Nigeria

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party
Nigeria

1.2 - Date of ratification/accession/acceptance of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage
23/10/1974

1.3 - Groups and institutions involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Report

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Focal points of other international Conventions/programmes
World Heritage site managers/coordinators
ICOMOS national/regional
Other
Other government agencies

2. Synergies with other Conventions

2.1. Multilateral Environmental Agreements

2.1.1 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, in addition to the World Heritage Convention, your State is party to/not party to/in the process of adhering to the following agreements. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.1.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		✖	
2.1.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)			
2.1.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)		✖	
2.1.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)			
2.1.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)		✖	
2.1.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)			
2.1.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)			

2.1.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Ramsar site(s) under the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List). Please check and amend as necessary.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.1.3 - Does your State Party intend to designate any World Heritage property(ies) (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years?
No

2.1.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) your State Party intends to designate (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years.

2.1.5 - Please list any other relevant global or regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) on natural heritage which have been joined by your State Party.

2.2. UNESCO Culture Conventions

2.2.1 - The table below indicates which of the UNESCO cultural Conventions your State is party to, not party to or in the process of adhering to. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.2.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		✖	
2.2.1.2	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		✖	

			No		Yes
2.2.1.3	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict			✖	
2.2.1.4	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property			✖	
2.2.1.5	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage			✖	
2.2.1.6	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage			✖	
2.2.1.7	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions			✖	

2.2.2 - Please list any other relevant global or regional Conventions or agreements on cultural heritage to which your State Party is party to or in the process of adhering to.

2.2.3 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre the following World Heritage property(ies) located in your State Party have been included on the List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.2.4 - Does your State Party intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection for any of its World Heritage properties under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict in the next three years?
Yes

2.2.5 - Please list any World Heritage properties for which your State Party intends to request the granting of Enhanced Protection.
Sukur Cultural Landscape

2.3. UNESCO Programmes

2.3.1 - The table below indicates which of the selected UNESCO programmes your State Party participates in. Please check and amend as necessary.

		No	Yes
2.3.1.1	Man and the Biosphere Programme		✖
2.3.1.2	UNESCO Global Geoparks	✖	

2.3.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.3.3 - Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme?
No

2.3.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme:

2.3.5 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s)

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.3.6 - Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?
No

2.3.7 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).

2.4. Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join

2.4.1 - Is there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?

		Not Applicable	No	Yes
2.4.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage			✖

2.4.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)			✖
2.4.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)		✖	
2.4.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)		✖	
2.4.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)			✖
2.4.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)		✖	
2.4.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)		✖	
2.4.1.8	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict			✖
2.4.1.9	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict			✖
2.4.1.10	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property			✖
2.4.1.11	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage			✖
2.4.1.12	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage			✖
2.4.1.13	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions			✖
2.4.1.14	Man and the Biosphere Programme			✖
2.4.1.15	Global Geoparks	✖		

2.4.2 - Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):
Not very regular

2.4.3 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?
No

2.4.4 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?
Yes

2.5. UNESCO Recommendations

2.5.1 - Is your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		Yes	No
2.5.1.1	1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage	✖	
2.5.1.2	2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape	✖	

2.5.2 - Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:
The 1972 recommendation is used mainly in formulation of management plans for the world heritage sites and in the process of implementing projects and site monitoring.

3. Tentative List

3.1 - In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage List: Future priorities for a credible and complete list of natural and mixed sites by IUCN
Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region
UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List
World Heritage Resource Manual 'Preparing World Heritage Nominations'

3.2 - Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?
No

If you selected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.

3.3 - Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?
Yes

3.4 - Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?
Yes, among States Parties and communities

3.5 - Please name the site(s) considered to have this potential

1. Oban Hills/Korup 2. lake Chad Cultural Landscape

3.6 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Poor
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities/residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Local industries/tourism and other stakeholders	Poor
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Poor
Consultants/experts	Not applicable
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

3.7 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?

Gender balance **has been given limited consideration** and implementation in the process.

3.8 - Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?

Yes

If you selected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List.
Lake Chad culturla Landscape and Oban Hills/Korup

3.9 - Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)

4. Nominations

4.1 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers

National government institution(s)	Fair
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities/residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Local industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders	Fair
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants/experts	Good
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

4.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?

Yes

4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

Promoted environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being	Some
Enhanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders	Limited
Strengthened protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)	Limited
Enhanced conservation practices	Some
Enhanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes	Limited
Improved presentation of sites	Some
Enhanced honour/prestige	High
Increased funding	Some
Additional tool for lobbying/political influence	Some
Fostered peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation	None
Increased number of tourists and visitors	Limited
Promoted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities	None
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

4.4 - Please rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits	Some
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change	Limited
Contributing to inclusion and equity	Limited
Enhancing quality of life and well-being	Limited
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights	Limited
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities	Some
Achieving gender equality	Limited
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods	Limited
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism	Some
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship	Limited
Ensuring conflict prevention	No contribution
Protecting heritage during conflict	No contribution
Promoting conflict resolution	No contribution
Contributing to post-conflict recovery	Limited
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

4.5 - Please provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).

5. General Policy Development

5.1. Principal pieces of national cultural and/or natural heritage legislation

5.1.1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.2. Comment on principal pieces of legislation

5.2.1 - Please comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force

The legislation was originally department of antiquities ACT of 1954, then NCMM decree 77 of 1979 and now NCMM ACT CAP 242 of 2000. There is a draft proposal for the review of the legislation. 36 State governments also have their own pieces of legislations on the protection and protection, conservation and presentation of natural and cultural heritage.

5.3. Other principal pieces of legislation

5.3.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.

National Cultural Policy of 1988 / Cultural / National /

National Parks Service (Amendment) Act 2006 / Natural / National /

National Forest Policy 2006 / Natural / National /

5.4. Contribution of legislation and/or regulations at other levels to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and/or natural heritage

5.4.1 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.

Promulgation of laws at state government level which are similar to federal legislation has helped to better identify, document and conserve more heritage properties across Nigeria.

5.5. Adequacy of the legal framework for the <u>identification</u> of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.5.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is **no legal framework** for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is **inadequate** for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✖	
The legal framework is **partially adequate** for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		✖
The legal framework is **adequate** for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

5.6. Adequacy of the legal framework for the <u>conservation and protection</u> of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.6.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is **no legal framework** for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is **inadequate** for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✖	
The legal framework is **partially adequate** for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		✖
The legal framework is **adequate** for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

5.7. Enforcement of the legal framework

5.7.1 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?

		There is no legal framework.	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework are adequate.
5.7.1.1	Culture			✖	
5.7.1.2	Nature			✖	

5.8. Comments on the enforcement of the legal framework

5.8.1 - Please comment on particular problems of enforcement

Most of the laws protecting the cultural heritage in Nigeria were formulated since colonial period and are therefore outdated. Population and development pressure as well as environmental factors are seriously encroaching on the state of conservation of heritage site and limited resources for protection and monitoring are militating against effective management of natural and cultural heritage sites.

5.9. Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities

5.9.1 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

	Culture	Nature
There are **no specific policies** to give heritage a function in the life of communities.		

There are **no specific policies** to give heritage a function in the life of communities **but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.**	✖	
There **are specific policies** to give heritage a function in the life of communities **but there are some deficiencies** in their implementation.		✖
There **are specific policies** to give heritage a function in the life of communities that **are effectively implemented.**		✖

5.10. Examples of specific policies giving heritage a function in the life of communities

5.10.1 - Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities
Design of national calendar of festivals using heritage sites with participation of community members such as Abuja carnival, Calabar carnival, Argungu fishing festival, Osun Osogbo festival among others etc.

5.11. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies

5.11.1 - How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits.	High
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change.	Limited
Contributing to inclusion and equality.	Limited
Enhancing the quality of life and well-being.	Limited
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights.	Limited
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities.	Some
Achieving gender equality.	Limited
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods.	Limited
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism.	Some
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship.	Limited
Ensuring conflict prevention.	No integration
Protecting heritage during conflict.	No integration
Promoting conflict resolution.	No integration
Contributing to post-conflict recovery.	No integration
Other (please specify).	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

5.12. UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011

5.12.1 - In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):

Encourage the HUL approach across its territory
Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for monitoring the implementation of the Recommendation and its impact on the conservation and management of historic cities

5.13. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes

5.13.1 - How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?
There are **no specific policies**, but this is being **achieved on an ad hoc basis.**

5.14. Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

5.14.1 - Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage?

	UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy	No	Yes
5.14.1.1	Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties		✖
5.14.1.2	Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties		✖
5.14.1.3	World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy		✖

| 5.14.1.4 | World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy | | ✖ |

5.14.2 - Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

There is **limited coordination and integration** of the implementation of these multilateral agreements, Programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.

5.15. Specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

5.15.1 - Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

At present there is no specific National Policy using World Heritage Policies but being signatory to many multilateral agreement and convention, Nigerian Policy often take cognizance of such conventions/recommendations some of which align with World Heritage Policies.

5.16. Comments on General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

5.16.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

Nigerian Policy direction is often dictated by International Conventions, recommendations and protocols which Nigeria is a signatory to and some of which align with the World Heritage Policy.

6. Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage

6.1 - If the State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?

	Cultural heritage	Natural heritage
National/federal	Process well-advanced	Process well-advanced
Regional/provincial/state	Process commenced	Process commenced
Local	Process commenced	Process commenced
Other	Not applicable	

6.2 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers are **inadequate** to capture the diversity of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers **capture some** of the diversity of heritage.	✖	✖
Inventories/lists/registers capture the **full** diversity of heritage.		

6.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers **are not actively used** for the protection of heritage.	✖	
Inventories/lists/registers **are sometimes used** for the protection of heritage.		✖
Inventories/lists/registers **are frequently used** for the protection of heritage.		

6.4 - In addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?

	Culture	Nature
The State Party **does not involve** communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
The State Party **plans to involve** communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future.		
The State Party **sometimes involves** communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.	✖	✖
The State Party **regularly involves** communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		

6.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?

	Culture	Nature	Mixed
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.			

	Culture	Nature	
Inventories/lists/registers are **not actively used** for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			
Inventories/lists/registers are **sometimes used** for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.	✖	✖	✖
Inventories/lists/registers are **frequently used** for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			

6.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)

National, State and Local inventory are continuously inventoried. Each of the three tiers of government carry out inventories which are sometimes harmonized.

7. Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage

7.1 - How effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?

There is **some cooperation** between the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but this could be improved.**

7.2 - How effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

There is **limited cooperation** between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.

7.3 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is **no cooperation** between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is **limited cooperation** between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✖	✖
In general, **cooperation exists** between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage**but there are still deficiencies**.		
Different levels of government **cooperate effectively** for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

7.4 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is **no cooperation** between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is **limited cooperation** between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✖	✖
There is **some cooperation** between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage**but there are still deficiencies.**		
Different levels of government **cooperate effectively** with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

National government funds	Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Major source of project funding
Funds from other international conventions/programmes	Major source of project funding
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.)	Major source of project funding
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Minor source of project funding
Non-Governmental Organizations (international and/or national)	Major source of project funding
Private sector funds	Major source of project funding
Other	Minor source of project funding

If 'Other' applies, please specify	Philanthrophy and funds generated from site

8.2 - Does the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		No	Yes
8.2.1	Culture		✕
8.2.2	Nature		✕

8.3 - Do you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

	Culture	Nature
The available budget is **inadequate** for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.	✕	
The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.		✕
The available budget is **adequate** to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.		

8.4 - Please indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

	Category	percentage %
8.4.1	Cultural	0.0015
8.4.2	Natural	0.0028

8.5 - Please estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

Percentage		Cultural	Natural
8.5.1	National/Federal	20 %	40 %
8.5.2	Regional/Provincial	50 %	35 %
8.5.3	Local	30 %	25 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

8.6 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

Human resources	Culture	Nature
Human resources are **inadequate** for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
A range of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are **below optimum**.		✕
Human resources are **adequate** to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.	✕	

8.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)
financial resource allocation to carry out activities for the cultural sector is abysmal but natural heritage receives better financial allocation though not adequate. Availability of human resources is adequate for the cultural sector but the natural sector needs reinforcement.

9. Capacity Development

9.1 - Please prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Culture	Nature
National/federal	Low priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists	Low priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Nominations	Medium priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring	Medium priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: International assistance	Medium priority	Medium priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	Low priority	Medium priority
Technical and scientific issues	Medium priority	Medium priority
Traditional conservation processes	Low priority	Medium priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Medium priority	Medium priority

Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Medium priority	Medium priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	Medium priority	Medium priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	Medium priority	Medium priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	Low priority	Low priority
Management effectiveness assessment	Medium priority	Medium priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	Medium priority	Low priority
Awareness raising and outreach	Low priority	Medium priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	Medium priority	Medium priority
Sustainable development	Medium priority	Medium priority
Protection and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation	Medium priority	Medium priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Medium priority	Medium priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage	Medium priority	Medium priority
Inclusive social development in World Heritage management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
Gender balance in management systems	Low priority	Low priority
Inclusive economic development in World Heritage management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
World Heritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security	Medium priority	Medium priority

9.2 - Please rate the priority for training target audiences for each of the specific topics/themes/capacity building needs identified below for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Administrators and government bodies at all levels	Communities, indigenous peoples, landowners, local businesses, other social groups etc.	Universities, NGOs etc.	Heritage practitioners
Implementation of the *Convention*	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Not applicable
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists process	Low priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Not applicable
Statutory processes: Nomination process	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Not applicable
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring process	Low priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Not applicable
Statutory processes: International assistance, etc.	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Not applicable
Conservation and management of heritage sites	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority	Not applicable
Technical and Scientific issues	Low priority	Medium priority	High priority	Not applicable
Traditional conservation processes	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority	Not applicable
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Not applicable
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	Low priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	
Sustainable tourism use and management	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	
Management effectiveness assessment	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority	
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	
Awareness raising and outreach	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority	
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	
Sustainable development	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority	

Building environmental and social resilience	Medium priority	Low priority	Medium priority	
Integration of eco-social diversity in management systems	Medium priority	Low priority	Medium priority	
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Medium priority	Low priority	High priority	
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority	
Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	

9.3 - Please indicate how the State Party is using the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy (2011).

			No	Yes
9.3.1	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the national level.**			✖
9.3.2	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level.**		✖	
9.3.3	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to **identify capacity building priorities.**			✖
9.3.4	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy **by fundraising to support capacity building programmes.**		✖	
9.3.5	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy **by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage.**			✖

9.4 - Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There **is a national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some **deficiencies in implementation.**

9.5 - Comments: Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Capacity Development (Questions 9.1 to 9.4).

10. Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties

10.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage not listed in 5.1 (which focuses on policy general to all heritage), please list them here.

10.2 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage. Please provide web links for the legislation listed above.

At the State and Local government levels as well as the traditional authority exercised through the local chiefs/Kings, high priests, community elders and leaders have established roles both in official capacity by gazettes, edicts or orders and through traditional customs in the recognition, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage both tangible and intangible.

10.3 - Are the services provided by agencies/institutions adequate for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is **some capacity** to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but **some deficiencies** remain.

10.4 - How does the State Party encourage and support World Heritage properties to manage and develop visitation/tourism sustainably?

By facilitating network cooperation and stakeholder engagement through the development of governance structures or other mechanisms for cooperation

10.5 - Please indicate here any additional information or clarify how the State Party supports sustainable tourism planning and management at a property level.

The ministry in charge of heritage management is the same ministry for tourism development hence there is a synergy in heritage management and sustainable tourism that runs through different levels of government from the federal to the state and local levels. All world heritage site managers in charge of heritage heritage sites are trained tourism professionals adept with sustainable tourism operations .

10.6 - Does the State Party require the use of impact assessments for programmes (e.g. strategic environmental assessments) or development projects (e.g. environmental impact assessments, heritage impact assessments) that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting?

There **is a regulatory framework** that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects **but it is not enforced.**

10.7 - Please list the different assessment methods used. Please provide weblinks to the guidance for the assessment methods.

National Policy on environment NPE 1988 EIA Decree no 86 of 1992 Decree 59 of 1992

10.8 - Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is **no national capacity building strategy** in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management **but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis**

10.9 - Does the State Party have the institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues?

There **is capacity** at the institutional level to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues **but it could be improved.**

10.10 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and receiving donations for the protection of World Heritage?
No

10.11 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage policies and resources

11. International Cooperation

11.1 - Has the State Party promoted international cooperation and the establishment of cooperation mechanisms for heritage since the last Periodic Report? If so, please indicate the type of cooperation that best describes your activities.

Bilateral and multilateral agreements
Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities
Financial support
Participation in foundations for international cooperation
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material/information

11.2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level?
No

11.3 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 11.1 to 11.2). If you have twinned World Heritage properties, please list them along with their twins.
There is a proposal for the extension of Sukur Cultural landscape to incorporate an adjoining DGB cultural sites in Cameroon.,

12. Education, Information and Awareness Building

12.1 - Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among communities and different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?
There **are strategies** to raise awareness about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage **but there are some deficiencies in its implementation.**

12.2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following groups about World Heritage in your country

Communities living in/around heritage sites	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Youth	Good
General public	Fair
Decision makers and public officials	Fair
Private sector	Poor
Tourism industry	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	Traditional rulers have a good awareness about the importance of World heritage

12.3 - Does the State Party have heritage education programmes for children and/or youth, that contribute to improving understanding of heritage, promoting diversity and fostering intercultural dialogue?
There **are heritage education programmes** to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue that are **effectively implemented.**

12.4 - Please rate the level of frequency of the following activities to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue among children and/or youth

Teacher training courses on the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit	Low
Courses/activities for students within school programmes	Medium
Youth forums	Medium
Skills-training courses for students	High
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	High

Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Low
Other	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other', please specify	

12.5 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party **participates** in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

12.6 - Please add any additional comments, description of best practices in World Heritage Education, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building (Questions 12.3 to 12.5)

There is an artist forum in the artist village at Osun Osogbo World heritage site where young artists receive training.

13. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

13.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

Synergies with other relevant Conventions, Recommendations and Programmes

- World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national **natural** heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage

- There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies

Identification of heritage

National inventories

Tentative List

Effectiveness of legal framework

Function of cultural and natural heritage in the life of the community

- There are **no specific policies** to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being **achieved on an ad hoc basis** - **cultural heritage**

Contribution of heritage to sustainable development policies

- There is **no integration** of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:
  - Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change
  - Contributing to inclusion and equality
  - Enhancing the quality of life and well-being
  - Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights
  - Achieving gender equality
  - Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods
  - Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship
- There is **limited integration** of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:
  - Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities
  - Promoting economic investment and quality tourism

Larger-scale planning

Status of services for protection, conservation and presentation

- There is **limited cooperation** between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of **cultural heritage**
- There is **limited cooperation** between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of **natural heritage**

- There is **limited cooperation** between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of **cultural heritage**
- There is **limited cooperation** between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of **natural heritage**

Financial status

- The available budget is **inadequate** for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage

Human resources

Capacity development

- The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the national level**
- The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level**
- The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy to **identify capacity building priorities**
- The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by fundraising to support capacity building programmes**
- The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage**

- There **is a national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some **deficiencies in implementation**

Policy and resourcing of World Heritage properties

- The services provided by agencies/institutions have **some capacities** to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but **some deficiencies** remain

- There **is a regulatory framework** that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting **but it is not enforced**

- There is **no national capacity building strategy** in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management **but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis**

Research on World Heritage properties

International cooperation

Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report:
- Bilateral and multilateral agreements
- Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities
- Financial support
- Participation in foundations for international cooperation
- Sharing expertise for capacity building
- Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars
- Distribution of material/information

Education, information and awareness building

13.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 13.1).

13.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to ten)

2.4	Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join	
2.4.3	World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national **natural** heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage	✖
5.9	**Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities**	
5.9.1	• There are **no specific policies** to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being **achieved on an ad hoc basis** - **cultural heritage**	✖
5.11	**Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies**	
5.11.1	• There is **no integration** of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: • Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change • Contributing to inclusion and equality • Enhancing the quality of life and well-being • Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights • Achieving gender equality • Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods • Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship • There is **limited integration** of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: • Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities • Promoting economic investment and quality tourism	✖
5.14	**Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage**	
5.14.2	There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies	
7	**Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage**	
7.3	• There is **limited cooperation** between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of **cultural heritage** • There is **limited cooperation** between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of **natural heritage**	✖
7.4	• There is **limited cooperation** between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of **cultural heritage** • There is **limited cooperation** between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of **natural heritage**	✖
8	**Financial Status and Human Resources**	

8.3	• The available budget is **inadequate** for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage	✖
9	**Capacity Development**	
9.3	• The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the national level** • The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level** • The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy to **identify capacity building priorities** • The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by fundraising to support capacity building programmes** • The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage**	✖
9.4	There **is a national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some **deficiencies in implementation**	✖
10	**Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties**	
10.3	The services provided by agencies/institutions have **some capacities** to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but **some deficiencies** remain	✖
10.6	There **is a regulatory framework** that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting **but it is not enforced**	
10.8	There is **no national capacity building strategy** in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management **but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis**	
11	**International Cooperation**	
11.1	Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report: • Bilateral and multilateral agreements • Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities • Financial support • Participation in foundations for international cooperation • Sharing expertise for capacity building • Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars • Distribution of material/information	

Please select 1 more issues.

🗒 **Please save this question to reflect changes**

13.3. Priority Actions Assessment

13.3.1 - Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor

2.4	Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join					
		Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?
2.4.3	World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national **natural** heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage	Create a platform that brings together agencies responsible for the management of natural heritage to recognise and co-opt World Heritage Focal Points as key persons in the revision and implementation of natural heritage strategies.	Initiate memo to highlight the importance of revising and implementing national natural heritage strategy with the world heritage focal point as key actor	Federal Ministry of Information and Culture; National Commission for Museums and Monuments; Ministry of Environment; National Parks Service.	2020 - 2021	Nil
2.5	UNESCO Recommendations					
2.5.1		Identify and approach agencies responsible for City and urban planning with the suggestion to corporate the HUL recommendation in planning, design and urban management.	Recommendation on the HUL to be studied and recommended to the relevant agencies for use in planning and management of Urban settings.	Urban Development Board; Ministry of Planning; Professional Town planning bodies; Ministry of Environment; NCMM	2020 - 2023	nil
5.9	Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities					

5.9.1	• There are **no specific policies** to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being **achieved on an ad hoc basis** - **cultural heritage**	Carry out a review of inventories and documentation of cultural heritage sites with the involvement of local communities and identify site values and key issues with key objectives of community involvement and empowerment.	Creation of Management planning committees to formulate action plans and allocate responsibilities.	National Commission for Museums and Monuments; State Governments and Local Councils.	2021 - 2022	nil
5.11	**Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies**					
5.11.1	• There is **no integration** of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: • Ensuring conflict prevention • Protecting heritage during conflict • Promoting conflict resolution • Contributing to post-conflict recovery • There is **limited integration** of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: • Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change • Contributing to inclusion and equality • Enhancing the quality of life and well-being • Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights • Achieving gender equality • Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods • Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship	Initiate the process of establishing a framework to integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into the national development policy.	Bring together agencies responsible for national planning and heritage management to achieve the formulation of an integration strategy of heritage for national development.	Ministry of Information and culture; National Commission for Museums and Monuments; Federal Ministry of National Planning; Federal Ministry of Environment.	2020-2021	WHF Under the international Assistance for the protection of cultural heritage in the event of armed conflicts.
7	**Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage**					
7.3	• There is **limited cooperation** between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation	Create a forum for synergy through interaction and engagements between tiers of government at the Federal, State and Local government levels.	Establish a forum for synergy and communication between the three tiers of government on the Identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and natural heritage through joint program and projects.	National Commission for Museums and Monuments; State Governments and Local Governments.	2o21 - 2022	UNESCO International funds for the review and updating tentative list

	and presentation of **cultural heritage** • There is **limited cooperation** between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of **natural heritage**					
7.4	• There is **limited cooperation** between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of **cultural heritage** • There is **limited cooperation** between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of **natural heritage**	Create a forum for engagement between levels of government and segments of civil societies and NGOs during processes of identification, protection, conservation and presentation of natural and cultural heritage.	Program designs for heritage site to include different segments of the civil societies and different levels of government.	Federal, State and Local Governments and the Civil Society organisations.	From 2020	UNESCO; AWHF; ICCROM, Multinational heritage funding agencies.
8	**Financial Status and Human Resources**					
8.3	• The available budget is **inadequate** for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage	Improve the funding sources at all government levels for the management of cultural heritage resources.	Improve government budgetary allocation to the cultural sector through advocacy and exploration of funding sources through promotion of entrepreneurship, engagement with private sectors and fund raising activities.	National Commission for Museums and Monuments; Federal Ministry of Finance; Corporate Organisations; Local and International Funding agencies	From 2020	World Heritage fund and Multinational donor agencies.
9	**Capacity Development**					

9.3	• The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the national level** • The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level** • The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy to **identify capacity building priorities** • The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by fundraising to support capacity building programmes** • The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage**	Develop capacity building strategy for heritage managers at national, State and Local government levels.	Initiate a capacity building programs involving tiers of government, training institutions and funding agencies.	Federal, State and Local Governments; Training Institutions, Heritage experts; funding agencies and development partners.	2021 - 2023	International Assistance from UNESCO WHF; Multinational donor agencies; Foundations and private companies.
9.4	There **is a national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some **deficiencies in implementation**	Develop and strengthen national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage	Review and enhance the national strategy for capacity development with contributions from cultural agencies involved in heritage management at different government and professional levels and groups.	National Commission for Museums and Monuments; State Governments and Local Government Areas; Cultural and natural heritage institutions.	2020 - 2021	UNESCO Regional Office Abuja
10	**Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties**					
10.3	The services provided by agencies/institutions have **some capacities** to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but **some deficiencies** remain	Training personnel and provision of equipment to Improve the capacities of agencies/institutions involved in the management of heritage.	Improve and regularize capacity building programs for heritage managers at national and international levels and provide modern equipment for better and wider site presentation.	NCMM; Cultural and Natural Heritage institutions; Universities	From 2021	World Heritage Fund

Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor completed

13.4. Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

13.4.1 - Please indicate any additional actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention*

Just to reiterate the importance of establishing a National World Heritage Committee and a Department of World Heritage Studies in the heritage training Institute.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the State Party level.

The management of the 2 world heritage sites are carried independently by the local communities in accordance with traditional management systems with the NCMM serving as a modern institution responsible for monitoring, evaluating and reporting to the government authorities and the World heritage centre.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of good practice at the State Party level.

Sustainable Development

Synergies

State of Conservation

Management

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Primary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention

15.1.1 - Which primary institution is responsible for communicating with UNESCO with regards to the World Heritage Convention?
National Commission for Museums and Monuments.

15.2. Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.2.1 - Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies	Good

15.3. The four Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.3.1 - How well does the current questionnaire address each of the four Objectives of Periodic Reporting?

	Objective	Not at all	Partially	Adequately
15.3.1.1	Provide an assessment of the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention* by the State Party			✖
15.3.1.2	Provide an assessment as to whether the values of World Heritage properties are being maintained over time			✖
15.3.1.3	Provide updated information about World Heritage properties to record the changing circumstances and state of conservation of the property			✖
15.3.1.4	Provide a mechanism for regional cooperation and exchange of information and experiences between States Parties about the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention*, and World Heritage conservation			✖

15.4. Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.4.1 -
Encourage and facilitate the participation of heritage practitioners in the periodic reporting exercise at state levels through organising national workshops and training sessions within the framework of the periodic reporting involving heritage practitioners at community levels and with synergy from other relevant agencies and institutions.

15.5. Use of Data

15.5.1 - How does your State Party plan to use the data?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Updating management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy
Reporting for other conventions/conservation mechanisms
Reporting on implementation of Sustainable Development Goals
Improve the States Party implementation on the Convention
Other(s) (please specify below)
As a resource material for reference and guidance on site management.

15.6. Timing and resources

15.6.1 - Were your national authorities given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in the questionnaire during this cycle of Periodic Reporting?
Yes

15.6.2 - Please estimate the time (working hours) and the number of people involved in completing Section I of the questionnaire.

	Time & people	Number of hours worked	Number of people involved
15.6.2.1	Gathering data	50	10
15.6.2.2	Consulting stakeholders	30	7

15.6.2.3	Filling in the questionnaire	25	5

15.6.3 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has been **explicitly considered** in the process **but there are still deficiencies its implementation.**

15.6.4 - Did your national authorities mobilise any additional resources to carry out this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.6.4.1	Human resources		✖
15.6.4.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/training	✖	

15.6.5 - Please provide details on any additional resources mobilised.

Use of personal resources by the site managers and the National focal point to complete the reporting exercise.

15.7. Format and Content of the Periodic Reporting Questionnaire

15.7.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

15.7.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Using the questionnaire	fair
Understanding the questions	good

15.7.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement

You may wish to give examples of what is required on some questions that may appear complex.

15.8. Training and guidance

15.8.1 - Please rate the level of support received in terms of training and guidance from the following entities for the completion of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	None
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	None
IUCN International	None
ICCROM International/regional	None
ICOMOS national/regional	None
IUCN national/regional	None
Category 2 Centres	None
Other	Good
If 'Other' applies, please specify	AWHF

15.8.2 - Were the online training resources provided by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for your national authorities to complete the online questionnaire?

No

15.8.3 - Please provide further comments on the online training resources

Not aware of online training resources.

15.9. Comments

15.9.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

I think assessment of the periodic report is a very good tool for evaluation.

15.9.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions.