- 7. Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage
- 7.1 How effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?

There is **effective cooperation** between principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.

7.2 - How effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

In general, **cooperation exists** between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritagebut there are still deficiencies.

# 7.3 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

|  | Culture | Nature |
|--|---------|--------|
| There is <b>no cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.   |         |        |
| There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.  |         |        |
| In general, <b>cooperation exists</b> between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage <b>but there are still deficiencies</b> . |         |        |
| Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.  | ×       | ×      |

# 7.4 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

|   | Culture | Nature |
|---|---------|--------|
| There is <b>no cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.                                   |         |        |
| There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.                              |         |        |
| There is <b>some cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritagebut there are still deficiencies. | ×       | ×      |
| Different levels of government <b>cooperate effectively</b> with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.   |         |        |

### 8. Financial Status and Human Resources

# 8.1 - Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

| National government funds   | Major source of project funding                       |
|---|---|
| Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)                           | Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance |
| International assistance from the World Heritage Fund                           | Minor source of project funding                       |
| Funds from other international conventions/programmes                           | Minor source of project funding                       |
| International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.) | Minor source of project funding                       |

Spain 9 of 19

| International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.) | No funding/Not applicable       |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Non-Governmental Organizations (international and/or national)   | Minor source of project funding |
| Private sector funds   | Minor source of project funding |
| Other  | No funding/Not applicable       |
| If 'Other' applies, please specify                               |                                 |

## 8.2 - Does the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

|       |         | No | Yes |
|-------|---------|----|-----|
| 8.2.1 | Culture | ×  |     |
| 8.2.2 | Nature  | ×  |     |

### 8.3 - Do you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

|  | Culture | Nature |
|--|---------|--------|
| The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage. |         |        |
| The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.  | ×       | ×      |
| The available budget is <b>adequate</b> to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.   |         |        |

# 8.4 - Please indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

|       | Category | percentage % |
|-------|----------|--------------|
| 8.4.1 | Cultural | 0,24         |
| 8.4.2 | Natural  |              |

## 8.5 - Please estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

| Percentage |                     | Cultural  | Natural   |
|------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 8.5.1      | National/Federal    | 0,05 %    | 0 %       |
| 8.5.2      | Regional/Provincial | 0,09 %    | 0 %       |
| 8.5.3      | Local               | 0,10 %    | 0 %       |
|            |                     | Total 0 % | Total 0 % |

### 8.6 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

| Human resources   | Culture | Nature |
|---|---------|--------|
| Human resources are <b>inadequate</b> for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage.            |         |        |
| A range of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are <b>below optimum</b> . | ×       | ×      |
| Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.     |         |        |

### 8.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)

The current structure of budgetary statistics does not allow to estimate the annual public expenditure spent on natural heritage. For more information about the data in questions 8.4 and 8.5 see: https://www.ine.es/dyngs/ODS/es/metadatos.htm?idSub=96

## 9. Capacity Development

## 9.1 - Please prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

|   | Culture         | Nature          |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| National/federal                              | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Statutory processes: Tentative Lists          | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Statutory processes: Nominations              | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring | High priority   | High priority   |
| Statutory processes: International assistance | Low priority    | Low priority    |

Spain 10 of 19

| Conservation and management of heritage sites   | Medium priority | High priority   |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Technical and scientific issues   | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Traditional conservation processes  | High priority   | High priority   |
| Sustainable resource utilisation and management   | High priority   | High priority   |
| Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties   | High priority   | High priority   |
| Risk preparedness and disaster risk management  | High priority   | High priority   |
| Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)  | High priority   | High priority   |
| Sustainable tourism use and management  | High priority   | High priority   |
| Management effectiveness assessment   | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)   | High priority   | High priority   |
| Awareness raising and outreach  | High priority   | High priority   |
| Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms  | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Sustainable development   | High priority   | High priority   |
| Protection and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems   | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation                                       | High priority   | High priority   |
| Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management  | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Inclusive social development in World Heritage management systems   | High priority   | High priority   |
| Gender balance in management systems  | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Inclusive economic development in World Heritage management systems   | High priority   | High priority   |
| World Heritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security   | Low priority    | Low priority    |

# 9.2 - Please rate the priority for training target audiences for each of the specific topics/themes/capacity building needs identified below for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

|  | Administrators and government bodies at all levels                        | Communities, indigenous peoples, landowners, local businesses, other social groups etc. | Universities, NGOs etc. | Heritage<br>practitioners |
|--|---|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Implementation of the Convention                             | High priority   | Low priority  | Medium priority         | High priority             |
| Statutory processes: Tentative Lists process                 | High priority   | Medium priority   | Low priority            | Medium priority           |
| Statutory processes: Nomination process                      | High priority   | Medium priority   | Medium priority         | High priority             |
| Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring process        | High priority   | Medium priority   | Medium priority         | High priority             |
| Statutory processes: International assistance, etc.          | tory processes: International assistance, Medium priority Medium priority |   | Low priority            | Medium priority           |
| Conservation and management of heritage sites                | High priority   | High priority   | Medium priority         | Medium priority           |
| Technical and Scientific issues                              | High priority   | High priority   | High priority           | High priority             |
| Traditional conservation processes                           | High priority   | Medium priority   | Medium priority         | High priority             |
| Sustainable resource utilisation and management              | High priority   | High priority   | Medium priority         | High priority             |
| Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties    | High priority   | Medium priority   | Medium priority         | High priority             |
| Risk preparedness and disaster risk management               | High priority   | High priority   | Low priority            | High priority             |
| Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social) | High priority   | Low priority  | Medium priority         | High priority             |
| Sustainable tourism use and management                       | High priority   | Medium priority   | Medium priority         | High priority             |
| Management effectiveness assessment                          | High priority   | Low priority  | Medium priority         | Medium priority           |

Spain 11 of 19

| Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)                        | High priority   | Low priority    | Low priority    | High priority   |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Awareness raising and outreach   | High priority   | Low priority    | Low priority    | High priority   |
| Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms | High priority   | Low priority    | Low priority    | High priority   |
| Sustainable development  | High priority   | Low priority    | Medium priority | High priority   |
| Building environmental and social resilience                                   | Medium priority | Medium priority | Low priority    | High priority   |
| Integration of eco-social diversity in management systems                      | Medium priority | Low priority    | Low priority    | High priority   |
| Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management                     | High priority   | Medium priority | Low priority    | Medium priority |
| Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems           | High priority   | Low priority    | Low priority    | High priority   |
| Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change                                 | High priority   | Low priority    | Low priority    | High priority   |

#### 9.3 - Please indicate how the State Party is using the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy (2011).

|       |   | No | Yes |
|-------|---|----|-----|
| 9.3.1 | The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level.                        | ×  |     |
| 9.3.2 | The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level.           | ×  |     |
| 9.3.3 | The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities.   |    | ×   |
| 9.3.4 | The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes.                                   | ×  |     |
| 9.3.5 | The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage. |    | ×   |

## 9.4 - Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management that is effectively implemented.

## 9.5 - Comments: Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Capacity Development (Questions 9.1 to 9.4).

At the national level, the main body for capacity development in the field of cultural heritage is the Institute of Cultural Heritage of Spain, which is part of the Ministry of Culture. It provides training for technicians specialising in the conservation and restoration of cultural property, through continuous learning, participation in post-graduate training, seminars, and scientific meetings, and a program of international cooperation. Some autonomous regions have similar institutions.

- 10. Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties
- 10.1 If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage not listed in 5.1 (which focuses on policy general to all heritage), please list them here.
- 10.2 Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage. Please provide web links for the legislation listed above.

The WH site 'Palmeral de Elche' (930) has since 2022 a specific law for its protection and preservation. A similar law has been drafted and is in the process of being passed for the 'Cultural Landscape of Serra de Tramuntana' (1371).

10.3 - Are the services provided by agencies/institutions adequate for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is adequate capacity within services to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties.

10.4 - How does the State Party encourage and support World Heritage properties to manage and develop visitation/tourism sustainably?

By providing financial resources and incentives for sustainable tourism related activities

By developing policies and/or requiring sustainable tourism strategies to be developed

10.5 - Please indicate here any additional information or clarify how the State Party supports sustainable tourism planning and management at a property level.

The UNESCO Conventions Unit (Ministry of Culture) promotes the elaboration of Management Plans for the Spanish WH sites, which specifically target the question of sustainable tourism planning.

10.6 - Does the State Party require the use of impact assessments for programmes (e.g. strategic environmental assessments) or development projects (e.g. environmental impact assessments, heritage impact assessments) that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting?

There is no regulatory framework that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects.

Spain 12 of 19

#### 10.7 - Please list the different assessment methods used. Please provide weblinks to the guidance for the assessment methods.

The UNESCO Conventions Unit promotes the use of Impact Assessment strategy in cases of potential affection to the properties. For this purpose, it is recommended to the site managers the use of the publication 'Guidance and Toolkit for Impact Assessment' published by ICCROM, IUCN, and ICOMOS and we are fostering its translation into Spanish.

## 10.8 - Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis

#### 10.9 - Does the State Party have the institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues?

There is capacity at the institutional level to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues but it could be improved.

## 10.10 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and receiving donations for the protection of World Heritage?

No

#### 10.11 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage policies and resources

#### 11. International Cooperation

## 11.1 - Has the State Party promoted international cooperation and the establishment of cooperation mechanisms for heritage since the last Periodic Report? If so, please indicate the type of cooperation that best describes your activities.

| Bilateral and multilateral agreements                            |
|--|
| Financial support  |
| Participation in foundations for international cooperation       |
| Sharing expertise for capacity building                          |
| Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars |
| Distribution of material/information                             |

## 11.2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level?

## 11.3 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 11.1 to 11.2). If you have twinned World Heritage properties, please list them along with their twins.

Spain promotes projects through the extra-budgetary funds for the identification, protection, conservation and preservation of the World Heritage located in other countries.

- 12. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 12.1 Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among communities and different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?

There are strategies to raise awareness about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage that are being effectively implemented.

## 12.2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following groups about World Heritage in your country

| Communities living in/around heritage sites              | Good           |
|--|----------------|
| Indigenous peoples                                       | Not applicable |
| Youth  | Fair           |
| General public   | Fair           |
| Decision makers and public officials                     | Poor           |
| Private sector   | Poor           |
| Tourism industry   | Fair           |
| Other specific groups                                    | Not applicable |
| If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe |                |

## 12.3 - Does the State Party have heritage education programmes for children and/or youth, that contribute to improving understanding of heritage, promoting diversity and fostering intercultural dialogue?

There are heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue that are effectively implemented.

## 12.4 - Please rate the level of frequency of the following activities to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue among children and/or youth

Spain 13 of 19

| Teacher training courses on the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit    | Medium         |
|---|----------------|
| Courses/activities for students within school programmes                        | Medium         |
| Youth forums  | High           |
| Skills-training courses for students  | Medium         |
| Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites | High           |
| Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations | Medium         |
| Other   | Not applicable |
| If you selected 'Other', please specify   |                |

#### 12.5 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party participates in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme and has integrated World Heritage Education into School Curricula.

## 12.6 - Please add any additional comments, description of best practices in World Heritage Education, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building (Questions 12.3 to 12.5)

- World Heritage Managers Meetings in Spain: every year since 2007- Average participation: 70 people. - Iberic World Heritage managers Meetings (Portugal, Andorra, Spain): biannually since 2004. - World Heritage International Youth Forum in Spain: every year since 2009- Average participation: 40 young people from different countries and continents. - Recent publication:

https://www.libreria.culturaydeporte.gob.es/libro/patrimonio-mundial-en-espana-2022-fichas\_10092/edicion/ebook-9733/

#### 13. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

### 13.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

Synergies with other relevant Conventions, Recommendations and Programmes

• There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies

#### Identification of heritage

#### National inventories

### Tentative List

• The State Party does not intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of its Tentative List

### Effectiveness of legal framework

Function of cultural and natural heritage in the life of the community

### Contribution of heritage to sustainable development policies

- There is no integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:
- There is **limited integration** of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:
  - Contributing to inclusion and equality
  - Enhancing the quality of life and well-being
  - Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights
  - Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities
  - Achieving gender equality
  - Ensuring conflict prevention
  - Protecting heritage during conflict
  - Promoting conflict resolution
  - Contributing to post-conflict recovery

### Larger-scale planning

Status of services for protection, conservation and presentation

## Financial status

### Human resources

### Capacity development

Spain 14 of 19

- The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level
- The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level
- The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities
- The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes
- The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage

#### Policy and resourcing of World Heritage properties

- There is no regulatory framework that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting
- There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis

#### Research on World Heritage properties

#### International cooperation

Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report:

- Bilateral and multilateral agreements
- Financial support
- Participation in foundations for international cooperation
- . Sharing expertise for capacity building
- Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars
- Distribution of material/information

#### Education, information and awareness building

13.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 13.1).

### 13.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to ten)

| 3      | Tentative List   |   |
|--------|--|---|
| 3.3    | The State Party does not intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of its Tentative List   | × |
| 5.11   | Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies   |   |
| 5.11.1 | <ul> <li>There is no integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:</li> <li>There is limited integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul> <li>Contributing to inclusion and equality</li> <li>Enhancing the quality of life and well-being</li> <li>Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights</li> <li>Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities</li> <li>Achieving gender equality</li> <li>Ensuring conflict prevention</li> <li>Protecting heritage during conflict</li> <li>Promoting conflict resolution</li> <li>Contributing to post-conflict recovery</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |   |
| 5.14   | Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage  |   |
| 5.14.2 | There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies   | × |
| 9      | Capacity Development   |   |
| 9.3    | <ul> <li>The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level</li> <li>The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level</li> <li>The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities</li> <li>The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes</li> <li>The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage</li> </ul>  | × |
| 10     | Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties   |   |
| 10.6   | There is <b>no regulatory framework</b> that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting   | × |
| 10.8   | There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis   | × |
| 11     | International Cooperation  |   |

Spain 15 of 19

## 13.3. Priority Actions Assessment

## 13.3.1 - Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor

| 13.3.1 - P | lease indic   | cate priority ac   | tions to a       | address items rated a   | s poo   | r                              |                              |   |
|------------|---|--|------------------|---|---------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 3          | Tentative Li  | st   |                  |   |         |                                |                              |   |
|            |   | Action   |                  | Short description   | Auth    | nority(ies) responsible        | Timeframe                    | May this action require<br>International Assistance<br>from the World Heritage<br>Fund? |
| 3.3        | The State Pa<br>does not inte<br>to use the<br>Upstream<br>Process duri<br>the next revis<br>of its Tentation<br>List | nd us as a defici<br>ng<br>sion  |                  |   | Mini    | stry of Culture and Sports     | No timeframe                 | No assistance is required.  |
| 5.14       |   |  | _                | s agreed by the World Heritag<br>ral and natural heritage   | ge Comi | nittee or the World Herita     | ge General Assembly to set n | ational policies or strategies  |
| 5.14.2     |   | There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies   | draft a natio    | ompletion of PR3, we will nonal action plan for WH is issue will be adressed.                           |         | Ministry of Culture and Sports | Medium term                  | Not required  |
| 9          | Capacity I  | Development  |                  |   |         |                                |                              |   |
| 9.3        | us Bu thi of at Th us Bu thi of at rei lev Th us Bu ful su Bu Th us Bu Bu ful su Bu Ful Su Bu                         | e State Party is not ing the Capacity building Strategy for a implementation capacity building the national level e State Party is not ing the Capacity illding Strategy for a implementation capacity building the Gapacity building the gional/sub-regiona | we<br>pla<br>iss | ter the completion of PR3, a will draft a national action an for WH in which this sue will be adressed. |         | Ministry of Culture and Sports | 2024-2026                    | No assistance is required.  |

Spain 16 of 19

|      | conserve and<br>manage culti<br>natural herita   | ural and   |  |  |                                |                   |                           |
|------|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| 10   | Policy and Resou   | rcing of World H   | leritage Properties  |  |                                |                   |                           |
| 10.6 | There is no regulatory framework that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting  | It will be adress<br>drafting of the la<br>heritage.   |  | Curso IPCE   | stry of Culture<br>Sports      | Medium term       | No                        |
| 10.8 | There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, presentation and management but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis   | different topics<br>discussed. This<br>Management P  | neeting, in which<br>have been<br>year's theme is<br>lans. The themes<br>ery year according  |  | stry of Culture<br>Sport       | short-medium term | No assistance is required |
| 11   | International Cod  | operation  |  |  |                                |                   |                           |
| 11.1 | Forms of internation and of mechanisms for hormoted by the Since the last Peri Bilateral a multilatera agreemen Financial: Participati foundation internation cooperatic Sharing en for capaci building Hosting an attending internation training courses/s Distribution material/in | cooperation eritage State Party odic Report: and al stats support on in as for al on expertise ty and/or and eminars | - Currently working of the UNESCO C the town of Santar the Spanish WH p international sites; management impli cooperation mechaplace and working | C2 on rock art in oder Four of roperties are their es international anisms that are in | Ministry of Cultu<br>and Sport | re Ongoing        | No assistance is required |

Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor completed

13.4. Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

## 13.4.1 - Please indicate any additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

On a daily basis, the Ministry of Culture's tasks include the application and follow-up of Committee decisions, SoCs, cooperation with sites, and a programme of grants for WH sites since 2007.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

## 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the State Party level.

- Legislation: the WH site 'Palmeral de Elche' (930) has since 2022 a specific law for its protection and preservation. A similar law has been drafted and is in the process of being passed for the 'Cultural Landscape of Serra de Tramuntana' (1371). - Since 2022 the 'Cultural Landscape of Risco Caído' (1578) has had a joint management body for both the WH property and the Biosphere Reserve. - In 2023 the UNESCO Conventions Unit promoted the drafting and signing of the Protocol

Spain 17 of 19

for the creation of a coordination committee for the WH property 'Rock Art of the Mediterranean Basin on the Iberian Peninsula' (874), a particularly complex property composed of 758 sites. In the committee are represented six autonomous communities and the Ministry of Culture.

## 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of good practice at the State Party level.

Synergies

Management

Governance

- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.1. Primary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention
- 15.1.1 Which primary institution is responsible for communicating with UNESCO with regards to the World Heritage Convention? Ministry of Culture and Sport
- 15.2. Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.2.1 - Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

| State Party                  | Good |
|------------------------------|------|
| Site Managers                | Good |
| UNESCO World Heritage Centre | Good |
| Advisory Bodies              | Good |

### 15.3. The four Objectives of Periodic Reporting

### 15.3.1 - How well does the current questionnaire address each of the four Objectives of Periodic Reporting?

|          | Objective  | Not at all | Partially | Adequately |
|----------|--|------------|-----------|------------|
| 15.3.1.1 | Provide an assessment of the implementation of the World Heritage Convention by the State Party  |            |           | ×          |
| 15.3.1.2 | Provide an assessment as to whether the values of World Heritage properties are being maintained over time   |            |           | ×          |
| 15.3.1.3 | Provide updated information about World Heritage properties to record the changing circumstances and state of conservation of the property   |            |           | ×          |
| 15.3.1.4 | Provide a mechanism for regional cooperation and exchange of information and experiences between States Parties about the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> , and World Heritage conservation |            |           | ×          |

## 15.4. Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 15.4.1 -

It would have been very useful to have more training provided by WHC for national focal points as well and exchange opportunities with other national focal points of the region.

## 15.5. Use of Data

## 15.5.1 - How does your State Party plan to use the data?

| Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage |
|--|
| Updating management plans  |
| Awareness raising  |
| Advocacy   |
| Improve the States Party implementation on the Convention  |

## 15.6. Timing and resources

## 15.6.1 - Were your national authorities given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in the questionnaire during this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Yes

### 15.6.2 - Please estimate the time (working hours) and the number of people involved in completing Section I of the questionnaire.

|          | Time & people                | Number of hours worked | Number of people involved |
|----------|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 15.6.2.1 | Gathering data               | 75                     | 2                         |
| 15.6.2.2 | Consulting stakeholders      | 250                    | 2                         |
| 15.6.2.3 | Filling in the questionnaire | 60                     | 1                         |

15.6.3 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

#### 15.6.4 - Did your national authorities mobilise any additional resources to carry out this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

|          | Additional resources  | No | Yes |
|----------|---|----|-----|
| 15.6.4.1 | Human resources   |    | ×   |
| 15.6.4.2 | Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/training |    | ×   |

#### 15.6.5 - Please provide details on any additional resources mobilised.

This office organised an on-line training workshop for Spanish WH site managers on October 2022. On April 2023 the representatives of both components of the transnational site 'Heritage of Mercury. Almadén-Idrija' met at the premises of the Ministry of Culture in Madrid in order to merge information, discuss and complete the PR. On-line and in-person meetings were held with other site managers and local and regional administrators. Two external advisors were hired to draft two different PRs.

### 15.7. Format and Content of the Periodic Reporting Questionnaire

### 15.7.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

#### 15.7.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

| Using the questionnaire     | fair |
|-----------------------------|------|
| Understanding the questions | fair |

### 15.7.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement

The questionnaire does not allow to reflect the complexity of serial properties, especially if their components are managed by different administrations; this was particularly evident in the case of 'Routes of Santiago de Compostela', for which the manager had to gather information from 9 different autonomous governments, and it differed considerably from one case to the other. A similar challenge was found for 'Rock Art from the Mediterranean Basin of the Iberian Peninsula', or Almadén-Idrija.

### 15.8. Training and guidance

## 15.8.1 - Please rate the level of support received in terms of training and guidance from the following entities for the completion of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

| World Heritage Centre              | Good           |
|------------------------------------|----------------|
| UNESCO (other sectors)             | Not applicable |
| UNESCO National Commission         | Not applicable |
| ICOMOS International               | Not applicable |
| IUCN International                 | Not applicable |
| ICCROM International/regional      | Not applicable |
| ICOMOS national/regional           | Not applicable |
| IUCN national/regional             | Not applicable |
| Category 2 Centres                 | Not applicable |
| Other                              | Not applicable |
| If 'Other' applies, please specify |                |

# 15.8.2 - Were the online training resources provided by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for your national authorities to complete the online questionnaire?

No

### 15.8.3 - Please provide further comments on the online training resources

On-line training materials were well-done but it would have been very useful to hold at least one presential training course, that could be used to gather all national focal points and exchange experiences.

#### 15.9. Comments

## 15.9.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

As I explained by email to the WHC, I found difficulties while revising the Section II of the online questionnaire of the WH properties. The introduction of changes (such as the correction of typographical errors or rephrasing to clarify the ideas) in Question 4 provoked new subsections to be created automatically by the system in Question 12. Therefore, reports that were 100% complete when I started proofreading them, were incomplete when I finished. As a result, I stopped correcting them.

#### 15.9.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions.

Spain 19 of 19