

Dominica

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

Dominica

1.2 - Date of ratification/accession/acceptance of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage
04/04/1995

1.3 - Groups and institutions involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Report

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

2. Synergies with other Conventions

2.1. Multilateral Environmental Agreements

2.1.1 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, in addition to the World Heritage Convention, your State is party to/not party to/in the process of adhering to the following agreements. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.1.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		×	
2.1.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)		×	
2.1.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)		×	
2.1.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)	×		
2.1.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	×		
2.1.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)	×		
2.1.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)		×	

2.1.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Ramsar site(s) under the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List). Please check and amend as necessary.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.1.3 - Does your State Party intend to designate any World Heritage property(ies) (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years?

No

2.1.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) your State Party intends to designate (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years.

NA

2.1.5 - Please list any other relevant global or regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) on natural heritage which have been joined by your State Party.

Categena protocol on Biosafety to the Convention on Biological Diversity /

United nationa Convention to Combat Desertification in those Countries Experiencing Serious drought and /or Desertification, particularly in Africa(UNCCD) /

Kyoto Protocol /

2.2. UNESCO Culture Conventions

2.2.1 - The table below indicates which of the UNESCO cultural Conventions your State is party to, not party to or in the process of adhering to. Please check and amend as necessary.

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.2.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		×	
2.2.1.2	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		
2.2.1.3	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		

2.2.1.4	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property	☒	☐	☐
2.2.1.5	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage	☒	☐	☐
2.2.1.6	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage	☐	☒	☐
2.2.1.7	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions	☐	☒	☐

2.2.2 - Please list any other relevant global or regional Conventions or agreements on cultural heritage to which your State Party is party to or in the process of adhering to.

2.2.3 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre the following World Heritage property(ies) located in your State Party have been included on the List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.2.4 - Does your State Party intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection for any of its World Heritage properties under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict in the next three years?

No

2.2.5 - Please list any World Heritage properties for which your State Party intends to request the granting of Enhanced Protection.

NA

2.3. UNESCO Programmes

2.3.1 - The table below indicates which of the selected UNESCO programmes your State Party participates in. Please check and amend as necessary.

		No	Yes
2.3.1.1	Man and the Biosphere Programme	☐	☒
2.3.1.2	UNESCO Global Geoparks	☒	☐

2.3.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.3.3 - Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme?

No

2.3.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme:

2.3.5 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s)

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

2.3.6 - Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?

No

2.3.7 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).

NA /

2.4. Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join

2.4.1 - Is there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?

		Not Applicable	No	Yes
2.4.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage	☐	☐	☒

2.4.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)			×
2.4.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)			×
2.4.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)	×		
2.4.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	×		
2.4.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)	×		
2.4.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)			×
2.4.1.8	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		
2.4.1.9	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	×		
2.4.1.10	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property	×		
2.4.1.11	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage	×		
2.4.1.12	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage		×	
2.4.1.13	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions		×	
2.4.1.14	Man and the Biosphere Programme		×	
2.4.1.15	Global Geoparks	×		

2.4.2 - Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):

2.4.3 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

Yes

2.4.4 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?

No

2.5. UNESCO Recommendations

2.5.1 - Is your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		Yes	No
2.5.1.1	1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage		
2.5.1.2	2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape		×

2.5.2 - Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:

3. Tentative List

3.1 - In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?

None of the above

3.2 - Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?

No

If you selected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.

3.3 - Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?

Yes

3.4 - Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?

Yes, among States Parties and communities

3.5 - Please name the site(s) considered to have this potential

Soufriere- Scott's Head Marine Reserve

3.6 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Not applicable
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Not applicable

Local government(s)	Not applicable
Other government departments	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Not applicable
Local communities/residents	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Local industries/tourism and other stakeholders	Not applicable
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Not applicable
Consultants/experts	Not applicable
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

3.7 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?

Gender balance **has not been explicitly considered** or implemented in the process.

3.8 - Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?

No

If you selected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List.

3.9 - Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)

4. Nominations

4.1 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Not applicable
Local government(s)	Not applicable
Other government departments	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Not applicable
Local communities/residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Local industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders	Not applicable
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Not applicable
Consultants/experts	Fair
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Fair
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

4.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?

No

4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

Promoted environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being	Some
Enhanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders	Limited

Strengthened protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)	Limited
Enhanced conservation practices	Some
Enhanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes	Some
Improved presentation of sites	High
Enhanced honour/prestige	High
Increased funding	None
Additional tool for lobbying/political influence	None
Fostered peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation	None
Increased number of tourists and visitors	Limited
Promoted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities	None
Other(s)	None
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

4.4 - Please rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits	Some
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change	No contribution
Contributing to inclusion and equity	Not applicable
Enhancing quality of life and well-being	Limited
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights	Not applicable
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities	Some
Achieving gender equality	Not applicable
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods	Some
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism	Some
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship	Limited
Ensuring conflict prevention	Not applicable
Protecting heritage during conflict	Not applicable
Promoting conflict resolution	Not applicable
Contributing to post-conflict recovery	Not applicable
Other(s)	No contribution
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

4.5 - Please provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).

5. General Policy Development

5.1. Principal pieces of national cultural and/or natural heritage legislation

5.1.1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

Comment

natural heritage national

5.2. Comment on principal pieces of legislation

5.2.1 - Please comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force

5.3. Other principal pieces of legislation

5.3.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.

5.4. Contribution of legislation and/or regulations at other levels to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and/or natural heritage

5.4.1 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.

5.5. Adequacy of the legal framework for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.5.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no legal framework for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is inadequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		✗
The legal framework is partially adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is adequate for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

5.6. Adequacy of the legal framework for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

5.6.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no legal framework for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is inadequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.	✗	✗
The legal framework is partially adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is adequate for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

5.7. Enforcement of the legal framework

5.7.1 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?

		There is no legal framework.	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework are adequate.
5.7.1.1	Culture	✗			
5.7.1.2	Nature			✗	

5.8. Comments on the enforcement of the legal framework

5.8.1 - Please comment on particular problems of enforcement

Insufficient manpower some of the regulations need revision

5.9. Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities

5.9.1 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

	Culture	Nature
There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities.		
There are no specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.	✗	✗
There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.		
There are specific policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities that are effectively implemented.		

5.10. Examples of specific policies giving heritage a function in the life of communities

5.10.1 - Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities

5.11. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies

5.11.1 - How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits.	High
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change.	Some
Contributing to inclusion and equality.	Limited
Enhancing the quality of life and well-being.	Some
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights.	Some
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities.	Limited
Achieving gender equality.	Limited
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods.	Some
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism.	High
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship.	Some
Ensuring conflict prevention.	Some
Protecting heritage during conflict.	Not applicable
Promoting conflict resolution.	Not applicable
Contributing to post-conflict recovery.	Not applicable
Other (please specify).	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

5.12. UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011

5.12.1 - In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):

5.13. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes

5.13.1 - How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?

There are **no specific policies**, but this is being **achieved on an ad hoc basis**.

5.14. Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

5.14.1 - Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage?

	UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy	No	Yes
5.14.1.1	Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties	☒	☐
5.14.1.2	Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties	☒	☐
5.14.1.3	World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy	☒	☐
5.14.1.4	World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy	☒	☐

5.14.2 - Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

There is **limited coordination and integration** of the implementation of these multilateral agreements, Programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.

5.15. Specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

5.15.1 - Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

5.16. Comments on General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

5.16.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

6. Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage

6.1 - If the State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?

	Cultural heritage	Natural heritage
National/federal	Process well-advanced	Process well-advanced
Regional/provincial/state	Not applicable	
Local	Not applicable	
Other	Not applicable	

6.2 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers are inadequate to capture the diversity of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers capture some of the diversity of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers capture the full diversity of heritage.	×	×

6.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the protection of heritage.	×	×

6.4 - In addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?

	Culture	Nature
The State Party does not involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
The State Party plans to involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future.		
The State Party sometimes involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.	×	×
The State Party regularly involves communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		

6.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?

	Culture	Nature	Mixed
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.			
Inventories/lists/registers are not actively used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			
Inventories/lists/registers are sometimes used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.	×	×	
Inventories/lists/registers are frequently used for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			

6.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)

7. Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage

7.1 - How effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?

There is **some cooperation** between the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but this could be improved**.

7.2 - How effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

There is **limited cooperation** between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.

7.3 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		✗
In general, cooperation exists between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies .		
Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

7.4 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is no cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is some cooperation between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage but there are still deficiencies .	✗	✗
Different levels of government cooperate effectively with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

National government funds	Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Minor source of project funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	No funding/Not applicable
Funds from other international conventions/programmes	Minor source of project funding
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.)	Minor source of project funding
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Major source of project funding
Non-Governmental Organizations (international and/or national)	Minor source of project funding
Private sector funds	No funding/Not applicable
Other	No funding/Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

8.2 - Does the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		No	Yes
8.2.1	Culture	✗	
8.2.2	Nature	✗	

8.3 - Do you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

	Culture	Nature
The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.	✗	✗
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.		
The available budget is adequate to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.		

8.4 - Please indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

	Category	percentage %
8.4.1	Cultural	
8.4.2	Natural	

8.5 - Please estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

Percentage		Cultural	Natural
8.5.1	National/Federal	%	%
8.5.2	Regional/Provincial	%	%
8.5.3	Local	%	%
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

8.6 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

Human resources	Culture	Nature
Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage.		✗
A range of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are below optimum .	✗	
Human resources are adequate to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.		

8.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)

9. Capacity Development

9.1 - Please prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Culture	Nature
National/federal	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists	Low priority	Low priority
Statutory processes: Nominations	Low priority	Low priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring	High priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: International assistance	Low priority	Low priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	High priority	High priority
Technical and scientific issues	High priority	High priority
Traditional conservation processes	Low priority	Low priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Medium priority	Medium priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	High priority	High priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	High priority	High priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	High priority	High priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	High priority	High priority
Management effectiveness assessment	High priority	High priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	High priority	High priority
Awareness raising and outreach	Medium priority	Medium priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	High priority	High priority
Sustainable development	High priority	High priority
Protection and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems	High priority	High priority
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation	High priority	High priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Medium priority	Medium priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage	Not applicable	Medium priority
Inclusive social development in World Heritage management systems	Not applicable	Medium priority
Gender balance in management systems	Not applicable	Medium priority
Inclusive economic development in World Heritage management systems	Not applicable	Medium priority
World Heritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security	Not applicable	No priority

9.2 - Please rate the priority for training target audiences for each of the specific topics/themes/capacity building needs identified below for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Administrators and government bodies at all levels	Communities, indigenous peoples, landowners, local businesses, other social groups etc.	Universities, NGOs etc.	Heritage practitioners
Implementation of the <i>Convention</i>	High priority	Not applicable	Medium priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists process	High priority	Low priority	Medium priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Nomination process	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring process	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority
Statutory processes: International assistance, etc.	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	High priority	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority
Technical and Scientific issues	Low priority	Not applicable	High priority	Medium priority
Traditional conservation processes	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	Medium priority	Not applicable	Medium priority	High priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	High priority	Low priority	High priority	High priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Management effectiveness assessment	High priority	Not applicable	High priority	High priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	High priority	Not applicable	High priority	High priority
Awareness raising and outreach	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	High priority	Low priority	Low priority	Low priority
Sustainable development	Medium priority	Low priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Building environmental and social resilience	High priority	Low priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Integration of eco-social diversity in management systems	High priority	Not applicable	Medium priority	Medium priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Medium priority	Low priority	Low priority	Medium priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems	High priority	Not applicable	Medium priority	High priority
Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change	Medium priority	Low priority	Medium priority	Medium priority

9.3 - Please indicate how the State Party is using the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy (2011).

		No	Yes
9.3.1	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level.	☒	☐
9.3.2	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level.	☒	☐
9.3.3	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities.	☒	☐
9.3.4	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes.	☒	☐
9.3.5	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage.	☐	☒

9.4 - Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is **no national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is **being done on an ad hoc basis**.

9.5 - Comments: Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Capacity Development (Questions 9.1 to 9.4).

10. Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties

10.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage not listed in 5.1 (which focuses on policy general to all heritage), please list them here.

10.2 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage. Please provide web links for the legislation listed above.

10.3 - Are the services provided by agencies/institutions adequate for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is **some capacity** to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but **some deficiencies** remain.

10.4 - How does the State Party encourage and support World Heritage properties to manage and develop visitation/tourism sustainably?

By providing financial resources and incentives for sustainable tourism related activities

10.5 - Please indicate here any additional information or clarify how the State Party supports sustainable tourism planning and management at a property level.

10.6 - Does the State Party require the use of impact assessments for programmes (e.g. strategic environmental assessments) or development projects (e.g. environmental impact assessments, heritage impact assessments) that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting?

There is a **regulatory framework** that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects **but it is not enforced**.

10.7 - Please list the different assessment methods used. Please provide weblinks to the guidance for the assessment methods.

10.8 - Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is **no national capacity building strategy** in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management

10.9 - Does the State Party have the institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues?

There is **no institutional capacity** to conduct research **specifically for World Heritage issues** **but research is conducted in collaboration with partners**.

10.10 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and receiving donations for the protection of World Heritage?

No

10.11 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage policies and resources

11. International Cooperation

11.1 - Has the State Party promoted international cooperation and the establishment of cooperation mechanisms for heritage since the last Periodic Report? If so, please indicate the type of cooperation that best describes your activities.

Participation in other UN programmes, including sustainable development programmes and human rights and gender equality programmes

Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars

Distribution of material/information

11.2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level?

No

11.3 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 11.1 to 11.2). If you have twinned World Heritage properties, please list them along with their twins.

12. Education, Information and Awareness Building

12.1 - Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among communities and different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?

There are **no strategies** to raise awareness about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but this is **being done on an ad hoc basis**.

12.2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following groups about World Heritage in your country

Communities living in/around heritage sites	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Youth	Fair
General public	Fair
Decision makers and public officials	Fair
Private sector	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

12.3 - Does the State Party have heritage education programmes for children and/or youth, that contribute to improving understanding of heritage, promoting diversity and fostering intercultural dialogue?

There are heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue **but there are deficiencies in implementation.**

12.4 - Please rate the level of frequency of the following activities to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue among children and/or youth

Teacher training courses on the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit	None
Courses/activities for students within school programmes	Low
Youth forums	Low
Skills-training courses for students	Low
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Medium
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	None
Other	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other', please specify	

12.5 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party **does not participate** in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

12.6 - Please add any additional comments, description of best practices in World Heritage Education, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building (Questions 12.3 to 12.5)

13. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

13.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

Synergies with other relevant Conventions, Recommendations and Programmes
- World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage - There is limited coordination or integration of the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies
Identification of heritage
National inventories
Tentative List
Effectiveness of legal framework
Function of cultural and natural heritage in the life of the community
- There are no specific policies to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis - cultural heritage - There are no specific policies to give this heritage a function in the life of communities but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis - natural heritage
Contribution of heritage to sustainable development policies

- There is no integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: - Contributing to inclusion and equality - Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities - Achieving gender equality - There is limited integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: - Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change - Enhancing the quality of life and well-being - Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights - Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods - Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship - Ensuring conflict prevention	
Larger-scale planning	
Status of services for protection, conservation and presentation	
There is limited cooperation between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of natural heritage	
Financial status	
- The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage - The available budget is inadequate for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage	
Human resources	
Human resources are inadequate for conservation, protection and presentation needs of natural heritage	
Capacity development	
- The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level - The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level - The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities - The State Party is not using the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes - The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage	
Il n'y a pas de stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, protection, mise en valeur et gestion du patrimoine, mais cela se pratique malgré tout de manière ponctuelle	
Politique et ressources des biens du patrimoine mondial	
Les services fournis par les institutions/agences ont une certaine capacité permettant de protéger, de conserver, de mettre en valeur et de gérer les biens du patrimoine mondial, mais certaines lacunes subsistent	
Il existe un cadre réglementaire imposant des études d'impact des programmes ou projets de développement susceptibles d'affecter le bien du patrimoine mondial, sa zone tampon et son environnement mais il n'est pas appliqué	
Il n'y a aucune stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, de protection, de mise en valeur et de gestion du patrimoine mondial	
Recherches sur les biens du patrimoine mondial	
L'État partie n'a pas la capacité institutionnelle de procéder à des études spécifiques aux problématiques du patrimoine mondial, mais elles sont quand même réalisées avec des partenaires	
Coopération internationale	
Formes de coopérations internationales et de mécanismes de coopération pour le patrimoine promues depuis le dernier Rapport périodique : - Participation à d'autres programmes des Nations Unies, comme les programmes de développement durable et les programmes sur les droits et l'égalité hommes-femmes - Organisation et/ou participation à des cours/séminaires internationaux de formation - Diffusion de matériel/d'information	
Éducation, information et sensibilisation	
Il n'y a aucune stratégie pour sensibiliser les différents acteurs et communautés à la conservation, à la protection et à la mise en valeur du patrimoine mondial, mais cela se pratique néanmoins de manière ponctuelle	

13.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 13.1).

13.2.1 - Veuillez sélectionner les points les plus importants (dix maximum)

2.4	Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join	
2.4.4	Les Points focaux du patrimoine mondial ne participent pas à la révision et à la mise en œuvre des stratégies, politiques et plans d'actions nationaux relatifs au patrimoine culturel national, en dehors des questions liées au patrimoine mondial	✕
5.9	Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities	

5.9.1	- Il n'y a pas de politique générale spécifique donnant au patrimoine suivant une fonction dans la vie des communautés mais cela se pratique de manière ponctuelle : patrimoine culturel - Il n'y a pas de politique générale spécifique donnant au patrimoine suivant une fonction dans la vie des communautés mais cela se pratique de manière ponctuelle : patrimoine naturel	✕
5.11	Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies	
5.11.1	- Il n'y a pas d'intégration de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable pour : - Contribuer à l'inclusion et à l'égalité - Respecter, consulter et impliquer les peuples autochtones et les populations locales - Parvenir à l'égalité des genres - Il y a une intégration limitée de la conservation et de la protection du patrimoine culturel et naturel comme composante stratégique dans les politiques et stratégies nationales de développement durable pour : - Renforcer la résilience aux catastrophes naturelles et au changement climatique - Améliorer la qualité de vie et le bien-être - Respecter, protéger et promouvoir les droits de l'homme - Garantir la croissance, l'emploi, les revenus et les moyens de subsistance - Soutenir le renforcement des compétences, l'innovation et l'entrepreneuriat local - Assurer la prévention des conflits	
5.14	Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage	
5.14.2	La mise en œuvre de ces accords et programmes multilatéraux, de politiques et stratégies relatives au patrimoine mondial est coordonnée et intégrée de façon limitée aux politiques nationales	✕
7	Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage	
7.3	Il y a une coopération limitée entre les différents échelons gouvernementaux dans l'identification, la protection, la conservation et la mise en valeur du patrimoine naturel	✕
8	Financial Status and Human Resources	
8.3	- Le budget disponible est insuffisant pour assurer un minimum de conservation, de protection et de mise en valeur et représente une sérieuse contrainte pour les capacités de conservation et de protection du Patrimoine culturel - Le budget disponible est insuffisant pour assurer un minimum de conservation, de protection et de mise en valeur et représente une sérieuse contrainte pour les capacités de conservation et de protection du Patrimoine naturel	✕
8.6	Les ressources humaines ne suffisent pas à couvrir les besoins de conservation, de protection et de mise en valeur du patrimoine naturel	✕
9	Capacity Development	
9.3	- Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau national - Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau régional/sous-régional - Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour identifier les priorités de renforcement des compétences - Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la collecte de fonds pour appuyer les programmes de renforcement des compétences - Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la sensibilisation à la nécessité de conserver et de gérer le patrimoine naturel et culturel	✕
9.4	Il n'y a pas de stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, protection, mise en valeur et gestion du patrimoine, mais cela se pratique malgré tout de manière ponctuelle	✕
10	Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties	
10.3	Les services fournis par les institutions/agences ont une certaine capacité permettant de protéger, de conserver, de mettre en valeur et de gérer les biens du patrimoine mondial, mais certaines lacunes subsistent	✕
10.6	Il existe un cadre réglementaire imposant des études d'impact des programmes ou projets de développement susceptibles d'affecter le bien du patrimoine mondial, sa zone tampon et son environnement mais il n'est pas appliqué	✕
10.8	Il n'y a aucune stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, de protection, de mise en valeur et de gestion du patrimoine mondial	
10.9	L'État partie n'a pas la capacité institutionnelle de procéder à des études spécifiques aux problématiques du patrimoine mondial, mais elles sont quand même réalisées avec des partenaires	
11	International Cooperation	
11.1	Formes de coopérations internationales et de mécanismes de coopération pour le patrimoine promues depuis le dernier Rapport périodique : - Participation à d'autres programmes des Nations Unies, comme les programmes de développement durable et les programmes sur les droits et l'égalité hommes-femmes - Organisation et/ou participation à des cours/séminaires internationaux de formation - Diffusion de matériel/d'information	
12	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
12.1	Il n'y a aucune stratégie pour sensibiliser les différents acteurs et communautés à la conservation, à la protection et à la mise en valeur du patrimoine mondial, mais cela se pratique néanmoins de manière ponctuelle	

Please select 0 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

13.3. Priority Actions Assessment

13.3.1 - Veuillez indiquer les actions à mener en priorité pour traiter les points jugés déficients

2.4		Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join				
		Action	Brève description	Autorité(s) responsable(s)	Calendrier	Cette action peut-elle nécessiter d'une assistance internationale du Fonds du patrimoine mondial ?
2.4.4	Les Points focaux du patrimoine mondial ne participent pas à la révision et à la mise en œuvre des stratégies, politiques et plans d'actions nationaux relatifs au patrimoine culturel national, en dehors des questions liées au patrimoine mondial					

5.9	Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities					
5.9.1	- Il n'y a pas de politique générale spécifique donnant au patrimoine suivant une fonction dans la vie des communautés mais cela se pratique de manière ponctuelle : patrimoine culturel - Il n'y a pas de politique générale spécifique donnant au patrimoine suivant une fonction dans la vie des communautés mais cela se pratique de manière ponctuelle : patrimoine naturel					

5.14	Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage
------	---

5.14.2	La mise en œuvre de ces accords et programmes multilatéraux, de politiques et stratégies relatives au patrimoine mondial est coordonnée et intégrée de façon limitée aux politiques nationales					
7	Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage					
7.3	Il y a une coopération limitée entre les différents échelons gouvernementaux dans l'identification, la protection, la conservation et la mise en valeur du patrimoine naturel					
8	Financial Status and Human Resources					
8.3	- Le budget disponible est insuffisant pour assurer un minimum de conservation, de protection et de mise en valeur et représente une sérieuse contrainte pour les capacités de conservation et de protection du Patrimoine culturel - Le budget disponible est insuffisant pour assurer un minimum de conservation, de protection et de mise en valeur et représente une sérieuse contrainte pour les capacités de conservation et de protection du Patrimoine naturel					

8.6	Les ressources humaines ne suffisent pas à couvrir les besoins de conservation, de protection et de mise en valeur du patrimoine naturel					
9	Capacity Development					
9.3	- Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau national - Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour renforcer les compétences au niveau régional/sous-régional - Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités pour identifier les priorités de renforcement des compétences - Votre État partie n'utilise pas la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la collecte de fonds pour appuyer les programmes de renforcement des compétences - Votre État partie utilise la Stratégie de renforcement des capacités dans le cadre de la sensibilisation à la nécessité de conserver et de gérer le patrimoine naturel et culturel					
9.4	Il n'y a pas de stratégie nationale de renforcement des compétences en matière de conservation, protection, mise en valeur et gestion du patrimoine, mais cela se pratique malgré tout de manière ponctuelle					
10	Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties					
10.3	Les services fournis par les institutions/agences ont une certaine capacité permettant de protéger, de conserver, de mettre en valeur et de gérer les biens du patrimoine mondial, mais certaines lacunes					

	subsistent					
10.6	Il existe un cadre réglementaire imposant des études d'impact des programmes ou projets de développement susceptibles d'affecter le bien du patrimoine mondial, sa zone tampon et son environnement mais il n'est pas appliqué					

Veuillez indiquer les actions à mener en priorité pour traiter les points jugés déficients completed

13.4. Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

13.4.1 - Actions supplémentaires pour mettre en œuvre la *Convention du patrimoine mondial*

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Exemple de bonnes pratiques de protection, d'identification, de conservation ou de gestion du patrimoine mondial par l'État partie

14.2 - Définissez les sujets couverts par cet exemple de bonnes pratiques de l'État partie

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Primary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention

15.1.1 - Quelle institution principale est chargée des communications avec l'UNESCO sur la mise en œuvre de la *Convention du patrimoine mondial* ?

15.2. Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.2.1 - Pertinence et objectifs de l'exercice du Rapport périodique

État partie	Faible suivi
Gestionnaires de sites	Bon suivi
Centre du patrimoine mondial	Suivi moyen
Organisations consultatives (ICOMOS, UICN, ICCROM)	Suivi moyen

15.3. The four Objectives of Periodic Reporting

15.3.1 - Dans quelle mesure le questionnaire répond-il aux quatre objectifs des Rapports périodiques ?

		Pas du tout	En partie	Parfaitement
15.3.1.1	Permettre une évaluation de la mise en œuvre de la <i>Convention du patrimoine mondial</i> par l'État partie.			×
15.3.1.2	S'assurer que les valeurs patrimoniales des biens inscrits sur la Liste du patrimoine mondial sont maintenues au cours du temps.		×	
15.3.1.3	Fournir des informations à jour sur les biens du patrimoine mondial afin d'enregistrer tout changement dans les conditions et l'état de conservation des biens.		×	
15.3.1.4	Constituer un mécanisme pour la coopération régionale et l'échange d'informations et d'expériences entre les États parties concernant la mise en œuvre de la <i>Convention</i> et la conservation du patrimoine mondial.		×	

15.4. Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.4.1 -

A more targeted question to extract pertinent information that may be overlooked in a general questionnaire a simple format

15.5. Use of Data

15.5.1 - Comment votre État partie prévoit-il d'utiliser les données ?

Mise à jour des plans de gestion
Sensibilisation

15.6. Timing and resources

15.6.1 - Les autorités nationales ont-elles eu suffisamment de temps (environ dix mois) pour recueillir les informations nécessaires pour remplir le questionnaire sur ce cycle de soumission de Rapports périodiques ?

Non

15.6.2 - Estimez le temps (heures de travail) et le nombre de personnes qui auront été nécessaires pour remplir la Section I du questionnaire.

	Temps & nombre de personnes	Nombre total d'heures travaillées	Nombre de personnes impliquées
15.6.2.1	Recueil de données		6
15.6.2.2	Consultation des parties prenantes		
15.6.2.3	Remplissage du questionnaire		4

15.6.3 - L'équilibre hommes-femmes a-t-il été pris en compte dans le remplissage du présent questionnaire ?

L'équilibre hommes-femmes n'a pas été explicitement pris en compte ou mis en œuvre dans le processus

15.6.4 - Vos autorités nationales ont-elles mobilisé des ressources supplémentaires dans le cadre de ce cycle de soumission de Rapports périodiques ?

	Ressources supplémentaires	Non	Oui
15.6.4.1	Ressources humaines	✗	
15.6.4.2	Ressources financières pour l'organisation des réunions de consultation/formations	✗	

15.6.5 - Veuillez détailler les ressources supplémentaires mobilisées.

15.7. Format and Content of the Periodic Reporting Questionnaire

15.7.1 - Quel était le degré d'accessibilité des informations requises pour compléter le Rapport périodique ?

Une partie des informations requises était accessible

15.7.2 - Le questionnaire était-il facile à utiliser et clair à comprendre ?

Utilisation du questionnaire	Moyen
Compréhension des questions	Moyen

15.7.3 - Proposez ici des suggestions d'amélioration

15.8. Training and guidance

15.8.1 - Veuillez évaluer, pour compléter le questionnaire sur l'exercice de soumission de Rapports périodiques, le niveau de soutien des entités suivantes

Centre du patrimoine mondial	Soutien moyen
UNESCO (autres secteurs)	Pas de soutien
Commission nationale pour l'UNESCO	Pas de soutien
ICOMOS international	Pas de soutien
UICN international	Pas de soutien
ICCROM international/régional	Pas de soutien
ICOMOS national/régional	Pas de soutien
UICN national/régional	Pas de soutien
Centres de catégorie 2	Pas de soutien
Autre	Pas de soutien
Si vous avez choisi « Autre », précisez :	

15.8.2 - Les ressources de formation en ligne sur la soumission de Rapports périodiques, proposées par le Centre du patrimoine mondial, ont-elles aidé vos autorités nationales à remplir le questionnaire en ligne ?

Non

15.8.3 - Indiquez les modifications souhaitées au niveau des ressources sur la formation en ligne

15.9. Comments

15.9.1 - Commentaires, conclusions et/ou recommandations concernant l'évaluation de l'exercice de soumission de Rapports périodiques

15.9.2 - Merci d'avoir répondu à toutes les questions.