

# Nepal

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 - State Party

Nepal

**1.2 - Date of ratification/accession/acceptance of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage**  
20/06/1978

### 1.3 - Groups and institutions involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Report

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
World Heritage site managers/coordinators
Other
local site managers

## 2. Synergies with other Conventions

### 2.1. Multilateral Environmental Agreements

**2.1.1 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, in addition to the World Heritage Convention, your State is party to/not party to/in the process of adhering to the following agreements. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.1.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		×	
2.1.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)		×	
2.1.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)		×	
2.1.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)	×		
2.1.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)		×	
2.1.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)		×	
2.1.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)		×	

**2.1.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Ramsar site(s) under the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List). Please check and amend as necessary.**

Chitwan National Park,Sagarmatha National Park
--

**2.1.3 - Does your State Party intend to designate any World Heritage property(ies) (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years?**

No

**2.1.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) your State Party intends to designate (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years.**

No

**2.1.5 - Please list any other relevant global or regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) on natural heritage which have been joined by your State Party.**

Not applicable /
------------------

### 2.2. UNESCO Culture Conventions

**2.2.1 - The table below indicates which of the UNESCO cultural Conventions your State is party to, not party to or in the process of adhering to. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.2.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		×	
2.2.1.2	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		
2.2.1.3	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		

2.2.1.4	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property		x	
2.2.1.5	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage	x		
2.2.1.6	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage		x	
2.2.1.7	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions	x		

**2.2.2 - Please list any other relevant global or regional Conventions or agreements on cultural heritage to which your State Party is party to or in the process of adhering to.**

Not applicable /

**2.2.3 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre the following World Heritage property(ies) located in your State Party have been included on the List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.2.4 - Does your State Party intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection for any of its World Heritage properties under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict in the next three years?**

No

**2.2.5 - Please list any World Heritage properties for which your State Party intends to request the granting of Enhanced Protection.**

Not applicable

### 2.3. UNESCO Programmes

**2.3.1 - The table below indicates which of the selected UNESCO programmes your State Party participates in. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		No	Yes
2.3.1.1	Man and the Biosphere Programme	x	
2.3.1.2	UNESCO Global Geoparks	x	

**2.3.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.3.3 - Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme?**

No

**2.3.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme:**

Not applicable /

**2.3.5 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s)**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.3.6 - Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?**

No

**2.3.7 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).**

Not applicable /

**2.4. Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join**

**2.4.1 - Is there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?**

		Not Applicable	No	Yes
--	--	----------------	----	-----

2.4.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage			×
2.4.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)			×
2.4.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)			×
2.4.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)	×		
2.4.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)			×
2.4.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)			×
2.4.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)			×
2.4.1.8	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		
2.4.1.9	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		
2.4.1.10	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property			×
2.4.1.11	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage	×		
2.4.1.12	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage			×
2.4.1.13	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions	×		
2.4.1.14	Man and the Biosphere Programme	×		
2.4.1.15	Global Geoparks	×		

**2.4.2 - Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):**

No comment.

**2.4.3 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?**

Yes

**2.4.4 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?**

Yes

## 2.5. UNESCO Recommendations

**2.5.1 - Is your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?**

		Yes	No
2.5.1.1	1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage	×	
2.5.1.2	2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape	×	

**2.5.2 - Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:**

Include the provisions as the national policies and legal tools as well

## 3. Tentative List

**3.1 - In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?**

ICOMOS thematic studies
IUCN thematic studies
Filling the gaps – an Action Plan for the future by ICOMOS
Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region
UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List
World Heritage Resource Manual 'Preparing World Heritage Nominations'

**3.2 - Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?**

Yes

If you selected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.  
Upstream Processes

**3.3 - Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?**

Yes

**3.4 - Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?**

Yes, among communities

**3.5 - Please name the site(s) considered to have this potential**

The Early Medieval Architectural Complex of Panauti [Listed in TL in 1996]

**3.6 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Fair
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities/residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Local industries/tourism and other stakeholders	Poor
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Poor
Consultants/experts	Good
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

**3.7 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?**

Gender balance **has been explicitly considered** in the process but there are **still deficiencies** in the implementation.

**3.8 - Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?**

No

If you selected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List.

**3.9 - Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)**

Nepal have sent request for upstream process in reviewing especially Khokana, the vernacular village and its mustard oil industrial heritage [listed in TL in 1996], and also informed by WH Center as it was accepted in the last year, but due to pandemic of covid 19, it's still been halted.

**4. Nominations**

**4.1 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Not applicable
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Poor
UNESCO National Commission	Poor
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities/residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Other specific groups (please specify below)	None
Landowners	Poor
Local industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders	Poor
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Poor

Consultants/experts	Good
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Fair
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

**4.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?**

Yes

**4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List**

Promoted environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being	Some
Enhanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders	Some
Strengthened protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)	High
Enhanced conservation practices	High
Enhanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes	Some
Improved presentation of sites	High
Enhanced honour/prestige	High
Increased funding	High
Additional tool for lobbying/political influence	High
Fostered peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation	High
Increased number of tourists and visitors	High
Promoted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities	Some
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

**4.4 - Please rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.**

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits	Some
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change	Some
Contributing to inclusion and equity	High
Enhancing quality of life and well-being	High
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights	High
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities	High
Achieving gender equality	High
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods	Some
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism	High
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship	High
Ensuring conflict prevention	Some
Protecting heritage during conflict	Some
Promoting conflict resolution	Some
Contributing to post-conflict recovery	Some
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

**4.5 - Please provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).**

No comment

**5. General Policy Development**

**5.1. Principal pieces of national cultural and/or natural heritage legislation**

### 5.1.1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

#### Comment

Ancient Monument Preservation Act, 1956 - for Cultural Heritage, which covers all of the cultural heritage affairs in the country including world heritage properties  
National Parks and Wildlife Preservation Act, 1972 - for Natural Heritage, which covers all of the natural heritage affairs in the country including world heritage properties

### 5.2. Comment on principal pieces of legislation

#### 5.2.1 - Please comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force

The legislation is however in implementation, but Ancient Monument Preservation Act, 1956 - for Cultural Heritage is under amendment. The amendment proposal has already submitted and its on the process.

### 5.3. Other principal pieces of legislation

#### 5.3.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.

Ancient Monument Preservation Rules / Cultural / National /
Ancient Monument Preservation Procedure / Culture / National /
National Parks and Wildlife Conservation Rules / Natural / National /

### 5.4. Contribution of legislation and/or regulations at other levels to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and/or natural heritage

#### 5.4.1 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.

The Ancient Monument Preservation Act (AMPA) is the primary key / major legislation regarding all cultural heritage conservation and management as well as regarding the all archaeological sites within the country; therefore, each and every cultural heritage is within its jurisdiction; as same the National Parks and Wildlife Conservation Act for Natural heritage.

### 5.5. Adequacy of the legal framework for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

#### 5.5.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no legal framework</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>inadequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>partially adequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✗	✗
The legal framework is <b>adequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

### 5.6. Adequacy of the legal framework for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

#### 5.6.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no legal framework</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>inadequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>partially adequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✗	✗
The legal framework is <b>adequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

### 5.7. Enforcement of the legal framework

#### 5.7.1 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?

		There is no legal framework.	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework are adequate.
5.7.1.1	Culture			✗	
5.7.1.2	Nature				✗

## 5.8. Comments on the enforcement of the legal framework

### 5.8.1 - Please comment on particular problems of enforcement

There is multiple legislation for the cultural heritage and they are implemented by multiple institution, managing the sites, that are the problems for fully implementation of all provisions of legislation.

## 5.9. Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities

### 5.9.1 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

	Culture	Nature
There are <b>no specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities.		
There are <b>no specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities <b>but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.</b>	✗	
There are <b>specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities <b>but there are some deficiencies</b> in their implementation.		
There are <b>specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities that <b>are effectively implemented.</b>		✗

## 5.10. Examples of specific policies giving heritage a function in the life of communities

### 5.10.1 - Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities

No comment

## 5.11. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies

### 5.11.1 - How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits.	Limited
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change.	Limited
Contributing to inclusion and equality.	Some
Enhancing the quality of life and well-being.	Some
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights.	Some
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities.	Some
Achieving gender equality.	Some
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods.	Some
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism.	Some
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship.	Limited
Ensuring conflict prevention.	Limited
Protecting heritage during conflict.	No integration
Promoting conflict resolution.	No integration
Contributing to post-conflict recovery.	No integration
Other (please specify).	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

## 5.12. UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011

### 5.12.1 - In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):

Adapt the application of the Recommendation and its approach to the States Party's specific context
Encourage the HUL approach across its territory
Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the harmonious integration of contemporary intervention into the historic urban fabric

5.13. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes

**5.13.1 - How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?**

There are **no specific policies**, but this is being **achieved on an ad hoc basis**.

5.14. Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

**5.14.1 - Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage?**

	UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy	No	Yes
5.14.1.1	Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties	✗	
5.14.1.2	Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties		✗
5.14.1.3	World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy		✗
5.14.1.4	World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy	✗	

**5.14.2 - Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

There is **limited coordination and integration** of the implementation of these multilateral agreements, Programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.

5.15. Specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

**5.15.1 - Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies**

No policy, but many provisions of Ancient Monument Preservation Act, which were developed using the World Heritage policies. Such as, the provision of declaration of Protected Monument Zone.

5.16. Comments on General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

**5.16.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)**

No comment

**6. Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage**

**6.1 - If the State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?**

	Cultural heritage	Natural heritage
National/federal	Process completed or continuously updated	Process completed or continuously updated
Regional/provincial/state	Process completed or continuously updated	Process completed or continuously updated
Local	Process commenced	Process well-advanced
Other	Process commenced	Process commenced

**6.2 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?**

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>inadequate</b> to capture the diversity of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers <b>capture some</b> of the diversity of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers capture the <b>full</b> diversity of heritage.	✗	✗

**6.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?**

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers <b>are not actively used</b> for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers <b>are sometimes used</b> for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers <b>are frequently used</b> for the protection of heritage.	✗	✗



**6.4 - In addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?**

	Culture	Nature
The State Party <b>does not involve</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
The State Party <b>plans to involve</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future.		
The State Party <b>sometimes involves</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
The State Party <b>regularly involves</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.	✗	✗

**6.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?**

	Culture	Nature	Mixed
<b>No</b> inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.			
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>sometimes used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>frequently used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.	✗	✗	

**6.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)**

No comment

**7. Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage**

**7.1 - How effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?**

There is **effective cooperation** between principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.

**7.2 - How effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?**

In general, **cooperation exists** between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but there are still deficiencies**.

**7.3 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?**

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
In general, <b>cooperation exists</b> between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage <b>but there are still deficiencies</b> .	✗	
Different levels of government <b>cooperate effectively</b> for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		✗

**7.4 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is <b>some cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage <b>but there are still deficiencies</b> .	✗	✗
Different levels of government <b>cooperate effectively</b> with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

## 8. Financial Status and Human Resources

### 8.1 - Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

National government funds	Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Major source of project funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Minor source of project funding
Funds from other international conventions/programmes	No funding/Not applicable
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.)	No funding/Not applicable
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	No funding/Not applicable
Non-Governmental Organizations (international and/or national)	Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Private sector funds	Minor source of project funding
Other	No funding/Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

### 8.2 - Does the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		No	Yes
8.2.1	Culture	✗	
8.2.2	Nature		✗

### 8.3 - Do you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

	Culture	Nature
The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.		
The available budget is <b>acceptable</b> but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.	✗	✗
The available budget is <b>adequate</b> to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.		

### 8.4 - Please indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

	Category	percentage %
8.4.1	Cultural	0.05
8.4.2	Natural	0.041

### 8.5 - Please estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

Percentage		Cultural	Natural
8.5.1	National/Federal	0.05 %	0.041 %
8.5.2	Regional/Provincial	0.00 %	0.00 %
8.5.3	Local	0.08 %	0.031 %
		Total 0.13 %	Total 0.072 %

### 8.6 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

Human resources	Culture	Nature
Human resources are <b>inadequate</b> for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✗	
A range of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are <b>below optimum</b> .		✗
Human resources are <b>adequate</b> to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.		

## 8.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)

No adequate budget allocated and human resources are also not sufficient

## 9. Capacity Development

### 9.1 - Please prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Culture	Nature
National/federal	Medium priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists	Medium priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Nominations	Medium priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring	Medium priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: International assistance	High priority	High priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	High priority	High priority
Technical and scientific issues	Medium priority	Low priority
Traditional conservation processes	High priority	Low priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Low priority	High priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Medium priority	High priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	Medium priority	High priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	High priority	Medium priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	Medium priority	Medium priority
Management effectiveness assessment	High priority	Medium priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	High priority	Medium priority
Awareness raising and outreach	Medium priority	Medium priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	High priority	High priority
Sustainable development	Medium priority	High priority
Protection and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation	Medium priority	High priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Medium priority	High priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage	Medium priority	Medium priority
Inclusive social development in World Heritage management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
Gender balance in management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
Inclusive economic development in World Heritage management systems	High priority	High priority
World Heritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security	High priority	High priority

### 9.2 - Please rate the priority for training target audiences for each of the specific topics/themes/capacity building needs identified below for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Administrators and government bodies at all levels	Communities, indigenous peoples, landowners, local businesses, other social groups etc.	Universities, NGOs etc.	Heritage practitioners
Implementation of the <i>Convention</i>	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists process	High priority	Low priority	No priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Nomination process	Medium priority	Medium priority	No priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring process	High priority	Low priority	Not applicable	High priority
Statutory processes: International assistance, etc.	Medium priority	Low priority	No priority	Medium priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	High priority	Low priority	No priority	Medium priority

Technical and Scientific issues	High priority	Low priority	No priority	High priority
Traditional conservation processes	High priority	High priority	Low priority	High priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Medium priority	High priority	Low priority	High priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	High priority	High priority	Low priority	High priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority	High priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	High priority	Low priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	High priority	Low priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Management effectiveness assessment	High priority	Low priority	Low priority	High priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	High priority	Medium priority	No priority	Medium priority
Awareness raising and outreach	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	High priority	Low priority	No priority	High priority
Sustainable development	High priority	Low priority	Low priority	High priority
Building environmental and social resilience	Low priority	Low priority	Low priority	High priority
Integration of eco-social diversity in management systems	Medium priority	Low priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	High priority	Low priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change	High priority	Low priority	Low priority	High priority

### 9.3 - Please indicate how the State Party is using the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy (2011).

		No	Yes
9.3.1	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the national level.</b>		✗
9.3.2	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level.</b>		✗
9.3.3	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to <b>identify capacity building priorities.</b>		✗
9.3.4	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by fundraising to support capacity building programmes.</b>	✗	
9.3.5	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage.</b>		✗

### 9.4 - Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is **no national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is **being done on an ad hoc basis.**

### 9.5 - Comments: Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Capacity Development (Questions 9.1 to 9.4).

No comment

## 10. Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties

### 10.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage not listed in 5.1 (which focuses on policy general to all heritage), please list them here.

Ancient Monument Preservation Act, 1956 / 1956 / Culture / National /

### 10.2 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage. Please provide web links for the legislation listed above.

Both of National Acts have been implemented and they are the principle acts for cultural and natural heritage regarding identification, conservation and protection.

### 10.3 - Are the services provided by agencies/institutions adequate for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is **some capacity** to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but **some deficiencies** remain.

#### 10.4 - How does the State Party encourage and support World Heritage properties to manage and develop visitation/tourism sustainably?

By providing capacity building for site managers

By facilitating network cooperation and stakeholder engagement through the development of governance structures or other mechanisms for cooperation

#### 10.5 - Please indicate here any additional information or clarify how the State Party supports sustainable tourism planning and management at a property level.

Federal Government supports to develop policies and plan to the local government however, the world heritage properties are directly under the federal government. But all these activities supports to uplift the local residents' livelihood and that supports to the overall sustainable tourism and management.

#### 10.6 - Does the State Party require the use of impact assessments for programmes (e.g. strategic environmental assessments) or development projects (e.g. environmental impact assessments, heritage impact assessments) that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting?

There is a **regulatory framework** that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects which is implemented **but it needs improvement**.

#### 10.7 - Please list the different assessment methods used. Please provide weblinks to the guidance for the assessment methods.

Environmental Impact Assessment (HIA is a component of EIA) Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA)

#### 10.8 - Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is a **national capacity building strategy** in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection and management but there are **some deficiencies in its implementation**

#### 10.9 - Does the State Party have the institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues?

There is **no institutional capacity** to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues **but research is conducted in collaboration with partners**.

#### 10.10 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and receiving donations for the protection of World Heritage?

Yes

#### 10.11 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage policies and resources

No comment

### 11. International Cooperation

#### 11.1 - Has the State Party promoted international cooperation and the establishment of cooperation mechanisms for heritage since the last Periodic Report? If so, please indicate the type of cooperation that best describes your activities.

Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes

Financial support

Sharing expertise for capacity building

Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars

Distribution of material/information

#### 11.2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level?

No

#### 11.3 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 11.1 to 11.2). If you have twinned World Heritage properties, please list them along with their twins.

No comment

### 12. Education, Information and Awareness Building

#### 12.1 - Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among communities and different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?

There are **strategies** to raise awareness about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage that are being **effectively implemented**.

#### 12.2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following groups about World Heritage in your country

Communities living in/around heritage sites	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Youth	Good
General public	Fair
Decision makers and public officials	Fair
Private sector	Fair

Tourism industry	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

### 12.3 - Does the State Party have heritage education programmes for children and/or youth, that contribute to improving understanding of heritage, promoting diversity and fostering intercultural dialogue?

There are heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue **but there are deficiencies in implementation.**

### 12.4 - Please rate the level of frequency of the following activities to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue among children and/or youth

Teacher training courses on the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit	Low
Courses/activities for students within school programmes	Medium
Youth forums	Medium
Skills-training courses for students	Low
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Low
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Low
Other	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other', please specify	

### 12.5 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party **does not participate** in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme **but intends to do so.**

### 12.6 - Please add any additional comments, description of best practices in World Heritage Education, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building (Questions 12.3 to 12.5)

No comment

## 13. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

### 13.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

<b>Synergies with other relevant Conventions, Recommendations and Programmes</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies</li> </ul>
<b>Identification of heritage</b>
<b>National inventories</b>
<b>Tentative List</b>
<b>Effectiveness of legal framework</b>
<b>Function of cultural and natural heritage in the life of the community</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are <b>no specific policies</b> to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being <b>achieved on an ad hoc basis - cultural heritage</b></li> </ul>
<b>Contribution of heritage to sustainable development policies</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is <b>no integration</b> of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits</li> <li>Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change</li> <li>Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship</li> <li>Ensuring conflict prevention</li> </ul> </li> <li>There is <b>limited integration</b> of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contributing to inclusion and equality</li> <li>Enhancing the quality of life and well-being</li> <li>Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights</li> <li>Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities</li> <li>Achieving gender equality</li> <li>Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods</li> <li>Promoting economic investment and quality tourism</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

<b>Larger-scale planning</b>
<b>Status of services for protection, conservation and presentation</b>
<b>Financial status</b>
<b>Human resources</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Human resources are <b>inadequate</b> for conservation, protection and presentation needs of <b>cultural heritage</b></li> </ul>
<b>Capacity development</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The State Party <b>is using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the national level</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy to <b>identify capacity building priorities</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by fundraising to support capacity building programmes</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage</b></li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is <b>no national strategy</b> for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is <b>being done on an ad hoc basis</b></li> </ul>
<b>Policy and resourcing of World Heritage properties</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The services provided by agencies/institutions have <b>some capacities</b> to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but <b>some deficiencies</b> remain</li> </ul>
<b>Research on World Heritage properties</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The State Party has <b>no institutional capacity</b> to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues but research is conducted in <b>collaboration with partners</b></li> </ul>
<b>International cooperation</b>
<p>Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes</li> <li>Financial support</li> <li>Sharing expertise for capacity building</li> <li>Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars</li> <li>Distribution of material/information</li> </ul>
<b>Education, information and awareness building</b>

## 13.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 13.1).

### 13.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to ten)

<b>5.9</b>	<b>Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities</b>	
5.9.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Il n'y a <b>pas de politique générale spécifique</b> donnant au patrimoine suivant une fonction dans la vie des communautés <b>mais cela se pratique de manière ponctuelle : patrimoine culturel</b></li> </ul>	✕
<b>5.11</b>	<b>Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies</b>	
5.11.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Il n'y a <b>pas d'intégration</b> de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable pour : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protéger la diversité biologique et culturelle ainsi que les services et les bénéfices des écosystèmes</li> <li>Renforcer la résilience aux catastrophes naturelles et au changement climatique</li> <li>Soutenir le renforcement des compétences, l'innovation et l'entrepreneuriat local</li> <li>Assurer la prévention des conflits</li> </ul> </li> <li>Il y a une <b>intégration limitée</b> de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable pour : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contribuer à l'inclusion et à l'égalité</li> <li>Améliorer la qualité de vie et le bien-être</li> <li>Respecter, protéger et promouvoir les droits de l'homme</li> <li>Respecter, consulter et impliquer les peuples autochtones et les populations locales</li> <li>Parvenir à l'égalité des genres</li> <li>Garantir la croissance, l'emploi, les revenus et les moyens de subsistance</li> <li>Promouvoir les investissements économiques et le tourisme de qualité</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	✕
<b>5.14</b>	<b>Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage</b>	
5.14.2	La mise en œuvre de ces accords et programmes multilatéraux, de politiques et stratégies relatives au patrimoine mondial <b>est coordonnée et intégrée de façon limitée</b> aux politiques nationales	✕
<b>8</b>	<b>Financial Status and Human Resources</b>	
8.6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Les ressources humaines <b>ne suffisent pas</b> à couvrir les besoins de conservation, de protection et de mise en valeur du <b>patrimoine culturel</b></li> </ul>	✕

9	Capacity Development						
9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Votre État partie <b>utilise</b> la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences <b>au niveau national</b></li> <li>• Votre État partie <b>utilise</b> la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences <b>au niveau régional/sous-régional</b></li> <li>• Votre État partie <b>utilise</b> la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour <b>identifier les priorités de renforcement des compétences</b></li> <li>• Votre État partie <b>n'utilise pas</b> la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la <b>collecte de fonds pour appuyer les programmes de renforcement des compétences</b></li> <li>• Votre État partie <b>utilise</b> la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités <b>dans le cadre de la sensibilisation à la nécessité de conserver et de gérer le patrimoine naturel et culturel</b></li> </ul>						✕
9.4	Il n'y a <b>pas de stratégie nationale</b> de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, protection, mise en valeur et gestion du patrimoine, <b>mais cela se pratique malgré tout de manière ponctuelle</b>						✕
10	Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties						
10.3	Les services fournis par les institutions/agences ont <b>une certaine capacité</b> permettant de protéger, de conserver, de mettre en valeur et de gérer les biens du patrimoine mondial, mais <b>certaines lacunes</b> subsistent						✕
10.9	L'État partie n'a <b>pas la capacité institutionnelle</b> de procéder à des études spécifiques aux problématiques du patrimoine mondial, <b>mais elles sont quand même réalisées avec des partenaires</b>						✕
11	International Cooperation						
11.1	Formes de coopérations internationales et de mécanismes de coopération pour le patrimoine promues depuis le dernier Rapport périodique : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Participation à d'autres programmes des Nations Unies, comme les programmes de développement durable et les programmes sur les droits et l'égalité hommes-femmes</li> <li>• Soutien financier</li> <li>• Partage des compétences pour le renforcement des compétences</li> <li>• Organisation et/ou participation à des cours/séminaires internationaux de formation</li> <li>• Diffusion de matériel/d'information</li> </ul>						✕
Please select 0 more issues.							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes							

### 13.3. Priority Actions Assessment

#### 13.3.1 - Veuillez indiquer les actions à mener en priorité pour traiter les points jugés déficients

5.9	Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities					
		Action	Brève description	Autorité(s) responsable(s)	Calendrier	Cette action peut-elle nécessiter d'une assistance internationale du Fonds du patrimoine mondial ?
5.9.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Il n'y a <b>pas de politique générale spécifique</b> donnant au patrimoine suivant une fonction dans la vie des communautés <b>mais cela se pratique de manière ponctuelle : patrimoine culturel</b></li> </ul>	Establish provisions within policies to address to uplift of the local communities' livelihood	Activities regarding capacity building and capacity enhancement of local communities	Ministry of Culture, Tourism and Civil Aviation; Department of Archaeology and local government Ministry of Forest; Department of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation and local government	Six Years	No
5.11	Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies					
5.11.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Il n'y a <b>pas d'intégration</b> de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable pour :</li> <li>• Protéger le patrimoine en cas de conflit</li> </ul>	integration of sustainable development of policies with heritage protection	integration of sustainable development policies with cultural and natural heritage	Federal and Local governments	Five Years	Yes



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Promouvoir la résolution des conflits</li> <li>• Contribuer au relèvement après un conflit</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Il y a une <b>intégration limitée</b> de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable pour :</li> <li>• Protéger la diversité biologique et culturelle ainsi que les services et les bénéfices des écosystèmes</li> <li>• Renforcer la résilience aux catastrophes naturelles et au changement climatique</li> <li>• Soutenir le renforcement des compétences, l'innovation et l'entrepreneuriat local</li> <li>• Assurer la prévention des conflits</li> </ul>					
--	---	--	--	--	--	--

5.14	Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage					
------	---	--	--	--	--	--

5.14.2	La mise en œuvre de ces accords et programmes multilatéraux, de politiques et stratégies relatives au patrimoine mondial <b>est coordonnée et intégrée de façon limitée</b> aux politiques nationales	Signing on the multilateral agreements regarding more protection and management of cultural and natural heritage	Safeguarding of the cultural and natural heritage	Ministry of Culture, Tourism and Civil Aviation and Ministry of Forest	Six Years	May be needed
--------	---	--	---	--	-----------	---------------

8	Financial Status and Human Resources					
---	--------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

8.6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Les ressources humaines <b>ne suffisent pas</b> à couvrir les besoins de conservation, de protection et de mise en valeur du <b>patrimoine culturel</b></li> </ul>	Recruit the adequate human resource and arrange the capacity building trainings for them	Specific technical human resources	Federal Government	Adequate time frame and its continuation	Yes
-----	---	--	------------------------------------	--------------------	--	-----

9	Capacity Development					
---	----------------------	--	--	--	--	--

9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Votre État partie <b>utilise</b> la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences <b>au niveau national</b></li> <li>• Votre État partie <b>utilise</b> la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences <b>au niveau régional/sous-régional</b></li> <li>• Votre État partie <b>utilise</b> la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour <b>identifier les priorités de renforcement des compétences</b></li> <li>• Votre État partie <b>n'utilise pas</b> la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de <b>la collecte de fonds pour appuyer les programmes de renforcement des compétences</b></li> <li>• Votre État partie <b>utilise</b> la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités <b>dans le cadre de la sensibilisation à la nécessité de conserver et de gérer le patrimoine naturel et culturel</b></li> </ul>	Net working of human resources internationally; regionally and sub-regionally	extension of networking of human resources	Related all stake authorities	initially within five years but should continue for long lasting	Yes
9.4	Il n'y a <b>pas de stratégie nationale</b> de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, protection, mise en valeur et gestion du patrimoine, <b>mais cela se pratique malgré tout de manière ponctuelle</b>	Continuous or annual training opportunity arrangement - certain time allocation for all kinds of human resources	Especially the training on in-service capacity enhance and capacity building	Related Ministries and Departments	Five Years	Yes

10	Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties					
10.3	Les services fournis par les institutions/agences ont <b>une certaine capacité</b> permettant de protéger, de conserver, de mettre en valeur et de gérer les biens du patrimoine mondial, mais <b>certaines lacunes</b> subsistent	Provisions in Policy	Adequately address about resourcing of world heritage properties within policy	Federal Ministries and Departments	Initially five years	Yes
10.9	L'État partie n'a <b>pas la capacité institutionnelle</b> de procéder à des études spécifiques aux problématiques du patrimoine mondial, <b>mais elles sont quand même réalisées avec des partenaires</b>	Propose of research work	Carry out several related research works	Federal Ministries and Departments and the local governments	Initially for five years	Yes

11	International Cooperation					
----	---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

11.1	Formes de coopérations internationales et de mécanismes de coopération pour le patrimoine promues depuis le dernier Rapport périodique : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Participation à d'autres programmes des Nations Unies, comme les programmes de développement durable et les programmes sur les droits et l'égalité hommes-femmes</li> <li>• Soutien financier</li> <li>• Partage des compétences pour le renforcement des compétences</li> <li>• Organisation et/ou participation à des cours/séminaires internationaux de formation</li> <li>• Diffusion de matériel/d'information</li> </ul>	International training courses - hosting and organizing the training	carry out the international trainings	International communities - governments	Open	Yes
------	--	--	---------------------------------------	---	------	-----

**Veuillez indiquer les actions à mener en priorité pour traiter les points jugés déficients** completed

#### 13.4. Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

##### 13.4.1 - Actions supplémentaires pour mettre en œuvre la *Convention du patrimoine mondial*

No comment

#### 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

##### 14.1 - Exemple de bonnes pratiques de protection, d'identification, de conservation ou de gestion du patrimoine mondial par l'État partie

Coordinating Working Committee for Kathmandu Valley World Heritage Property - a forum for Identification, discussion and settlement of issues - meeting held at least once in a two months - Sharing experience, capacity enhancement and build up; strengthening the management system and well managed conservation activities

##### 14.2 - Définissez les sujets couverts par cet exemple de bonnes pratiques de l'État partie

État de conservation
Gestion
Gouvernance
Renforcement des compétences

#### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

##### 15.1. Primary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention

##### 15.1.1 - Quelle institution principale est chargée des communications avec l'UNESCO sur la mise en œuvre de la *Convention du patrimoine mondial* ?

Department of Archaeology, Government of Nepal (For Cultural Sites) and Department of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation (For Natural Sites) are key responsible government authorities for communicating with UNESCO regards to the World Heritage Convention.

##### 15.2. Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

##### 15.2.1 - Pertinence et objectifs de l'exercice du Rapport périodique

État partie	Bon suivi
Gestionnaires de sites	Bon suivi
Centre du patrimoine mondial	Bon suivi
Organisations consultatives (ICOMOS, UICN, ICCROM)	Bon suivi

#### 15.3. The four Objectives of Periodic Reporting

##### 15.3.1 - Dans quelle mesure le questionnaire répond-il aux quatre objectifs des Rapports périodiques ?

		Pas du tout	En partie	Parfaitement
15.3.1.1	Permettre une évaluation de la mise en œuvre de la <i>Convention du patrimoine mondial</i> par l'État partie.			×
15.3.1.2	S'assurer que les valeurs patrimoniales des biens inscrits sur la Liste du patrimoine mondial sont maintenues au cours du temps.			×
15.3.1.3	Fournir des informations à jour sur les biens du patrimoine mondial afin d'enregistrer tout changement dans les conditions et l'état de conservation des biens.			×
15.3.1.4	Constituer un mécanisme pour la coopération régionale et l'échange d'informations et d'expériences entre les États parties concernant la mise en œuvre de la <i>Convention</i> et la conservation du patrimoine mondial.		×	

#### 15.4. Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

##### 15.4.1 -

- It would be better to establish some clusters networking within the region, especially for the National Focal Points and the Site Managers - It would also be better to include the higher level or designated authority persons of states, under whom the World Heritage Sites are protected; at least in the beginning of Periodic Reporting to make aware them on how important the PR is. - It will be much interesting, if we could create an environment of sharing each others' site experiences

#### 15.5. Use of Data

##### 15.5.1 - Comment votre État partie prévoit-il d'utiliser les données ?

Révision des priorités/stratégies/politiques de protection, gestion et conservation du patrimoine
Mise à jour des plans de gestion
Sensibilisation
Amélioration de la mise en œuvre de la <i>Convention</i> par l'État partie

#### 15.6. Timing and resources

##### 15.6.1 - Les autorités nationales ont-elles eu suffisamment de temps (environ dix mois) pour recueillir les informations nécessaires pour remplir le questionnaire sur ce cycle de soumission de Rapports périodiques ?

Oui

##### 15.6.2 - Estimez le temps (heures de travail) et le nombre de personnes qui auront été nécessaires pour remplir la Section I du questionnaire.

	Temps & nombre de personnes	Nombre total d'heures travaillées	Nombre de personnes impliquées
15.6.2.1	Recueil de données	47	5
15.6.2.2	Consultation des parties prenantes		
15.6.2.3	Remplissage du questionnaire	37	3

##### 15.6.3 - L'équilibre hommes-femmes a-t-il été pris en compte dans le remplissage du présent questionnaire ?

L'équilibre hommes-femmes a été explicitement pris en compte et efficacement mis en œuvre dans le processus

##### 15.6.4 - Vos autorités nationales ont-elles mobilisé des ressources supplémentaires dans le cadre de ce cycle de soumission de Rapports périodiques ?

	Ressources supplémentaires	Non	Oui
15.6.4.1	Ressources humaines	×	
15.6.4.2	Ressources financières pour l'organisation des réunions de consultation/formations		×

##### 15.6.5 - Veuillez détailler les ressources supplémentaires mobilisées.

deployed supporting staffs for collection of data from the related field as well as from the related authority offices

#### 15.7. Format and Content of the Periodic Reporting Questionnaire

##### 15.7.1 - Quel était le degré d'accessibilité des informations requises pour compléter le Rapport périodique ?

Toutes les informations requises étaient accessibles

##### 15.7.2 - Le questionnaire était-il facile à utiliser et clair à comprendre ?

Utilisation du questionnaire	Bon
Compréhension des questions	Bon

### 15.7.3 - Proposez ici des suggestions d'amélioration

- It would be better, if some questionnaires could be generate for serial properties (as 7 in 1 property of Kathmandu Valley); in some cases, it's very difficult to define through a representative terminology or word for all 7 in 1

## 15.8. Training and guidance

### 15.8.1 - Veuillez évaluer, pour compléter le questionnaire sur l'exercice de soumission de Rapports périodiques, le niveau de soutien des entités suivantes

Centre du patrimoine mondial	Bon soutien
UNESCO (autres secteurs)	Bon soutien
Commission nationale pour l'UNESCO	Bon soutien
ICOMOS international	Soutien moyen
UICN international	Sans objet
ICCROM international/régional	Bon soutien
ICOMOS national/régional	Bon soutien
UICN national/régional	Sans objet
Centres de catégorie 2	Sans objet
Autre	Sans objet
Si vous avez choisi « Autre », précisez :	

### 15.8.2 - Les ressources de formation en ligne sur la soumission de Rapports périodiques, proposées par le Centre du patrimoine mondial, ont-elles aidé vos autorités nationales à remplir le questionnaire en ligne ?

Oui

### 15.8.3 - Indiquez les modifications souhaitées au niveau des ressources sur la formation en ligne

All the online training resources provided by UNESCO World Heritage Center, III Cycle of PR Team was more than enough and much useful

## 15.9. Comments

### 15.9.1 - Commentaires, conclusions et/ou recommandations concernant l'évaluation de l'exercice de soumission de Rapports périodiques

It is very good and scientific reporting system through which each and every stakeholders of the world heritage property can take part in this reporting system and express their real action information to UNESCO World Heritage Committee, which is the best way to collect information for evaluation of the property by World Heritage Committee as well. Therefore, it is the best way of self evaluation that should be sent to World Heritage Committee as information or report for more evaluation.

### 15.9.2 - Merci d'avoir répondu à toutes les questions.