Mozambique

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

Mozambique

1.2 - Date of ratification/accession/acceptance of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage 27/11/1982

1.3 - Groups and institutions involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Report

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Focal points of other international Conventions/programmes

World Heritage site managers/coordinators

2. Synergies with other Conventions

2.1. Multilateral Environmental Agreements

2.1.1 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, in addition to the World Heritage Convention, your State is party to/not party to/in the process of adhering to the following agreements. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.1.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		×	
2.1.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)		×	
2.1.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)	×		
2.1.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)		×	
2.1.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)		×	
2.1.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)		×	
2.1.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)	×		

2.1.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Ramsar site(s) under the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List). Please check and amend as necessary.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.1.3 - Does your State Party intend to designate any World Heritage property(ies) (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years?

2.1.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) your State Party intends to designate (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years.

The State Party does not to intends to designed any property in the List of Wetlands of International in the next three years.

2.1.5 - Please list any other relevant global or regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) on natural heritage which have been joined by your State Party.

2.2. UNESCO Culture Conventions

2.2.1 - The table below indicates which of the UNESCO cultural Conventions your State is party to, not party to or in the process of adhering to. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.2.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		×	
2.2.1.2	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		
2.2.1.3	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		
2.2.1.4	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property	×		

2.2.1.5	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage	×		
2.2.1.6	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage		×	
2.2.1.7	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions		×	

2.2.2 - Please list any other relevant global or regional Conventions or agreements on cultural heritage to which your State Party is party to or in the process of adhering to.

2.2.3 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre the following World Heritage property(ies) located in your State Party have been included on the List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.2.4 - Does your State Party intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection for any of its World Heritage properties under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict in the next three years?

No

2.2.5 - Please list any World Heritage properties for which your State Party intends to request the granting of Enhanced Protection. The State Party does not intends any request.

2.3. UNESCO Programmes

2.3.1 - The table below indicates which of the selected UNESCO programmes your State Party participates in. Please check and amend as necessary.

		No	Yes
2.3.1.1	Man and the Biosphere Programme		×
2.3.1.2	UNESCO Global Geoparks	×	

2.3.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.3.3 - Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme? No

2.3.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme:

2.3.5 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s)

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.3.6 - Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?

No

2.3.7 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).

2.4. Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join

2.4.1 - Is there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?

		Not Applicable	No	Yes
2.4.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage	×		
2.4.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)		×	
2.4.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)	×		

2.4.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)		×	
2.4.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)		×	
2.4.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)		×	
2.4.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)	×		
2.4.1.8	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		
2.4.1.9	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		
2.4.1.10	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property	×		
2.4.1.11	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage	×		
2.4.1.12	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage			×
2.4.1.13	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions			×
2.4.1.14	Man and the Biosphere Programme			×
2.4.1.15	Global Geoparks		×	

2.4.2 - Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):

Communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention (s) / program (s) has been weak, with the exception of the Conventions on cultural heritage that are under the supervision of the Ministry of Culture and Tourism.

2.4.3 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

2.4.4 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage? Yes

....

2.5. UNESCO Recommendations

2.5.1 - Is your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		Yes	No
2.5.1.1	1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage	×	
2.5.1.2	2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape	×	

2.5.2 - Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:

Mozambique is reviewing the Mozambique Island Conservation and Management Plan, with the involvement of various national actors, especially local communities. Actions are underway to strengthen community participation in the conservation and management of the World Heritage Site. A capacity building seminar was held in 2019. The constitution of the management committee is ongoing. The 2011 recommendations on historic urban landscapes are being considered in the review of the Island of Mozambique Conservation and Management Plan

3. Tentative List

3.1 - In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?

ICOMOS thematic studies
IUCN thematic studies
The World Heritage List: Future priorities for a credible and complete list of natural and mixed sites by IUCN
Other global comparative analyses
World Heritage Resource Manual 'Preparing World Heritage Nominations'
3.2 - Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?

No

If you selected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.

3.3 - Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?

Yes

3.4 - Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?

Yes, among communities

3.5 - Please name the site(s) considered to have this potential

Manyikeni and Chibuene; The Quirimbas Archipelago; Ponta de Ouro Protected Marine Area.

3.6 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities/residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Local industries/tourism and other stakeholders	None
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Poor
Consultants/experts	Good
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Poor
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

3.7 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?

Gender balance has not been explicitly considered or implemented in the process.

3.8 - Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?

Yes

If you selected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List.

The Quirimbas Archipelago declared a Biosphere Reserve

3.9 - Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)

The State Party (Mozambique) has to actualize the Tentative List because all of them are most 10 years. Efforts on this way have to been done in next years.

4. Nominations

4.1 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers

National government institution(s)	Not applicable
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Not applicable
Local government(s)	Not applicable
Other government departments	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Not applicable
Local communities/residents	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Local industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders	Not applicable
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Not applicable
Consultants/experts	Not applicable
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Not applicable

4.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?

No

4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

Promoted environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being	High
Enhanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders	Some
Strengthened protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)	High
Enhanced conservation practices	Some
Enhanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes	Some
Improved presentation of sites	High
Enhanced honour/prestige	High
Increased funding	Limited
Additional tool for lobbying/political influence	Some
Fostered peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation	Some
Increased number of tourists and visitors	High
Promoted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities	Some
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

4.4 - Please rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits	High
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change	High
Contributing to inclusion and equity	Some
Enhancing quality of life and well-being	Some
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights	Some
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities	High
Achieving gender equality	Some
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods	High
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism	High
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship	High
Ensuring conflict prevention	Limited
Protecting heritage during conflict	Limited
Promoting conflict resolution	Limited
Contributing to post-conflict recovery	Limited
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

4.5 - Please provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).

5. General Policy Development

5.1. Principal pieces of national cultural and/or natural heritage legislation

5.1.1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

Comment

After the law n.10 / 88 which determines the legal protection of tangible and intangible assets of the Mozambican cultural heritage contained in the UNESCO

database, Mozambique approved in recent years legislation on heritage protection and specific regulations on the Island Mozambique.

5.2. Comment on principal pieces of legislation

5.2.1 - Please comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force

The legislation is in force but it is necessary and urgent to update, because there are more than 30 years.

5.3. Other principal pieces of legislation

5.3.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.

Decree N. 27/94 - Archaeological Heritage Protection Regulation / Cultural / National /

Decree N. 27/2006 - Specific Statute of the Island of Mozambique / Cultural / Local /

Decree N. 28/2006 - Creates the Island of Mozambique Conservation Office / both / Local /

Resolution N. 22/AMCIM/2010 - Municipal Postures Code / Both / Local - Municipality /

Decree N. 54/2016 - Regulation on Classification and Management of the Built and Landscape Heritage of the Island of Mozambique / Both / Local /

Decree N.55/2016 - Real Estate Cultural Property Management Regulation / Cultural / National /

Law N.16/2014 - Biodiversity Conservation Law / Natural / National /

Law N.20/97 - Environmenta Law / Natural / National /

5.4. Contribution of legislation and/or regulations at other levels to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and/or natural heritage

5.4.1 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.

Legislation and other regulations have contributed to a greater awareness of depositaries of cultural heritage, in particular, and society in general, of the need for heritage protection and conservation. It has been a guide for construction entities in rehabilitation and restoration works, allowing to maintain the values of heritage.

5.5. Adequacy of the legal framework for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.5.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no legal framework for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is inadequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is partially adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		×
The legal framework is adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.	×	

5.6. Adequacy of the legal framework for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.6.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no legal framework for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is inadequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is partially adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.	×	×

5.7. Enforcement of the legal framework

5.7.1 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?

		There is no legal framework.	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework are adequate.
5.7.1.1	Culture			×	
5.7.1.2	Nature			×	

5.8. Comments on the enforcement of the legal framework

5.8.1 - Please comment on particular problems of enforcement

The problems are in some cases related to the weak dominance of legislation by cultural heritage professionals. It should also be mentioned the articulation between the different governing entities (central, local, municipal, etc.) and other cultural actors.

5.9. Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities

5.9.1 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

	Culture	Nature
There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities.		
There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.	×	×
There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.		
There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities that are effectively implemented.		

5.10. Examples of specific policies giving heritage a function in the life of communities

5.10.1 - Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities

5.11. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies

5.11.1 - How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits.	High
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change.	High
Contributing to inclusion and equality.	Some
Enhancing the quality of life and well-being.	High
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights.	High
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities.	Some
Achieving gender equality.	Some
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods.	High
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism.	High
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship.	High
Ensuring conflict prevention.	Limited
Protecting heritage during conflict.	No integration
Promoting conflict resolution.	Limited
Contributing to post-conflict recovery.	Some
Other (please specify).	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

5.12. UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011

5.12.1 - In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):

Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for monitoring the implementation of the Recommendation and its impact on the conservation and management of historic cities

5.13. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes

5.13.1 - How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

5.14. Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

5.14.1 - Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage?

	UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy	No	Yes
5.14.1.1	Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties		×
5.14.1.2	Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties		×
5.14.1.3	World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy		×
5.14.1.4	World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy		×

5.14.2 - Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage? There is **limited coordination and integration** of the implementation of these multilateral agreements, Programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.

5.15. Specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

5.15.1 - Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

Decree N. 27/2006 - Specific Statute of the Island of Mozambique: Establishes specific principles and rules for the preservation of the cultural and natural heritage. One of its objectives is to harmonize the application of the relevant national legislation, with the rules of UNESCO, applicable to the protection of a good of the world heritage. Regulation on Classification and Management of the Built and Landscape Heritage of the Island of Mozambique:Establishes the legal regime on the classification and management of the built and landscape heritage

5.16. Comments on General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

5.16.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

6. Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage

6.1 - If the State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?

	Cultural heritage	Natural heritage
National/federal	No process established	No process established
Regional/provincial/state	No process established	No process established
Local	No process established	No process established
Other	Not applicable	Not applicable

6.2 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of heritage.	×	×
Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers capture the full diversity of heritage.		

6.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the protection of heritage.	×	×
Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the protection of heritage.		

6.4 - In addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?

	Culture	Nature	
The State Party does not involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.			
The State Party plans to involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future.	×	×	

The State Party sometimes involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.

The State Party regularly involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.

6.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?

	Culture	Nature	Mixed
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.			
Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.	×	×	×
Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			
Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			

6.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)

The State Party should increase the inventories / lists / records of cultural and natural heritage at the local level and with greater involvement of the communities. To this end, it needs to train community leaders and raise awareness of the need to carry out inventories.

7. Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage

7.1 - How effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?

There is **some cooperation** between the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but this could be improved**.

7.2 - How effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

In general, cooperation exists between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritagebut there are still deficiencies.

7.3 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.	×	×
Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage,		

7.4 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	×	×
There is some cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritagebut there are still deficiencies.		
Different levels of government cooperate effectively with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

National government funds	Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Minor source of project funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Funds from other international conventions/programmes	Major source of project funding

International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.)	Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Minor source of project funding
Non-Governmental Organizations (international and/or national)	Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Private sector funds	Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Other	No funding/Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

8.2 - Does the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		No	Yes
8.2.1	Culture		×
8.2.2	Nature		×

8.3 - Do you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

	Culture	Nature
The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.	×	×
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.		
The available budget is adequate to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.		

8.4 - Please indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

	Category	percentage %
8.4.1	Cultural	7.64%
8.4.2	Natural	7.64%

8.5 - Please estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

Percentage		Cultural	Natural
8.5.1	National/Federal	80 %	80 %
8.5.2	Regional/Provincial	20 %	20 %
8.5.3	Local	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

8.6 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

Human resources	Culture	Nature
Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage.	×	×
A range of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are below optimum.		
Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.		

8.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)

Financial resources have been declining because of the global economic crisis. International assistance could provide funds for restoration and / or rehabilitation works of cultural and natural heritage for states with deficient economies.

9. Capacity Development

9.1 - Please prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Culture	Nature
National/federal	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists	High priority	High priority

Statutory processes: Nominations	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring	Medium priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: International assistance	Medium priority	Medium priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	High priority	High priority
Technical and scientific issues	High priority	High priority
Traditional conservation processes	High priority	High priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	High priority	High priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Low priority	Low priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	High priority	High priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	Medium priority	Medium priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	Medium priority	Medium priority
Management effectiveness assessment	Medium priority	Medium priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	Low priority	Low priority
Awareness raising and outreach	No priority	No priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	Low priority	Low priority
Sustainable development	Low priority	Low priority
Protection and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation	High priority	High priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	High priority	High priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage	High priority	High priority
Inclusive social development in World Heritage management systems	High priority	High priority
Gender balance in management systems	High priority	High priority
Inclusive economic development in World Heritage management systems	High priority	High priority
World Heritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security	Medium priority	Medium priority

9.2 - Please rate the priority for training target audiences for each of the specific topics/themes/capacity building needs identified below for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Administrators and government bodies at all levels	Communities, indigenous peoples, landowners, local businesses, other social groups etc.	Universities, NGOs etc.	Heritage practitioners
Implementation of the Convention	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists process	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Nomination process	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring process	High priority	High priority	Low priority	High priority
Statutory processes: International assistance, etc.	Medium priority	Medium priority	Low priority	High priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Technical and Scientific issues	Medium priority	High priority	High priority	Medium priority
Traditional conservation processes	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority

Sustainable tourism use and management	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Management effectiveness assessment	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	Low priority	Medium priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Awareness raising and outreach	Low priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Low priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	Medium priority	High priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Sustainable development	High priority	High priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Building environmental and social resilience	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority
Integration of eco-social diversity in management systems	High priority	High priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority	Low priority
Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change	High priority	High priority	High priority	Medium priority

9.3 - Please indicate how the State Party is using the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy (2011).

		No	Yes
9.3.1	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level.	×	
9.3.2	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level.		×
9.3.3	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities.		×
9.3.4	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes.		×
9.3.5	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage.		×

9.4 - Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

9.5 - Comments: Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Capacity Development (Questions 9.1 to 9.4).

10. Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties

10.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage not listed in 5.1 (which focuses on policy general to all heritage), please list them here.

10.2 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage. Please provide web links for the legislation listed above.

10.3 - Are the services provided by agencies/institutions adequate for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is some capacity to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but significant deficiencies remain.

10.4 - How does the State Party encourage and support World Heritage properties to manage and develop visitation/tourism sustainably?

By developing policies and/or requiring sustainable tourism strategies to be developed

By providing capacity building for site managers

By facilitating network cooperation and stakeholder engagement through the development of governance structures or other mechanisms for cooperation

10.5 - Please indicate here any additional information or clarify how the State Party supports sustainable tourism planning and management at a property level.

Encouraging community-based tourism (cultural tourism), where houses based on traditional techniques are used to welcome and host tourists.

10.6 - Does the State Party require the use of impact assessments for programmes (e.g. strategic environmental assessments) or development projects (e.g. environmental impact assessments, heritage impact assessments) that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting?

There is a regulatory framework that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects which is implemented but it needs improvement.

10.7 - Please list the different assessment methods used. Please provide weblinks to the guidance for the assessment methods.

Mozambique has environmental legislation that requires environmental impact studies for projects depending on the size. The legislation contains the socio-economic component where cultural heritage is inserted. However, there is no exclusive legislation for world heritage sites.

10.8 - Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis

10.9 - Does the State Party have the institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues? There **is capacity** at the institutional level to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues **but it could be improved.**

10.10 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and receiving donations for the protection of World Heritage?

Yes

10.11 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage policies and resources

11. International Cooperation

11.1 - Has the State Party promoted international cooperation and the establishment of cooperation mechanisms for heritage since the last Periodic Report? If so, please indicate the type of cooperation that best describes your activities.

Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes

Bilateral and multilateral agreements

Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities

Sharing expertise for capacity building

Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars

Distribution of material/information

11.2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level? Yes

11.3 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 11.1 to 11.2). If you have twinned World Heritage properties, please list them along with their twins. Island of Mozambique – Old City Cape Green (Cabo Verde).

12. Education, Information and Awareness Building

12.1 - Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among communities and different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?

There are no strategies to raise awareness about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

12.2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following groups about World Heritage in your country

Communities living in/around heritage sites	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Youth	Good
General public	Poor
Decision makers and public officials	Good
Private sector	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

12.3 - Does the State Party have heritage education programmes for children and/or youth, that contribute to improving understanding of heritage, promoting diversity and fostering intercultural dialogue?

There are no heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue but this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

12.4 - Please rate the level of frequency of the following activities to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue among children and/or youth

Teacher training courses on the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit

Courses/activities for students within school programmes	Medium
Youth forums	High
Skills-training courses for students	Medium
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	High
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Medium
Other	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other', please specify	

12.5 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme? The State Party **does not participate** in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

12.6 - Please add any additional comments, description of best practices in World Heritage Education, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building (Questions 12.3 to 12.5)

13. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

13.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

Synergies with other relevant Conventions, Recommendations and Programmes

• There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies

Identification of heritage

- Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of cultural heritage
- Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of natural heritage

National inventories

Tentative List

- Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for cultural heritage
- Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for natural heritage
- . Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for mixed heritage

Effectiveness of legal framework

Function of cultural and natural heritage in the life of the community

- There are no specific policies to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis cultural heritage
- There are no specific policies to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis natural heritage

Contribution of heritage to sustainable development policies

- There is no integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies
 - Ensuring conflict prevention
 - Promoting conflict resolution
- There is limited integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and

strategies in:

in:

- Contributing to inclusion and equality
- · Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities
- · Achieving gender equality
- Contributing to post-conflict recovery

Larger-scale planning

Status of services for protection, conservation and presentation

- There is limited cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural heritage
- There is limited cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of natural heritage

Financial status

- The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage
- The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage

Human resources

- Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural heritage
- Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of natural heritage

Capacity development

- The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level
- The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level
- The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities
- The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes
- The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage
- There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is being done on an ad hoc basis

Policy and resourcing of World Heritage properties

There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but capacity building is being done
on an ad hoc basis

Research on World Heritage properties

International cooperation

Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report:

- Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes
- Bilateral and multilateral agreements
- Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities
- Sharing expertise for capacity building
- · Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars
- Distribution of material/information

Education, information and awareness building

• There are no strategies to raise awareness among communities and stakeholders about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is being done on an ad hoc basis

• There are no heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue but this is being done on an ad hoc basis

13.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 13.1).

13.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to ten)

5.9	Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities	
5.9.1	 There are no specific policies to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis - cultural heritage There are no specific policies to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis - natural heritage 	×
5.11	Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies	
5.11.1	 There is no integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: Ensuring conflict prevention Promoting conflict resolution 	×
5.14	Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage	
5.14.2	There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies	×
6	Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage	
6.2	 Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of cultural heritage Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of natural heritage 	×
6.5	 Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for cultural heritage Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for natural heritage Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for mixed heritage 	×
7	Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage	
7.4	 There is limited cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural heritage There is limited cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of natural heritage 	×
8	Financial Status and Human Resources	

8.3	 The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage 	×
8.6	 Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural heritage Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of natural heritage 	×
9	Capacity Development	
9.3	 The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage 	×
9.4	There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is being done on an ad hoc basis	×
10	Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties	
10.8	There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis	×
11	International Cooperation	
11.1	 Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report: Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes Bilateral and multilateral agreements Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities Sharing expertise for capacity building Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars Distribution of material/information 	×
12	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
12.1	There are no strategies to raise awareness among communities and stakeholders about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is being done on an ad hoc basis	×
12.3	There are no heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue but this is being done on an ad hoc basis	×
Pleas	e select -4 more issues.	
D Ple	ase save this question to reflect changes	

13.3. Priority Actions Assessment

13.3.1 - Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor

5.9 Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities Action Short description Authority(ies) Timeframe May this action require responsible International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund? 5.9.1 • There are Empowerment of To form communities in multi public sectors 2022 No no specific communities micro and small income policies to generation projects give this based on community heritage a tourism. Encourage function in cultural and creative the life of industries in symbiosis with tourism, through communities but this is the provision of spaces being in historic buildings for achieved the development of artistic and cultural on an ad hoc basis activities such as cultural gastronomy, dancing, heritage handicrafts, community galleries. There are no specific policies to give this heritage a function in the life of communities

		but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis - natural heritage										
5.11		tegration of the con rategies	servation an	d protection of cul	tural and natura	al heritage as a sti	ategic elem	ent in nationa	I sustai	inable develop	ment policies a	and
5.11.1	1.1 There is no integration Improve coordin of the conservation and between public protection of cultural deal with cultural and natural heritage as development ar a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: • Protecting heritage during conflict		sectors that into national development and To al heritage, strategies as a cross-cutting		Ministry of C and Tourism	try of Culture 2022 Fourism		Yes, it will be necessary.				
5.14			-	agreed by the Worl nd natural heritage	-	mittee or the Wor	Id Heritage	General Asse	mbly to	set national p	olicies or strate	egies for
5.14.2	14.2 There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies		Regularly share information on world heritage with the relevant sectors and stakeholders who intervene in Mozambique Island so that they are aware of sectorial policies, strategies and interventions		ł		sm Permanently			No		
6	Inventor	ies/Lists/Registers	of Cultural a	nd Natural Heritage	9							
6.2	a c c • li a c	nventories/lists/regis are inadequate to capture the diversity of cultural heritage nventories/lists/regis are inadequate to capture the diversity of natural heritage	of ters	Inventory the cultura and natural heritage	carrying ou inventories natural her involvemer and civil so purpose, au will be draw compilatior levels: loca Thus, traini	will consist of t regular of cultural and itage with the it of communities ciety. For this n inventory sheet on up and the o will be at two I and national. ng actions on will be carried	Tourism,	f Culture and Universities, ci ganizations	vil	2024	Yes, it will be necessary fo de formulary gathering dat work).	r design for date
6.5	a fr c c l l a fr fr t l l s c c t l l s t fr t s T T T T	nventories/lists/regis are not actively user or the identification of ites for inclusion on i Fentative List for sultural heritage nventories/lists/regis are not actively user or the identification of ites for inclusion on i Fentative List for natu heritage nventories/lists/regis are not actively user or the identification of sites for inclusion on i Fentative List for mix heritage	d f the ters d f the ters d f f the	Classify the nationa cultural heritage	national list national he classificatio database a	nd create a t of cultural and ritage based on on. Create a nd disseminate and natural		f Culture and University''s,		2024	Yes, for desig	
7	Status	s of Services for the	Identificatio	on, Protection, Cons	servation and P	resentation of Na	tural and Cu	Itural Heritag	e			

7.4	 There is limited cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural heritage There is limited cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and and presentation 	Strengthen the participation of civil society in the protection of cultural and natural heritage.	The action must consist of improving mechanisms for involvement and cooperation with the various segments of civil society in the protection of cultural and natural heritage. To this end, training should be provided to civil society in matters of cultural heritage, with emphasis on legislation. Likewise, civil society must participate in consultation bodies at national and local levels.	Ministry of Culture and Tourism and Universities	2023	Yes
8	Financial Status and Huma	n Resources				
8.3	 The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and s a serious constraint on the capacity to conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage 	Strengthen the budget for conservation, protection and dissemination of cultural heritage	Reinforce the public budget to t Conservation Office of the Islar Mozambique. In parallel, encou the creation of subsidized credi lines in commercial banks for depositaries of cultural heritage conservation and restoration actions.	nd of Finance Sector urage it	2022	No
8.6	Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of	Strengthen human resources	Develop training seminars at national level on legislation on cultural and national heritage; strengthen institutions by hiring technicians	multiple public sectors	2023	No
	cultural heritage					

9 Consistence 9.1 The Obser from is not using the Capacity building stranger for exceeding the Capacity building stranger for exceeding for exceeding stranger for exceeding strang		 Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of natural heritage 						
Image be capacity building strategy by a stratementation of capacity building strategy by a stratementation level a capacity building strategy by and societywareness and society	9	Capacity Development						
capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is beind one on an ad hoc basis capacities professionals at national level. reinforce collaboration with international organizations (ICCROM, ICOMOS, etc.) University''s 10 Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties 10.8 There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage Conduct regular training for cultural heritage professionals, heritage professionals, heritage professionals, institutions Culture Sector and University''s 2023 Yes, with experts and financial assistance as possible 10.8 There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage Conduct regular training for cultural heritage professionals, heritage students, policy makers and the community. This activity must be carried out in collaboration with educational institutions. Hold semians on world heritage in educational institutions Culture Sector and University''s 2023 Yes, with experts and financial assistance as possible Inversity is strategy in relation to World Heritage in educational institutions Institutions Cultural heritage in educational institutions University''s Event Heritage 2023 Yes, with experts and financial assistance as possible Institutions Internation to World Heritage in educational institutions International institutions International institutions Evenal institutions Policy and Resource institutions </th <th>9.3</th> <th>using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes The State Party is using the Capacity Building strategy by fundraising to support capacity building strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and</th> <th>-</th> <th>ge</th> <th>campaigns on cultural heritage through seminars for the public sectors, polic makers, the private sector</th> <th>сy</th> <th>2023</th> <th>necessary, with experts</th>	9.3	using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes The State Party is using the Capacity Building strategy by fundraising to support capacity building strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and	-	ge	campaigns on cultural heritage through seminars for the public sectors, polic makers, the private sector	сy	2023	necessary, with experts
10.8 There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage Training in conservation, protection, presentation and management of World Heritage Conduct regular training for cultural heritage professionals, heritage students, policy makers and the community. This activity must be carried out in collaboration with educational institutions Culture Sector and University''s 2023 Yes, with experts and financial assistance as possible 10.8 Image: Strategy in relation to World Heritage in relation to World Heritage in educational institutions Conduct regular training for cultural heritage in educational institutions Culture Sector and University''s 2023 Yes, with experts and financial assistance as possible 10.8 There is no national capacity building is being done on an ad hoc There is no material assistance as possible Conduct regular training for cultural heritage in educational institutions Culture Sector and University''s 2023 Yes, with experts and financial assistance as possible		capacity development in the field heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is being done on an ad hoc	of capacities	nal	professionals at national level. reinforce collaboration with international organizations (ICCROM,	'n	2023	Yes
national capacity building strategy in relation to Worldprotection, presentation and management of World Heritage heritage students, policy makers and the community. This activity must be carried out in collaboration with educational institutions. Hold seminars on world heritage in educational institutionsUniversity'sfinancial assistance as possibleImage: Presentation and management building strategy in relation to WorldFinancial assistance as possiblepossibleImage: Presentation and management building is being done on an ad hocFinancial assistance as possiblepossibleImage: Presentation and managementFinancial assistance as heritage students, policy makers and the community. This activity must be carried out in collaboration with educational institutions. Hold seminars on world heritage in educational institutionsFinancial assistance as possibleImage: Presentation and managementFinancial assistance as possibleFinancial assistance as possibleImage: Presentation and managementFinancial assistance as possibleFinancial assistance as possibleImage: Presentation and managementFinancial assistance as possibleFinancial assistance as possibleImage: Presentation and HocFinancial ass	10	Policy and Resourcing of V	orld Heritage Propertie	es				
	10.8	national protection capacity manager building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, protection, protection and management building is being done on an ad hoc little	n, presentation and	cultural heritage and the must be collabou institution world h	heritage professionals, e students, policy makers a community. This activity e carried out in ration with educational ons. Hold seminars on eritage in educational		2023	financial assistance as

11.1	Forms of international cooperation and coor mechanisms for heri promoted by the Sta since the last Period • Participation other UN programmes including sustainable developmen programmes human rights gender equa programmes • Bilateral and multilateral agreements • Sharing expu- to promote equitable participation heritage mechanisms communities • Sharing expu- for capacity building • Hosting and/ attending international training courses/sem • Distribution of material/info	peration inf tage we te Party ic Report: in ;, t ; and s and lifty ; ertise in ; for ; ertise /or	international organizations and world heritage specialists		Guarantee public budgets for participation of national professionals in programs, training, training and seminars the united nations and partner organizations. Mozambican professionals must be present international forums	and Tourism	re 2023	Yes
12	Education, Inform	ation and Awaren	ess Building					
12.1	There are no strategies to raise awareness among communities and stakeholders about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is being done on an ad hoc basis	Strengthen the av communities and about the conserv and presentation	stakeholders	awareness of Mozamb as well as p	regular plan for raising the of communities on the island ique and neighboring areas, public and private sectors, relop information leaflets for	Ministry of Culture and Tourism	2023	No
12.3	There are no heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue but this is being done on an ad hoc basis	Strengthen herita	ge education	education a efficient. Sr will be deve teaching pr content (ex this purpos	gislation provides heritage actions but has not been mall information brochures eloped and integrated into ograms in the context of local tracurricular activities). For e, teachers will be trained in ultural heritage	Culture Sector and Education Sector	2023	Yes

13.4. Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

13.4.1 - Please indicate any additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

Reinforce the dissemination of the World Heritage Convention to all levels. Disseminate the convention in the education system to all levels. Prepare small leaflets and illustrated brochures on the Convention for distribution

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the State Party level.

There is a Technical Committee on the Island of Mozambique that integrates cultural professionals, professional and community associations on the Island of Mozambique and members of the community that participate in the evaluation of actions and projects. Also, traditional construction and rehabilitation techniques have been taught at the Instituto Tecnico on the island of Mozambique to students. Functionality has been attributed to the island"s heritage to prevent degradation and as an example, two research and documentation institutions are already installed in Fortaleza Sao Sebastiao on the island of Mozambique. The project was implemented in which some Macuti houses in the communities accommodate tourists and visitors.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of good practice at the State Party level.

Sustainable Development

State of Conservation

Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Primary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention

15.1.1 - Which primary institution is responsible for communicating with UNESCO with regards to the World Heritage Convention? National Directorate of Cultural Heritage of the Ministry of Culture and Tourism.

15.2. Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.2.1 - Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies	Good

15.3. The four Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.3.1 - How well does the current questionnaire address each of the four Objectives of Periodic Reporting?

	Objective	Not at all	Partially	Adequately
15.3.1.1	Provide an assessment of the implementation of the World Heritage Convention by the State Party			×
15.3.1.2	Provide an assessment as to whether the values of World Heritage properties are being maintained over time			×
15.3.1.3	Provide updated information about World Heritage properties to record the changing circumstances and state of conservation of the property			×
15.3.1.4	Provide a mechanism for regional cooperation and exchange of information and experiences between States Parties about the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> , and World Heritage conservation		×	

15.4. Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.4.1 -

In general, the exercise proves to be adequate. However, it may be possible to extend the periodic reporting exercise online, before the seminar or face-to-face training. There must be a mandatory character for all Focal Points to participate, because, unfortunately, Mozambique did not participate which made it difficult to complete the questionnaire.

15.5. Use of Data

15.5.1 - How does your State Party plan to use the data?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Fundraising
Improve the States Party implementation on the Convention

15.6. Timing and resources

15.6.1 - Were your national authorities given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in the questionnaire during this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

15.6.2 - Please estimate the time (working hours) and the number of people involved in completing Section I of the questionnaire.

	Time & people	Number of hours worked	Number of people involved
15.6.2.1	Gathering data	150	2
15.6.2.2	Consulting stakeholders	72	1

15.6.2.3	Filling in the questionnaire	1080	1

15.6.3 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has not been explicitly considered or implemented in the process.

15.6.4 - Did your national authorities mobilise any additional resources to carry out this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.6.4.1	Human resources	×	
15.6.4.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/training	×	

15.6.5 - Please provide details on any additional resources mobilised.

15.7. Format and Content of the Periodic Reporting Questionnaire

15.7.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

15.7.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Using the questionnaire	fair
Understanding the questions	good

15.7.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement

We had difficulties accessing the questionnaire and updating the password. Perhaps this aspect should be improved.

15.8. Training and guidance

15.8.1 - Please rate the level of support received in terms of training and guidance from the following entities for the completion of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors)	None
UNESCO National Commission	None
ICOMOS International	None
IUCN International	None
ICCROM International/regional	None
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
Category 2 Centres	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

15.8.2 - Were the online training resources provided by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for your national authorities to complete the online questionnaire? Yes

15.8.3 - Please provide further comments on the online training resources

15.9. Comments

15.9.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

As a focal point of Mozambique World Heritage Property it was my first time (experience). I think for this situation must be considered different attention. As known, in general, we have serious difficult with institutional memory (data conservation).

15.9.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions.