

1. World Heritage Property Data**1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property**

Kunya-Urgench

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details**State(s) Party(ies)**

• Turkmenistan

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1199


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2005

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Southern section comprising the minaret and most of the monuments , Turkmenistan	42.305 / 59.142	333.9	648.09	981.99	2005
Northern section: Najm-ad-Din al-Kubra Mausoleum complex , Turkmenistan	42.326 / 59.146	14.17	21.45	35.62	2005
Western section: comprising the Ibn Khajib mausoleum and medressa , Turkmenistan	0 / 0	5.17	213.76	218.93	2005
Total (ha)		353.24	883.3	1236.54	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Location plan of the territories proposed for inscription on the UNESCO World Heritage List and buffer zones	03/02/2004	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property**Comment**

The Department for the protection and restoration of monuments of Turkmenistan, Ministry of Culture, TV and Radio Broadcasting of Turkmenistan 73a, Magtymguly str., Ashgabat, 744000, Turkmenistan

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• A. Mamedov Mukhammed

Head of the Department
for the protection, study and restoration of Turkmen

Comment

Mrs Tuyliyeva M. Director of the "Kunya Urgench" state historical and cultural Park Kunya Urgench district Dashoguz welayat Turkmenistan Phone: (993-12) 35 05 16 or 35 45 54 Fax: (993-12) 35 05 16 Email: monument@online.tm

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)**1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)****Comment**

The property also is protected under the Law of Turkmenistan on culture.

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance****Comment**

A draft of Retrospective SOUV has been submitted to the WHC for further consideration.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

No comments

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

No comments

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

No comments

3. Factors Affecting the Property**3.14. Other factor(s)****3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

No additional factors

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Section 3 - Factors Summary table						
		Name	Impact		Origin	
3.1		Buildings and Development				
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.2 Commercial development						
3.1.3 Industrial areas						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.2		Transportation Infrastructure				
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
3.4		Pollution				
3.4.5 Solid waste						
3.7		Local conditions affecting physical fabric				
3.7.1 Wind						
3.7.2 Relative humidity						
3.7.3 Temperature						
3.7.5 Dust						
3.8		Social/cultural uses of heritage				
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.9		Other human activities				
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
3.10		Climate change and severe weather events				
3.10.3 Drought						
3.10.6 Temperature change						
3.11		Sudden ecological or geological events				
3.11.2 Earthquake						
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition						
3.13		Management and institutional factors				
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.2 High impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1	Wind	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.7.2	Relative humidity	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	extensive	frequent	minor	high capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	localised	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

No comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

No comments

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

All monuments are legally protected. Kunya-Urgench is one of the eight "State Historical and Cultural Parks" in the country, based on a decree of 1985 (n° 10085). It is registered at the Vilayet (provincial) level (decree 440/16), approved by the State Cabinet of Ministers (in 1992). In addition, there are special bylaws, for example, for the protection of the area identified as the buffer zone.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the

Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

No comments


4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

There are two agencies with management authority, State Historical and Cultural Park Kunya-Urgench, and the National Department for the Protection, Study and Restoration of the Historical and Cultural Monuments in Turkmenistan (DPM) in Ashgabat.

There is a general management system for the general policies of management and conservation of heritage sites. The system also provides general guidelines. More detailed plans are developed on the basis of these guidelines. Such is the case also with Kunya-Urgench, which includes a set of guidelines and annual work plans, controlling protection, research and monitoring.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan [summary]	N/A	Available	01/01/2004	

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional /

provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly contribute to **some decisions** relating to management but their involvement could be improved

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No comments

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report


No any changes

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	3.0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	80.0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	7.0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	5.0%
Other grants	5.0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Technical assistance for conserving AK-Kala fortress and strengthening the top of Kutlug Timur minaret	2008	29992.00	
Total		29992	

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

No comments

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	95%
Part-time	5%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	95%
Seasonal	5%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	High
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	Medium
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

Section II - Kunya-Urgench (1199)

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No comments

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Permanent Neutral Turkmenistan-UNESCO: Appraisal of Historical-cultural Values and Determination of the ways on the Protection of the World Heritage Properties Abstracts of Reports of the International Scientific Conference, 25-27 May, 2006, Ashgabat, Turkmenistan 1. Vadim Masson, Historical destiny of ancient capital of Khwarizmshakhs, pp.225-226 2. Mansura Haidar, Ancient oriental authors about Kunya Urgench, p.229 3. Charhyar Adle, The inscription of Kunya Urgench on the World Heritage List as an experience for the inscription of future sites in Turkmenistan, pp.233-234 4. Ekaterina Armarchuk, Glazed décor in the architecture of Kunya Urgench, pp. 235-236 5. Vladimir Artyemyev, Aliya Urmanova, Evolution of fortifications of Kunya Urgench in 10th-17th centuries, pp.237-238 6. Jonatan Bloom, An early eleventh-century minaret at Kunya Urgench, pp.240-241 7. Sheila S. Blair, Commemorating the dead: The tombs at Kunya Urgench, pp.241-242 8. Joachim Gierlich, The cenotaph of Majm ad-din Kubra in Kunya Urgench, p.243 9. Lisa Golombek, Re-dating the Turabeg Khanum and the implications for Timurid architecture, p.244 10. Meretgul Gurjiyeva, Protection and restoration of monuments in the State Historical-Cultural reserve Kunya Urgench, pp.244-2545 11. Igor Zubanov, Conservation actions fulfilled in the frameworks of the project: "The development of culture of Kunya Urgench, pp.245-248 12. Jumamedel Imankulov,

Phenomenon of Kutlug Timur minaret, pp.248-250 13. Alexander Kravtsov, Cult erections of medieval Gurganj as a paradigm of muslim architecture, pp. 252-254 14. Syuzen Kuehn, Tilework of funerary monuments of 12th to 14th century in Kunya Urgench, pp.256-257 15. Mukhammed Mamedov, Architecture of Kunya Urgench: Traditions and innovations, pp. 259-260 16. Ruslan Muradov, Features of architectural appearance of Gulgerdan's tomb, pp. 261-262 17. Aijan Niyazmammedova, Analysis of minarets of Dekhistan and Kunya Urgench, pp. 264-265 18. Joseph Notkin, Style-forming role of architectural décor of Kunya Urgench, pp. 265-266 19. Bernard O'Kane, The uniqueness of the architectural decoration of the monuments of Kunya Urgench, pp. 267-268 20. Mihay Alexandru Retegan, The influence of climatic factors upon the historical heritage of Kunya Urgench, pp. 272-272 21. Tatyana Starodub, Premongol mausoleum of Kunya Urgench in the context of forming architectural type of the turbe, 273-274 22. Oguljeren Khajieva, Architectural-artistic solution of the monuments in Kunya Urgench, p.275 23. Nuryagdy Yagshymuradov, Cupolas in the architecture of Kunya Urgench turkmens, pp.277-278 24. Vitaly Sheremet, Kunya Urgench in the socio-cultural memory, pp. 313-314 25. Tagandurdy Bekjaev, Linguist scientists and writers of Kunya Urgench Turkmen state, p. 331 26. Elmira Gul, Place and role of Kunya Urgench in the system of art heritage of the countries of the Islamic East, pp.334-335 27. Mohammad R. Mughal, Expansion of architectural traditions of Kunya Urgench to Pakistan and beyond, pp. 342-345

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

No comments

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Excellent
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

No comments

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Since 2010 registers for visitors enabling to make calculate visitor's numbers at each World Heritage site have been introduced.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

No comments

4.8. Monitoring**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Average

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **complete**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

No comments

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

No comments

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1	Wind	Criterion II and III. Standing structures such as mausoleums, minaret, fortresses, medreses and mosques.	1. Creation of green protective zones outside of the buffer zone by planting of leaf-bearing trees which will weaken a speed of the wind.	1. Regular monitoring of the state of buildings which are being affected by wind erosion.	Regularly in spring and winter.	Staff of the "Kunya Urgench" state historical and cultural Park	No comments.
3.7.2	Relative humidity	Criterion II and III. Standing structures such as mausoleums, minaret, fortresses, medreses and mosques.	Regular conservation and preservation measures of detected defects in the structures which have been caused by relative humidity.	1. Regular monitoring of the state of buildings which are being affected by relative humidity.	Regularly in spring and winter.	Staff of the "Kunya Urgench" state historical and cultural Park	No comments
3.7.3	Temperature	Criterion II and III. Standing structures such as mausoleums, minaret, fortresses, medreses and mosques.	Regular conservation and preservation activities in the structures which have been caused by changing of temperature.	1. Regular monitoring of the state of buildings which are being affected by changing of temperature.	Regularly in spring and winter.	Staff of the "Kunya Urgench" state historical and cultural Park	No comments
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	Criterion II and III. Standing structures such as mausoleums, minaret, fortresses, medreses and mosques and ruins.	1. Visitor management to diminish a visitor pressure to the monuments 2. Strictly control of keeping the rules by visitors inside of the site 3. Movement of visitors strictly on the marked visitor's itineraries	Everyday control of state of areas of ritual/spiritual/reliquoos uses of the site	Daily control	Staff of the "Kunya Urgench" state historical and cultural Park	No comments
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/deposition	Criterion II and III. Standing structures such as mausoleums, minaret, fortresses, medreses and mosques.	1. Keeping in good conditions of local drainage system within the sites	1. Control of the state of standing buldings after rainy seasons in spring and winter.	In spring and winter annually.	Staff of the "Kunya Urgench" state historical and cultural Park	No comments

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Please select your top management needs in question 4.9 before filling in the summary table.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

No comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

No comments

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Indigenous peoples

Local community

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

No suggestions

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: A draft of Retrospective SOUV has been submitted to the WHC for further consideration.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

No comments