

Saudi Arabia

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

Saudi Arabia

1.2 - Date of ratification/accession/acceptance of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage
07/08/1978

1.3 - Groups and institutions involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Report

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
National Commission for UNESCO
Focal points of other international Conventions/programmes
World Heritage site managers/coordinators
Local communities
Non-Governmental Organizations
ICOMOS International
ICOMOS national/regional
IUCN national/regional
ICCROM International/regional
External experts
Donors

2. Synergies with other Conventions

2.1. Multilateral Environmental Agreements

2.1.1 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, in addition to the World Heritage Convention, your State is party to/not party to/in the process of adhering to the following agreements. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.1.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		✗	
2.1.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)		✗	
2.1.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)		✗	
2.1.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)		✗	
2.1.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)		✗	
2.1.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)		✗	
2.1.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)		✗	

2.1.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Ramsar site(s) under the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List). Please check and amend as necessary.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.
--

2.1.3 - Does your State Party intend to designate any World Heritage property(ies) (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years?
No

2.1.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) your State Party intends to designate (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years.
I would like to see the new marine reserves.

2.1.5 - Please list any other relevant global or regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) on natural heritage which have been joined by your State Party.

2.2. UNESCO Culture Conventions

2.2.1 - The table below indicates which of the UNESCO cultural Conventions your State is party to, not party to or in the process of adhering to. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.2.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		✗	
2.2.1.2	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		✗	
2.2.1.3	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		✗	
2.2.1.4	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property		✗	
2.2.1.5	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage		✗	
2.2.1.6	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage		✗	
2.2.1.7	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions		✗	

2.2.2 - Please list any other relevant global or regional Conventions or agreements on cultural heritage to which your State Party is party to or in the process of adhering to.

non /

2.2.3 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre the following World Heritage property(ies) located in your State Party have been included on the List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.2.4 - Does your State Party intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection for any of its World Heritage properties under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict in the next three years?

No

2.2.5 - Please list any World Heritage properties for which your State Party intends to request the granting of Enhanced Protection.

I would like to see Historic Jeddah

2.3. UNESCO Programmes

2.3.1 - The table below indicates which of the selected UNESCO programmes your State Party participates in. Please check and amend as necessary.

		No	Yes
2.3.1.1	Man and the Biosphere Programme		✗
2.3.1.2	UNESCO Global Geoparks		✗

2.3.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.3.3 - Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme?

No

2.3.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme:

non /

2.3.5 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s)

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.3.6 - Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?

No

2.3.7 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).

non /

2.4. Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join

2.4.1 - Is there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?

		Not Applicable	No	Yes
2.4.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage			✗
2.4.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)			✗
2.4.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)			✗
2.4.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)			✗
2.4.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)			✗
2.4.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)			✗
2.4.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)			✗
2.4.1.8	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict			✗
2.4.1.9	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict			✗
2.4.1.10	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property			✗
2.4.1.11	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage			✗
2.4.1.12	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage			✗
2.4.1.13	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions			✗
2.4.1.14	Man and the Biosphere Programme			✗
2.4.1.15	Global Geoparks			✗

2.4.2 - Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):

Through the National Science and Education Committee

2.4.3 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

No

2.4.4 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

No

2.5. UNESCO Recommendations

2.5.1 - Is your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		Yes	No
2.5.1.1	1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage	✗	
2.5.1.2	2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape	✗	

2.5.2 - Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:

The recommendations have been merged with the new Antiquities, Museums and Urban Heritage law and bylaws.

3. Tentative List

3.1 - In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?

Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region
Other global comparative analyses
Other

3.2 - Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?

No

If you selected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.

3.3 - Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?

Yes

3.4 - Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?

Yes, among States Parties

3.5 - Please name the site(s) considered to have this potential

1. Hijaz Railway 2. the Egyptian Haj Route 3. the Syrian Haj Route 4. Darb Zubaida (Kufi Haj Route)

3.6 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Poor
Local government(s)	Poor
Other government departments	Poor
UNESCO National Commission	Poor
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Poor
Local communities/residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Local industries/tourism and other stakeholders	Good
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Poor
Consultants/experts	Poor
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	Local Municipalities

3.7 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

3.8 - Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?

Yes

If you selected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List.

Al-Hijir (Madain Saleh)

3.9 - Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)

The Tentative List should be managed by the head of the State Party Permanent delegation.

4. Nominations**4.1 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities/residents	Good

Indigenous peoples	Good
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Local industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders	Good
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Good
Consultants/experts	Good
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

4.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?

Yes

4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

Promoted environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being	High
Enhanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders	High
Strengthened protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)	High
Enhanced conservation practices	High
Enhanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes	High
Improved presentation of sites	Limited
Enhanced honour/prestige	High
Increased funding	Limited
Additional tool for lobbying/political influence	Not applicable
Fostered peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation	Limited
Increased number of tourists and visitors	High
Promoted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities	High
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

4.4 - Please rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits	High
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change	High
Contributing to inclusion and equity	High
Enhancing quality of life and well-being	High
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights	High
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities	High
Achieving gender equality	Limited
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods	High
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism	High
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship	High
Ensuring conflict prevention	Not applicable
Protecting heritage during conflict	Not applicable
Promoting conflict resolution	Not applicable
Contributing to post-conflict recovery	Not applicable
Other(s)	Not applicable

4.5 - Please provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).

No Comments

5. General Policy Development**5.1. Principal pieces of national cultural and/or natural heritage legislation****5.1.1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage****5.2. Comment on principal pieces of legislation****5.2.1 - Please comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force**

Not Applicable

5.3. Other principal pieces of legislation**5.3.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.**

Antiquities, Museums and Urban Heritage / Cultural / National /

5.4. Contribution of legislation and/or regulations at other levels to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and/or natural heritage**5.4.1 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.**

The new law introduces new articles for the protection of cultural assets and penalties for non-compliance to the law.

5.5. Adequacy of the legal framework for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage**5.5.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?**

	Culture	Nature
There is no legal framework for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is inadequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is partially adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.	×	×

5.6. Adequacy of the legal framework for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage**5.6.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?**

	Culture	Nature
There is no legal framework for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is inadequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is partially adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.	×	×

5.7. Enforcement of the legal framework**5.7.1 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?**

		There is no legal framework.	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework are adequate.
5.7.1.1	Culture				×
5.7.1.2	Nature			×	

5.8. Comments on the enforcement of the legal framework

5.8.1 - Please comment on particular problems of enforcement

the issues with private ownership.

5.9. Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities

5.9.1 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

	Culture	Nature
There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities.		
There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.		
There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.		×
There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities that are effectively implemented.	×	

5.10. Examples of specific policies giving heritage a function in the life of communities

5.10.1 - Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities

in Historic Jeddah, local communities are impelled to preserve their properties through the promotion of the benefits of cultural heritage preservation.

5.11. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies

5.11.1 - How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits.	High
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change.	High
Contributing to inclusion and equality.	High
Enhancing the quality of life and well-being.	High
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights.	High
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities.	High
Achieving gender equality.	High
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods.	High
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism.	High
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship.	High
Ensuring conflict prevention.	High
Protecting heritage during conflict.	Not applicable
Promoting conflict resolution.	Not applicable
Contributing to post-conflict recovery.	Not applicable
Other (please specify).	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

5.12. UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011

5.12.1 - In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):

Encourage the HUL approach across its territory
Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the identification and protection of historic layering in urban environments
Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the harmonious integration of contemporary intervention into the historic urban fabric
Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the integration of urban heritage conservation strategies into national development policies and agendas
Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for monitoring the implementation of the Recommendation and its impact on the conservation and management of historic cities

5.13. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes

5.13.1 - How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?

There **are policies** but there are **some deficiencies in their implementation**.

5.14. Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

5.14.1 - Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage?

	UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy	No	Yes
5.14.1.1	Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties	✗	
5.14.1.2	Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties	✗	
5.14.1.3	World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy	✗	
5.14.1.4	World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy	✗	

5.14.2 - Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

There is **limited coordination and integration** of the implementation of these multilateral agreements, Programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.

5.15. Specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

5.15.1 - Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

The ban on demolishing cultural heritage sites for personal benefits, as cultural heritage is for all.

5.16. Comments on General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

5.16.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

6. Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage

6.1 - If the State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?

	Cultural heritage	Natural heritage
National/federal	Process completed or continuously updated	Process completed or continuously updated
Regional/provincial/state	Process completed or continuously updated	Process well-advanced
Local	Process completed or continuously updated	Process well-advanced
Other	Not applicable	

6.2 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers capture the full diversity of heritage.	✗	✗

6.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the protection of heritage.	✗	✗

6.4 - In addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?

	Culture	Nature
The State Party does not involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
The State Party plans to involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future.		
The State Party sometimes involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
The State Party regularly involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.	✕	✕

6.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?

	Culture	Nature	Mixed
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.			
Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			
Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			
Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.	✕	✕	✕

6.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)

No Comments

7. Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage

7.1 - How effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?

There is **some cooperation** between the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but this could be improved**.

7.2 - How effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

All principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage are **effectively cooperating** with other government agencies.

7.3 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies .		
Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✕	✕

7.4 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is some cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies .		
Different levels of government cooperate effectively with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✕	✕

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

National government funds	Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance
---------------------------	---

Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	No funding/Not applicable
Funds from other international conventions/programmes	No funding/Not applicable
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.)	No funding/Not applicable
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	No funding/Not applicable
Non-Governmental Organizations (international and/or national)	No funding/Not applicable
Private sector funds	Major source of project funding
Other	No funding/Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

8.2 - Does the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		No	Yes
8.2.1	Culture	✗	
8.2.2	Nature	✗	

8.3 - Do you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

	Culture	Nature
The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.		✗
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.	✗	
The available budget is adequate to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.		

8.4 - Please indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

	Category	percentage %
8.4.1	Cultural	70% of SCTH Annual Budget (2.45 Billion Saudi Riyals)
8.4.2	Natural	30% of SCTH Annual Budget (1.05 Billion Saudi Riyals)

8.5 - Please estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

Percentage		Cultural	Natural
8.5.1	National/Federal	75 %	75 %
8.5.2	Regional/Provincial	20 %	20 %
8.5.3	Local	5 %	5 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

8.6 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

Human resources	Culture	Nature
Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
A range of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are below optimum .	✗	✗
Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.		

8.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)

No Comments

9. Capacity Development

9.1 - Please prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Culture	Nature
National/federal	High priority	High priority

Statutory processes: Tentative Lists	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Nominations	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring	Not applicable	Not applicable
Statutory processes: International assistance	Not applicable	Not applicable
Conservation and management of heritage sites	High priority	High priority
Technical and scientific issues	Not applicable	Not applicable
Traditional conservation processes	High priority	High priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	High priority	High priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	High priority	High priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	High priority	High priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	High priority	High priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	High priority	High priority
Management effectiveness assessment	High priority	High priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	High priority	High priority
Awareness raising and outreach	High priority	High priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	High priority	High priority
Sustainable development	High priority	High priority
Protection and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems	High priority	High priority
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation	High priority	High priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	High priority	High priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage	High priority	High priority
Inclusive social development in World Heritage management systems	High priority	High priority
Gender balance in management systems	High priority	High priority
Inclusive economic development in World Heritage management systems	High priority	High priority
World Heritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security	High priority	High priority

9.2 - Hiérarchisez la priorité de formation des publics visés dans chacun des sujets/thèmes/besoins de renforcement des compétences identifiés ci-après pour la conservation, la protection et la mise en valeur du patrimoine culturel et naturel.

	Administrateurs et organismes gouvernementaux à tous les niveaux	Communautés, peuples autochtones, propriétaires fonciers, entreprises locales, autres groupes sociaux etc.	Universités, ONG etc.	Praticiens du patrimoine
Implementation of the <i>Convention</i>	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists process	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Statutory processes: Nomination process	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring process	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Statutory processes: International assistance, etc.	Sans objet	Sans objet	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Conservation and management of heritage sites	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Technical and Scientific issues	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Traditional conservation processes	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité

Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Sustainable tourism use and management	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Management effectiveness assessment	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Awareness raising and outreach	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Sustainable development	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Building environmental and social resilience	Sans objet	Sans objet	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Integration of eco-social diversity in management systems	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Sans objet	Sans objet
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité
Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change	Haute priorité	Haute priorité	Faible priorité	Haute priorité

9.3 - Indiquez comment votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités du patrimoine mondial (2011).

		Non	Oui
9.3.1	Votre État partie s'appuie sur la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau national .		✗
9.3.2	Votre État partie s'appuie sur la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau régional/sous-régional .		✗
9.3.3	Votre État partie s'appuie sur la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour identifier les priorités de renforcement des compétences .		✗
9.3.4	Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la collecte de fonds pour appuyer les programmes de renforcement des compétences .		✗
9.3.5	Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la sensibilisation à la nécessité de conserver et de gérer le patrimoine naturel et culturel .		✗

9.4 - L'État partie a-t-il une stratégie nationale de formation/d'éducation pour améliorer le renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, protection, mise en valeur et gestion du patrimoine ?

Il y a une **stratégie nationale** de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, protection, mise en valeur et gestion du patrimoine, mais il y a des **insuffisances au niveau de la mise en œuvre**.

9.5 - Commentaires : conclusions et/ou recommandations sur le renforcement des compétences (questions 9.1 à 9.4)

Question 9.2 need to be revised to show all selections in one page without the need to navigate inside the designated field.

10. Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties

10.1 - Recensez ci-après les principales législations relatives à la protection, la conservation, la mise en valeur et la gestion du patrimoine mondial ne figurant pas au point 5.1, le cas échéant

Non / Non / Non / Non /

10.2 - Décrivez brièvement en quoi la législation et/ou la réglementation à d'autres niveaux contribue à l'identification, la conservation et la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel de l'État partie. Précisez les liens internet pour les législations fournies ci-dessus.

Not Applicable

10.3 - Les services fournis par les institutions/agences sont-ils appropriés pour la protection, la conservation, la mise en valeur et la gestion des biens du patrimoine mondial dans votre pays ?

Il existe **une certaine capacité** permettant de protéger, de conserver, de mettre en valeur et de gérer les biens du patrimoine mondial, mais **d'importantes lacunes** subsistent.

10.4 - Comment votre État partie incite et aide-t-il les biens du patrimoine mondial à développer et gérer une fréquentation/un tourisme durable ?

En développant des politiques et/ou en imposant le développement de stratégies de tourisme durable.

En renforçant les compétences des gestionnaires de site.

En facilitant le réseautage et la participation des parties prenantes à travers le développement des structures de gouvernance ou d'autres mécanismes de coopération.

10.5 - Précisez comment votre État partie appuie la planification et la gestion du tourisme durable au niveau du bien

10.6 - Votre État partie impose-t-il l'utilisation d'étude d'impact des programmes (par exemple, évaluation environnementale stratégique) ou des projets de développement (par exemple, études d'impact environnemental, études d'impact sur le patrimoine) susceptibles d'affecter le bien du patrimoine mondial, sa zone tampon et son environnement ?

Il n'existe **aucun cadre réglementaire** imposant des études d'impact des programmes ou projets de développement.

10.7 - Recensez les méthodes d'évaluation utilisées. Indiquez les liens Internet des directives relatives aux méthodes d'évaluation.

10.8 - Votre État partie a-t-il une stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, de protection, de mise en valeur et de gestion du patrimoine mondial ?

Il n'y a **aucune stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences** en matière de conservation, de protection, de mise en valeur et de gestion du patrimoine mondial, **mais cela se pratique malgré tout de manière ponctuelle.**

10.9 - Votre État partie a-t-il la capacité institutionnelle d'étudier spécifiquement les problématiques du patrimoine mondial ?

Votre État n'a **pas la capacité institutionnelle** de procéder à des études **mais elles sont quand même réalisées avec des partenaires.**

10.10 - Votre État partie a-t-il contribué à créer des fondations ou des associations nationales, publiques et privées, pour réunir des fonds et recevoir des dons pour la protection du patrimoine mondial ?

Non

10.11 - Commentaires, conclusions et/ou recommandations sur les politiques et ressources des biens du patrimoine mondial (questions 10.1 à 10.10)

non

11. International Cooperation

11.1 - Votre État partie a-t-il promu des coopérations internationales et des mécanismes de coopération pour le patrimoine depuis le dernier Rapport périodique ? Si oui, indiquez le type de coopération reflétant le mieux ces activités.

Participation à d'autres programmes des Nations Unies, comme les programmes de développement durable et les programmes sur les droits et l'égalité hommes-femmes

Partage des compétences pour promouvoir une participation équitable des communautés aux mécanismes liés au patrimoine

Partage des compétences pour le renforcement des compétences

Organisation et/ou participation à des cours/séminaires internationaux de formation

Diffusion de matériel/d'information

11.2 - Avez-vous un ou des biens du patrimoine mondial qui ont été jumelés avec d'autres du patrimoine mondial au niveau national ou international ?

Non

11.3 - Commentaires, conclusions et/ou recommandations sur la coopération internationale (questions 11.1 à 11.2). Si vous avez des biens du patrimoine mondial jumelés, indiquez-les avec les biens concernés.

12. Education, Information and Awareness Building

12.1 - Votre État partie dispose-t-il d'une stratégie pour sensibiliser les différents acteurs et communautés à la conservation, à la protection et à la mise en valeur du patrimoine mondial ?

Il y a **des stratégies** de sensibilisation à la conservation, à la protection et à la mise en valeur du patrimoine mondial, **mais il y a quelques manquements à la mise en œuvre.**

12.2 - Veuillez évaluer le niveau de connaissance du patrimoine mondial dans votre pays dans les groupes suivants

Communautés vivant sur/aux alentours des sites du patrimoine	Bonne
Peuples autochtones	Moyenne
Jeunes	Moyenne
Grand public	Bonne
Décideurs et fonctionnaires	Bonne
Secteur privé	Bonne
Secteur du tourisme	Bonne
Autres groupes spécifiques	Bonne
Si vous avez choisi « Autres groupes spécifiques », veuillez préciser :	Local Governments

12.3 - Votre État partie a-t-il mis en place des programmes d'éducation sur le patrimoine pour les enfants et/ou les jeunes contribuant à mieux faire comprendre le patrimoine, promouvoir la diversité et encourager le dialogue interculturel ?

Il n'y a aucun programme d'éducation sur le patrimoine pour mieux faire comprendre le patrimoine culturel et naturel, promouvoir la diversité et encourager le dialogue interculturel mais des actions ponctuelles sont quand même mises en place.

12.4 - Précisez le niveau de fréquence des actions suivantes pour mieux faire comprendre le patrimoine culturel et naturel, promouvoir la diversité et encourager le dialogue interculturel parmi les enfants et/ou les jeunes

Cours pour les enseignants sur l'utilisation du Kit du patrimoine mondial aux mains des jeunes	Fréquence faible
Cours/activités pour les élèves dans le cadre des programmes scolaires	Fréquence faible
Forums des jeunes	Fréquence faible
Cours de formation professionnelle pour les étudiants	Fréquence faible
Visites scolaires organisées sur les biens/sites naturels et culturels du patrimoine mondial	Fréquence faible
Activités liées au patrimoine dans le cadre des Associations, Centres et Clubs UNESCO	Sans objet
Autre	Sans objet
Si vous avez choisi « Autre », veuillez préciser :	

12.5 - Votre État partie participe-t-il au programme de l'UNESCO « Le patrimoine mondial aux mains des jeunes » ?

Votre État partie ne participe pas au programme de l'UNESCO « Le patrimoine mondial aux mains des jeunes », mais en a l'intention.

12.6 - Commentaires et/ou description des meilleures pratiques en matière d'éducation au patrimoine mondial, conclusions et/ou recommandations concernant l'éducation, l'information et la sensibilisation (Questions 12.3 à 12.5)

Information about World Heritage should be introduced in the school curriculum

13. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

13.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

Synergies avec d'autres conventions, recommandations et programmes pertinents
Les Points focaux du patrimoine mondial ne participent pas à la révision et à la mise en œuvre des stratégies, politiques et plans d'actions nationaux relatifs au patrimoine naturel national, en dehors des questions liées au patrimoine mondial
Les Points focaux du patrimoine mondial ne participent pas à la révision et à la mise en œuvre des stratégies, politiques et plans d'actions nationaux relatifs au patrimoine culturel national, en dehors des questions liées au patrimoine mondial
La mise en œuvre de ces accords et programmes multilatéraux, de politiques et stratégies relatives au patrimoine mondial est coordonnée et intégrée de façon limitée aux politiques nationales
Identification du patrimoine
Inventaires nationaux
Liste indicative
Cadre juridique
Rôle du patrimoine culturel et naturel dans la vie de la communauté
Contribution du patrimoine aux politiques de développement durable
- Il n'y a pas d'intégration de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable pour : - Il y a une intégration limitée de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable pour :
Planification à plus grande échelle
État des services relatifs à la protection, la conservation et la mise en valeur
Ressources financières
Le budget disponible est insuffisant pour assurer un minimum de conservation, de protection et de mise en valeur et représente une sérieuse contrainte pour les capacités de conservation et de protection du Patrimoine naturel

Ressources humaines		
Renforcement des compétences		
• Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau national • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau régional/sous-régional • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour identifier les priorités de renforcement des compétences • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la collecte de fonds pour appuyer les programmes de renforcement des compétences • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la sensibilisation à la nécessité de conserver et de gérer le patrimoine naturel et culturel		
• Il y a une stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, protection, mise en valeur et gestion du patrimoine, mais il y a des insuffisances au niveau de la mise en œuvre		
Politique et ressources des biens du patrimoine mondial		
• Il n'existe aucun cadre réglementaire imposant des études d'impact des programmes ou projets de développement susceptibles d'affecter le bien du patrimoine mondial, sa zone tampon et son environnement		
• Il n'y a aucune stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, de protection, de mise en valeur et de gestion du patrimoine mondial, mais cela se pratique malgré tout de manière ponctuelle		
Recherches sur les biens du patrimoine mondial		
• L'État partie n'a pas la capacité institutionnelle de procéder à des études spécifiques aux problématiques du patrimoine mondial, mais elles sont quand même réalisées avec des partenaires		
Coopération internationale		
Formes de coopérations internationales et de mécanismes de coopération pour le patrimoine promues depuis le dernier Rapport périodique : • Participation à d'autres programmes des Nations Unies, comme les programmes de développement durable et les programmes sur les droits et l'égalité hommes-femmes • Partage des compétences pour promouvoir une participation équitable des communautés aux mécanismes liés au patrimoine • Partage des compétences pour le renforcement des compétences • Organisation et/ou participation à des cours/séminaires internationaux de formation • Diffusion de matériel/d'information		
Éducation, information et sensibilisation		
• Il n'y a aucun programme d'éducation sur le patrimoine pour mieux faire comprendre le patrimoine culturel et naturel, promouvoir la diversité et encourager le dialogue interculturel mais des actions ponctuelles sont quand même mises en place		

13.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 13.1).

13.2.1 - Veuillez sélectionner les points les plus importants (dix maximum)

2.4	Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join	
2.4.3	Les Points focaux du patrimoine mondial ne participent pas à la révision et à la mise en œuvre des stratégies, politiques et plans d'actions nationaux relatifs au patrimoine naturel national, en dehors des questions liées au patrimoine mondial	✗
2.4.4	Les Points focaux du patrimoine mondial ne participent pas à la révision et à la mise en œuvre des stratégies, politiques et plans d'actions nationaux relatifs au patrimoine culturel national, en dehors des questions liées au patrimoine mondial	✗
5.14	Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage	
5.14.2	La mise en œuvre de ces accords et programmes multilatéraux, de politiques et stratégies relatives au patrimoine mondial est coordonnée et intégrée de façon limitée aux politiques nationales	✗
8	Financial Status and Human Resources	
8.3	• Le budget disponible est insuffisant pour assurer un minimum de conservation, de protection et de mise en valeur et représente une sérieuse contrainte pour les capacités de conservation et de protection du Patrimoine naturel	✗
9	Capacity Development	
9.3	• Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau national • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau régional/sous-régional • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour identifier les priorités de renforcement des compétences • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la collecte de fonds pour appuyer les programmes de renforcement des compétences • Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la sensibilisation à la nécessité de conserver et de gérer le patrimoine naturel et culturel	✗
9.4	Il y a une stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, protection, mise en valeur et gestion du patrimoine, mais il y a des insuffisances au niveau de la mise en œuvre	✗
10	Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties	

10.6	Il n'existe aucun cadre réglementaire imposant des études d'impact des programmes ou projets de développement susceptibles d'affecter le bien du patrimoine mondial, sa zone tampon et son environnement	✕
10.8	Il n'y a aucune stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, de protection, de mise en valeur et de gestion du patrimoine mondial, mais cela se pratique malgré tout de manière ponctuelle	✕
10.9	L'État partie n'a pas la capacité institutionnelle de procéder à des études spécifiques aux problématiques du patrimoine mondial, mais elles sont quand même réalisées avec des partenaires	✕
11	International Cooperation	
11.1	Formes de coopérations internationales et de mécanismes de coopération pour le patrimoine promues depuis le dernier Rapport périodique : • Participation à d'autres programmes des Nations Unies, comme les programmes de développement durable et les programmes sur les droits et l'égalité hommes-femmes • Partage des compétences pour promouvoir une participation équitable des communautés aux mécanismes liés au patrimoine • Partage des compétences pour le renforcement des compétences • Organisation et/ou participation à des cours/séminaires internationaux de formation • Diffusion de matériel/d'information	✕
12	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
12.3	Il n'y a aucun programme d'éducation sur le patrimoine pour mieux faire comprendre le patrimoine culturel et naturel, promouvoir la diversité et encourager le dialogue interculturel mais des actions ponctuelles sont quand même mises en place	

Please select 0 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

13.3. Priority Actions Assessment

13.3.1 - Veuillez indiquer les actions à mener en priorité pour traiter les points jugés déficients

2.4	Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join					
		Action	Brève description	Autorité(s) responsable(s)	Calendrier	Cette action peut-elle nécessiter d'une assistance internationale du Fonds du patrimoine mondial ?
2.4.3	Les Points focaux du patrimoine mondial ne participent pas à la révision et à la mise en œuvre des stratégies, politiques et plans d'actions nationaux relatifs au patrimoine naturel national, en dehors des questions liées au patrimoine mondial	Any State party organization consulting with UNESCO should only be through the official contact point.	Natural Heritage should not be totally different from Cultural Heritage, thus requiring a single contact point to coordinate with UNESCO to prevent confusion.	The Saudi Commission for Tourism and National Heritage	from 2015 and beyond	Not Applicable
2.4.4	Les Points focaux du patrimoine mondial ne participent pas à la révision et à la mise en œuvre des stratégies, politiques et plans d'actions nationaux relatifs au patrimoine culturel national, en dehors des questions liées au patrimoine mondial	Any State party organization consulting with UNESCO should only be through the official contact point.	Natural Heritage should not be totally different from Cultural Heritage, thus requiring a single contact point to coordinate with UNESCO to prevent confusion.	Antiquities and Museums Sector National Urban Heritage Center	from 2015 and beyond	Not Applicable

5.14	Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage					
5.14.2	La mise en œuvre de ces accords et programmes multilatéraux, de politiques et stratégies relatives au patrimoine mondial est coordonnée et intégrée de façon limitée aux politiques nationales	Any State party organization consulting with UNESCO should only be through the official contact point.	Implementation of multilateral agreements, programs and World Heritage policies should require a single focal point coordinator to prevent confusion.	The Saudi Commission for Tourism and National Heritage	2015 and beyond	Not Applicable
8	Financial Status and Human Resources					
8.3	Le budget disponible est insuffisant pour assurer un minimum de conservation, de protection et de mise en valeur et représente une sérieuse contrainte pour les capacités de conservation et de protection du Patrimoine naturel	An adequate budget should be available for basic conservation, protection and presentation with the capacity to conserve and protect natural and cultural heritage	The available budget for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is capacity building to conserve and protect natural heritage	The Saudi Commission for Tourism and National Heritage	from 2015 and beyond	Not Applicable
9	Capacity Development					
9.3	- Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau national - Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau régional/sous-régional - Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour identifier les priorités de renforcement des compétences - Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la collecte de fonds pour appuyer les programmes de renforcement des compétences - Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la sensibilisation à la nécessité de	Capacity building implementation at the national, regional/sub-regional level, identify priorities, support programs to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage.	Capacity building to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage	Saudi Commission for Tourism and National Heritage	from 2015 and beyond	Not Applicable

	conserver et de gérer le patrimoine naturel et culturel					
9.4	Il y a une stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, protection, mise en valeur et gestion du patrimoine, mais il y a des insuffisances au niveau de la mise en œuvre	Strengthen and enforce national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management.	Capacity building for national strategy in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management.	Saudi Commission for Tourism and National Heritage	From 2018	Not Applicable
10	Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties					
10.6	Il n'existe aucun cadre réglementaire imposant des études d'impact des programmes ou projets de développement susceptibles d'affecter le bien du patrimoine mondial, sa zone tampon et son environnement	Establish regulatory framework that requires use of impact assessments for programs/development projects that may impact World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting.	Regulatory framework requiring the use of impact assessments for programs or development projects with impact on World Heritage properties, its buffer zone and the wider setting.	Saudi Commission for Tourism and National Heritage	From 2018	Not Applicable
10.8	Il n'y a aucune stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, de protection, de mise en valeur et de gestion du patrimoine mondial, mais cela se pratique malgré tout de manière ponctuelle	Establish and enforce national capacity building strategy related to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management.	National capacity building strategy related to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management.	Saudi Commission for Tourism and National Heritage	from 2019	Not Applicable
10.9	L'État partie n'a pas la capacité institutionnelle de procéder à des études spécifiques aux problématiques du patrimoine mondial, mais elles sont quand même réalisées avec des partenaires	The State Party is to establish institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues, in addition to collaboration with partners.	Institutions to conduct research in World Heritage issues.	Antiquities and Museums Ministry of the Environment	From 2019	Not Applicable
11	International Cooperation					
11.1	Formes de coopérations internationales et de mécanismes de coopération pour le patrimoine promues depuis le dernier Rapport périodique : - Participation à d'autres programmes des Nations Unies, comme les programmes de développement durable et les programmes sur les droits et l'égalité hommes-femmes - Partage des compétences pour	Promote international cooperation and mechanisms for heritage	Promote international cooperation in other UN programs for heritage such as sustainable development, human rights and gender equality, sharing expertise, hosting/attending international training courses/seminars and distributing material/information	Saudi Commission for Tourism and National Heritage	From 2019	Not Applicable

	promouvoir une participation équitable des communautés aux mécanismes liés au patrimoine • Partage des compétences pour le renforcement des compétences • Organisation et/ou participation à des cours/séminaires internationaux de formation • Diffusion de matériel/d'information					
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Veuillez indiquer les actions à mener en priorité pour traiter les points jugés déficients completed

13.4. Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

13.4.1 - Please indicate any additional actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention*

Providing a more suitable interpretation and visitor experience.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the State Party level.

The establishment of independent specialized commissions for management, such as: 1. The Royal Commission for Al-Ula. 2. The General Commission for Ad-Der"iya Gate. 3. The Royal Commission for Jeddah.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of good practice at the State Party level.

Sustainable Development
Synergies
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Primary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention

15.1.1 - Which primary institution is responsible for communicating with UNESCO with regards to the World Heritage Convention?

The Saudi Commission for Tourism and National Heritage

15.2. Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.2.1 - Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies	Good

15.3. The four Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.3.1 - How well does the current questionnaire address each of the four Objectives of Periodic Reporting?

	Objective	Not at all	Partially	Adequately
15.3.1.1	Provide an assessment of the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> by the State Party			✗
15.3.1.2	Provide an assessment as to whether the values of World Heritage properties are being maintained over time		✗	
15.3.1.3	Provide updated information about World Heritage properties to record the changing circumstances and state of conservation of the property	✗		
15.3.1.4	Provide a mechanism for regional cooperation and exchange of information and experiences between States Parties about the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> , and World Heritage conservation		✗	

15.4. Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.4.1 -

Simplify the questions and forms

15.5. Use of Data

15.5.1 - How does your State Party plan to use the data?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Updating management plans

Awareness raising

Advocacy

Reporting on implementation of Sustainable Development Goals

Improve the States Party implementation on the Convention

15.6. Timing and resources

15.6.1 - Were your national authorities given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in the questionnaire during this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

No

15.6.2 - Please estimate the time (working hours) and the number of people involved in completing Section I of the questionnaire.

	Time & people	Number of hours worked	Number of people involved
15.6.2.1	Gathering data	0	1
15.6.2.2	Consulting stakeholders	0	1
15.6.2.3	Filling in the questionnaire	24	1

15.6.3 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has been **explicitly considered** in the process **but there are still deficiencies its implementation.**

15.6.4 - Did your national authorities mobilise any additional resources to carry out this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.6.4.1	Human resources		×
15.6.4.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/training		×

15.6.5 - Please provide details on any additional resources mobilised.

SCTH will provide all the necessary resources.

15.7. Format and Content of the Periodic Reporting Questionnaire

15.7.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

15.7.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Using the questionnaire	Poor
Understanding the questions	Poor

15.7.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement

SIMPLIFY IT!

15.8. Training and guidance

15.8.1 - Please rate the level of support received in terms of training and guidance from the following entities for the completion of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	None
IUCN International	None

ICCROM International/regional	None
ICOMOS national/regional	None
IUCN national/regional	None
Category 2 Centres	Good
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

15.8.2 - Were the online training resources provided by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for your national authorities to complete the online questionnaire?

No

15.8.3 - Please provide further comments on the online training resources

Most site managers are not familiar with this form of questionnaire.

15.9. Comments

15.9.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

No Comments

15.9.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions.