

# Ghana

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 - State Party

Ghana

**1.2 - Date of ratification/accession/acceptance of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage**  
04/07/1975

### 1.3 - Groups and institutions involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Report

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
National Commission for UNESCO
World Heritage site managers/coordinators
Local communities
Indigenous peoples
Other specific groups
Non-Governmental Organizations
ICOMOS International
ICOMOS national/regional
IUCN International
IUCN national/regional
ICCROM International/regional
External experts
Donors

## 2. Synergies with other Conventions

### 2.1. Multilateral Environmental Agreements

**2.1.1 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, in addition to the World Heritage Convention, your State is party to/not party to/in the process of adhering to the following agreements. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.1.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		✗	
2.1.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)			✗
2.1.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)			✗
2.1.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)			✗
2.1.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)			✗
2.1.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)			
2.1.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)			✗

**2.1.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Ramsar site(s) under the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List). Please check and amend as necessary.**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.1.3 - Does your State Party intend to designate any World Heritage property(ies) (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years?**

No

**2.1.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) your State Party intends to designate (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years.**

Not Applicable

**2.1.5 - Please list any other relevant global or regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) on natural heritage which have**

been joined by your State Party.

Not Sure of any /

## 2.2. UNESCO Culture Conventions

**2.2.1 - The table below indicates which of the UNESCO cultural Conventions your State is party to, not party to or in the process of adhering to. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.2.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		×	
2.2.1.2	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict			×
2.2.1.3	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		×	
2.2.1.4	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property		×	
2.2.1.5	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage		×	
2.2.1.6	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage		×	
2.2.1.7	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions		×	

**2.2.2 - Please list any other relevant global or regional Conventions or agreements on cultural heritage to which your State Party is party to or in the process of adhering to.**

Agenda 2063 and UN Sustainable Development Goals /

**2.2.3 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre the following World Heritage property(ies) located in your State Party have been included on the List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.2.4 - Does your State Party intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection for any of its World Heritage properties under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict in the next three years?**

No

**2.2.5 - Please list any World Heritage properties for which your State Party intends to request the granting of Enhanced Protection.**

Not Applicable

## 2.3. UNESCO Programmes

**2.3.1 - The table below indicates which of the selected UNESCO programmes your State Party participates in. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		No	Yes
2.3.1.1	Man and the Biosphere Programme		×
2.3.1.2	UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

**2.3.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.3.3 - Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme?**

No

**2.3.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme:**

Not Applicable /

**2.3.5 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s)**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.3.6 - Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?**

No

**2.3.7 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).**

Not Applicable /

**2.4. Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join**

**2.4.1 - Is there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?**

		Not Applicable	No	Yes
2.4.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage			×
2.4.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)		×	
2.4.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)		×	
2.4.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)		×	
2.4.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)		×	
2.4.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)	×		
2.4.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)			
2.4.1.8	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		×	
2.4.1.9	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		×	
2.4.1.10	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property			×
2.4.1.11	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage			×
2.4.1.12	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage			×
2.4.1.13	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions	×		
2.4.1.14	Man and the Biosphere Programme		×	
2.4.1.15	Global Geoparks	×		

**2.4.2 - Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):**

The Focal Points (Persons) work as a team on several issues relating to the Maintenance of the OUV of the Listed properties, community awareness creation and community engagement.

**2.4.3 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?**

No

**2.4.4 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?**

No

**2.5. UNESCO Recommendations**

**2.5.1 - Is your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?**

		Yes	No
2.5.1.1	1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage	×	
2.5.1.2	2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape	×	

### 2.5.2 - Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:

The recommendations led to the establishment of the Central Region Development Commission and the Ghana Heritage Conservation Trust which played critical and significant roles in the conservation works at the Forts and Castles.

## 3. Tentative List

### 3.1 - In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?

ICOMOS thematic studies
IUCN thematic studies
UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List
World Heritage Resource Manual 'Preparing World Heritage Nominations'

### 3.2 - Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?

Yes

If you selected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.  
Consultations and Stakeholder Meetings

### 3.3 - Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?

Yes

### 3.4 - Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?

Yes, among communities

### 3.5 - Please name the site(s) considered to have this potential

Kakum National Park (Assin Attandanso Reserve) Mole National Park Navrongo Catholic Cathedral Nzulezu Stilt Settlement Tenzug - Tallensi settlements Trade Pilgrimage Routes of North-Western Ghana

### 3.6 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Fair
Local government(s)	Poor
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities/residents	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Local industries/tourism and other stakeholders	Good
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants/experts	Good
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

### 3.7 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?

Gender balance has been given limited consideration and implementation in the process.

### 3.8 - Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?

No

If you selected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List.

### 3.9 - Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)

The Tentative List were developed in 2000 and further research has provided more information on the sites and the state party is taking steps to revise and update the records accordingly.

## 4. Nominations

### 4.1 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Fair
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities/residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Local industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders	Fair
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants/experts	Good
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Good
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

### 4.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?

No

### 4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

Promoted environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being	High
Enhanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders	Some
Strengthened protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)	High
Enhanced conservation practices	High
Enhanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes	High
Improved presentation of sites	High
Enhanced honour/prestige	High
Increased funding	High
Additional tool for lobbying/political influence	High
Fostered peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation	High
Increased number of tourists and visitors	High
Promoted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities	High
Other(s)	High
If 'Other' applies, please specify	Publicity and Technical support

### 4.4 - Please rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits	High
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change	High
Contributing to inclusion and equity	High
Enhancing quality of life and well-being	High
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights	High
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities	High

Achieving gender equality	High
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods	High
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism	High
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship	High
Ensuring conflict prevention	High
Protecting heritage during conflict	High
Promoting conflict resolution	High
Contributing to post-conflict recovery	High
Other(s)	High
If 'Other' applies, please specify	Agency for Power negotiation and Sites of Conscience

#### 4.5 - Please provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).

Nomination of properties for listing leads to building identity and promoting self esteem. This process also leads to unearthing layered histories that hitherto not known and serve as the common grounds for consensus building.

### 5. General Policy Development

#### 5.1. Principal pieces of national cultural and/or natural heritage legislation

##### 5.1.1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

##### Comment

GMMB is governed by NLC Decree (now an Act) Act 387 of 1969, which was further enhanced by the Executive Instruments E.I 42 of 1972 & E.I 29 of 1973

#### 5.2. Comment on principal pieces of legislation

##### 5.2.1 - Please comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force

The process to review the Principal Act of 387 of 1969 started in 2013 and is still ongoing.

#### 5.3. Other principal pieces of legislation

##### 5.3.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.

Act 387 of 1969, which was further enhanced by the Executive Instruments E.I 42 of 1972 & E.I 29 of 1973 / Both / National /

#### 5.4. Contribution of legislation and/or regulations at other levels to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and/or natural heritage

##### 5.4.1 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.

ACT 387 of 1969 E.I 29 and E.I 42 empowers GMMB to identify and declare national monuments, preserve, protect and present.

#### 5.5. Adequacy of the legal framework for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

##### 5.5.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no legal framework</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>inadequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✗	✗
The legal framework is <b>partially adequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>adequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

#### 5.6. Adequacy of the legal framework for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

##### 5.6.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no legal framework</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>inadequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✗	✗

The legal framework is <b>partially adequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>adequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

## 5.7. Enforcement of the legal framework

### 5.7.1 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?

		There is no legal framework.	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework are adequate.
5.7.1.1	Culture			×	
5.7.1.2	Nature			×	

## 5.8. Comments on the enforcement of the legal framework

### 5.8.1 - Please comment on particular problems of enforcement

There is clear definition of the buffer zones and the delineated zones are not gazetted.

## 5.9. Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities

### 5.9.1 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

	Culture	Nature
There are <b>no specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities.		
There are <b>no specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities <b>but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.</b>		
There <b>are specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities <b>but there are some deficiencies</b> in their implementation.	×	×
There <b>are specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities that <b>are effectively implemented.</b>		

## 5.10. Examples of specific policies giving heritage a function in the life of communities

### 5.10.1 - Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities

The preservation of Material Cultural Heritage both Tangible and Intangible as specified in the National Museums Act ACT 387 and the National Monuments Legislative Instrument of 1972 (L.I 42).

## 5.11. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies

### 5.11.1 - How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits.	High
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change.	Some
Contributing to inclusion and equality.	High
Enhancing the quality of life and well-being.	High
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights.	High
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities.	High
Achieving gender equality.	High
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods.	Some
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism.	Some
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship.	High
Ensuring conflict prevention.	High
Protecting heritage during conflict.	High
Promoting conflict resolution.	High
Contributing to post-conflict recovery.	Some
Other (please specify).	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

## 5.12. UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011

**5.12.1 - In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Adapt the application of the Recommendation and its approach to the States Party's specific context
<input type="checkbox"/>	Encourage the HUL approach across its territory
<input type="checkbox"/>	Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the identification and protection of historic layering in urban environments
<input type="checkbox"/>	Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the harmonious integration of contemporary intervention into the historic urban fabric
<input type="checkbox"/>	Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for the integration of urban heritage conservation strategies into national development policies and agendas
<input type="checkbox"/>	Formulate and adopt supporting public policies for monitoring the implementation of the Recommendation and its impact on the conservation and management of historic cities

## 5.13. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes

**5.13.1 - How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?**

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

## 5.14. Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

**5.14.1 - Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage?**

	UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy	No	Yes
5.14.1.1	Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.14.1.2	Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.14.1.3	World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.14.1.4	World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**5.14.2 - Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

There is limited coordination and integration of the implementation of these multilateral agreements, Programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.

## 5.15. Specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

**5.15.1 - Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies**

## 5.16. Comments on General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

**5.16.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)**

The Policies mentioned above are generally good but with significant lapses and need to be reviewed to capture new threats to the cultural and Natural heritage sites in Ghana.

## 6. Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage

**6.1 - If the State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?**

	Cultural heritage	Natural heritage
National/federal	Process commenced	No process established
Regional/provincial/state	Process commenced	No process established
Local	No process established	No process established
Other	Not applicable	

**6.2 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?**

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of heritage.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of heritage.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



Inventories/lists/registers capture the **full** diversity of heritage.

### 6.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers <b>are not actively used</b> for the protection of heritage.	✗	✗
Inventories/lists/registers <b>are sometimes used</b> for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers <b>are frequently used</b> for the protection of heritage.		

### 6.4 - In addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?

	Culture	Nature
The State Party <b>does not involve</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
The State Party <b>plans to involve</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future.		
The State Party <b>sometimes involves</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
The State Party <b>regularly involves</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.	✗	✗

### 6.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?

	Culture	Nature	Mixed
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.			
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.	✗	✗	✗
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>sometimes used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>frequently used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			

### 6.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)

The processes of developing inventories have commenced and the list developed.

## 7. Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage

### 7.1 - How effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?

There is **some cooperation** between the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but this could be improved**.

### 7.2 - How effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

There is **limited cooperation** between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.

### 7.3 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
In general, <b>cooperation exists</b> between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage <b>but there are still deficiencies</b> .	✗	✗
Different levels of government <b>cooperate effectively</b> for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

### 7.4 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
There is <b>some cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage <b>but there are still deficiencies</b> .	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Different levels of government <b>cooperate effectively</b> with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## 8. Financial Status and Human Resources

### 8.1 - Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

National government funds	Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Minor source of project funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Major source of project funding
Funds from other international conventions/programmes	Major source of project funding
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.)	Minor source of project funding
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	No funding/Not applicable
Non-Governmental Organizations (international and/or national)	Minor source of project funding
Private sector funds	Minor source of project funding
Other	Minor source of funding for running costs/maintenance
If 'Other' applies, please specify	Internally Generated Funds from entry fees.

### 8.2 - Does the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		No	Yes
8.2.1	Culture	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8.2.2	Nature	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### 8.3 - Do you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

	Culture	Nature
The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The available budget is <b>acceptable</b> but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The available budget is <b>adequate</b> to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### 8.4 - Please indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

	Category	percentage %
8.4.1	Cultural	0.005%
8.4.2	Natural	0.10%

### 8.5 - Please estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

Percentage		Cultural	Natural
8.5.1	National/Federal	98 %	98 %
8.5.2	Regional/Provincial	1 %	1 %
8.5.3	Local	1 %	1 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

### 8.6 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

Human resources	Culture	Nature
-----------------	---------	--------

Human resources are <b>inadequate</b> for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✕	✕
A range of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are <b>below optimum</b> .		
Human resources are <b>adequate</b> to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.		

## 8.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)

## 9. Capacity Development

### 9.1 - Please prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Culture	Nature
National/federal	Low priority	Low priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists	No priority	No priority
Statutory processes: Nominations	No priority	No priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring	No priority	No priority
Statutory processes: International assistance	No priority	No priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	Low priority	No priority
Technical and scientific issues	Low priority	Low priority
Traditional conservation processes	Low priority	Low priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	No priority	No priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Low priority	Low priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	No priority	No priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	No priority	No priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	No priority	No priority
Management effectiveness assessment	No priority	No priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	No priority	No priority
Awareness raising and outreach	No priority	No priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	No priority	No priority
Sustainable development	No priority	No priority
Protection and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems	No priority	Low priority
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation	No priority	No priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	No priority	Low priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage	No priority	No priority
Inclusive social development in World Heritage management systems	No priority	No priority
Gender balance in management systems	No priority	No priority
Inclusive economic development in World Heritage management systems	No priority	No priority
World Heritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security	No priority	No priority

### 9.2 - Please rate the priority for training target audiences for each of the specific topics/themes/capacity building needs identified below for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Administrators and government bodies at all levels	Communities, indigenous peoples, landowners, local businesses, other social groups etc.	Universities, NGOs etc.	Heritage practitioners
Implementation of the <i>Convention</i>	Low priority	No priority	No priority	Not applicable
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists process	No priority	Low priority	Low priority	Not applicable
Statutory processes: Nomination process	Low priority	Low priority	Medium priority	Not applicable
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring process	Low priority	Low priority	Medium priority	Not applicable

Statutory processes: International assistance, etc.	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Not applicable
Conservation and management of heritage sites	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Not applicable
Technical and Scientific issues	Low priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Not applicable
Traditional conservation processes	Low priority	Low priority	Low priority	Not applicable
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority	Not applicable
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Low priority	Medium priority	High priority	
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	Low priority	Medium priority	High priority	
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	Medium priority	Low priority	Low priority	
Sustainable tourism use and management	Low priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	
Management effectiveness assessment	Low priority	Low priority	Medium priority	
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	Medium priority	Low priority	Medium priority	
Awareness raising and outreach	Low priority	Medium priority	Low priority	
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	High priority	Medium priority	High priority	
Sustainable development	Low priority	Medium priority	Low priority	
Building environmental and social resilience	Medium priority	Low priority	Low priority	
Integration of eco-social diversity in management systems	Low priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Low priority	Low priority	Low priority	
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems	Medium priority	Low priority	High priority	
Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change	Low priority	Low priority	Medium priority	

### 9.3 - Please indicate how the State Party is using the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy (2011).

		No	Yes
9.3.1	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the national level.</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9.3.2	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level.</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9.3.3	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to <b>identify capacity building priorities.</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9.3.4	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by fundraising to support capacity building programmes.</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9.3.5	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage.</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### 9.4 - Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is **no national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is **being done on an ad hoc basis.**

### 9.5 - Comments: Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Capacity Development (Questions 9.1 to 9.4).

## 10. Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties

### 10.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage not listed in 5.1 (which focuses on policy general to all heritage), please list them here.

Ghana Culture Policy / 2004 / Culture / National /

**10.2 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage. Please provide web links for the legislation listed above.**

**10.3 - Are the services provided by agencies/institutions adequate for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage properties in your country?**

There is **some capacity** to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but **some deficiencies** remain.

**10.4 - How does the State Party encourage and support World Heritage properties to manage and develop visitation/tourism sustainably?**

By providing capacity building for site managers

By facilitating network cooperation and stakeholder engagement through the development of governance structures or other mechanisms for cooperation

**10.5 - Please indicate here any additional information or clarify how the State Party supports sustainable tourism planning and management at a property level.**

The State Party also works with Travel & Tour Operators, Schools and Hotels for organised tours to the sites.

**10.6 - Does the State Party require the use of impact assessments for programmes (e.g. strategic environmental assessments) or development projects (e.g. environmental impact assessments, heritage impact assessments) that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting?**

There is a **regulatory framework** that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects which is implemented **but it needs improvement**.

**10.7 - Please list the different assessment methods used. Please provide weblinks to the guidance for the assessment methods.**

**10.8 - Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?**

There is a **national capacity building strategy** in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection and management but there are **some deficiencies in its implementation**

**10.9 - Does the State Party have the institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues?**

There is **capacity** at the institutional level to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues **but it could be improved**.

**10.10 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and receiving donations for the protection of World Heritage?**

No

**10.11 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage policies and resources**

## 11. International Cooperation

**11.1 - Has the State Party promoted international cooperation and the establishment of cooperation mechanisms for heritage since the last Periodic Report? If so, please indicate the type of cooperation that best describes your activities.**

Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes

Bilateral and multilateral agreements

Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities

Financial support

Contributions to private organizations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage

Sharing expertise for capacity building

Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars

Distribution of material/information

**11.2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level?**

No

**11.3 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 11.1 to 11.2). If you have twinned World Heritage properties, please list them along with their twins.**

## 12. Education, Information and Awareness Building

**12.1 - Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among communities and different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?**

There are **no strategies** to raise awareness about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is **being done on an ad hoc basis**.

**12.2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following groups about World Heritage in your country**

Communities living in/around heritage sites

Fair

Indigenous peoples	Fair
Youth	Poor
General public	Good
Decision makers and public officials	Fair
Private sector	Poor
Tourism industry	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

**12.3 - Does the State Party have heritage education programmes for children and/or youth, that contribute to improving understanding of heritage, promoting diversity and fostering intercultural dialogue?**

There are heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue **but there are deficiencies in implementation.**

**12.4 - Please rate the level of frequency of the following activities to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue among children and/or youth**

Teacher training courses on the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit	Low
Courses/activities for students within school programmes	None
Youth forums	None
Skills-training courses for students	None
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Medium
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Low
Other	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other', please specify	

**12.5 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?**

The State Party **does not participate** in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

**12.6 - Please add any additional comments, description of best practices in World Heritage Education, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building (Questions 12.3 to 12.5)**

### 13. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

#### 13.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

<b>Synergies with other relevant Conventions, Recommendations and Programmes</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national <b>natural</b> heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national <b>cultural</b> heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies</li> </ul>
<b>Identification of heritage</b>
<b>National inventories</b>
<b>Tentative List</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>natural heritage</b></li> <li>Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>mixed heritage</b></li> </ul>
<b>Effectiveness of legal framework</b>
<b>Function of cultural and natural heritage in the life of the community</b>
<b>Contribution of heritage to sustainable development policies</b>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is <b>no integration</b> of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:</li> <li>There is <b>limited integration</b> of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change</li> <li>Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods</li> <li>Promoting economic investment and quality tourism</li> <li>Contributing to post-conflict recovery</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Larger-scale planning</b>
<b>Status of services for protection, conservation and presentation</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>natural heritage</b></li> </ul>
<b>Financial status</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage</li> <li>The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage</li> </ul>
<b>Human resources</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Human resources are <b>inadequate</b> for conservation, protection and presentation needs of <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>Human resources are <b>inadequate</b> for conservation, protection and presentation needs of <b>natural heritage</b></li> </ul>
<b>Capacity development</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the national level</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy to <b>identify capacity building priorities</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by fundraising to support capacity building programmes</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage</b></li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is <b>no national strategy</b> for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is <b>being done on an ad hoc basis</b></li> </ul>
<b>Policy and resourcing of World Heritage properties</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The services provided by agencies/institutions have <b>some capacities</b> to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but <b>some deficiencies</b> remain</li> </ul>
<b>Research on World Heritage properties</b>
<b>International cooperation</b>
<p>Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes</li> <li>Bilateral and multilateral agreements</li> <li>Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities</li> <li>Financial support</li> <li>Contributions to private organizations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage</li> <li>Sharing expertise for capacity building</li> <li>Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars</li> <li>Distribution of material/information</li> </ul>
<b>Education, information and awareness building</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are <b>no strategies</b> to raise awareness among communities and stakeholders about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is <b>being done on an ad hoc basis</b></li> </ul>

## 13.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 13.1).

### 13.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to ten)

<b>2.4</b>	<b>Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join</b>	
2.4.3	World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national <b>natural</b> heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage	✗
2.4.4	World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national <b>cultural</b> heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage	✗
<b>5.14</b>	<b>Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage</b>	
5.14.2	There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies	✗

6	<b>Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage</b>				
6.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>natural heritage</b></li> <li>Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>mixed heritage</b></li> </ul>				X
7	<b>Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage</b>				
7.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>natural heritage</b></li> </ul>				X
8	<b>Financial Status and Human Resources</b>				
8.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage</li> <li>The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage</li> </ul>				X
8.6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Human resources are <b>inadequate</b> for conservation, protection and presentation needs of <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>Human resources are <b>inadequate</b> for conservation, protection and presentation needs of <b>natural heritage</b></li> </ul>				X
9	<b>Capacity Development</b>				
9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the national level</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy to <b>identify capacity building priorities</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by fundraising to support capacity building programmes</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage</b></li> </ul>				X
9.4	There is <b>no national strategy</b> for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is <b>being done on an ad hoc basis</b>				X
10	<b>Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties</b>				
10.3	The services provided by agencies/institutions have <b>some capacities</b> to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but <b>some deficiencies</b> remain				
11	<b>International Cooperation</b>				
11.1	Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes</li> <li>Bilateral and multilateral agreements</li> <li>Sharing expertise to promote equitable participation in heritage mechanisms for communities</li> <li>Financial support</li> <li>Contributions to private organizations for the preservation of cultural and natural heritage</li> <li>Sharing expertise for capacity building</li> <li>Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars</li> <li>Distribution of material/information</li> </ul>				
12	<b>Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>				
12.1	There are <b>no strategies</b> to raise awareness among communities and stakeholders about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is <b>being done on an ad hoc basis</b>				X
Please select 0 more issues.					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes					

### 13.3. Priority Actions Assessment

#### 13.3.1 - Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor

2.4	Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join				
	Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible	Timeframe	May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?



2.4.3	World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national <b>natural</b> heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage	Cooperation and Synergies between Conventions State Party (Ghana) has ratified or accessioned	Identify all Focal Points for all Conventions Ghana has ratified or accessioned. Organize a capacity building training seminar for them to enable them participate effectively in the revision and implementation of national & Cultural strategies.	Ghana Museum & Monuments Board, NATCOM, National Commission on Culture, Min of Tourism Art & Culture and other stakeholders	Fourth Quarter of 2020	May require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund
2.4.4	World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national <b>cultural</b> heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage	Cooperation and Synergies between Conventions the State Party has ratified or accessioned	Identify all Focal Points for all Conventions Ghana has ratified or accessioned. Organize a capacity building training seminar for them to enable them participate effectively in the revision and implementation of national & Cultural strategies.	Ghana Museum & Monuments Board, NATCOM, National Commission on Culture, Min of Tourism Art & Culture and other stakeholders	Fourth Quarter of 2020	May require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund

5.14	<b>Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage</b>					
5.14.2	There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies	Identifying and developing a common platform (quarterly review meetings) for all Focal Points	This platform will enable all Focal Points to discuss issues relating to the processes of implementations of the various agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies to draw on their synergies	Ghana Museum & Monuments Board, NATCOM, UNESCO, National Commission on Culture, Folklore Board, Min of Tourism Art & Culture, Forestry Commission and other stakeholders.	First Quarter of 2021	May require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund

6	<b>Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage</b>					
6.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>natural heritage</b></li> <li>Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List for <b>mixed heritage</b></li> </ul>	Review and update Inventories/lists/registers for both cultural and natural heritage	The Ghana Museums & Monuments Board will liaise with Forest Commission to review and update the inventories for use in the identification of sites for inclusion in Tentative list for cultural, natural and mixed heritage.	Ghana Museum & Monuments Board, NATCOM, UNESCO, National Commission on Culture, Folklore Board, Min of Tourism Art & Culture, Forestry Commission, University of Ghana and other stakeholders.	Third Quarter of 2020	May require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund

7	<b>Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage</b>					
7.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection,</li> </ul>	Strengthen cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of both natural and cultural heritage.	This plan is to enhance management skills of heritage managers who will lead and institute better cooperation between different levels of government and civil societies in identification, protection, consideration & presentation of heritage	Ghana Museum & Monuments Board, NATCOM, UNESCO, National Commission on Culture, Folklore Board, Min of Tourism Art & Culture, Forestry Commission, University of Ghana and other stakeholders.	Second Quarter of 2021	May require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund

	<p>conservation and presentation of <b>cultural heritage</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of <b>natural heritage</b></li> </ul>					
<b>8</b>	<b>Financial Status and Human Resources</b>					
<b>8.3</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage</li> <li>The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage</li> </ul>	Generate more funds to increase the budget allocation available for basic conservation, protection, and presentation of both cultural and natural heritage.	Renegotiate with Ghana Heritage Conservation Trust to increase the allocation for conservation, protection, and preservation for both cultural and natural heritage. Make a case for increase in Central government's allocation capital investment	Ghana Museum & Monuments Board, NATCOM, Min of Tourism Art & Culture, Ghana Heritage Conservation Trust, Parliamentary Select Committee on Culture and Tourism, Min of Finance and other stakeholders.	Throughout the year 2020 to 2021	May require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund
<b>8.6</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Human resources are <b>inadequate</b> for conservation, protection and presentation needs of <b>cultural heritage</b></li> <li>Human resources are <b>inadequate</b> for conservation, protection and presentation needs of <b>natural heritage</b></li> </ul>	Develop and Implement Capacity Building Strategy for the Ghana Museums and Monuments Board	In line with the Advisory Mission recommendation, Ghana will develop and implement a responsive capacity building strategy to make up for the current inadequate different technical capacities to address its conservation priorities.	Ghana Museum & Monuments Board, Advisory Bodies, ICOMOS, ICCROM, AWHF, WHC UNESCO and other stakeholders.	Throughout 2020 -2022	This action plan require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund

9	Capacity Development					
9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the national level</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy to <b>identify capacity building priorities</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by fundraising to support capacity building programmes</b></li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage</b></li> </ul>	Develop localized capacity building strategy for implementation at all levels and for awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage.	This localized Capacity Building Strategy will be used for identification of capacity building priorities, support fundraising and awareness creation about the need to conserve cultural and natural heritage	Ghana Museum & Monuments Board, Advisory Bodies, ICOMOS, ICCROM, AWHF, WHC UNESCO and other stakeholders.	2021 to 2022	This action plan require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund
9.4	There is <b>no national strategy</b> for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is <b>being done on an ad hoc basis</b>	Develop and Implement a National Strategy for Capacity Building in the field of Heritage Management	Ghana in line with the recommendation by the Advisory Mission report will develop and invest in the implementation of a National Strategy to build the capacity of its human resource in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation.	Ghana Museum & Monuments Board, NATCOM, UNESCO, University of Ghana, ICOMOS, ICCROM, Min of Tourism Art & Culture, and other stakeholders.	2021 to 2023	May require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund

12	Education, Information and Awareness Building					
12.1	There are <b>no strategies</b> to raise awareness among communities and stakeholders about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is <b>being done on an ad hoc basis</b>	Develop strategies for the awareness creation and community engagement and dialogue.	The strategies and associated action plan will build on past experiences but ensure a more strategic approach to engage and dialogue with the Communities.	Ghana Museums and Monuments Board, Local Authorities, MMDAs	2020 -2024	May require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund

Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor completed

#### 13.4. Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

##### 13.4.1 - Please indicate any additional actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention*

The Ghana Museums and Monuments Board, the agency responsible for the 1972 Convention is currently working with the World Heritage Centre to prepare a Management Plan for the Forts and Castles.

#### 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

##### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the State Party level.

Build capacity of States Party, Ghana Museum and Monuments Board, Heritage Experts, Communities and Networks about how they could introduce and harness Sustainable Development principles in the framework of World Heritage and thus contribute to implementing the World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy and achieving the Sustainable Development Goals

##### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of good practice at the State Party level.

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Primary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention

#### 15.1.1 - Which primary institution is responsible for communicating with UNESCO with regards to the World Heritage Convention?

The Ghana Museums and Monuments Board

### 15.2. Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.2.1 - Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies	Good

### 15.3. The four Objectives of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.3.1 - How well does the current questionnaire address each of the four Objectives of Periodic Reporting?

	Objective	Not at all	Partially	Adequately
15.3.1.1	Provide an assessment of the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> by the State Party			✗
15.3.1.2	Provide an assessment as to whether the values of World Heritage properties are being maintained over time			✗
15.3.1.3	Provide updated information about World Heritage properties to record the changing circumstances and state of conservation of the property			✗
15.3.1.4	Provide a mechanism for regional cooperation and exchange of information and experiences between States Parties about the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> , and World Heritage conservation			✗

### 15.4. Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 15.4.1 -

### 15.5. Use of Data

#### 15.5.1 - How does your State Party plan to use the data?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Updating management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy
Reporting for other conventions/conservation mechanisms
Reporting on implementation of Sustainable Development Goals
Improve the States Party implementation on the Convention

### 15.6. Timing and resources

#### 15.6.1 - Were your national authorities given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in the questionnaire during this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Yes

#### 15.6.2 - Please estimate the time (working hours) and the number of people involved in completing Section I of the questionnaire.

	Time & people	Number of hours worked	Number of people involved
15.6.2.1	Gathering data	1344	4

15.6.2.2	Consulting stakeholders	504	7
15.6.2.3	Filling in the questionnaire	168	1

**15.6.3 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the filling out of this questionnaire?**

Gender balance has been **explicitly considered** in the process **but there are still deficiencies its implementation.**

**15.6.4 - Did your national authorities mobilise any additional resources to carry out this cycle of Periodic Reporting?**

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.6.4.1	Human resources		×
15.6.4.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/training		×

**15.6.5 - Please provide details on any additional resources mobilised.**

The state Party sponsored and additional officer for the Training in Grand-Bassam from 11-14 September, 2019

**15.7. Format and Content of the Periodic Reporting Questionnaire**

**15.7.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

**Most** of the required information was accessible

**15.7.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?**

Using the questionnaire	good
Understanding the questions	good

**15.7.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement**

**15.8. Training and guidance**

**15.8.1 - Please rate the level of support received in terms of training and guidance from the following entities for the completion of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Poor
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Fair
Category 2 Centres	Fair
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

**15.8.2 - Were the online training resources provided by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for your national authorities to complete the online questionnaire?**

Yes

**15.8.3 - Please provide further comments on the online training resources**

**15.9. Comments**

**15.9.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**15.9.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions.**