

# Bosnia and Herzegovina

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 - State Party

Bosnia and Herzegovina

**1.2 - Date of ratification/accession/acceptance of the Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage**  
12/07/1993

### 1.3 - Groups and institutions involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Report

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

National Commission for UNESCO

Focal points of other international Conventions/programmes

World Heritage site managers/coordinators

Local communities

## 2. Synergies with other Conventions

### 2.1. Multilateral Environmental Agreements

**2.1.1 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, in addition to the World Heritage Convention, your State is party to/not party to/in the process of adhering to the following agreements. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.1.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		X	
2.1.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)		X	
2.1.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)		X	
2.1.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)		X	
2.1.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)		X	
2.1.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)			X
2.1.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)		X	

**2.1.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Ramsar site(s) under the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List). Please check and amend as necessary.**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.1.3 - Does your State Party intend to designate any World Heritage property(ies) (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years?**

No

**2.1.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) your State Party intends to designate (in whole or in part) for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance in the next three years.**

**2.1.5 - Please list any other relevant global or regional multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) on natural heritage which have been joined by your State Party.**

Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (Espoo Convention) /

Protocol on Strategic Environmental Assessment – SEA) /

UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) /

Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment and the Coastal Region of the Mediterranean /

Protocol Concerning Cooperation in Combating Pollution of the Mediterranean Sea by Oil and other Harmful Substances in Case of Emergency /

Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea Against Pollution from Land-Based Sources /

Protocol Concerning Mediterranean Specially Protected Areas /

Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety to the Convention on Biological Diversity /

Kyoto Protocol /

## 2.2. UNESCO Culture Conventions

**2.2.1 - The table below indicates which of the UNESCO cultural Conventions your State is party to, not party to or in the process of adhering to. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		Not Party to	Party to	Adhesion in Progress
2.2.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage		X	
2.2.1.2	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		X	
2.2.1.3	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		X	
2.2.1.4	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property		X	
2.2.1.5	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage		X	
2.2.1.6	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage		X	
2.2.1.7	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions		X	

**2.2.2 - Please list any other relevant global or regional Conventions or agreements on cultural heritage to which your State Party is party to or in the process of adhering to.**

Council of Europe Framework Convention on the Value of Cultural Heritage for Society (CETS No. 199) /

European Convention for the Protection of the Audiovisual Heritage (ETS No. 183) /

Council of Europe Landscape Convention (ETS No. 176) /

European Cultural Convention (ETS No. 018) /

UNIDROIT Convention on Stolen or Illegally Exported Cultural objects /

**2.2.3 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre the following World Heritage property(ies) located in your State Party have been included on the List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.2.4 - Does your State Party intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection for any of its World Heritage properties under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict in the next three years?**

Yes

**2.2.5 - Please list any World Heritage properties for which your State Party intends to request the granting of Enhanced Protection.**

Mehmed-pasha Sokolovi Bridge in Višegrad

## 2.3. UNESCO Programmes

**2.3.1 - The table below indicates which of the selected UNESCO programmes your State Party participates in. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		No	Yes
2.3.1.1	Man and the Biosphere Programme	X	
2.3.1.2	UNESCO Global Geoparks	X	

**2.3.2 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party is/are also designated (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme.**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.3.3 - Does your State Party intend to nominate any of its World Heritage properties (in whole or in part) as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme?**

No

**2.3.4 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies), (or part(s) thereof), your State Party intends to nominate as (a) Biosphere Reserve(s) under the intergovernmental Man and the Biosphere Programme:**

**2.3.5 - According to the information available at the World Heritage Centre, the following World Heritage property(ies) in your State Party are also designated (in whole or in part) as UNESCO Global Geopark(s)**

According to information available, no property in your State Party is currently listed.

**2.3.6 - Has your State Party applied for any of its World Heritage properties (or part(s) thereof) to be designated UNESCO Global Geopark(s)?**

No

**2.3.7 - Please indicate which World Heritage property(ies) (or part(s) thereof) is/are (a) UNESCO Global Geoparks applicant(s).**

**2.4. Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join**

**2.4.1 - Is there communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the Convention(s)/programme(s) listed below?**

		Not Applicable	No	Yes
2.4.1.1	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage			X
2.4.1.2	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)		X	
2.4.1.3	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species in Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES)		X	
2.4.1.4	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS)		X	
2.4.1.5	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)		X	
2.4.1.6	International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)	X		
2.4.1.7	International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)		X	
2.4.1.8	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		X	
2.4.1.9	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict		X	
2.4.1.10	1970 Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property		X	
2.4.1.11	2001 Convention on the Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage		X	
2.4.1.12	2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage		X	
2.4.1.13	2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions		X	
2.4.1.14	Man and the Biosphere Programme	X		
2.4.1.15	Global Geoparks	X		

**2.4.2 - Please comment, if applicable, on the communication between the World Heritage Focal Point and the Focal Points of the other Convention(s)/programme(s):**

**2.4.3 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national natural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?**

No

**2.4.4 - Are World Heritage Focal Points involved in the revision and implementation of national cultural heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage?**

Yes

**2.5. UNESCO Recommendations**

**2.5.1 - Is your State Party using the provisions of the 1972 Recommendation and the 2011 Recommendation to set policies or strategies for the protection of cultural and natural heritage?**

		Yes	No
2.5.1.1	1972 Recommendation concerning the Protection, at the National level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage	X	
2.5.1.2	2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape	X	

**2.5.2 - Please describe how effectively each of the Recommendations is used:**

**3. Tentative List**

**3.1 - In the process of preparing your Tentative List, did you use any of the following tools to make a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value?**

ICOMOS thematic studies	
IUCN thematic studies	
World Heritage Resource Manual 'Preparing World Heritage Nominations'	

**3.2 - Have you used the Upstream Process in the revision of your Tentative List?**

No

If you selected 'Yes', please comment on which forms of upstream guidance you have used and on their effectiveness of this guidance.

**3.3 - Do you intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of your Tentative List?**

No

**3.4 - Do any of the sites registered on your Tentative List have the potential to generate dialogue and cooperation among States Parties and different communities?**

Yes, among States Parties and communities

**3.5 - Please name the site(s) considered to have this potential**

Jewish Cemetery in Sarajevo The natural and architectural ensemble of Stolac The natural and architectural ensemble of Jajce Strict Nature Reserve - Primeval Forest Peru ica

**3.6 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following (if applicable) in the preparation of the Tentative List**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Fair
Local government(s)	None
Other government departments	None
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities/residents	None
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	None
Local industries/tourism and other stakeholders	None
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Not applicable
Consultants/experts	Not applicable
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

**3.7 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the process of preparing the Tentative List?**

Gender balance has not been explicitly considered or implemented in the process.

**3.8 - Do any sites on your Tentative List already benefit from other international designations either under other UNESCO Conventions/Programmes or under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands?**

No

If you selected 'Yes', please list the site(s), identify the other designation(s)/programme(s) from which it/they benefit(s), and state the additional benefits you expect to gain from inscription on the World Heritage List.

**3.9 - Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Tentative List (Questions 3.1 to 3.8)**

**4. Nominations**

**4.1 - Please rate the level of involvement of the following entities in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers**

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional/provincial/state government(s)	Fair

Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities/residents	None
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Other specific groups (please specify below)	Not applicable
Landowners	None
Local industries/tourist sector and commercial stakeholders	None
Non-Governmental Organization(s)	Not applicable
Consultants/experts	Good
Site manager(s)/coordinator(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other specific groups' applies, please specify	

**4.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the preparation of the most recent nomination dossiers?**

No

**4.3 - Please rate the perceived benefits in your country of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List**

Promoted environmental sustainability, valuing places which are essential for human well-being	Some
Enhanced inclusive social development, with full inclusion and equity for all stakeholders	Some
Strengthened protection and conservation of heritage (legislative, regulatory, institutional and/or traditional)	High
Enhanced conservation practices	Some
Enhanced wider community appreciation and participation in heritage processes	Some
Improved presentation of sites	High
Enhanced honour/prestige	High
Increased funding	Limited
Additional tool for lobbying/political influence	Some
Fostered peace and security, including promotion of partnerships and conservation	Some
Increased number of tourists and visitors	Some
Promoted inclusive economic development, including decent income and employment for communities	Some
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

**4.4 - Please rate the extent to which the inscription of properties on the World Heritage List will contribute to achieving the objectives of the 2015 World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.**

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services and benefits	Some
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change	Limited
Contributing to inclusion and equity	Limited
Enhancing quality of life and well-being	Some
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights	Some
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities	Some
Achieving gender equality	No contribution
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods	Some
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism	Some
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship	Limited

Ensuring conflict prevention	No contribution
Protecting heritage during conflict	Limited
Promoting conflict resolution	Some
Contributing to post-conflict recovery	Some
Other(s)	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

**4.5 - Please provide any additional comments and/or recommendations related to the nomination of properties (questions 4.1 to 4.4).**

5. General Policy Development

5.1. Principal pieces of national cultural and/or natural heritage legislation

**5.1.1 - Principal pieces of national legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage**

**Comment**

Bosnia and Herzegovina has highly decentralized system of government. It consists of two entities, the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina (FBiH ) and the Republika Srpska (RS ) and Br ko district (DB ). The Federation of BiH consists of 10 Cantons. There is no national/State level legislation concerning cultural and natural heritage protection. These areas are regulated by the entity level laws and bylaws, as well cantonal laws in those Cantons which adopted their own laws.

5.2. Comment on principal pieces of legislation

**5.2.1 - Please comment, especially if prefilled legislation is no longer in force**

5.3. Other principal pieces of legislation

**5.3.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation for the protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage not listed in the previous question, please add them here.**

Law on the Protection and Preservation of Cultural, Historical and Natural Heritage (Official Gazette SRBiH, No. 20/85, 12/87 and Official Gazette of RBiH, No. 3/92, 13/94 / cultural and natural / This law is in force in the Entity of Federation of BiH, and is in effect in the cantons which do not have their own laws /

Law on Protection of Cultural Heritage (Official Gazette of SC, No. 2/00) / cultural / Sarajevo Canton /

Law on Protection and Use of Cultural, Historical and Natural Heritage (Official Gazette of WHC, No. 6/99) / cultural and natural / West Herzegovina Canton /

Law on Protection of Cultural Heritage (Official Gazette of ZDC, No. 2/00) / cultural / Zenica-Doboj Canton /

Law on Protection of Cultural and Historical Heritage in the HNC (Official Gazette of HNC, No. 2/06) / cultural and natural / Herzegovina-Neretva Canton /

Law on Spatial Planning and Land Use of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina (Official Gazette of the Federation of BiH, Nos. 72/07, 2/06, 72/07, 32/08, 4/10, 13/10 and 45/10) / cultural and natural / Entity of Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina /

Law on Cultural Property (Official Gazette of the Republika Srpska, No. 38/22) / cultural / Entity of Republika Srpska /

Law on Spatial Planning and Construction (Official Gazette of the Republika Srpska, No. 40/13, 106/15, 3/16, 104/18 and 84/19) / cultural and natural / Entity of Republika Srpska /

Law on Nature Protection (Official Gazette of Federation of BiH, No. 66/13) / natural / Entity of Federation of BiH, and those Cantons which haven't adopted their own laws yet. /

Law on Nature Protection (Official Gazette of HNC, No. 12/17) / natural / Hercegovina-Neretva Canton /

Law on Nature Protection (Official Gazette of CBC, No. 4/05) / natural / Central Bosnia Canton /

Law on Nature Protection (Official Gazette of TC, No. 10/04) / natural / Tuzla Canton /

Law on Environmental Protection (Official Gazette of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina No. 15/21) / natural / Entity Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina /

Law on Nature Protection (Official Gazette of Republika Srpska, No. 20/14 / natural / Entity of Republika Srpska /

Law on Environmental Protection (Official Gazette of the Republika Srpska, No. 71/12) / natural / Entity of Republika Srpska /

Law on National Parks (Official Gazette of Republika Srpska, No. 75/10), / natural / Entity of Republika Srpska /

Law on Nature Protection (Official Gazette of Br ko District BiH", No. 24/04, 01/05, 19/07, 09/09) / natural / Br ko District /

Law on Environmental Protection (Official Gazette of Br ko District BiH", No. 24/04, 01/05, 19/07, 09/09) / natural / Br ko District /

5.4. Contribution of legislation and/or regulations at other levels to the identification, conservation and protection of the cultural and/or natural heritage

**5.4.1 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage.**

5.5. Adequacy of the legal framework for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

**5.5.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the identification of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?**

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no legal framework</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>inadequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>partially adequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>adequate</b> for the identification of cultural and/or natural heritage.	X	X

## 5.6. Adequacy of the legal framework for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage

### 5.6.1 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) adequate for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no legal framework</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>inadequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
The legal framework is <b>partially adequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.	X	
The legal framework is <b>adequate</b> for the conservation and protection of cultural and/or natural heritage.		X

## 5.7. Enforcement of the legal framework

### 5.7.1 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulations) for the conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and/or natural heritage be enforced?

		There is no legal framework.	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.	Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework are adequate.
5.7.1.1	Culture			X	
5.7.1.2	Nature			X	

## 5.8. Comments on the enforcement of the legal framework

### 5.8.1 - Please comment on particular problems of enforcement

Strategic and planning documents of Republika Srpska identified natural values and defined objective to declare 15-20% of Republika Srpska territory as protected. Currently 3% of territory is under protection but management ought to be supported with stronger finances. Professional capacities and finances could be strengthened for protection of both cultural and natural heritage.

## 5.9. Policies giving cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities

### 5.9.1 - How effectively do the State Party's policies give cultural and natural heritage a function in the life of communities?

	Culture	Nature
There are <b>no specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities.		
There are <b>no specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities <b>but this is being achieved on an ad hoc basis.</b>		
There <b>are specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities <b>but there are some deficiencies</b> in their implementation.	X	X
There <b>are specific policies</b> to give heritage a function in the life of communities that <b>are effectively implemented.</b>		

## 5.10. Examples of specific policies giving heritage a function in the life of communities

### 5.10.1 - Please provide examples of specific policies that give heritage a function in the life of communities

## 5.11. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies

### 5.11.1 - How effectively does your State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies?

Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits.	Some
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change.	Some
Contributing to inclusion and equality.	Some
Enhancing the quality of life and well-being.	Some
Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights.	Some
Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities.	Some

Achieving gender equality.	Some
Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods.	Some
Promoting economic investment and quality tourism.	Some
Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship.	Some
Ensuring conflict prevention.	Some
Protecting heritage during conflict.	Some
Promoting conflict resolution.	Some
Contributing to post-conflict recovery.	Some
Other (please specify).	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

## 5.12. UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011

**5.12.1 - In relation to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), adopted in 2011, please indicate which of the following steps your State Party has taken (you can check multiple boxes):**

Adapt the application of the Recommendation and its approach to the States Party's specific context

## 5.13. Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes

**5.13.1 - How effectively does the State Party integrate the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage into comprehensive/larger scale planning programmes?**

There are **no specific policies**, but this is being **achieved on an ad hoc basis**.

## 5.14. Use of policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage

**5.14.1 - Is your State Party using policies and strategies agreed by the World Heritage Committee or the World Heritage General Assembly to set national policies or strategies for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage?**

	UNESCO World Heritage Policy or Strategy	No	Yes
5.14.1.1	Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.14.1.2	Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.14.1.3	World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.14.1.4	World Heritage Sustainable Development Policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**5.14.2 - Is the implementation of multilateral agreements, programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies coordinated and integrated into the development of national policies for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?**

There is **adequate coordination and integration** of the implementation of these multilateral agreements, Programmes and World Heritage policies and strategies into national policies.

## 5.15. Specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies

**5.15.1 - Please give details of specific national policies developed using World Heritage policies and strategies**

## 5.16. Comments on General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)

**5.16.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to General Policy Development (Questions 5.1 to 5.15)**

## 6. Inventories/Lists/Registers of Cultural and Natural Heritage

**6.1 - If the State Party has already established inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage, at which level(s) are they compiled, and what is their current status?**

	Cultural heritage	Natural heritage
National/federal	Process completed or continuously updated	No process established
Regional/provincial/state	Process completed or continuously updated	Process well-advanced
Local	No process established	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable	Not applicable

**6.2 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage of your State Party?**

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>inadequate</b> to capture the diversity of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers <b>capture some</b> of the diversity of heritage.	X	X
Inventories/lists/registers capture the <b>full</b> diversity of heritage.		

### 6.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers used to protect the identified cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.		
Inventories/lists/registers <b>are not actively used</b> for the protection of heritage.		
Inventories/lists/registers <b>are sometimes used</b> for the protection of heritage.	X	X
Inventories/lists/registers <b>are frequently used</b> for the protection of heritage.		

### 6.4 - In addition to heritage practitioners and academic institutions, does the State Party involve communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and cultural heritage for inclusion in inventories/lists/registers?

	Culture	Nature
The State Party <b>does not involve</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		
The State Party <b>plans to involve</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers in the future.		
The State Party <b>sometimes involves</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.	X	X
The State Party <b>regularly involves</b> communities and indigenous peoples in the identification sites of natural and/or cultural heritage for inclusion in their inventories/lists/registers.		

### 6.5 - Are inventories/lists/registers used for the identification of sites for the Tentative List?

	Culture	Nature	Mixed
No inventories/lists/registers of heritage have been established.			
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>not actively used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.			
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>sometimes used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.		X	
Inventories/lists/registers are <b>frequently used</b> for the identification of sites for inclusion on the Tentative List.	X		

### 6.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to inventories/lists/registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 6.1 to 6.5)

#### 7. Status of Services for the Identification, Protection, Conservation and Presentation of Natural and Cultural Heritage

##### 7.1 - How effectively do the principal agencies/institutions responsible for cultural and/or natural heritage cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of this heritage?

There is **some cooperation** between the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but this could be improved**.

##### 7.2 - How effectively do other government agencies (e.g. those responsible for tourism, defence, public works, fishery, etc.) cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

In general, **cooperation exists** between other government agencies and the principal agencies/institutions for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage **but there are still deficiencies**.

##### 7.3 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
In general, <b>cooperation exists</b> between different levels of government for identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage <b>but there are still deficiencies</b> .	X	X
Different levels of government <b>cooperate effectively</b> for the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

#### 7.4 - How effectively do different levels of government cooperate with all segments of civil society in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

	Culture	Nature
There is <b>no cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
There is <b>some cooperation</b> between different levels of government and all segments of civil society in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage <b>but there are still deficiencies</b> .	X	X
Different levels of government <b>cooperate effectively</b> with all segments of civil society, in the identification, protection, conservation and presentation of cultural and/or natural heritage.		

### 8. Financial Status and Human Resources

#### 8.1 - Please assess the relative importance of the following sources of funding for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage in your country.

National government funds	Minor source of project funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Major source of funding for running costs/maintenance
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	No funding/Not applicable
Funds from other international conventions/programmes	Minor source of project funding
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union, etc.)	Minor source of project funding
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GIZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Major source of project funding
Non-Governmental Organizations (international and/or national)	No funding/Not applicable
Private sector funds	No funding/Not applicable
Other	No funding/Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

#### 8.2 - Does the State Party have policies to allocate site revenues for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage?

		No	Yes
8.2.1	Culture	X	
8.2.2	Nature	X	

#### 8.3 - Do you consider that the current budget is sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

	Culture	Nature
The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect cultural and natural heritage.	X	X
The available budget is <b>acceptable</b> but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.		
The available budget is <b>adequate</b> to meet the current conservation, protection and presentation needs.		

#### 8.4 - Please indicate the percentage of total annual public expenditure that is spent on the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage

	Category	percentage %
8.4.1	Cultural	0.78%
8.4.2	Natural	0.04%

#### 8.5 - Please estimate the percentage of the total annual public expenditure (as stated in 8.4) that is spent on cultural and natural heritage at each governmental level

Percentage		Cultural	Natural
8.5.1	National/Federal	29 %	60 %
8.5.2	Regional/Provincial	33 %	20 %
8.5.3	Local	38 %	20 %

## 8.6 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively?

Human resources	Culture	Nature
Human resources are <b>inadequate</b> for conservation, protection and presentation needs of cultural and/or natural heritage.		
A range of human resources exist, to conserve, protect and present cultural and/or natural heritage, but these are <b>below optimum</b> .	X	X
Human resources are <b>adequate</b> to meet the current needs of cultural and/or natural heritage conservation, protection and presentation.		

## 8.7 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to financial and human resources (Questions 8.1 to 8.6)

### 9. Capacity Development

#### 9.1 - Please prioritise the capacity building needs in the following fields identified in your country for the conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Culture	Nature
National/federal	Medium priority	Not applicable
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists	Medium priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Nominations	Medium priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring	Low priority	Medium priority
Statutory processes: International assistance	Low priority	Medium priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	Medium priority	High priority
Technical and scientific issues	Medium priority	High priority
Traditional conservation processes	Low priority	Medium priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Medium priority	Medium priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Medium priority	Medium priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	Low priority	Medium priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	Low priority	High priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	Medium priority	High priority
Management effectiveness assessment	Low priority	Medium priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	Medium priority	Medium priority
Awareness raising and outreach	Medium priority	High priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	Medium priority	High priority
Sustainable development	Medium priority	Medium priority
Protection and integration of biological and cultural diversity in management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change: adaptation and mitigation	Medium priority	Medium priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Medium priority	Medium priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems: enhancing quality of life and well-being through heritage	Medium priority	Medium priority
Inclusive social development in World Heritage management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
Gender balance in management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
Inclusive economic development in World Heritage management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority
World Heritage as an enabler and a driver of peace and security	Medium priority	Medium priority

#### 9.2 - Please rate the priority for training target audiences for each of the specific topics/themes/capacity building needs identified below for conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage.

	Administrators and government bodies at all levels	Communities, indigenous peoples, landowners, local businesses, other social groups etc.	Universities, NGOs etc.	Heritage practitioners
Implementation of the <i>Convention</i>	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Tentative Lists process	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority

Statutory processes: Nomination process	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Statutory processes: Reporting and monitoring process	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Statutory processes: International assistance, etc.	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Conservation and management of heritage sites	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Technical and Scientific issues	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority	High priority
Traditional conservation processes	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Sustainable resource utilisation and management	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Interpretation/communication of World Heritage properties	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Risk preparedness and disaster risk management	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Impact assessment tools (environmental, heritage and social)	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Sustainable tourism use and management	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Management effectiveness assessment	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Management approaches and methodologies (including HUL)	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Awareness raising and outreach	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority
Governance: legislative, institutional and financial frameworks and mechanisms	High priority	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority
Sustainable development	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Building environmental and social resilience	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority
Integration of eco-social diversity in management systems	Medium priority	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority
Adoption of rights-based approaches to heritage management	Medium priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority
Development of inclusive, equitable and effective management systems	High priority	High priority	High priority	High priority
Adaptation to and mitigation of climate change	High priority	High priority	Medium priority	High priority

### 9.3 - Please indicate how the State Party is using the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy (2011).

		No	Yes
9.3.1	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the national level.</b>	X	
9.3.2	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level.</b>	X	
9.3.3	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy to <b>identify capacity building priorities.</b>		X
9.3.4	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by fundraising to support capacity building programmes.</b>	X	
9.3.5	The State Party is using the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage.</b>	X	

### 9.4 - Does the State Party have a national training/educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?

There is **no national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is **being done on an ad hoc basis.**

### 9.5 - Comments: Please provide any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Capacity Development (Questions 9.1 to 9.4).

## 10. Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties

### 10.1 - If there are principal pieces of legislation specific to the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage not listed in 5.1 (which focuses on policy general to all heritage), please list them here.

Decisions of the Commission to Preserve National Monuments (Commission) of Bosnia and Herzegovina (on the basis of the authorization provided by the international agreement - Annex 8 of the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina (Dayton Agreement) / 1995 / culture / National /

Law on the Implementation of the Decisions of the Commission to Preserve National Monuments established pursuant to Annex 8 of the Dayton Agreement (Official Gazette of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, nos. 2/02, 27/02 and 51/07) / 2002 / Culture / Entity of Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina /

Law on the Implementation of the Decisions of the Commission to Preserve National Monuments established pursuant to Annex 8 of the Dayton Agreement (Official Gazette of the Republika Srpska Nos. 9/02, 70/06 and 64/08) / 2002 / Culture / Entity of Republika Srpska /

**10.2 - Please describe briefly how legislation and/or regulations at other levels contribute to the identification, conservation and protection of the State's Party cultural and natural heritage. Please provide web links for the legislation listed above.**

There is no specific legislation concerning WH. All cultural WH sites in BiH are proclaimed National monuments by the Decisions of the Commission to Preserve National Monuments BiH, which are implemented in the FBiH and RS in accordance with the Laws on the Implementation of the Decisions of the Commission to Preserve National Monuments, established pursuant to Annex 8 of the Dayton Agreement, 2002, in effect in FBiH and RS, adopted by the Parliament of FBiH and Parliament of RS, respectively.

**10.3 - Are the services provided by agencies/institutions adequate for the protection, conservation, presentation and management of World Heritage properties in your country?**

There is **some capacity** to protect, conserve, present and manage World Heritage properties but **significant deficiencies** remain.

**10.4 - How does the State Party encourage and support World Heritage properties to manage and develop visitation/tourism sustainably?**

By developing policies and/or requiring sustainable tourism strategies to be developed

**10.5 - Please indicate here any additional information or clarify how the State Party supports sustainable tourism planning and management at a property level.**

**10.6 - Does the State Party require the use of impact assessments for programmes (e.g. strategic environmental assessments) or development projects (e.g. environmental impact assessments, heritage impact assessments) that may have an impact on the World Heritage property, its buffer zone and the wider setting?**

There is a **regulatory framework** that requires the use of impact assessments for programmes or development projects which is implemented **but it needs improvement**.

**10.7 - Please list the different assessment methods used. Please provide weblinks to the guidance for the assessment methods.**

Procedures and methods are defined by the applicable laws on environmental protection and spatial planning

**10.8 - Does the State Party have a national capacity building strategy for World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management?**

There is **no national capacity building strategy** in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management **but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis**

**10.9 - Does the State Party have the institutional capacity to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues?**

There is **capacity** at the institutional level to conduct research specifically for World Heritage issues **but it could be improved**.

**10.10 - Has the State Party helped to establish national, public and private foundations or associations for raising funds and receiving donations for the protection of World Heritage?**

No

**10.11 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage policies and resources**

## 11. International Cooperation

**11.1 - Has the State Party promoted international cooperation and the establishment of cooperation mechanisms for heritage since the last Periodic Report? If so, please indicate the type of cooperation that best describes your activities.**

Bilateral and multilateral agreements

Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars

**11.2 - Do you have World Heritage properties that have been twinned with others at a national or international level?**

No

**11.3 - Please add any additional comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to International Cooperation (Questions 11.1 to 11.2). If you have twinned World Heritage properties, please list them along with their twins.**

## 12. Education, Information and Awareness Building

**12.1 - Does the State Party have a strategy to raise awareness among communities and different stakeholders about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage?**

There are **strategies** to raise awareness about the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage **but there are some deficiencies in its implementation**.

**12.2 - Please rate the level of general awareness of the following groups about World Heritage in your country**

Communities living in/around heritage sites

Fair

Indigenous peoples

Not applicable

Youth	Poor
General public	Fair
Decision makers and public officials	Fair
Private sector	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

**12.3 - Does the State Party have heritage education programmes for children and/or youth, that contribute to improving understanding of heritage, promoting diversity and fostering intercultural dialogue?**

There are heritage education programmes to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity, and foster intercultural dialogue **but there are deficiencies in implementation.**

**12.4 - Please rate the level of frequency of the following activities to improve understanding of cultural and natural heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue among children and/or youth**

Teacher training courses on the use of the World Heritage in Young Hands Kit	None
Courses/activities for students within school programmes	None
Youth forums	None
Skills-training courses for students	Medium
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Low
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other', please specify	

**12.5 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?**

The State Party **does not participate** in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme **but intends to do so.**

**12.6 - Please add any additional comments, description of best practices in World Heritage Education, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building (Questions 12.3 to 12.5)**

13. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

13.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

<b>Synergies with other relevant Conventions, Recommendations and Programmes</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national <b>natural</b> heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage</li> </ul>
<b>Identification of heritage</b>
<b>National inventories</b>
<b>Tentative List</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The State Party does not intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of its Tentative List</li> </ul>
<b>Effectiveness of legal framework</b>
<b>Function of cultural and natural heritage in the life of the community</b>
<b>Contribution of heritage to sustainable development policies</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is <b>no integration</b> of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:</li> <li>There is <b>limited integration</b> of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits</li> <li>Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change</li> <li>Contributing to inclusion and equality</li> <li>Enhancing the quality of life and well-being</li> <li>Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

- Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities
- Achieving gender equality
- Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods
- Promoting economic investment and quality tourism
- Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship
- Ensuring conflict prevention
- Protecting heritage during conflict
- Promoting conflict resolution
- Contributing to post-conflict recovery

#### Larger-scale planning

#### Status of services for protection, conservation and presentation

#### Financial status

- The available budget is **inadequate** for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage
- The available budget is **inadequate** for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage

#### Human resources

#### Capacity development

- The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the national level**
  - The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level**
  - The State Party **is using** the Capacity Building Strategy to **identify capacity building priorities**
  - The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by fundraising to support capacity building programmes**
  - The State Party **is not using** the Capacity Building Strategy **by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage**
- There is **no national strategy** for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is **being done on an ad hoc basis**

#### Policy and resourcing of World Heritage properties

- There is **no national capacity building strategy** in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management **but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis**

#### Research on World Heritage properties

#### International cooperation

Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report:

- Bilateral and multilateral agreements
- Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars

#### Education, information and awareness building

### 13.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 13.1).

#### 13.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to ten)

2.4	Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join	
2.4.3	World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national <b>natural</b> heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage	✘
3	Tentative List	
3.3	The State Party does not intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of its Tentative List	✘
5.11	Integration of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies	
5.11.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is <b>no integration</b> of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in:</li> <li>• There is <b>limited integration</b> of the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage as a strategic element in national sustainable development policies and strategies in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protecting biological and cultural diversity and providing ecosystem services and benefits</li> <li>• Strengthening resilience to natural hazards and climate change</li> <li>• Contributing to inclusion and equality</li> <li>• Enhancing the quality of life and well-being</li> <li>• Respecting, protecting and promoting human rights</li> <li>• Respecting, consulting and involving indigenous peoples and local communities</li> <li>• Achieving gender equality</li> <li>• Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods</li> <li>• Promoting economic investment and quality tourism</li> <li>• Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship</li> <li>• Ensuring conflict prevention</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protecting heritage during conflict</li> <li>• Promoting conflict resolution</li> <li>• Contributing to post-conflict recovery</li> </ul>	
<b>8</b>	<b>Financial Status and Human Resources</b>	
8.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage</li> <li>• The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage</li> </ul>	✘
<b>9</b>	<b>Capacity Development</b>	
9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the national level</b></li> <li>• The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level</b></li> <li>• The State Party <b>is using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy to <b>identify capacity building priorities</b></li> <li>• The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by fundraising to support capacity building programmes</b></li> <li>• The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy <b>by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage</b></li> </ul>	✘
9.4	There is <b>no national strategy</b> for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is <b>being done on an ad hoc basis</b>	✘
<b>10</b>	<b>Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties</b>	
10.8	There is <b>no national capacity building strategy</b> in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management <b>but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis</b>	✘
<b>11</b>	<b>International Cooperation</b>	
11.1	Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bilateral and multilateral agreements</li> <li>• Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars</li> </ul>	✘
Please select 1 more issues.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes		

### 13.3. Priority Actions Assessment

#### 13.3.1 - Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor

<b>2.4</b>	<b>Cooperation and synergies between the Conventions and programmes your State is party to/is associated with/intends to join</b>					
		<b>Action</b>	<b>Short description</b>	<b>Authority(ies) responsible</b>	<b>Timeframe</b>	<b>May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?</b>
<b>2.4.3</b>	World Heritage Focal Points are not involved in the revision and implementation of national <b>natural</b> heritage strategies, policies and action plans, beyond specific issues related to World Heritage	Following the submission of the periodic report this recommendation will be sent to the institutions competent for the nature protection at all levels of government in Bosnia and Herzegovina.	WH focal points for natural sites are involved in the revision and implementation of natural heritage strategies and policies within the competencies of their institution. Due to decentralized government there are no national level strategies.	Ministry of Civil Affairs of BiH Ministry of Foreign Trade and Economic Relations of BiH Ministry of Spatial Planning, Construction and Environment of Republika Srpska Federal Ministry of Environment and Tourism	6 months	No
<b>3</b>	<b>Tentative List</b>					
<b>3.3</b>	The State Party does not intend to use the Upstream Process during the next revision of its Tentative List	All competent ministries will be informed about the possibility to use Upstream Process for the next revision of the Tentative List.	No revision of the Tentative List is currently foreseen, however, competent institutions will be made aware of this possibilities should they decide to revise the Tentative List.	Ministry of Civil Affairs of BiH Commission to Preserve National Monuments of BiH Entity level ministries competent for the nature protection and cultural heritage.	2 months	no
<b>8</b>	<b>Financial Status and Human Resources</b>					

8.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Cultural heritage</li> <li>The available budget is <b>inadequate</b> for basic conservation, protection and presentation and is a serious constraint on the capacity to conserve and protect Natural heritage</li> </ul>	Following the submission of this report, irecommendation will be sent to all competent institutions to increase budget for conservation, protection and presentation of both cultural and natural heritage.	The competent institutions will take into consideration this recommendation when planning their budget for the following period. Decisions on level of budgetary funds and its use are made by entity/canton level Governments.	Ministry of Spatial Planning, Construction and Environment of Republika Srpska Federal Ministry of Environment and Tourism Federal Ministry of Culture and Sports Ministry of Education and Culture Federal Ministry of Spatial Planning	1 year	yes
-----	---	---	---	--	--------	-----

**9 Capacity Development**

9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the national level</li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy for the implementation of capacity building at the regional/sub-regional level</li> <li>The State Party <b>is using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy to identify capacity building priorities</li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy by fundraising to support capacity building programmes</li> <li>The State Party <b>is not using</b> the Capacity Building Strategy by raising awareness about the need to conserve and manage cultural and natural heritage</li> </ul>	WH Capacity Building Strategy will be distributed to all competent ministries with recommendation for its implementation.	Competent institutions will be informed of the results of this periodic reporting excercise and encouraged to develop capacity building programmes using WH Capacity Building Strategy.	Competent institutions from all levels of Government in Bosnia and Herzegovina	6 months	Yes
9.4	There is <b>no national strategy</b> for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management but this is <b>being done on an ad hoc basis</b>	Recommendation to all competent institutions to develop comprehensive capacity development strategy.	Due to decentralized Government system there is no national strategy for capacity development, but following this reporting excercise this will be recommended to competent institutions	Ministry of Civil Affairs of BiH, Ministry of Foreign Trade and Economic Relations of BiH and competent entity level ministries	2 years	yes

**10 Policy and Resourcing of World Heritage Properties**

10.8	There is <b>no national capacity building strategy</b> in relation to World Heritage conservation, protection, presentation and management <b>but capacity building is being done on an ad hoc basis</b>	Recommendation to all competent institutions to develop comprehensive capacity building strategy for conservation, protection, presentation and management of World Heritage Properties.	Due to decentralized Government system there is no national strategy for capacity development for WH conservation, protection, presentation and management, but following this reporting exercise this will be recommended to competent institutions.	Ministry of Civil Affairs of BiH, Commission to Preserve National Monuments of BiH, Ministry of Foreign Trade and Economic Relations of BiH and competent entity level ministries	2 years	Yes
------	--	--	---	---	---------	-----

11	<b>International Cooperation</b>					
11.1	Forms of international cooperation and cooperation mechanisms for heritage promoted by the State Party since the last Periodic Report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bilateral and multilateral agreements</li> <li>• Hosting and/or attending international training courses/seminars</li> </ul>	Further improving existing international cooperation mechanisms.	Researching new possibilities and developing new and strengthening existing international cooperation for heritage protection.	Ministry of Civil Affairs of Bosnia and Herzegovina	2 years	no

Please indicate priority actions to address items rated as poor completed

#### 13.4. Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

##### 13.4.1 - Please indicate any additional actions for the implementation of the *World Heritage Convention*

#### 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

##### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the State Party level.

Following the WHC Decision on inscription of serial transnational property Ste ci - Medieval Tombstone Graveyards on the WHL, four participating State parties (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Montenegro and Serbia) have successfully started implementing activities defined by the Management Plan: A coordination system has been established to manage this serial property. The International Coordination Committee (MKO) was established, in which representatives of all four States were appointed, and each country individually established its structure for governing the property in accordance with the Management Plan. The Secretariat of the International Coordination Committee has been established, with its headquarter at the Ministry of Civil Affairs of BiH. The implemented management system includes local community, municipalities, entity levels in BiH and international cooperation of 4 States Parties. Successful cooperation in management of this serial property is further proof of contribution of Ste ci as World Heritage site to the enhancement of regional cooperation and cooperation among the States Parties thus ensuring peace, reconciliation, stability, sustainable development and sustainable management of common cultural heritage, as also recognized by the Decision of the World Heritage Committee.

##### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of good practice at the State Party level.

Management

#### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

##### 15.1. Primary institution responsible for communicating with UNESCO on the World Heritage Convention

###### 15.1.1 - Which primary institution is responsible for communicating with UNESCO with regards to the World Heritage Convention?

Ministry of Civil Affairs of Bosnia and Herzegovina

##### 15.2. Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

###### 15.2.1 - Relevance and Objectives of Periodic Reporting

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies	Good

##### 15.3. The four Objectives of Periodic Reporting

###### 15.3.1 - How well does the current questionnaire address each of the four Objectives of Periodic Reporting?

	Objective	Not at all	Partially	Adequately
15.3.1.1	Provide an assessment of the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> by the State Party			✗
15.3.1.2	Provide an assessment as to whether the values of World Heritage properties are being maintained over time			✗
15.3.1.3	Provide updated information about World Heritage properties to record the changing circumstances and state of conservation of the property		✗	
15.3.1.4	Provide a mechanism for regional cooperation and exchange of information and experiences between States Parties about the implementation of the <i>World Heritage Convention</i> , and World Heritage conservation		✗	

#### 15.4. Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

##### 15.4.1 -

#### 15.5. Use of Data

##### 15.5.1 - How does your State Party plan to use the data?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Awareness raising

Advocacy

Improve the States Party implementation on the Convention

#### 15.6. Timing and resources

##### 15.6.1 - Were your national authorities given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in the questionnaire during this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Yes

##### 15.6.2 - Please estimate the time (working hours) and the number of people involved in completing Section I of the questionnaire.

	Time & people	Number of hours worked	Number of people involved
15.6.2.1	Gathering data	40	8
15.6.2.2	Consulting stakeholders	40	7
15.6.2.3	Filling in the questionnaire	20	1

##### 15.6.3 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered and implemented in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

##### 15.6.4 - Did your national authorities mobilise any additional resources to carry out this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.6.4.1	Human resources	✗	
15.6.4.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/training	✗	

##### 15.6.5 - Please provide details on any additional resources mobilised.

#### 15.7. Format and Content of the Periodic Reporting Questionnaire

##### 15.7.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All of the required information was accessible

##### 15.7.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Using the questionnaire	fair
Understanding the questions	fair

##### 15.7.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement

#### 15.8. Training and guidance

##### 15.8.1 - Please rate the level of support received in terms of training and guidance from the following entities for the completion of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Fair

ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
Category 2 Centres	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other' applies, please specify	

**15.8.2 - Were the online training resources provided by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for your national authorities to complete the online questionnaire?**

Yes

**15.8.3 - Please provide further comments on the online training resources**

15.9. Comments

**15.9.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**15.9.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions.**