



unesco

World Heritage Convention

45COM

AMENDMENT/PROPOSAL

Agenda Item	12
Draft Decision	45 COM 12- Part I
Submitted by the Delegation of....	Permanent Delegation of India
Co-author(s) (if any)	
Date of submission	---

TEXT

	The revision proposed in Paragraph 61 of the Operational Guidelines, in the proposed draft decision 45 COM 12 – Part I (In Blue and Red)	India's Proposal/amendments (In <u>Teal</u> colour)
1.	<p><u>Paragraph 61 of the Operational Guidelines</u></p> <p>61. The Committee has decided to apply the following mechanism concerning examination of nominations:</p> <p>a) examine one complete nomination per State Party or two complete nominations per State Party if one of them was previously referred or deferred by the Committee;</p>	<p><u>Paragraph 61 of the Operational Guidelines</u></p> <p>61. The Committee has decided to apply the following mechanism concerning examination of nominations:</p> <p>a) examine <u>up to two</u>one complete nominations per State Party or two complete nominations per State Party if one of them was previously referred or deferred by the Committee <u>or a new nomination</u>;</p>

2.	b) set at 35 the annual limit on the number of nominations it will review, inclusive of nominations deferred and referred by previous sessions of the Committee, extensions (except minor modifications of limits of the property), transboundary and transnational serial nominations,	b) set at 35 <u>45</u> the annual limit on the number of nominations it will review, inclusive of new <u>new nominations</u> , nominations deferred and referred by previous sessions of the Committee, extensions (except minor modifications of limits of the property), transboundary and transnational serial nominations,
3.	c) the following order of priorities will be applied in case the overall annual limit of 35 nominations is exceeded: i) nominations of properties submitted by States Parties with no properties inscribed on the List,	c) the following order of priorities will be applied in case the overall annual limit of 35 <u>45</u> nominations is exceeded: i) nominations of properties submitted by States Parties with no properties inscribed on the List,
4.	ii) nominations of properties submitted by States Parties having up to 3 properties inscribed on the List,	ii) nominations of properties submitted by States Parties having up to 3 properties inscribed on the List,
5.	iii) resubmitted referred and deferred nominations that were not transmitted to the relevant Advisory Bodies for evaluation further to the application of paragraph 61.b)3,	iii) resubmitted referred and deferred nominations that were not transmitted to the relevant Advisory Bodies for evaluation further to the application of paragraph 61.b)3,
6.	iv) nominations of properties that have been previously excluded due to the annual limit of 35 nominations and the application of these priorities,	iv) nominations of properties that have been previously excluded due to the annual limit of 35 <u>45</u> nominations and the application of these priorities,
7.	v) nominations of properties submitted in the 5th year following the report by the Advisory Bodies on the related Preliminary Assessment (see Paragraph 122.g),	<u>v) nominations of properties for natural heritage,</u> v) nominations of properties submitted in the 5th year following the report by the Advisory Bodies on the related Preliminary Assessment (see Paragraph 122.g),

8.	vi) nominations of properties for natural heritage,	<u>vi) nominations of properties for mixed heritage,</u> vi) nominations of properties for natural heritage,
9.	vii) nominations of properties for mixed heritage,	<u>vii) nominations of properties submitted in the 5th year following the report by the Advisory Bodies on the related Preliminary Assessment (see Paragraph 122.g),</u> vii) nominations of properties for mixed heritage,
10.	viii) nominations of transboundary/transnational properties,	viii) nominations of transboundary/transnational properties,
11.	ix) nominations from States Parties in Africa, the Pacific and the Caribbean,	ix) nominations from States Parties in Africa, the Pacific and the Caribbean,
12.	x) nominations of properties submitted by States Parties having ratified the World Heritage Convention during the last twenty years,	x) nominations of properties submitted by States Parties having ratified the World Heritage Convention during the last twenty years,
13.	xi) nominations of properties submitted by States Parties that have not submitted nominations for five years or more,	xi) nominations of properties submitted by States Parties that have not submitted nominations for five years or more,
14.	xii) nominations of States Parties, former Members of the Committee, who accepted on a voluntary basis not to have a nomination reviewed by the Committee during their mandate. This priority will be applied for 4 years after the end of their mandate on the Committee,	xii) nominations of States Parties, former Members of the Committee, who accepted on a voluntary basis not to have a nomination reviewed by the Committee during their mandate. This priority will be applied for 4 years after the end of their mandate on the Committee,
15.	xiii) when applying this priority system, date of receipt of full and complete nominations by the World Heritage Centre shall be used as a	xiii) when applying this priority system, date of receipt of full and complete nominations by the World Heritage Centre shall be used as a

	secondary factor to determine the priority between those nominations that would not be designated by the previous points.	secondary factor to determine the priority between those nominations that would not be designated by the previous points.
--	---	---

|