

Serbia

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

Serbia

1.2 - Date of ratification/accession/acceptance of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage
11/09/2001

1.3 - Groups and institutions involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Report

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

National Commission for UNESCO

Focal points of other international Conventions/programmes

World Heritage site managers/coordinators

ICOMOS national/regional

2. Synergies with other Conventions

2.1. Multilateral Environmental Agreements

2.1.1 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, in addition to the World Heritage Convention, your State is party to/not party to/in the process of adhering to the following agreements. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.1.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		✗	
2.1.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)		✗	
2.1.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)		✗	
2.1.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)		✗	
2.1.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)		✗	
2.1.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)		✗	
2.1.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)		✗	

2.1.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Ramsar site(s) under the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List). Please check and amend as necessary.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

Comment

<https://www.ramsar.org/wetland/serbia> DJERDAP Designation date: 08-06-2020 Site number: 2442 66,525 ha PESTERSKO POLJE Designation date: 19-03-2006 Site number: 1656 3,421 ha ZASAVICA Designation date: 13-03-2008 Site number: 1783 1,913 ha GORNJE PODUNAVLJE Designation date: 13-11-2007 Site number: 1737 22,480 ha KOVILJSKO-PETROVARADINSKI RIT Designation date: 08-03-2012 Site number: 2028 8,292 ha LABUDOVO OKNO Designation date: 19-03-2006 Site number: 1655 3,733 ha LUDASKO LAKE Designat

2.1.3 - Does your State Party intend to designate any World Heritage property(ies) (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years?

No

2.1.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) your State Party intends to designate (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years.

2.1.5 - Please list any other relevant global or regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) on natural heritage which have been joined by your State Party.

2.2. UNESCO Culture Conventions

2.2.1 - The table below indicates which of the UNESCO cultural Conventions your State is party to, not party to or in the process of adhering to. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
--	--	--------------	----------	----------------------

2.2.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		×	
2.2.1.2	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		×	
2.2.1.3	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		×	
2.2.1.4	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property		×	
2.2.1.5	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage	×		
2.2.1.6	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage		×	
2.2.1.7	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions		×	

2.2.2 - Please list any other relevant global or regional Conventions or agreements on cultural heritage to which your State Party is party to or in the process of adhering to.

2.2.3 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre the following World Heritage property(ies) located in your State Party have been included on the List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.2.4 - Does your State Party intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection for any of its World Heritage properties under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict in the next three years?

Yes

2.2.5 - Please list any World Heritage properties for which your State Party intends to request the granting of Enhanced Protection.

The Studenica Monastery is a candidate to be inscribed on the List of Cultural Heritage with Enhanced Protection, already on the List of World Heritage. The nomination dossier is being supplemented according to the instructions of the Secretariat for the Implementation of the Hague Convention. The Studenica Monastery was inscribed on the List of World Heritage in 1986 by decision number 10 COM VIII.

2.3. UNESCO Programmes

2.3.1 - The table below indicates which of the selected UNESCO programmes your State Party participates in. Please check and amend as necessary.

		No	Yes
2.3.1.1	Man and the Biosphere Programme		×
2.3.1.2	UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.3.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

Comment

Golija-Studenica Biosphere Reserve, Serbia (<https://en.unesco.org/biosphere/eu-na/golija-studenica>) Backo Podunavlje Biosphere Reserve, Serbia (<https://en.unesco.org/biosphere/eu-na/backo-podunavlje>)

2.3.3 - Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme?

No

2.3.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme:

2.3.5 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s)

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

Comment

Studenica Monastery (buffer zone) is under Golija-Studenica Biosphere Reserve, Serbia (<https://en.unesco.org/biosphere/eu-na/golija-studenica>)

2.3.6 - Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?

No

2.3.7 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).

2.4. Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join

2.4.1 - Is there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?

		Not Applicable	No	Yes
2.4.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage			✗
2.4.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)			✗
2.4.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)			✗
2.4.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)			✗
2.4.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)			✗
2.4.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)			✗
2.4.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)			✗
2.4.1.8	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict			✗
2.4.1.9	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict			✗
2.4.1.10	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property			✗
2.4.1.11	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage	✗		
2.4.1.12	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage			✗
2.4.1.13	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions			✗
2.4.1.14	Man and the Biosphere Programme			✗
2.4.1.15	Global Geoparks			✗

2.4.2 - Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):**2.4.3 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?**

Yes

2.4.4 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

Yes

2.5. UNESCO Recommendations**2.5.1 - Is your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?**

		Yes	No
2.5.1.1	1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage	✗	
2.5.1.2	2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape	✗	

2.5.2 - Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:**3. Tentative List****3.1 - In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?**

ICOMOS thematic studies

3.2 - Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?

Yes

If you selected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.

ICOMOS was invited by the State Party of Serbia, to explore whether, and if so how, The Fortified Manasija Monastery (Ref.: 5536) might have the potential to be nominated for World Heritage status. A Mission was undertaken in June 2021 by two ICOMOS experts.

3.3 - Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?

No

3.4 - Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?

Yes, among communities

3.5 - Please name the site(s) considered to have this potential

Frontiers of the Roman Empire – The Danube Limes 31/01/2020 Cultural landscape of Ba and its surroundings 01/02/2019 Negotinske Pivnice 15/04/2010 Smederevo Fortress 15/04/2010

3.6 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Fair
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities/residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Local industries/tourism and other stakeholders	Fair
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants/experts	Good
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

3.7 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

3.8 - Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?

Yes

If you selected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List.

<https://whc.unesco.org/en/tentativelists/1695/>

3.9 - Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)

4. Nominations

4.1 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities/residents	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Local industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders	Fair

Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants/experts	Good
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

4.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?

Yes

4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

Promoted environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being	High
Enhanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders	Some
Strengthened protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)	High
Enhanced conservation practices	High
Enhanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes	Some
Improved presentation of sites	High
Enhanced honour/prestige	High
Increased funding	Some
Additional tool for lobbying/political influence	None
Fostered peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation	Some
Increased number of tourists and visitors	High
Promoted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities	Some
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

4.4 - Please rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits	Some
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change	High
Contributing to inclusion and equity	Limited
Enhancing quality of life and well-being	Some
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights	Not applicable
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities	Not applicable
Achieving gender equality	Some
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods	Some
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism	Some
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship	Some
Ensuring conflict prevention	High
Protecting heritage during conflict	High
Promoting conflict resolution	Not applicable
Contributing to post-conflict recovery	Some
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

4.5 - Please provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).

5. General Policy Development

5.1. Principal pieces of national cultural and/or natural heritage legislation

5.1.1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

Comment

The Law on Cultural Heritage ("Official Gazette of RS", no. 129/2021) The Law on Cultural Property ("Official Gazette of the RS", nos. 71/94, 52/11 - other laws, 99/11 - other law, 6/20 - other law and 35/21 - other law) shall cease to be valid on the day this Law enters into force. The Law of Natural Conservation ("Official Gazette of RS", no. 36/2009, 88/2010, 91/2010, 14/2016 and 95/2018)

5.2. Comment on principal pieces of legislation

5.2.1 - Please comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force

5.3. Other principal pieces of legislation

5.3.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.

The Law on Cultural Heritage (/ Cultural / National /

The Law of Natural Conservation (/ Natural / National /

5.4. Contribution of legislation and/or regulations at other levels to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and/or natural heritage

5.4.1 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.

Protection and use of cultural property shall be exercised by performing activities of protection of cultural property, administrative and legal acts and other measures provided for herein. Protection of immobile cultural property and they protected environment and property enjoying prior protection shall be exercised also on the basis of regulations on planning and arrangement of space, construction of buildings and environmental protection.

5.5. Adequacy of the legal framework for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.5.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no legal framework for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is inadequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is partially adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✗	✗

5.6. Adequacy of the legal framework for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.6.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no legal framework for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is inadequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is partially adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✗	✗

5.7. Enforcement of the legal framework

5.7.1 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?

		There is no legal framework.	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework are adequate.
5.7.1.1	Culture				✗
5.7.1.2	Nature				✗

5.8. Comments on the enforcement of the legal framework

5.8.1 - Please comment on particular problems of enforcement

5.9. Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities

5.9.1 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

	Culture	Nature
There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities.		
There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.		
There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.		
There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities that are effectively implemented.	×	×

5.10. Examples of specific policies giving heritage a function in the life of communities

5.10.1 - Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities

5.11. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies

5.11.1 - How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits.	Some
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change.	Some
Contributing to inclusion and equality.	Some
Enhancing the quality of life and well-being.	Some
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights.	Some
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities.	Some
Achieving gender equality.	Some
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods.	Some
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism.	Some
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship.	Some
Ensuring conflict prevention.	Some
Protecting heritage during conflict.	Some
Promoting conflict resolution.	Some
Contributing to post-conflict recovery.	Some
Other (please specify).	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

5.12. UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011

5.12.1 - In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):

Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the identification and protection of historic layering in urban environments
Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the harmonious integration of contemporary intervention into the historic urban fabric
Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for monitoring the implementation of the Recommendation and its impact on the conservation and management of historic cities

5.13. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes

5.13.1 - How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?

There **are policies** that are **effectively implemented.**

5.14. Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set

national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

5.14.1 - Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage?

	UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy	No	Yes
5.14.1.1	Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties		✗
5.14.1.2	Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties		✗
5.14.1.3	World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy		✗
5.14.1.4	World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy		✗

5.14.2 - Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

There is **adequate coordination and integration** of the implementation of these multilateral agreements, Programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.

5.15. Specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

5.15.1 - Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

5.16. Comments on General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

5.16.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

6. Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage

6.1 - If the State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?

	Cultural heritage	Natural heritage
National/federal	Process completed or continuously updated	Process completed or continuously updated
Regional/provincial/state	Process well-advanced	Process completed or continuously updated
Local	Process commenced	Process well-advanced
Other	Not applicable	Not applicable

6.2 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers capture the full diversity of heritage.	✗	✗

6.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the protection of heritage.	✗	✗

6.4 - In addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?

	Culture	Nature
The State Party does not involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
The State Party plans to involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future.		
The State Party sometimes involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		

The State Party regularly involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.	×	×
--	---	---

6.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?

	Culture	Nature	Mixed
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.			
Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			
Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			
Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.	×	×	×

6.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)

7. Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage

7.1 - How effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?

There is **effective cooperation** between principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.

7.2 - How effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

All principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage are **effectively cooperating** with other government agencies.

7.3 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies .		
Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	×	×

7.4 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is some cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies .		
Different levels of government cooperate effectively with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	×	×

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

National government funds	Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Minor source of project funding
Funds from other international conventions/programmes	Minor source of project funding
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.)	No funding/Not applicable
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	No funding/Not applicable

Non-Governmental Organizations (international and/or national)	No funding/Not applicable
Private sector funds	Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Other	No funding/Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

8.2 - Does the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		No	Yes
8.2.1	Culture	✗	
8.2.2	Nature	✗	

8.3 - Do you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

	Culture	Nature
The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.		
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.		
The available budget is adequate to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.	✗	✗

8.4 - Please indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

	Category	percentage %
8.4.1	Cultural	0
8.4.2	Natural	0

8.5 - Please estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

Percentage		Cultural	Natural
8.5.1	National/Federal	0 %	0 %
8.5.2	Regional/Provincial	0 %	0 %
8.5.3	Local	0 %	0 %
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

8.6 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

Human resources	Culture	Nature
Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
A range of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are below optimum .		
Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.	✗	✗

8.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)

There is annual public expenditure that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at all levels, but dates are not collected with the level of precision required by the methodology.

9. Capacity Development

9.1 - Please prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Culture	Nature
National/federal	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Nominations	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: International assistance	Medium priority	Medium priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	High priority	High priority

Technical and scientific issues	High priority	High priority
Traditional conservation processes	Medium priority	Medium priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Medium priority	Medium priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	High priority	High priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	Medium priority	Medium priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	Medium priority	Medium priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	High priority	High priority
Management effectiveness assessment	High priority	High priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	High priority	High priority
Awareness raising and outreach	Medium priority	Medium priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	High priority	High priority
Sustainable development	Medium priority	Medium priority
Protection and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems	High priority	High priority
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation	High priority	High priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	High priority	High priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage	High priority	High priority
Inclusive social development in World Heritage management systems	High priority	High priority
Gender balance in management systems	High priority	High priority
Inclusive economic development in World Heritage management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
World Heritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security	High priority	High priority

9.2 - Please rate the priority for training target audiences for each of the specific topics/themes/capacity building needs identified below for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Administrators and government bodies at all levels	Communities, indigenous peoples, landowners, local businesses, other social groups etc.	Universities, NGOs etc.	Heritage practitioners
Implementation of the <i>Convention</i>	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists process	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Nomination process	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring process	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Statutory processes: International assistance, etc.	High priority	Not applicable	Not applicable	High priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority
Technical and Scientific issues	High priority	Medium priority	High priority	High priority
Traditional conservation processes	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	High priority	Medium priority	High priority	High priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Management effectiveness assessment	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority

Awareness raising and outreach	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Sustainable development	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Building environmental and social resilience	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Integration of eco-social diversity in management systems	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority

9.3 - Please indicate how the State Party is using the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy (2011).

		No	Yes
9.3.1	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level.		✗
9.3.2	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level.		✗
9.3.3	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities.		✗
9.3.4	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes.		✗
9.3.5	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage.		✗

9.4 - Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is a **national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management that is effectively implemented.

9.5 - Comments: Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Capacity Development (Questions 9.1 to 9.4).

10. Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties

10.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage not listed in 5.1 (which focuses on policy general to all heritage), please list them here.

10.2 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage. Please provide web links for the legislation listed above.

All properties inscribed on the World Heritage List in the Republic Serbia have adequate legal protection, same as other properties.

10.3 - Are the services provided by agencies/institutions adequate for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is **adequate capacity** within services to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties.

10.4 - How does the State Party encourage and support World Heritage properties to manage and develop visitation/tourism sustainably?

By developing policies and/or requiring sustainable tourism strategies to be developed

By facilitating network cooperation and stakeholder engagement through the development of governance structures or other mechanisms for cooperation

10.5 - Please indicate here any additional information or clarify how the State Party supports sustainable tourism planning and management at a property level.

10.6 - Does the State Party require the use of impact assessments for programmes (e.g. strategic environmental assessments) or development projects (e.g. environmental impact assessments, heritage impact assessments) that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting?

There is a **regulatory framework** that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects and it is **effectively implemented**.

10.7 - Please list the different assessment methods used. Please provide weblinks to the guidance for the assessment methods.

10.8 - Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is a **national capacity building strategy** in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management that is **effectively implemented**

10.9 - Does the State Party have the institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues?

There **is effective capacity** at the institutional level to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues.

10.10 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and receiving donations for the protection of World Heritage?

Yes

10.11 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage policies and resources

11. International Cooperation

11.1 - Has the State Party promoted international cooperation and the establishment of cooperation mechanisms for heritage since the last Periodic Report? If so, please indicate the type of cooperation that best describes your activities.

Bilateral and multilateral agreements
Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities
Financial support
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars

11.2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level?

No

11.3 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 11.1 to 11.2). If you have twinned World Heritage properties, please list them along with their twins.

12. Education, Information and Awareness Building

12.1 - Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among communities and different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?

There **are strategies** to raise awareness about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage that are being **effectively implemented**.

12.2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following groups about World Heritage in your country

Communities living in/around heritage sites	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Youth	Poor
General public	Fair
Decision makers and public officials	Good
Private sector	Poor
Tourism industry	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

12.3 - Does the State Party have heritage education programmes for children and/or youth, that contribute to improving understanding of heritage, promoting diversity and fostering intercultural dialogue?

There **are no heritage education programmes** to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue **but this is being done on an ad hoc basis**.

12.4 - Please rate the level of frequency of the following activities to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue among children and/or youth

Teacher training courses on the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit	Low
Courses/activities for students within school programmes	Medium
Youth forums	Low
Skills-training courses for students	Medium
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	High
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Low
Other	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other', please specify	

The State Party **participates** in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

13. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

Synergies with other relevant Conventions, Recommendations and Programmes
Identification of heritage
National inventories
Tentative List
<ul style="list-style-type: none">The State Party does not intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of its Tentative List
Effectiveness of legal framework
Function of cultural and natural heritage in the life of the community
Contribution of heritage to sustainable development policies
<ul style="list-style-type: none">There is no integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:There is limited integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefitsStrengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate changeContributing to inclusion and equalityEnhancing the quality of life and well-beingRespecting, protecting and promoting human rightsRespecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communitiesAchieving gender equalityEnsuring growth, employment, income and livelihoodsPromoting economic investment and quality tourismStrengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurshipEnsuring conflict preventionProtecting heritage during conflictPromoting conflict resolutionContributing to post-conflict recovery
Larger-scale planning
Status of services for protection, conservation and presentation
Financial status
Human resources
Capacity development
<ul style="list-style-type: none">The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national levelThe State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional levelThe State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building prioritiesThe State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmesThe State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage
Policy and resourcing of World Heritage properties
Research on World Heritage properties
International cooperation

Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report:

- Bilateral and multilateral agreements
- Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities
- Financial support
- Sharing expertise for capacity building
- Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars

Education, information and awareness building

- There **are no heritage education** programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue **but this is being done on an ad hoc basis**

13.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 13.1).

13.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to ten)

3	Tentative List	
3.3	The State Party does not intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of its Tentative List	✕
5.11	Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies	
5.11.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Il n'y a pas d'intégration de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable pour : • Il y a une intégration limitée de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable pour : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protéger la diversité biologique et culturelle ainsi que les services et les bénéfices des écosystèmes • Renforcer la résilience aux catastrophes naturelles et au changement climatique • Contribuer à l'inclusion et à l'égalité • Améliorer la qualité de vie et le bien-être • Respecter, protéger et promouvoir les droits de l'homme • Respecter, consulter et impliquer les peuples autochtones et les populations locales • Parvenir à l'égalité des genres • Garantir la croissance, l'emploi, les revenus et les moyens de subsistance • Promouvoir les investissements économiques et le tourisme de qualité • Soutenir le renforcement des compétences, l'innovation et l'entrepreneuriat local • Assurer la prévention des conflits • Protéger le patrimoine en cas de conflit • Promouvoir la résolution des conflits • Contribuer au relèvement après un conflit 	
9	Capacity Development	
9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau national • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau régional/sous-régional • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour identifier les priorités de renforcement des compétences • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la collecte de fonds pour appuyer les programmes de renforcement des compétences • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la sensibilisation à la nécessité de conserver et de gérer le patrimoine naturel et culturel 	✕
11	International Cooperation	
11.1	<p>Formes de coopérations internationales et de mécanismes de coopération pour le patrimoine promues depuis le dernier Rapport périodique :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accords bilatéraux et multilatéraux • Partage des compétences pour promouvoir une participation équitable des communautés aux mécanismes liés au patrimoine • Soutien financier • Partage des compétences pour le renforcement des compétences • Organisation et/ou participation à des cours/séminaires internationaux de formation 	✕
12	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
12.3	Il n'y a aucun programme d'éducation sur le patrimoine pour mieux faire comprendre le patrimoine culturel et naturel, promouvoir la diversité et encourager le dialogue interculturel mais des actions ponctuelles sont quand même mises en place	✕

Please select 1 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

13.3. Priority Actions Assessment

13.3.1 - Veuillez indiquer les actions à mener en priorité pour traiter les points jugés déficients

3	Tentative List
---	----------------

		Action	Brève description	Autorité(s) responsable(s)	Calendrier	Cette action peut-elle nécessiter d'une assistance internationale du Fonds du patrimoine mondial ?
3.3	L'État partie ne compte pas utiliser le processus en amont pour réviser sa Liste indicative	The State's priority is to prepare nomination files for goods that are already on the Tentative List.	Workshops and round-tables on the topic about revision of Tentative List	Ministry of Culture Ministry responsible for Protection of Nature	4 years	Optionally
9	Capacity Development					
9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau national • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau régional/sous-régional • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour identifier les priorités de renforcement des compétences • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la collecte de fonds pour appuyer les programmes de renforcement des compétences • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la sensibilisation à la nécessité de conserver et de gérer le patrimoine naturel et culturel 	Continuation of using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building on all levels	Creation and development of the program	Ministry of Culture Ministry responsible for Protection of Nature And other governmental institutions	4 years	Optionally
11	International Cooperation					
11.1	<p>Formes de coopérations internationales et de mécanismes de coopération pour le patrimoine promues depuis le dernier Rapport périodique :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accords bilatéraux et multilatéraux • Partage des compétences pour promouvoir une participation équitable des communautés aux mécanismes liés au patrimoine • Soutien financier • Partage des compétences pour le renforcement des compétences 	Creation of a new action plan	Connecting experts in different institutions.	Ministry of Culture Ministry responsible for Protection of Nature And other governmental institutions	4 years	Optionally

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organisation et/ou participation à des cours/séminaires internationaux de formation 					
--	---	--	--	--	--	--

12	Education, Information and Awareness Building					
12.3	<p>Il n'y a aucun programme d'éducation sur le patrimoine pour mieux faire comprendre le patrimoine culturel et naturel, promouvoir la diversité et encourager le dialogue interculturel mais des actions ponctuelles sont quand même mises en place</p>	<p>Prepare heritage education programmes for children and/or youth, that contribute to improving understanding of heritage, promoting diversity and fostering intercultural dialogue</p>	<p>Developing a strategy Connecting with educational institutions and University</p>	<p>Ministry of Culture Ministry responsible for Protection of Nature And other governmental institutions for education and Youth</p>	4 years	Optionally

Veuillez indiquer les actions à mener en priorité pour traiter les points jugés déficients completed

13.4. Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

13.4.1 - Actions supplémentaires pour mettre en œuvre la *Convention du patrimoine mondial*

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Exemple de bonnes pratiques de protection, d'identification, de conservation ou de gestion du patrimoine mondial par l'État partie

The Studenica Monastery - investing in protection, conservation and restoration - established management plan and disaster risk assessment - good tourist use
Ste ci Medieval Tombstone Graveyards - an active interstate management committee - established management plan and disaster risk assessment - good connection with the local community

14.2 - Définissez les sujets couverts par cet exemple de bonnes pratiques de l'État partie

Synergies
État de conservation
Gestion
Gouvernance

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Primary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention

15.1.1 - Quelle institution principale est chargée des communications avec l'UNESCO sur la mise en œuvre de la *Convention du patrimoine mondial* ?

National Commission for UNESCO

15.2. Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.2.1 - Pertinence et objectifs de l'exercice du Rapport périodique

État partie	Bon suivi
Gestionnaires de sites	Bon suivi
Centre du patrimoine mondial	Bon suivi
Organisations consultatives (ICOMOS, UICN, ICCROM)	Bon suivi

15.3. The four Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.3.1 - Dans quelle mesure le questionnaire répond-il aux quatre objectifs des Rapports périodiques ?

		Pas du tout	En partie	Parfaitement
15.3.1.1	Permettre une évaluation de la mise en œuvre de la <i>Convention du patrimoine mondial</i> par l'État partie.			✗
15.3.1.2	S'assurer que les valeurs patrimoniales des biens inscrits sur la Liste du patrimoine mondial sont maintenues au cours du temps.			✗
15.3.1.3	Fournir des informations à jour sur les biens du patrimoine mondial afin d'enregistrer tout changement dans les conditions et l'état de conservation des biens.			✗
15.3.1.4	Constituer un mécanisme pour la coopération régionale et l'échange d'informations et d'expériences entre les États parties concernant la mise en œuvre de la <i>Convention</i> et la conservation du patrimoine mondial.			✗

15.4. Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.4.1 -

15.5. Use of Data

15.5.1 - Comment votre État partie prévoit-il d'utiliser les données ?

Révision des priorités/stratégies/politiques de protection, gestion et conservation du patrimoine
Mise à jour des plans de gestion
Amélioration de la mise en œuvre de la <i>Convention</i> par l'État partie

15.6. Timing and resources

15.6.1 - Les autorités nationales ont-elles eu suffisamment de temps (environ dix mois) pour recueillir les informations nécessaires pour remplir le questionnaire sur ce cycle de soumission de Rapports périodiques ?

Oui

15.6.2 - Estimez le temps (heures de travail) et le nombre de personnes qui auront été nécessaires pour remplir la Section I du questionnaire.

	Temps & nombre de personnes	Nombre total d'heures travaillées	Nombre de personnes impliquées
15.6.2.1	Recueil de données	40	5
15.6.2.2	Consultation des parties prenantes	24	5
15.6.2.3	Remplissage du questionnaire	10	1

15.6.3 - L'équilibre hommes-femmes a-t-il été pris en compte dans le remplissage du présent questionnaire ?

L'équilibre hommes-femmes a été explicitement pris en compte et efficacement mis en œuvre dans le processus

15.6.4 - Vos autorités nationales ont-elles mobilisé des ressources supplémentaires dans le cadre de ce cycle de soumission de Rapports périodiques ?

	Ressources supplémentaires	Non	Oui
15.6.4.1	Ressources humaines	✗	
15.6.4.2	Ressources financières pour l'organisation des réunions de consultation/formations	✗	

15.6.5 - Veuillez détailler les ressources supplémentaires mobilisées.

15.7. Format and Content of the Periodic Reporting Questionnaire

15.7.1 - Quel était le degré d'accessibilité des informations requises pour compléter le Rapport périodique ?

Toutes les informations requises étaient accessibles

15.7.2 - Le questionnaire était-il facile à utiliser et clair à comprendre ?

Utilisation du questionnaire	Bon
Compréhension des questions	Bon

15.7.3 - Proposez ici des suggestions d'amélioration

15.8. Training and guidance

15.8.1 - Veuillez évaluer, pour compléter le questionnaire sur l'exercice de soumission de Rapports périodiques, le niveau de soutien des entités suivantes

Centre du patrimoine mondial	Bon soutien
UNESCO (autres secteurs)	Sans objet

Commission nationale pour l'UNESCO	Bon soutien
ICOMOS international	Sans objet
UICN international	Sans objet
ICCROM international/régional	Sans objet
ICOMOS national/régional	Soutien moyen
UICN national/régional	Sans objet
Centres de catégorie 2	Sans objet
Autre	Sans objet
Si vous avez choisi « Autre », précisez :	

15.8.2 - Les ressources de formation en ligne sur la soumission de Rapports périodiques, proposées par le Centre du patrimoine mondial, ont-elles aidé vos autorités nationales à remplir le questionnaire en ligne ?

Oui

15.8.3 - Indiquez les modifications souhaitées au niveau des ressources sur la formation en ligne

15.9. Commentaires

15.9.1 - Commentaires, conclusions et/ou recommandations concernant l'évaluation de l'exercice de soumission de Rapports périodiques

15.9.2 - Merci d'avoir répondu à toutes les questions.