

Jordan

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

Jordan

1.2 - Date of ratification/accession/acceptance of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage
05/05/1975

1.3 - Groups and institutions involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Report

| |
|---|
| Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage |
| National Commission for UNESCO |
| World Heritage site managers/coordinators |
| ICOMOS International |
| IUCN International |
| ICCROM International/regional |
| External experts |

2. Synergies with other Conventions

2.1. Multilateral Environmental Agreements

2.1.1 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, in addition to the World Heritage Convention, your State is party to/not party to/in the process of adhering to the following agreements. Please check and amend as necessary.

| | | Not Party to | Party to | Adhesion in Progress |
|---------|---|--------------|----------|----------------------|
| 2.1.1.1 | Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage | | × | |
| 2.1.1.2 | Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) | | × | |
| 2.1.1.3 | Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES) | | × | |
| 2.1.1.4 | Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS) | | × | |
| 2.1.1.5 | Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention) | | × | |
| 2.1.1.6 | International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA) | | × | |
| 2.1.1.7 | International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) | | × | |

2.1.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Ramsar site(s) under the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List). Please check and amend as necessary.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.1.3 - Does your State Party intend to designate any World Heritage property(ies) (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years?

No

2.1.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) your State Party intends to designate (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years.

2.1.5 - Please list any other relevant global or regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) on natural heritage which have been joined by your State Party.

Agreement with international Hubara International conservation fund in UAE /

2.2. UNESCO Culture Conventions

2.2.1 - The table below indicates which of the UNESCO cultural Conventions your State is party to, not party to or in the process of adhering to. Please check and amend as necessary.

| | | Not Party to | Party to | Adhesion in Progress |
|---------|---|--------------|----------|----------------------|
| 2.2.1.1 | Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage | | × | |

| | | | | |
|---------|--|--|---|--|
| 2.2.1.2 | 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict | | × | |
| 2.2.1.3 | Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict | | × | |
| 2.2.1.4 | 1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property | | × | |
| 2.2.1.5 | 2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage | | × | |
| 2.2.1.6 | 2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage | | × | |
| 2.2.1.7 | 2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions | | × | |

2.2.2 - Please list any other relevant global or regional Conventions or agreements on cultural heritage to which your State Party is party to or in the process of adhering to.

Preparing an agreement with The United States of America regarding illicit trafficking /

Agreement with Greece and Cyprus regarding illicit trafficking and protection of cultural property /

Preparing to be party of /

Drafting an agreement with Egypt regarding illicit trafficking /

2.2.3 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre the following World Heritage property(ies) located in your State Party have been included on the List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.2.4 - Does your State Party intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection for any of its World Heritage properties under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict in the next three years?

No

2.2.5 - Please list any World Heritage properties for which your State Party intends to request the granting of Enhanced Protection.

2.3. UNESCO Programmes

2.3.1 - The table below indicates which of the selected UNESCO programmes your State Party participates in. Please check and amend as necessary.

| | | No | Yes |
|---------|---------------------------------|----|-----|
| 2.3.1.1 | Man and the Biosphere Programme | | × |
| 2.3.1.2 | UNESCO Global Geoparks | | × |

2.3.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.3.3 - Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme?

No

2.3.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme:

2.3.5 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s)

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.3.6 - Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?

No

2.3.7 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).

2.4. Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join

2.4.1 - Is there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?

| | | Not Applicable | No | Yes |
|----------|--|----------------|----|-----|
| 2.4.1.1 | Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage | | | ✗ |
| 2.4.1.2 | Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) | | | ✗ |
| 2.4.1.3 | Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES) | | | ✗ |
| 2.4.1.4 | Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS) | | | ✗ |
| 2.4.1.5 | Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention) | | | ✗ |
| 2.4.1.6 | International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA) | | | ✗ |
| 2.4.1.7 | International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) | | | ✗ |
| 2.4.1.8 | 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict | | | ✗ |
| 2.4.1.9 | Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict | | | ✗ |
| 2.4.1.10 | 1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property | | | ✗ |
| 2.4.1.11 | 2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage | | | ✗ |
| 2.4.1.12 | 2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage | | | ✗ |
| 2.4.1.13 | 2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions | | | ✗ |
| 2.4.1.14 | Man and the Biosphere Programme | | | ✗ |
| 2.4.1.15 | Global Geoparks | | | ✗ |

2.4.2 - Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):

2.4.3 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

Yes

2.4.4 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

Yes

2.5. UNESCO Recommendations

2.5.1 - Is your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?

| | | Yes | No |
|---------|--|-----|----|
| 2.5.1.1 | 1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage | ✗ | |
| 2.5.1.2 | 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape | ✗ | |

2.5.2 - Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:

1972 Recommendations: Since Jordan has ratified the 1972 Convention, the World Heritage sites are under the protection of the convention and its recommendations HUL: Ministry of Tourism has taken measures to develop the "Urban Heritage Law" a comprehensive and integrated approach to the identification, assessment, conservation and management of historic urban landscapes within an overall sustainable development framework

3. Tentative List

3.1 - In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?

| |
|--|
| ICOMOS thematic studies |
| IUCN thematic studies |
| Filling the gaps – an Action Plan for the future by ICOMOS |
| The World Heritage List: Future priorities for a credible and complete list of natural and mixed sites by IUCN |
| UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List |

3.2 - Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?

Yes

If you selected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.

Upstream Process was used in the revision of the nomination of Pella (Modern Tabaqat Fahil), was effective and recommended the State Party not to go through with nomination.

3.3 - Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?

Yes

3.4 - Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?

Yes, among States Parties and communities

3.5 - Please name the site(s) considered to have this potential

The Tentative List has three cities that were once a member of the Decapolis (Jerash, Pella and Gadara), which can create a dialogue with other Decapolis cities in other State Parties and among the communities within the three cities in Jordan

3.6 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List

| | |
|--|----------------|
| National government institution(s) | Good |
| Regional/provincial/state government(s) | Fair |
| Local government(s) | Fair |
| Other government departments | Fair |
| UNESCO National Commission | Good |
| Local authorities within or adjacent to the property | Fair |
| Local communities/residents | Fair |
| Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Other specific groups (please specify below) | Not applicable |
| Landowners | Fair |
| Local industries/tourism and other stakeholders | Poor |
| Non-Governmental Organization(s) | Fair |
| Consultants/experts | None |
| Site manager(s)/coordinator(s) | Fair |
| If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify | |

3.7 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?

Gender balance **has not been explicitly considered** or implemented in the process.

3.8 - Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?

Yes

If you selected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List.

The Mujib Nature Reserve and Dana Biosphere Reserve are both a biosphere reserves , and Azraq is a wetland reserve

3.9 - Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)

The State Party is considering reviewing the Tentative List and updating it in order to be more appropriate to the requirements of World Heritage nomination, in terms of tentative criteria and comparative analysis.

4. Nominations**4.1 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers**

| | |
|---|------|
| National government institution(s) | Good |
| Regional/provincial/state government(s) | Good |
| Local government(s) | Good |

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Other government departments | Good |
| UNESCO National Commission | Poor |
| Local authorities within or adjacent to the property | Good |
| Local communities/residents | Good |
| Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Other specific groups (please specify below) | Not applicable |
| Landowners | Good |
| Local industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders | Good |
| Non-Governmental Organization(s) | Fair |
| Consultants/experts | Good |
| Site manager(s)/coordinator(s) | Good |
| If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify | |

4.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?

No

4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Promoted environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being | Some |
| Enhanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders | Some |
| Strengthened protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional) | High |
| Enhanced conservation practices | High |
| Enhanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes | Some |
| Improved presentation of sites | High |
| Enhanced honour/prestige | High |
| Increased funding | High |
| Additional tool for lobbying/political influence | Limited |
| Fostered peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation | High |
| Increased number of tourists and visitors | High |
| Promoted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities | Some |
| Other(s) | Not applicable |
| If 'Other' applies, please specify | |

4.4 - Please rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Protecting biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits | High |
| Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change | Some |
| Contributing to inclusion and equity | Some |
| Enhancing quality of life and well-being | Some |
| Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights | Some |
| Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities | High |
| Achieving gender equality | Some |
| Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods | High |
| Promoting economic investment and quality tourism | Some |
| Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship | Some |
| Ensuring conflict prevention | Not applicable |

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Protecting heritage during conflict | Not applicable |
| Promoting conflict resolution | Not applicable |
| Contributing to post-conflict recovery | Not applicable |
| Other(s) | Not applicable |
| If 'Other' applies, please specify | |

4.5 - Please provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).

5. General Policy Development

5.1. Principal pieces of national cultural and/or natural heritage legislation

5.1.1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.2. Comment on principal pieces of legislation

5.2.1 - Please comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force

5.3. Other principal pieces of legislation

5.3.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.

Environmental Protection Law 6/2017 / Natural / National /

Agricultural Law 13/2015 / Natural / National /

Urban Heritage Law 2005 / Cultural / National /

Regulations for the Archaeological projects in Jordan 2016 / Cultural / National /

Regulations for the Archaeological Sites Uses 2004 / Cultural / National /

Ministry of Tourism Law 1988 / Cultural / National /

5.4. Contribution of legislation and/or regulations at other levels to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and/or natural heritage

5.4.1 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.

Coordination between the stakeholders of the developemnt projects.

5.5. Adequacy of the legal framework for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.5.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

| | Culture | Nature |
|--|---------|--------|
| There is no legal framework for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage. | | |
| The legal framework is inadequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage. | | |
| The legal framework is partially adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage. | | |
| The legal framework is adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage. | ✗ | ✗ |

5.6. Adequacy of the legal framework for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.6.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

| | Culture | Nature |
|---|---------|--------|
| There is no legal framework for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage. | | |
| The legal framework is inadequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage. | | |
| The legal framework is partially adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage. | | |
| The legal framework is adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage. | ✗ | ✗ |

5.7. Enforcement of the legal framework

5.7.1 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?

| | | There is no legal framework. | There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework. | Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened. | Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework are adequate. |
|---------|---------|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 5.7.1.1 | Culture | | | × | |
| 5.7.1.2 | Nature | | | × | |

5.8. Comments on the enforcement of the legal framework

5.8.1 - Please comment on particular problems of enforcement

5.9. Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities

5.9.1 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

| | Culture | Nature |
|---|---------|--------|
| There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities. | | |
| There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis. | | |
| There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but there are some deficiencies in their implementation. | × | |
| There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities that are effectively implemented. | | × |

5.10. Examples of specific policies giving heritage a function in the life of communities

5.10.1 - Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities

The Department of Antiquities developed the "Regulations for Archaeological Sites Use" which contributed to integrating the sites into the function of local communities. Wadi Rum: there is a policy for full participatory of the local community in the management of the site and the development of the management plan of the site, the site provides alternative livelihood for locals to strengthen their protection of the site.

5.11. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies

5.11.1 - How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits. | Some |
| Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change. | Some |
| Contributing to inclusion and equality. | Some |
| Enhancing the quality of life and well-being. | Some |
| Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights. | Limited |
| Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities. | High |
| Achieving gender equality. | Limited |
| Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods. | High |
| Promoting economic investment and quality tourism. | Some |
| Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship. | High |
| Ensuring conflict prevention. | Not applicable |
| Protecting heritage during conflict. | Not applicable |
| Promoting conflict resolution. | Not applicable |
| Contributing to post-conflict recovery. | Not applicable |
| Other (please specify). | Not applicable |
| If 'Other' applies, please specify | |

5.12. UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011

5.12.1 - In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):

Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the identification and protection of historic layering in urban environments

Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the harmonious integration of contemporary intervention into the historic urban fabric

Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the integration of urban heritage conservation strategies into national development policies and agendas

5.13. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes

5.13.1 - How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

5.14. Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

5.14.1 - Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage?

| | UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy | No | Yes |
|----------|---|----|-----|
| 5.14.1.1 | Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties | | ✗ |
| 5.14.1.2 | Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties | | ✗ |
| 5.14.1.3 | World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy | | ✗ |
| 5.14.1.4 | World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy | | ✗ |

5.14.2 - Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

There is adequate coordination and integration of the implementation of these multilateral agreements, Programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.

5.15. Specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

5.15.1 - Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

The Department of Antiquities has updated the regulations of the archaeological project in Jordan, which included an article concerning the excavation and research at World Heritage Sites according to the World Heritage policies and strategies.

5.16. Comments on General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

5.16.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

6. Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage

6.1 - If the State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?

| | Cultural heritage | Natural heritage |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| National/federal | Process completed or continuously updated | Process completed or continuously updated |
| Regional/provincial/state | Process well-advanced | Process completed or continuously updated |
| Local | Process well-advanced | Process completed or continuously updated |
| Other | Not applicable | Not applicable |

6.2 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?

| | Culture | Nature |
|--|---------|--------|
| No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established. | | |
| Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of heritage. | | |
| Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of heritage. | ✗ | |
| Inventories/lists/registers capture the full diversity of heritage. | | ✗ |

6.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?

| | Culture | Nature |
|--|---------|--------|
|--|---------|--------|

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established. | | |
| Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the protection of heritage. | | |
| Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the protection of heritage. | | |
| Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the protection of heritage. | × | × |

6.4 - In addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?

| | Culture | Nature |
|--|---------|--------|
| The State Party does not involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers. | | |
| The State Party plans to involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future. | | |
| The State Party sometimes involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers. | × | |
| The State Party regularly involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers. | | × |

6.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?

| | Culture | Nature | Mixed |
|---|---------|--------|-------|
| No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established. | | | |
| Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List. | | | |
| Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List. | | | |
| Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List. | × | × | × |

6.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)

7. Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage

7.1 - How effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?

There is **some cooperation** between the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but this could be improved**.

7.2 - How effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

In general, **cooperation exists** between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but there are still deficiencies**.

7.3 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

| | Culture | Nature |
|--|---------|--------|
| There is no cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage. | | |
| There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage. | | |
| In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies . | × | × |
| Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage. | | |

7.4 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

| | Culture | Nature |
|--|---------|--------|
| There is no cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage. | | |
| There is limited cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage. | | |
| There is some cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies . | × | × |

Different levels of government **cooperate effectively** with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

| | |
|---|--|
| National government funds | Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance |
| Other levels of government (provincial, state, local) | Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance |
| International assistance from the World Heritage Fund | Minor source of project funding |
| Funds from other international conventions/programmes | Minor source of project funding |
| International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.) | Minor source of project funding |
| International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.) | Minor source of project funding |
| Non-Governmental Organizations (international and/or national) | Minor source of project funding |
| Private sector funds | Minor source of project funding |
| Other | Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance |
| If 'Other' applies, please specify | For natural heritage management authorities develop different income generating activities and initiatives such as eco tourism and socioeconomic development to cover running costs of site management |

8.2 - Does the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

| | | No | Yes |
|-------|---------|----|-----|
| 8.2.1 | Culture | | ✗ |
| 8.2.2 | Nature | | ✗ |

8.3 - Do you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

| | Culture | Nature |
|--|---------|--------|
| The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage. | ✗ | ✗ |
| The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs. | | |
| The available budget is adequate to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs. | | |

8.4 - Please indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

| | Category | percentage % |
|-------|----------|--------------|
| 8.4.1 | Cultural | 0.003 |
| 8.4.2 | Natural | 0.002 |

8.5 - Please estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

| Percentage | | Cultural | Natural |
|------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 8.5.1 | National/Federal | 40 % | 30 % |
| 8.5.2 | Regional/Provincial | 20 % | 30 % |
| 8.5.3 | Local | 40 % | 40 % |
| | | Total 100 % | Total 100 % |

8.6 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

| Human resources | Culture | Nature |
|-----------------|---------|--------|
|-----------------|---------|--------|

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage. | ✕ | |
| A range of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are below optimum . | | ✕ |
| Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation. | | |

8.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)

Question 8.1 The private sector contribution depends on funding for excavations and documentation in privately owned lands to investigate whether the site has archaeological remains. As for natural heritage, the private sector funds and contributes to some research activities and program development activities.

9. Capacity Development

9.1 - Please prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

| | Culture | Nature |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| National/federal | High priority | High priority |
| Statutory processes: Tentative Lists | High priority | High priority |
| Statutory processes: Nominations | High priority | High priority |
| Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring | High priority | High priority |
| Statutory processes: International assistance | High priority | High priority |
| Conservation and management of heritage sites | Medium priority | High priority |
| Technical and scientific issues | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Traditional conservation processes | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Sustainable resource utilisation and management | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties | High priority | High priority |
| Risk preparedness and disaster risk management | High priority | High priority |
| Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social) | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Sustainable tourism use and management | High priority | High priority |
| Management effectiveness assessment | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL) | High priority | High priority |
| Awareness raising and outreach | High priority | High priority |
| Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms | High priority | Medium priority |
| Sustainable development | High priority | Medium priority |
| Protection and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems | High priority | Medium priority |
| Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation | High priority | Medium priority |
| Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management | High priority | High priority |
| Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Inclusive social development in World Heritage management systems | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Gender balance in management systems | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| Inclusive economic development in World Heritage management systems | Medium priority | Medium priority |
| World Heritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security | High priority | Medium priority |

9.2 - Please rate the priority for training target audiences for each of the specific topics/themes/capacity building needs identified below for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

| | Administrators and government bodies at all levels | Communities, indigenous peoples, landowners, local businesses, other social groups etc. | Universities, NGOs etc. | Heritage practitioners |
|--|--|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Implementation of the <i>Convention</i> | High priority | Medium priority | Medium priority | High priority |
| Statutory processes: Tentative Lists process | High priority | Low priority | Low priority | High priority |
| Statutory processes: Nomination process | High priority | High priority | Medium priority | High priority |

| | | | | |
|--|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring process | High priority | Low priority | Low priority | High priority |
| Statutory processes: International assistance, etc. | High priority | No priority | No priority | High priority |
| Conservation and management of heritage sites | High priority | High priority | High priority | High priority |
| Technical and Scientific issues | High priority | No priority | Low priority | High priority |
| Traditional conservation processes | High priority | Medium priority | Medium priority | High priority |
| Sustainable resource utilisation and management | High priority | Medium priority | Medium priority | High priority |
| Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties | High priority | Medium priority | High priority | High priority |
| Risk preparedness and disaster risk management | High priority | Low priority | Medium priority | High priority |
| Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social) | High priority | High priority | High priority | High priority |
| Sustainable tourism use and management | High priority | Medium priority | Medium priority | High priority |
| Management effectiveness assessment | High priority | Low priority | Low priority | High priority |
| Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL) | High priority | Medium priority | Medium priority | High priority |
| Awareness raising and outreach | High priority | High priority | Medium priority | High priority |
| Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms | High priority | No priority | Low priority | High priority |
| Sustainable development | High priority | Medium priority | Medium priority | High priority |
| Building environmental and social resilience | High priority | Medium priority | Medium priority | High priority |
| Integration of eco-social diversity in management systems | High priority | Medium priority | Medium priority | High priority |
| Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management | Not applicable | Not applicable | Not applicable | High priority |
| Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems | High priority | Low priority | Low priority | High priority |
| Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change | High priority | Medium priority | Medium priority | High priority |

9.3 - Please indicate how the State Party is using the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy (2011).

| | | No | Yes |
|-------|--|----|-----|
| 9.3.1 | The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level. | ✗ | |
| 9.3.2 | The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level. | ✗ | |
| 9.3.3 | The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities. | | ✗ |
| 9.3.4 | The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes. | ✗ | |
| 9.3.5 | The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage. | | ✗ |

9.4 - Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is a **national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some **deficiencies in implementation.**

9.5 - Comments: Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Capacity Development (Questions 9.1 to 9.4).

10. Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties

10.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage not listed in 5.1 (which focuses on policy general to all heritage), please list them here.

10.2 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage. Please provide web links for the legislation listed above.

10.3 - Are the services provided by agencies/institutions adequate for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is **some capacity** to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but **significant deficiencies** remain.

10.4 - How does the State Party encourage and support World Heritage properties to manage and develop visitation/tourism sustainably?

By developing policies and/or requiring sustainable tourism strategies to be developed

By facilitating network cooperation and stakeholder engagement through the development of governance structures or other mechanisms for cooperation

10.5 - Please indicate here any additional information or clarify how the State Party supports sustainable tourism planning and management at a property level.

10.6 - Does the State Party require the use of impact assessments for programmes (e.g. strategic environmental assessments) or development projects (e.g. environmental impact assessments, heritage impact assessments) that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting?

There is a **regulatory framework** that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects which is implemented **but it needs improvement**.

10.7 - Please list the different assessment methods used. Please provide weblinks to the guidance for the assessment methods.

HIA and EIA are mandatory for any development projects in all heritage sites in Jordan including World Heritage properties

10.8 - Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is **no national capacity building strategy** in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management **but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis**

10.9 - Does the State Party have the institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues?

There is **no institutional capacity** to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues **but research is conducted in collaboration with partners**.

10.10 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and receiving donations for the protection of World Heritage?

Yes

10.11 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage policies and resources

Cooperation with national and international institutions such as The American Center of Oriental Research (ACOR), USAID's Sustainable Cultural Heritage through Engagement of Local Communities Project (SCHEP), UNESCO office in Amman, and the Jordanian NGO Sela for Vocational Training and Protection of Cultural Heritage.

11. International Cooperation

11.1 - Has the State Party promoted international cooperation and the establishment of cooperation mechanisms for heritage since the last Periodic Report? If so, please indicate the type of cooperation that best describes your activities.

Bilateral and multilateral agreements

Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities

Financial support

Participation in foundations for international cooperation

Sharing expertise for capacity building

Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars

Distribution of material/information

11.2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level?

No

11.3 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 11.1 to 11.2). If you have twinned World Heritage properties, please list them along with their twins.

12. Education, Information and Awareness Building

12.1 - Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among communities and different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?

There are **no strategies** to raise awareness about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is **being done on an ad hoc basis**.

12.2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following groups about World Heritage in your country

| | |
|--|---|
| Communities living in/around heritage sites | Fair |
| Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Youth | Fair |
| General public | Poor |
| Decision makers and public officials | Fair |
| Private sector | Poor |
| Tourism industry | Fair |
| Other specific groups | Good |
| If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe | NGOs in World Heritage sites and scientists and university professionals. |

12.3 - Does the State Party have heritage education programmes for children and/or youth, that contribute to improving understanding of heritage, promoting diversity and fostering intercultural dialogue?

There are heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue **but there are deficiencies in implementation.**

12.4 - Please rate the level of frequency of the following activities to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue among children and/or youth

| | |
|---|---|
| Teacher training courses on the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit | None |
| Courses/activities for students within school programmes | Low |
| Youth forums | Low |
| Skills-training courses for students | None |
| Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites | Medium |
| Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations | Medium |
| Other | Medium |
| If you selected 'Other', please specify | There are some efforts and developing initiative to develop the national schools curriculum to integrate the natural heritage objectives and meanings into different levels |

12.5 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party **does not participate** in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme **but intends to do so.**

12.6 - Please add any additional comments, description of best practices in World Heritage Education, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building (Questions 12.3 to 12.5)

13. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

13.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

| |
|---|
| Synergies with other relevant Conventions, Recommendations and Programmes |
| Identification of heritage |
| National inventories |
| Tentative List |
| Effectiveness of legal framework |
| Function of cultural and natural heritage in the life of the community |
| Contribution of heritage to sustainable development policies |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights Achieving gender equality There is limited integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change Contributing to inclusion and equality Enhancing the quality of life and well-being Promoting economic investment and quality tourism | | |
| Larger-scale planning | | |
| Status of services for protection, conservation and presentation | | |
| | | |
| Financial status | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage | | |
| Human resources | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural heritage | | |
| Capacity development | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some deficiencies in implementation | | |
| Policy and resourcing of World Heritage properties | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis | | |
| Research on World Heritage properties | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party has no institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues but research is conducted in collaboration with partners | | |
| International cooperation | | |
| Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report: | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bilateral and multilateral agreements Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities Financial support Participation in foundations for international cooperation Sharing expertise for capacity building Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars Distribution of material/information | | |
| Education, information and awareness building | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are no strategies to raise awareness among communities and stakeholders about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is being done on an ad hoc basis | | |

13.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 13.1).

13.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to ten)

| 5.11 | Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies | |
|--------|--|---|
| 5.11.1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights Achieving gender equality There is limited integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change Contributing to inclusion and equality Enhancing the quality of life and well-being Promoting economic investment and quality tourism | X |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| 8 | Financial Status and Human Resources | | | | | | |
| 8.3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage | | | | | | X |
| 8.6 | Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural heritage | | | | | | X |
| 9 | Capacity Development | | | | | | |
| 9.3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage | | | | | | X |
| 9.4 | There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some deficiencies in implementation | | | | | | X |
| 10 | Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties | | | | | | |
| 10.8 | There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis | | | | | | X |
| 10.9 | The State Party has no institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues but research is conducted in collaboration with partners | | | | | | X |
| 11 | International Cooperation | | | | | | |
| 11.1 | Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bilateral and multilateral agreements Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities Financial support Participation in foundations for international cooperation Sharing expertise for capacity building Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars Distribution of material/information | | | | | | X |
| 12 | Education, Information and Awareness Building | | | | | | |
| 12.1 | There are no strategies to raise awareness among communities and stakeholders about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is being done on an ad hoc basis | | | | | | X |
| Please select 0 more issues. | | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes | | | | | | | |

13.3. Priority Actions Assessment

13.3.1 - Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor

| 5.11 | Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies | | | | | |
|--------|--|---|---|--|-----------|--|
| | | Action | Short description | Authority(ies) responsible | Timeframe | May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund? |
| 5.11.1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is limited integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights Achieving gender equality | The Department is working on developing its strategy for the upcoming four years, which includes four main pillars. The first pillar "Enabling Environment" is concerned with several aspects including legislation and institutional development | Currently, the integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies is being done on an ad-hoc basis. | The Department of Antiquities, and the Royal Society for the Conservation of Nature. | 2020-2024 | Yes |

| | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--|--|---|--|------|
| 5.14 | Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage | | | | | |
| 5.14.2 | | The Department of Antiquities is coordinating with the focal points of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies based on an ad hoc basis. | The limited coordination is due to lack of financial and human resources. | The Department of Antiquities in cooperation with focal points of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies. | On-going. | Yes. |
| 8 | Financial Status and Human Resources | | | | | |
| 8.3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage | The Department of Antiquities and The Royal Society For The Conservation of Nature are doing their best to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage. The main actions taken are international agreements and assistance. | Jordan is a developing country, therefore the financial resources available for basic conservation, protection and presentation of both cultural and natural heritage are inadequate for proper protection. | The Department of Antiquities, The Royal Society For The Conservation of Nature. | On-going | Yes. |
| 8.6 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural heritage | The Department of Antiquities is working on hiring new staff for World Heritage sites, recently a site management unit was established in Quseir Amra | The issue of inadequate human resources is mainly caused by limited financial resources. | The Department of Antiquities. | Depending on the needs of different sites. | Yes. |
| 9 | Capacity Development | | | | | |
| 9.3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy by | The Department of Antiquities has revised the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development Properties and used it to identify the needs, the Department will consider integrating them in the national strategy. | The national strategy consists of four main pillars, the first one is "Enabling Environment" which is concerned with capacity building, therefore the UNESCO Capacity Building Strategy will be integrated with the national strategy. | The Department of Antiquities. | 2020-2024 | Yes. |

| | | | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|--------------------------------|-----------|------|
| | fundraising to support capacity building programmes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage | | | | | |
| 9.4 | There is a national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but there are some deficiencies in implementation | The Department is working on developing its strategy for the upcoming four years, which includes four main pillars, including the capacity building of its staff. | Working on the national strategy has stopped for a while due to managerial issues, the work will resume soon and the first pillar "Enabling Environment" is concerned with building capacity. | The Department of Antiquities. | 2020-2024 | Yes. |

| | | | | | | |
|------|--|---|--|--------------------------------|-----------|------|
| 10 | Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties | | | | | |
| 10.8 | There is no national capacity building strategy in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis | The Department is working on developing its strategy for the upcoming four years, which includes four main pillars, including the capacity building of its staff. | Currently, capacity building is done on an ad hoc basis, working on the national strategy has stopped for a while due to managerial issues, the work will resume soon and the first pillar "Enabling Environment" is concerned with building capacity. | The Department of Antiquities. | 2020-2024 | Yes. |
| 10.9 | The State Party has no institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues but research is conducted in collaboration with partners | The Department is working on developing its strategy for the upcoming four years, which includes four main pillars, including research. | The third pillar of the national strategy is concerned with Research & studies, including the following sub-pillars: - Excavations - Conservation - Surveys - Publications | The Department of Antiquities. | 2020-2024 | Yes. |

| | | | | | | |
|------|--|---|--|--------------------------------|----------|---|
| 11 | International Cooperation | | | | | |
| 11.1 | Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bilateral and multilateral agreements Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities Financial support Participation in foundations for international cooperation Sharing expertise for capacity building Hosting and/or attending international training | Jordan has signed bilateral and multilateral agreements regarding cultural protection. The information gathered from the last periodic reporting were utilised to gain financial support to protect cultural heritage | Jordan has attended a seminar on Religious Heritage in Kiev. | The Department of Antiquities. | On-going | Yes, in Participation in other UN programmes, sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities, financial support, and capacity building |

| | | | | | | |
|------|---|---|---|---|---------------------|------|
| | courses/seminars | | | | | |
| | • Distribution of material/information | | | | | |
| 12 | Education, Information and Awareness Building | | | | | |
| 12.1 | There are no strategies to raise awareness among communities and stakeholders about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is being done on an ad hoc basis | There are plans to integrate the concept of World Heritage in school curriculums. The Department had organised an awareness-raising event in cooperation with the Ministry of Youth in 2015 | The training and awareness raising campaigns are developed individually on an ad hoc basis depending on the needs and specificity of each site. | The Department of Antiquities, The Ministry of Education, The Ministry of Youth | In the near future. | Yes. |

Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor completed

13.4. Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

13.4.1 - Please indicate any additional actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention*

- Training courses on the World Heritage Convention and the nomination process in coordination with UNESCO Amman Office. - Request an upstream process for Pella (Modern Tabaqat Fahil). - Establish a World Heritage Section in the Site Management Directorate - Department of Antiquities. - Establish local NGOs near World Heritage Sites.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the State Party level.

Petra The following projects were discussed with WHC according to par 172 of the Operational Guidelines: Crown Plaza Hotel, The Guest House pool, Petra Museum, Wadi Ertam dam in Petra. Um er-Rasas - St.Stephen shelter Quseir Amra -The nuclear plant project was stopped. -International cooperation with ISCR. Baptism Site HIA and Guidelines for the new churches in the buffer zone.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of good practice at the State Party level.

| |
|-------------------------|
| Sustainable Development |
| Synergies |
| State of Conservation |
| Management |
| Governance |
| Capacity Building |

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Primary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention

15.1.1 - Which primary institution is responsible for communicating with UNESCO with regards to the World Heritage Convention?

The Department of Antiquities / Ministry of Tourism and Antiquities through the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. The Jordanian National Commission for Education, Culture and Science. Jordan Delegation to UNESCO.

15.2. Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.2.1 - Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

| | |
|------------------------------|------|
| State Party | Good |
| Site Managers | Good |
| UNESCO World Heritage Centre | Fair |
| Advisory Bodies | Fair |

15.3. The four Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.3.1 - How well does the current questionnaire address each of the four Objectives of Periodic Reporting?

| | Objective | Not at all | Partially | Adequately |
|----------|--|------------|-----------|------------|
| 15.3.1.1 | Provide an assessment of the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> by the State Party | | | ✗ |

| | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|---|
| 15.3.1.2 | Provide an assessment as to whether the values of World Heritage properties are being maintained over time | | | × |
| 15.3.1.3 | Provide updated information about World Heritage properties to record the changing circumstances and state of conservation of the property | | | × |
| 15.3.1.4 | Provide a mechanism for regional cooperation and exchange of information and experiences between States Parties about the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> , and World Heritage conservation | | | × |

15.4. Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.4.1 -

The questionnaire does have saving issues, especially in chapter 1.

15.5. Use of Data

15.5.1 - How does your State Party plan to use the data?

| |
|--|
| Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage |
| Updating management plans |
| Fundraising |
| Awareness raising |
| Advocacy |
| Reporting for other conventions/conservation mechanisms |
| Reporting on implementation of Sustainable Development Goals |
| Improve the States Party implementation on the Convention |

15.6. Timing and resources

15.6.1 - Were your national authorities given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in the questionnaire during this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Yes

15.6.2 - Please estimate the time (working hours) and the number of people involved in completing Section I of the questionnaire.

| | Time & people | Number of hours worked | Number of people involved |
|----------|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 15.6.2.1 | Gathering data | 40 | 6 |
| 15.6.2.2 | Consulting stakeholders | 20 | 5 |
| 15.6.2.3 | Filling in the questionnaire | 10 | 2 |

15.6.3 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has **not been explicitly considered** or implemented in the process.

15.6.4 - Did your national authorities mobilise any additional resources to carry out this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

| | Additional resources | No | Yes |
|----------|---|----|-----|
| 15.6.4.1 | Human resources | | × |
| 15.6.4.2 | Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/training | | × |

15.6.5 - Please provide details on any additional resources mobilised.

Meeting with Natural focal point and other heritage professionals at the Department of Antiquities.

15.7. Format and Content of the Periodic Reporting Questionnaire

15.7.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

15.7.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

| | |
|-----------------------------|------|
| Using the questionnaire | good |
| Understanding the questions | fair |

15.7.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement

15.8. Training and guidance

15.8.1 - Please rate the level of support received in terms of training and guidance from the following entities for the completion of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

| | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|
| World Heritage Centre | Good |
| UNESCO (other sectors) | Not applicable |
| UNESCO National Commission | Fair |
| ICOMOS International | Good |
| IUCN International | Good |
| ICCROM International/regional | Good |
| ICOMOS national/regional | Not applicable |
| IUCN national/regional | Not applicable |
| Category 2 Centres | Good |
| Other | Not applicable |
| If 'Other' applies, please specify | |

15.8.2 - Were the online training resources provided by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for your national authorities to complete the online questionnaire?

Yes

15.8.3 - Please provide further comments on the online training resources

15.9. Comments

15.9.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.9.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions.