

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Itchan Kala

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Uzbekistan

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

543


#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1990

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Itchan Kala	41.378 / 60.364	26	0	26	1990
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Itchan Kala of Khiva	18/10/1989	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

#### Comment

Ministry of Culture and Sports Republic of Uzbekistan  
Principle Scientific Production Department for the Preservation and Utilization Objects of Cultural Heritage, Khoresm Regional Inspection on Preservation and Restoration of Objects Cultural Heritage Properties.

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Sultonnazir Bobojonov  
Regional Inspection of Cultural Heritage Properties

#### Comment

Regional Inspection of Cultural Heritage Properties  
(01.02.2011) Rakhimov Ikramboy Ruzmetovich Telephone (+998-62) 514-12-99

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [1001wonders.org](http://1001wonders.org) : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
2. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
3. [Organisation des villes du patrimoine mondial \(OVPM\)\(only in French\)](#)

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

the draft retrospective outstanding universal value for Itchan Kala has been submitted WH Centre by 1st February 2010.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(iv)(v)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property







### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.2 Commercial development						
3.1.3 Industrial areas						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
3.3.1 Water infrastructure						
3.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities						
3.3.4 Localised utilities						
3.3.5 Major linear utilities						
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
3.4.4 Air pollution						
3.4.5 Solid waste						
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
3.7.1 Wind						
3.7.2 Relative humidity						
3.7.3 Temperature						
3.7.4 Radiation/light						
3.7.5 Dust						
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
3.7.7 Pests						
3.7.8 Micro-organisms						
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>					
3.10.3 Drought						
3.10.6 Temperature change						
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>					
3.11.2 Earthquake						
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition						
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)						
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>					
3.12.5 Hyper-abundant species						
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						

Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside
--------	---	---	--	--	--	---

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.3 Services Infrastructures						
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.4 Pollution						
3.4.4	Air pollution	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.4.5	Solid waste	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1	Wind	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7.2	Relative humidity	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7.3	Temperature	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7.4	Radiation/light	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7.5	Dust	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7.7	Pests	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.5	Hyper-abundant species	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing

**3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property**

**3.17.1 - Comments**

**4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property**

**4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones**

**4.1.1 - Buffer zone status**

There is a buffer zone

**4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

**4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

**4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?**

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

**4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?**

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

**4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property**

**4.2. Protective Measures**

**4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)**

**Comment**

Itchan Kala was created as a reserve and taken under State protection in 1969. Now, the site received the status of museum-reserve. the status of Itchan Kala is protected by the National law on the protection of cultural heritage from 2001. the boundaries and buffer zone of the property is identified according to the National Law.

**4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the**

**Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

**4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

**Comment**

Itchan Kala has a management system. annually the working plan is developed. the plan includes conservation, preservation, presentation of the site. the plan is coordinated at regional level.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

Management plan of the site prepared for the conservation, preservation and presentation of the site. the management plan is prepared by the site manager.

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management**

arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	90%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	10%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is some **ad hoc** maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	50%
Part-time	50%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	50%
Seasonal	50%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	50%
Volunteer	50%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Adequate

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys
Other

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Average

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **underway**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.7	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
3.7.2	Relative humidity						
3.7.3	Temperature						
3.7.7	Pests						
3.7.8	Micro-organisms						
3.8	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	IV, V traditional human settlement, architecture	preventive action toward the incorrect use of modern raw materials.	regular monitoring	5 years	Board of Monuments	no comments
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	V, traditional human settlements	preventive actions: enhancing the information sources for inhabitants in maintainance of houses.	regular monitoring	5 years	Board of Monuments	no comments

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>							
			Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved		update the boundaries and buffer zone, regeneration of buffer zone.	2013	Board of Monuments	no comments	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners		raise awareness among the community.	5 years	Board of Monuments	no comments	
<b>4.4 Financial and Human Resources</b>							
4.4.3	The budget is inadequate for management needs		develop a state programme in order to stable the funds for management.	until 2016	Board of Monuments, regional authorities	no comments	
4.4.7	Ad hoc maintenance of equipment		carry out fund raising activities for maintenance of equipments.	until 2015	Board of Monuments	no comments	
<b>4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects</b>							
4.5.2	Research in the property is not planned		within the future State programme for research, conservation and utilization of Itchan Kala, it is forseen to carry out several researches and studies.	until 2016	Board of Monuments, regional authorities	no comments	
<b>4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>							
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme		develop speciaql educational and awareness programmes	until 2020	Board of Monuments, Ministry of higher and secondary education	no comments	

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

External experts
Advisory bodies

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: the draft retrospective outstanding universal value for Itchan Kala has been submitted WH Centre by 1st February 2010.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**