

# Philippines

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 - State Party

Philippines

**1.2 - Date of ratification/accession/acceptance of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage**  
19/09/1985

### 1.3 - Groups and institutions involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Report

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
National Commission for UNESCO
World Heritage site managers/coordinators
Not applicable

## 2. Synergies with other Conventions

### 2.1. Multilateral Environmental Agreements

**2.1.1 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, in addition to the World Heritage Convention, your State is party to/not party to/in the process of adhering to the following agreements. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.1.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		X	
2.1.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)		X	
2.1.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)		X	
2.1.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)		X	
2.1.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)		X	
2.1.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)		X	
2.1.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)		X	

**2.1.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Ramsar site(s) under the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List). Please check and amend as necessary.**

Puerto-Princesa Subterranean River National Park, Tubbataha Reefs Natural Park
--

### Comment

Correct Name: Puerto Princesa Subterranean River National Park

**2.1.3 - Does your State Party intend to designate any World Heritage property(ies) (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years?**

No

**2.1.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) your State Party intends to designate (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years.**

Not applicable

**2.1.5 - Please list any other relevant global or regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) on natural heritage which have been joined by your State Party.**

ASEAN Heritage Parks /
East Asian-Australasian Flyway Partnership /
Particularly Sensitive Sea Area /

### 2.2. UNESCO Culture Conventions

**2.2.1 - The table below indicates which of the UNESCO cultural Conventions your State is party to, not party to or in the process of adhering to. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.2.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		X	
2.2.1.2	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	X		
2.2.1.3	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	X		
2.2.1.4	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property	X		
2.2.1.5	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage	X		
2.2.1.6	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage		X	
2.2.1.7	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions	X		

**2.2.2 - Please list any other relevant global or regional Conventions or agreements on cultural heritage to which your State Party is party to or in the process of adhering to.**

Not applicable /

**2.2.3 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre the following World Heritage property(ies) located in your State Party have been included on the List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.2.4 - Does your State Party intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection for any of its World Heritage properties under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict in the next three years?**

No

**2.2.5 - Please list any World Heritage properties for which your State Party intends to request the granting of Enhanced Protection.**

Not applicable

### 2.3. UNESCO Programmes

**2.3.1 - The table below indicates which of the selected UNESCO programmes your State Party participates in. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		No	Yes
2.3.1.1	Man and the Biosphere Programme		X
2.3.1.2	UNESCO Global Geoparks	X	

**2.3.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.**

Puerto-Princesa Subterranean River National Park, Tubbataha Reefs Natural Park

#### Comment

Correct Name: Puerto Princesa Subterranean River National Park

**2.3.3 - Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme?**

No

**2.3.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme:**

Not applicable /

**2.3.5 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s)**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.3.6 - Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?**

No

**2.3.7 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).**

Not applicable /

2.4. Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join

**2.4.1 - Is there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?**

		Not Applicable	No	Yes
2.4.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage			X
2.4.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)			X
2.4.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)			X
2.4.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)			X
2.4.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)			X
2.4.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)			X
2.4.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)			X
2.4.1.8	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	X		
2.4.1.9	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	X		
2.4.1.10	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property	X		
2.4.1.11	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage	X		
2.4.1.12	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage			X
2.4.1.13	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions	X		
2.4.1.14	Man and the Biosphere Programme			X
2.4.1.15	Global Geoparks	X		

**2.4.2 - Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):**

Not applicable

**2.4.3 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?**

Yes

**2.4.4 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?**

Yes

**2.5. UNESCO Recommendations**

**2.5.1 - Is your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?**

		Yes	No
2.5.1.1	1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage	X	
2.5.1.2	2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape	X	

**2.5.2 - Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:**

The two recommendations do not contradict with the local and national policies concerning cultural and natural heritage, but nevertheless concur with the said recommendations in practice and principle.

**3. Tentative List**

**3.1 - In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?**

ICOMOS thematic studies

IUCN thematic studies

Other global comparative analyses

Not applicable

**3.2 - Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?**

Yes

If you selected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.

The Philippines utilized this mechanism for the potential inscription of the Batanes Landscape and Seascape. It helped the State-Party to revise and update the nomination of the said site.

**3.3 - Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?**

Yes

**3.4 - Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?**

Yes, among States Parties and communities

**3.5 - Please name the site(s) considered to have this potential**

Baroque Churches of the Philippines (Extension), Batanes Protected landscapes and seascapes, Butuan Archeological Sites, Neolithic Shell Midden Sites in Lal-lo and Gattaran Municipalities, Northern Sierra Madre Natural Park and outlying areas inclusive of the buffer zone, Petroglyphs and Petrographs of the Philippines, The Tabon Cave Complex and all of Lipuun, Chocolate Hills Natural Monument, Mt. Malindang Range Natural Park, Mt. Pulag National Park, Apo Reef National Park, etc.

**3.6 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Fair
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Poor
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities/residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Other specific groups (please specify below)	None
Landowners	None
Local industries/tourism and other stakeholders	Good
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Good
Consultants/experts	Good
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	Not applicable

**3.7 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?**

Gender balance **has not been explicitly considered** or implemented in the process.

**3.8 - Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?**

Yes

If you selected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List.

El Nido-Taytay Managed Resource Protected Area, Coron Island Natural Biotic Area, Mt. Mantalingahan Protected Landscape, Mayon Volcano Natural Park

**3.9 - Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)**

Not applicable

**4. Nominations****4.1 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Good

Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities/residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Good
Other specific groups (please specify below)	None
Landowners	None
Local industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders	Fair
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants/experts	Good
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	Persons with special needs, women groups

**4.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?**

Yes

**4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List**

Promoted environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being	High
Enhanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders	High
Strengthened protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)	High
Enhanced conservation practices	High
Enhanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes	High
Improved presentation of sites	High
Enhanced honour/prestige	High
Increased funding	Some
Additional tool for lobbying/political influence	Some
Fostered peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation	Some
Increased number of tourists and visitors	High
Promoted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities	Some
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	Not applicable

**4.4 - Please rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.**

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits	High
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change	High
Contributing to inclusion and equity	High
Enhancing quality of life and well-being	High
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights	High
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities	High
Achieving gender equality	High
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods	High
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism	High
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship	High
Ensuring conflict prevention	Some

Protecting heritage during conflict	Limited
Promoting conflict resolution	Limited
Contributing to post-conflict recovery	Limited
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	Not applicable

**4.5 - Please provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).**

Not applicable

**5. General Policy Development**

**5.1. Principal pieces of national cultural and/or natural heritage legislation**

**5.1.1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage**

**Comment**

Aside from the National Museum Act of 1998 or Republic Act No. 8492, Presidential Decree No. 374, Republic Act No. 4846 (as rationalized by the 1987 Philippine Constitution), various Philippine laws been enacted that are relevant to cultural heritage, which were not yet included in the UNESCO database. All WHS under natural heritage, and most of the areas in the tentative list thereof, are part of the NIPAS. Wildlife and caves are protected under RA 9147 and RA 9072, respectively.

**5.2. Comment on principal pieces of legislation**

**5.2.1 - Please comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force**

Not applicable

**5.3. Other principal pieces of legislation**

**5.3.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.**

National Museum of the Philippines Act (Republic Act No. 11333) / Both / National /
Strengthening Peoples' Nationalism Through Philippine History Act (Republic Act No. 10086) / Cultural / National /
National Cultural Heritage Act of 2009 (Republic Act No. 10066) / Both / National /
Art Forgery Act of 2001 (Republic Act No. 9105) / Cultural / National /
National Caves and Cave Resources Management and Protection Act (Republic Act No. 9072) / Both / National /
The Indigenous Peoples' Rights Act of 1997 (Republic Act No. 8371) / Both / National /
Law Creating the National Commission for Culture and the Arts (Republic Act No. 7356) / Cultural / National /
Philippine Mining Act of 1995 (Republic Act No. 7942) / Both / National /
An Act to establish a National Historical Commission, to define its powers and functions, authorizing the appropriation of funds therefor, and for other purposes (Republic Act No. 4368) / Cultural / National /
Gabalton School Buildings Conservation Act (Republic Act No. 11194) / Cultural / National /
Creating the / Cultural / Site-specific /
Establishing an environmental impact statement system, including other environmental management related measures and for other purposes (Presidential Decree No. 1586) / Both / National /
Amending Presidential Decree No. 260, as amended, by prohibiting the unauthorized modification, alteration, repair and destruction of original features of all National Shrines, Monuments, Landmarks and other Important Historic Edifices (Presidential Decree No. 1505) / Cultural / National /
Philippine Environmental Policy (Presidential Decree No. 1151) / Both / National /
Declaring the archaeological areas in Cagayan Valley and Kalinga-Apayao archaeological reservation (Presidential Decree No. 1109) / Cultural / Site-specific /
Amending P.D. 260 to include the Mestizo Section, the Houses of Padre Jose Burgos and Leona Florentino in its scope (Presidential Decree 756) / Cultural / Site-specific /
Reserving and declaring the Santa Cruz Islands in Zamboanga City as National Park for Tourism Development (Presidential Decree No. 654) / Cultural / Site-specific /
Amending Presidential Decree No. 260 by including the Two Hundred-Year-Old Basilica of Taal, Batangas, and the Church oSta. Maria, Ilocos Sur, among the National Landmarks And Monuments declared as National Shrines (Presidential Decree No. 375) / Cultural / Site-specific /
Declaring the Sta. Ana Site Museum in Manila, the Roman Catholic Churches of Paoay and Bacarra in Ilocos Norte, the San Agustin Church and liturgical objects therein in Intramuros, Manila, Fort Pilar in Zamboanga City, the Petroglyphs of the Rock-Shelter in Angono, Rizal, the Petroglyphs of Alab, Bontoc, the Stone Agricultural Calendars of Dap-Ay Guiday in Besao, Bontoc, the Mummy Caves of Kabayan, Benguet and of Sagada and Alab, Bontoc, the Ifugao Rice Terraces of Banaue as National Cultural Treasures; and the Barasoain Church in Malolos, Bulacan, Tirad Pass in Cervantes, Ilocos Sur, the Miagao Church in Miagao, Iloilo, the Site of the Battle of Mactan on Mactan Island, Cebu, the San Sebastian Church in Quiapo, Manila, and the Church and Convent of Santo Niño in Cebu City as National Shrines, Monuments, and/or Landmarks, defining the implementing agencies and providing funds therefor (Presidential Decree No. 260) / Cultural / Site-specific /
Amending the Charter of the Intramuros Administration (Presidential Decree No. 1748) / Cultural / Site-specific /
An Act Providing For The Establishment And Management Of National Integrated Protected Areas System, Defining Its Scope And Coverage, And For Other Purposes (Republic Act No. 7586) / Natural / National /

An Act Declaring Protected Areas and Providing for Their Management, Amending for This Purpose Republic Act No. 7586, Otherwise Known as the / Natural / National /
An Act Establishing The Tubbataha Reefs Natural Park In The Province Of Palawan As A Protected Area Under The Nipas Act (R.A. 7586) And The Strategic Environmental Plan (Sep) For Palawan Act (R.A. 7611), Providing For Its Management And For Other Purposes (Republic Act No. 10067) / Natural / Site-specific /
An Act Declaring Mt. Hamiguitan Range And Its Vicinities As Protected Area Under The Category Of Wildlife Sanctuary And Its Peripheral Areas As Buffer Zone And Appropriating Funds Therefor (Republic Act No. 9303) / Natural / Site-specific /
An Act Adopting The Strategic Environmental Plan For Palawan, Creating The Administrative Machinery For Its Implementation, Converting The Palawan Integrated Area Development Project Office To Its Support Staff, Providing Funds Therefor, And For Other Purposes (Republic Act No. 7611) / Natural / Site-specific /
An Act Providing For The Conservation And Protection Of Wildlife Resources And Their Habitats, Appropriating Funds Therefor And For Other Purposes (RA 9147 of 2001) / Natural / National /
An Act To Manage And Protect Caves And Cave Resources And For Other Purposes (RA 9072 of 2001) / Natural / National /

#### 5.4. Contribution of legislation and/or regulations at other levels to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and/or natural heritage

##### 5.4.1 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.

These laws satisfy the imperative tasks surrounding Philippine cultural properties, including, but not limited to, their discovery, establishment, identification, declaration, restoration, preservation, administration, development; protection against demolition; zoning, registration, and regulation. Environmental laws safeguard biodiversity and natural areas against destructive human exploitation to allow continuous existence and evolution of species, ecosystems, and landscapes.

#### 5.5. Adequacy of the legal framework for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

##### 5.5.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no legal framework</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>inadequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>partially adequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.	x	x
The legal framework is <b>adequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

#### 5.6. Adequacy of the legal framework for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

##### 5.6.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no legal framework</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>inadequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>partially adequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.	x	x
The legal framework is <b>adequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

#### 5.7. Enforcement of the legal framework

##### 5.7.1 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?

		There is no legal framework.	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework are adequate.
5.7.1.1	Culture			x	
5.7.1.2	Nature			x	

#### 5.8. Comments on the enforcement of the legal framework

##### 5.8.1 - Please comment on particular problems of enforcement

There is not enough resources for the enforcement of laws and policies.

#### 5.9. Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities

##### 5.9.1 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

	Culture	Nature
There are <b>no specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities.		

There are <b>no specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities <b>but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.</b>		
There <b>are specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities <b>but there are some deficiencies</b> in their implementation.	✘	✘
There <b>are specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities that <b>are effectively implemented.</b>		

## 5.10. Examples of specific policies giving heritage a function in the life of communities

### 5.10.1 - Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities

Not applicable

## 5.11. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies

### 5.11.1 - How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits.	Some
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change.	Some
Contributing to inclusion and equality.	Some
Enhancing the quality of life and well-being.	Some
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights.	Some
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities.	Some
Achieving gender equality.	Some
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods.	Limited
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism.	Some
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship.	Some
Ensuring conflict prevention.	Limited
Protecting heritage during conflict.	Limited
Promoting conflict resolution.	Limited
Contributing to post-conflict recovery.	Limited
Other (please specify).	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	Not applicable

## 5.12. UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011

### 5.12.1 - In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):

Adapt the application of the Recommendation and its approach to the States Party's specific context

## 5.13. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes

### 5.13.1 - How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?

There **are policies** but there are **some deficiencies in their implementation.**

## 5.14. Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

### 5.14.1 - Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage?

	UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy	No	Yes
5.14.1.1	Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties		✘
5.14.1.2	Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties	✘	
5.14.1.3	World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy		✘
5.14.1.4	World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy		✘



**5.14.2 - Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

There is **limited coordination and integration** of the implementation of these multilateral agreements, Programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.

**5.15. Specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies**

**5.15.1 - Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies**

Not applicable

**5.16. Comments on General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)**

**5.16.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)**

Not applicable

**6. Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage**

**6.1 - If the State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?**

	Cultural heritage	Natural heritage
National/federal	Process completed or continuously updated	Process completed or continuously updated
Regional/provincial/state	Process completed or continuously updated	Process completed or continuously updated
Local	Process completed or continuously updated	Process completed or continuously updated
Other	Process completed or continuously updated	Process completed or continuously updated

**6.2 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?**

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>inadequate</b> to capture the diversity of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers <b>capture some</b> of the diversity of heritage.	X	X
Inventories/lists/registers capture the <b>full</b> diversity of heritage.		

**6.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?**

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers <b>are not actively used</b> for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers <b>are sometimes used</b> for the protection of heritage.	X	X
Inventories/lists/registers <b>are frequently used</b> for the protection of heritage.		

**6.4 - In addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?**

	Culture	Nature
The State Party <b>does not involve</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
The State Party <b>plans to involve</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future.		
The State Party <b>sometimes involves</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.	X	X
The State Party <b>regularly involves</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		

**6.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?**

	Culture	Nature	Mixed
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.			
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.	X	X	X
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>sometimes used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			

Inventories/lists/registers are **frequently used** for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.

## 6.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)

Not applicable

## 7. Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage

### 7.1 - How effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?

There is **some cooperation** between the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but this could be improved**.

### 7.2 - How effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

There is **limited cooperation** between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.

### 7.3 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	X	X
In general, <b>cooperation exists</b> between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage <b>but there are still deficiencies</b> .		
Different levels of government <b>cooperate effectively</b> for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

### 7.4 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	X	X
There is <b>some cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage <b>but there are still deficiencies</b> .		
Different levels of government <b>cooperate effectively</b> with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

## 8. Financial Status and Human Resources

### 8.1 - Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

National government funds	Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Minor source of project funding
Funds from other international conventions/programmes	Minor source of project funding
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.)	No funding/Not applicable
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	No funding/Not applicable
Non-Governmental Organizations (International and/or national)	Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Private sector funds	Major source of project funding
Other	No funding/Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	Not Applicable

### 8.2 - Does the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		No	Yes
8.2.1	Culture	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.2.2	Nature	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

### 8.3 - Do you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

	Culture	Nature
The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The available budget is <b>acceptable</b> but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The available budget is <b>adequate</b> to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### 8.4 - Please indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

	Category	percentage %
8.4.1	Cultural	0.07
8.4.2	Natural	0.3

### 8.5 - Please estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

Percentage		Cultural	Natural
8.5.1	National/Federal	89 %	5.51 %
8.5.2	Regional/Provincial	8 %	90.19 %
8.5.3	Local	3 %	4.30 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

### 8.6 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

Human resources	Culture	Nature
Human resources are <b>inadequate</b> for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
A range of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are <b>below optimum</b> .	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Human resources are <b>adequate</b> to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### 8.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)

Not applicable

## 9. Capacity Development

### 9.1 - Please prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Culture	Nature
National/federal	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists	Medium priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Nominations	Medium priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring	Medium priority	High priority
Statutory processes: International assistance	Medium priority	Medium priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	Medium priority	High priority
Technical and scientific issues	Medium priority	High priority
Traditional conservation processes	High priority	High priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Medium priority	High priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Medium priority	High priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	Medium priority	High priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	High priority	High priority

Sustainable tourism use and management	High priority	High priority
Management effectiveness assessment	High priority	High priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	High priority	High priority
Awareness raising and outreach	Medium priority	High priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	High priority	High priority
Sustainable development	High priority	High priority
Protection and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems	Medium priority	High priority
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation	Medium priority	High priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	High priority	High priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage	High priority	High priority
Inclusive social development in World Heritage management systems	High priority	High priority
Gender balance in management systems	High priority	High priority
Inclusive economic development in World Heritage management systems	High priority	High priority
World Heritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security	High priority	Medium priority

**9.2 - Please rate the priority for training target audiences for each of the specific topics/themes/capacity building needs identified below for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.**

	Administrators and government bodies at all levels	Communities, indigenous peoples, landowners, local businesses, other social groups etc.	Universities, NGOs etc.	Heritage practitioners
Implementation of the <i>Convention</i>	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists process	Medium priority	High priority	Low priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Nomination process	Medium priority	High priority	Low priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring process	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority	High priority
Statutory processes: International assistance, etc.	High priority	Low priority	Low priority	High priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	Medium priority	Medium priority	Low priority	High priority
Technical and Scientific issues	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority	High priority
Traditional conservation processes	Medium priority	Low priority	High priority	High priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Medium priority	High priority	No priority	High priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Medium priority	Low priority	Low priority	High priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	Medium priority	Low priority	High priority	High priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Management effectiveness assessment	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority	High priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	Medium priority	No priority	No priority	High priority
Awareness raising and outreach	Medium priority	Low priority	High priority	High priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Sustainable development	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Building environmental and social resilience	High priority	High priority	High priority	Medium priority
Integration of eco-social diversity in management systems	Medium priority	Low priority	Medium priority	High priority

Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority
Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority

### 9.3 - Please indicate how the State Party is using the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy (2011).

		No	Yes
9.3.1	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level.		X
9.3.2	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level.	X	
9.3.3	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities.		X
9.3.4	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes.	X	
9.3.5	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage.	X	

### 9.4 - Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some deficiencies in implementation.

### 9.5 - Comments: Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Capacity Development (Questions 9.1 to 9.4).

Not Applicable

## 10. Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties

### 10.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage not listed in 5.1 (which focuses on policy general to all heritage), please list them here.

Please refer to list in 5.1 / Please refer to list in 5.1 / Please refer to list in 5.1 / Please refer to list in 5.1 /

### 10.2 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage. Please provide web links for the legislation listed above.

Not Applicable

### 10.3 - Are the services provided by agencies/institutions adequate for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is some capacity to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but significant deficiencies remain.

### 10.4 - How does the State Party encourage and support World Heritage properties to manage and develop visitation/tourism sustainably?

By providing financial resources and incentives for sustainable tourism related activities

By developing policies and/or requiring sustainable tourism strategies to be developed

By providing capacity building for site managers

By facilitating network cooperation and stakeholder engagement through the development of governance structures or other mechanisms for cooperation

### 10.5 - Please indicate here any additional information or clarify how the State Party supports sustainable tourism planning and management at a property level.

Not applicable

### 10.6 - Does the State Party require the use of impact assessments for programmes (e.g. strategic environmental assessments) or development projects (e.g. environmental impact assessments, heritage impact assessments) that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting?

There is a regulatory framework that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects which is implemented but it needs improvement.

### 10.7 - Please list the different assessment methods used. Please provide weblinks to the guidance for the assessment methods.

Biodiversity Assessment and Monitoring System Survey and Registration of Protected Area Occupants Socio-Economic Assessment and Monitoring System Ecotourism Impact Monitoring Management Effectiveness Assessment

### 10.8 - Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is a national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection and management but there are some deficiencies in its implementation

### 10.9 - Does the State Party have the institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues?

There is **capacity** at the institutional level to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues **but it could be improved**.

**10.10 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and receiving donations for the protection of World Heritage?**

No

**10.11 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage policies and resources**

Not Applicable

**11. International Cooperation**

**11.1 - Has the State Party promoted international cooperation and the establishment of cooperation mechanisms for heritage since the last Periodic Report? If so, please indicate the type of cooperation that best describes your activities.**

Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes
Bilateral and multilateral agreements
Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities
Financial support
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material/information
Not applicable

**11.2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level?**

No

**11.3 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 11.1 to 11.2). If you have twinned World Heritage properties, please list them along with their twins.**

Not applicable

**12. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**12.1 - Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among communities and different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?**

There are **strategies** to raise awareness about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage **but there are some deficiencies in its implementation**.

**12.2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following groups about World Heritage in your country**

Communities living in/around heritage sites	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Youth	Fair
General public	Fair
Decision makers and public officials	Good
Private sector	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	Academe/Research institutions

**12.3 - Does the State Party have heritage education programmes for children and/or youth, that contribute to improving understanding of heritage, promoting diversity and fostering intercultural dialogue?**

There are **heritage education programmes** to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue **but there are deficiencies in implementation**.

**12.4 - Please rate the level of frequency of the following activities to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue among children and/or youth**

Teacher training courses on the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit	Medium
Courses/activities for students within school programmes	Medium
Youth forums	Medium
Skills-training courses for students	Low
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Medium

Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	High
Other	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other', please specify	Not applicable

### 12.5 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party **does not participate** in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

### 12.6 - Please add any additional comments, description of best practices in World Heritage Education, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building (Questions 12.3 to 12.5)

Not applicable

## 13. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

### 13.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

<b>Synergies with other relevant Conventions, Recommendations and Programmes</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies</li> </ul>
<b>Identification of heritage</b>
<b>National inventories</b>
<b>Tentative List</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>natural heritage</b></li> <li>Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>mixed heritage</b></li> </ul>
<b>Effectiveness of legal framework</b>
<b>Function of cultural and natural heritage in the life of the community</b>
<b>Contribution of heritage to sustainable development policies</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is <b>no integration</b> of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods</li> <li>Ensuring conflict prevention</li> <li>Protecting heritage during conflict</li> <li>Promoting conflict resolution</li> <li>Contributing to post-conflict recovery</li> </ul> </li> <li>There is <b>limited integration</b> of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits</li> <li>Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change</li> <li>Contributing to inclusion and equality</li> <li>Enhancing the quality of life and well-being</li> <li>Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights</li> <li>Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities</li> <li>Achieving gender equality</li> <li>Promoting economic investment and quality tourism</li> <li>Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Larger-scale planning</b>
<b>Status of services for protection, conservation and presentation</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>natural heritage</b></li> <li>There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>natural heritage</b></li> </ul>
<b>Financial status</b>
<b>Human resources</b>
<b>Capacity development</b>

- The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the national level**
- The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level**
- The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy to **identify capacity building priorities**
- The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by fundraising to support capacity building programmes**
- The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage**

- There **is a national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some **deficiencies in implementation**

#### Policy and resourcing of World Heritage properties

#### Research on World Heritage properties

#### International cooperation

Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report:

- Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes
- Bilateral and multilateral agreements
- Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities
- Financial support
- Sharing expertise for capacity building
- Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars
- Distribution of material/information

#### Education, information and awareness building

### 13.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 13.1).

#### 13.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to ten)

<b>5.11</b>	<b>Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies</b>	
5.11.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is <b>no integration</b> of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods</li> <li>• Ensuring conflict prevention</li> <li>• Protecting heritage during conflict</li> <li>• Promoting conflict resolution</li> <li>• Contributing to post-conflict recovery</li> </ul> </li> <li>• There is <b>limited integration</b> of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits</li> <li>• Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change</li> <li>• Contributing to inclusion and equality</li> <li>• Enhancing the quality of life and well-being</li> <li>• Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights</li> <li>• Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities</li> <li>• Achieving gender equality</li> <li>• Promoting economic investment and quality tourism</li> <li>• Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	✘
<b>5.14</b>	<b>Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage</b>	
5.14.2	There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies	✘
<b>6</b>	<b>Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage</b>	
6.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>• Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>natural heritage</b></li> <li>• Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>mixed heritage</b></li> </ul>	✘
<b>7</b>	<b>Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage</b>	
7.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>• There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>natural heritage</b></li> </ul>	✘
7.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>• There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>natural heritage</b></li> </ul>	✘
<b>9</b>	<b>Capacity Development</b>	



9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The State Party <b>is using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the national level</b></li> <li>• The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level</b></li> <li>• The State Party <b>is using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy to <b>identify capacity building priorities</b></li> <li>• The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by fundraising to support capacity building programmes</b></li> <li>• The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage</b></li> </ul>	✕
9.4	There is a <b>national strategy</b> for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some <b>deficiencies in implementation</b>	✕
11	<b>International Cooperation</b>	
11.1	<p>Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes</li> <li>• Bilateral and multilateral agreements</li> <li>• Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities</li> <li>• Financial support</li> <li>• Sharing expertise for capacity building</li> <li>• Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars</li> <li>• Distribution of material/information</li> </ul>	✕

Please select 0 more issues.

Please save this question to reflect changes

### 13.3. Priority Actions Assessment

#### 13.3.1 - Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor

5.9	Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities					
	Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?	
5.9.1	Amendment of the National Cultural Heritage Act of 2009; Strengthening implementation of the NIPAS Act, as amended (community management, Section 13)	Policy development, implementation, assessment and monitoring Strengthening community and IP/ICC participation in management of protected areas and WHS, as applicable Improving linkage and partnership among stakeholders	UNACOM, NCCA, DENR, site management offices, partners	2022-2030	No	
5.11	Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies					
5.11.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is <b>limited integration</b> of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods</li> <li>• Ensuring conflict prevention</li> <li>• Protecting heritage during conflict</li> <li>• Promoting conflict resolution</li> <li>• Contributing to post-conflict recovery</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Implementation of the new National Museum of the Philippines Act (Republic Act No. 11333); Operationalization of the National Protected Area System Master Plan	RA 11333 mandates the transfer of regulatory functions from the National Museum to NCCA, which further expands the mandate of NCCA in terms of cultural protection and preservation; Approval and implementation of the NPAS Master Plan	NCCA; DENR	2021-onwards; 2022-2042	Yes

5.14	<b>Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage</b>					
5.14.2	There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies	Localization of the multilateral agreements, programmes and WHS policies and strategies	Interpretation of the programs at the national and local level	DENR NCCA UNACOM	2022-2025	Yes
6	<b>Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage</b>					
6.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>natural heritage</b></li> <li>Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>mixed heritage</b></li> </ul>	Use of inventories/lists/registers in identification of sites	Validation, updating, and use of inventories/lists/registers for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for cultural heritage, natural heritage and mixed heritage	UNACOM, NCCA, DENR	2022-2025	Yes
7	<b>Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage</b>					
7.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>natural heritage</b></li> </ul>	Strengthening cooperation between different levels of government	CEPA, partnership and streamlining process at the different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural heritage	UNACOM, NCCA, DENR, including field offices	2022-2025	Yes
7.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection,</li> </ul>	Strengthening cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society	CEPA and partnership between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural heritage and natural heritage	UNACOM, NCCA, DENR, and partner agencies, including field offices		

	<p>conservation and presentation of <b>cultural heritage</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>natural heritage</b></li> </ul>					
--	---	--	--	--	--	--

<b>9</b>	<b>Capacity Development</b>					
----------	-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

<b>9.3</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The State Party is <b>using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy for the <b>implementation of capacity building at the national level</b></li> <li>The State Party is <b>not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy for the <b>implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level</b></li> <li>The State Party is <b>using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy to <b>identify capacity building priorities</b></li> <li>The State Party is <b>not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy by <b>fundraising to support capacity building programmes</b></li> <li>The State Party is <b>not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy by <b>raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage</b></li> </ul>	Capacity Building Program	Capacity Building for regional/sub-regional level, sustainable financing and business planning, CEPA	UNACOM, DENR, NCCA, partner agencies, NGOs/POs, donors	2022-2025	Yes
<b>9.4</b>	There is a <b>national strategy</b> for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some <b>deficiencies in implementation</b>	Strengthening implementation of national strategy; Regular meetings among UNACOM, NCCA, DENR, site managers and partners	Capacity Building at all levels of WHS implementation	UNACOM, DENR, NCCA, partner agencies, including field offices	2021 onwards	No

<b>11</b>	<b>International Cooperation</b>					
-----------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

<b>11.1</b>	Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes</li> </ul>	Strengthening international cooperation	Strengthening international cooperation for capacity building, information sharing, financing, among others	Department of Foreign Affairs and other concerned government agencies	2021 onwards	Yes
-------------	---	---	---	---	--------------	-----

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bilateral and multilateral agreements</li> <li>• Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities</li> <li>• Financial support</li> <li>• Sharing expertise for capacity building</li> <li>• Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars</li> <li>• Distribution of material/information</li> </ul>					
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

<b>12</b>	<b>Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>					
<b>12.3</b>		Revitalize and further mainstream heritage education	Communication, Education and Public Awareness; expansion and updating of general education curriculum; professionalization and institutionalization of cultural and natural heritage as a specialization	UNACOM, DENR, NCCA, partner agencies (e.g. CHED, NCIP, DepEd, HEIs, etc.)	2022 onwards	Yes

Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor completed

#### 13.4. Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

##### 13.4.1 - Please indicate any additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

Not applicable

#### 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

##### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the State Party level.

Legal protections, capacity-building trainings, multi-stakeholder participation, coordination among lead agencies

##### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of good practice at the State Party level.

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

#### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

##### 15.1. Primary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention

##### 15.1.1 - Which primary institution is responsible for communicating with UNESCO with regards to the World Heritage Convention?

UNESCO National Commission of the Philippines

##### 15.2. Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

##### 15.2.1 - Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies	Fair

##### 15.3. The four Objectives of Periodic Reporting

##### 15.3.1 - How well does the current questionnaire address each of the four Objectives of Periodic Reporting?

	Objective	Not at all	Partially	Adequately
15.3.1.1	Provide an assessment of the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> by the State Party			✗
15.3.1.2	Provide an assessment as to whether the values of World Heritage properties are being maintained over time			✗
15.3.1.3	Provide updated information about World Heritage properties to record the changing circumstances and state of conservation of the property		✗	
15.3.1.4	Provide a mechanism for regional cooperation and exchange of information and experiences between States Parties about the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> , and World Heritage conservation			✗

#### 15.4. Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

##### 15.4.1 -

We suggest: 1. Providing access to the National Commissions in the online platform and online pieces of training. 2. Soliciting alternative emails or providing email address/es that can access the online form. 3. Extension of submission of inputs given the global health situation. 4. Open-access to the State-Parties' previously submitted raw data and reports in the previous Cycles. 5.Improvements on the survey design. Details can be found in the PH NatCom letter to the WHC dated 31 March 2021

#### 15.5. Use of Data

##### 15.5.1 - How does your State Party plan to use the data?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Updating management plans
Awareness raising
Advocacy
Reporting on implementation of Sustainable Development Goals
Improve the States Party implementation on the Convention
Not Applicable

#### 15.6. Timing and resources

##### 15.6.1 - Were your national authorities given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in the questionnaire during this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

No

##### 15.6.2 - Please estimate the time (working hours) and the number of people involved in completing Section I of the questionnaire.

	Time & people	Number of hours worked	Number of people involved
15.6.2.1	Gathering data	100+	45
15.6.2.2	Consulting stakeholders	100+	45
15.6.2.3	Filling in the questionnaire	50	45

##### 15.6.3 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

##### 15.6.4 - Did your national authorities mobilise any additional resources to carry out this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.6.4.1	Human resources	✗	
15.6.4.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/training	✗	

##### 15.6.5 - Please provide details on any additional resources mobilised.

Not applicable

#### 15.7. Format and Content of the Periodic Reporting Questionnaire

##### 15.7.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Some of the required information was accessible

##### 15.7.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Using the questionnaire	fair
Understanding the questions	fair

**15.7.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement**

Not applicable

**15.8. Training and guidance**

**15.8.1 - Please rate the level of support received in terms of training and guidance from the following entities for the completion of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
Category 2 Centres	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	Not applicable

**15.8.2 - Were the online training resources provided by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for your national authorities to complete the online questionnaire?**

Yes

**15.8.3 - Please provide further comments on the online training resources**

Not applicable

**15.9. Comments**

**15.9.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

We suggest: 1. Providing access to the National Commissions in the online platform and online pieces of training. 2. Soliciting alternative emails or providing email address/es that can access the online form. 3. Extension of submission of inputs given the global health situation. 4. Open-access to the State-Parties' previously submitted raw data and reports in the previous Cycles. 5.Improvements on the survey design. Details can be found in the PH NatCom letter to the WHC dated 31 March 2021

**15.9.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions.**