

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Complexe forestier de Dong Phayayen-Khao Yai

Comment

Dong Phayayen-Khao Yai Forest Complex

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• Thaïlande

Type of Property

naturel

Identification Number

590rev

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2005

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Khao Yai National Park , Saraburi, Nakhon Nayok, Nakhon Ratchasima, Prach , Thailand	14.167 / 101.5	216800	?	216800	2005
Thap Lan National Park , Nakhon Ratchasima, Prachinburi , Thailand	14.167 / 102.167	223600	?	223600	2005
Pang Sida National Park , Sra Kaew , Thailand	14.083 / 102.167	84400	?	84400	2005
Ta Phraya National Park , Sra Kaew, Buriram , Thailand	14.2 / 103	59400	?	59400	2005
Dong Yai Wildlife Sanctuary , Buriram , Thailand	14.2 / 102.583	31300	?	31300	2005
Total (ha)		615500	0	615500	

Comment

Khao Yai National Park , Saraburi, Nakhon Nayok, Nakhon Ratchasima, Prachinburi , Thailand Thap Lan National Park , Nakhon Ratchasima, Prachinburi , Thailand Pang Sida National Park , Sra Kaew, Prachinburi , Thailand Ta Phraya National Park , Sra Kaew, Buriram , Thailand Dong Yai Wildlife Sanctuary , Buriram , Thailand

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Dong Phrayayen-Khao Yai Forest Complex	02/02/2004	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Mimistry of Natural Resources and Environment Department of National Parks, Wildlife and Plant Conservation

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Director The
Dong Phayayen-Khao Yai Forest Complex Natural
World Heritage Office

Comment

Telephone change to: 66 3 721 1140 ext, 110

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001merveilles.org : [visitez ce site en panophotographies - images à 360 x 180 degrés](#)
2. [Découvrez les photos de OUR PLACE the World Heritage Collection](#)
- 3.

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Khao Yai National Park, itself, is also designated as ASEAN Heritage Park.

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is currently submitted to the World Heritage Centre.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(x)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

The Dong Phayayen-Khao Yai Forest Complex contains more than 800 fauna species, including 112 species of mammals, 392 species of birds and 200 reptiles and amphibians. The area contains the last substantial area of globally important tropical forest ecosystem of the Thaiandian Monsoon Forest biogeographic province in northeast Thailand, which in turn provides a viable area for long-term survival of endangered, globally important species, including tiger, elephant, leopard cat and banteng. The unique overlap of the range of two species of gibbon, including the vulnerable pileated gibbon, further adds to the global value of the complex.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

-

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

-

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

-

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1 Water infrastructure						
3.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
3.3.4 Localised utilities						
3.3.5 Major linear utilities						
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.2 Ground water pollution						
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.4 Water (extraction)						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1 Illegal activities						
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
3.9.3 Military training						
3.9.4 War						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1 Storms						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)						
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.1 Translocated species						
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species						
3.12.3 Invasive / alien freshwater species						
3.12.5 Hyper-abundant species						
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.2 High impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development				

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1	Water infrastructure	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.3.4	Localised utilities	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.2	Ground water pollution	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.3	Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.9.3	Military training	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.9.4	War	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.5	Hyper-abundant species	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

-

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of its inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The property has the legitimate boundary which is declared in the Royal Degree under the National Park Act B.E.2504 (1961) and the Wild Animal Reservation and Protection Act B.E.2535 (1992). While, the buffer zone is not mentioned by the law.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Comment

Four National Parks are protected under the National Park Act B.E.2504 (1961) and one Wildlife Sanctuary is protected under the Wild Animal Reservation and Protection Act B.E.2535 (1992).

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Destructive activities are prohibited within the property under two laws. The penalty of violence shall be liable to imprisonment or to fine or to both. Relevant rules and regulation are also specified under these two Acts.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Comment

Individual protected areas has one superintendent and subordinate officers from the Department of National Park, Wildlife and Plant Conservation (DNP). The allocation of personnel and governmental budget are arranged directly from DNP. Each protected area has one headquarter and a considerable number of sub-stations are located in important locations for as much as effort to protect the areas. The headquarter of the property is responsible for the general administration of the property.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan – January 2004	N/A	Disponible	01/01/2004	

Comment

The current management plan is the ten-year "Management Plan for Dong Phrayayen-Khao Yai Forest Complex" (2007 - 2016).

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but it could be improved

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Non-existent
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and /

or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The management plan is a crucial guideline for the administration of the property. However, the implementation of management plan depends on available resources and current situation.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

-

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	54%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	23%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	23%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

No International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

There are two main annual budget for the property. The first part is allocacated from the governmental budget. The second part is allocated from the park's income which is collected from all national parks of the country and compiled at the DNP. The park's income comprises the visitor charges and commercial operator payments. The allocation of this budget is considered on the basis of each protected area request which is submitted to DNP for approval.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	15%
Seasonal	85%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

A number of training course is held every year by DNP itself and the cooperation between DNP and related organizations. The training courses include conservation, patrolling, law enforcement. etc.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the World Heritage property is **not sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a limited and *ad hoc* education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has partially influenced education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted but improvements could be made

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Each protected area has education and awareness building programs provided to young generation, school and surrounding communities.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Visitor management is partially mentioned in the current management plan.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

The theory of carrying capacity has been applied to limit the number of visitors at certain places such as camping ground at Khao Yai National Park. Reservation of accommodation within the property can be done on-line.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management

needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

There is **little or no information available** on the values of the World Heritage property to define key indicators

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Non-existent

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

Since the property was inscribed as a world Heritage Site, recommendations from the World Heritage Committee has been implemented. For example, the property headquarter was established to integrate missions of all five protected areas together. Wildlife corridor has been studied and prepared for construction on the Highway 304 to connect Khao Yai National Park and Thap Lan National Park.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

-

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1 Buildings and Development						
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	Development of visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure may be both positive and negative to the integrity of the property. Development these facilities outside the property is probably useful as an alternative for exceeding tourists.	Control or limit the number of accommodation and infrastructure within the property.	Annual report.	-	DNP
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Existence of roads may be both positive and negative to the integrity of the property. It causes roadkills and is regarded as obstacle for wildlife movement and genetic flow. However, appropriate road can be used for patrolling, visitor management, etc	Create wildlife corridor on the existing roads.	Survey and reporting system	-	DNP, Department of Highway.
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Existence of roads causes roadkills and is regarded as obstacle for wildlife movement and genetic flow.	Traffic signs to remind user not to drive over-speed and create any other tools.	Survey and reporting system.	-	DNP
3.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1	Storms	Although, heavy storms are sporadically harm the integrity of the property, it can cause heavy damage to the property.	Setting up the risk management unit to cope with the unexpected disaster.	-	-	DNP and the local administration authority.
3.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	Fire is potentially occurred in the driest year.	Setting fire suppression unit. Application of controlled burn.	Annual report.	-	DNP
3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.5	Hyper-abundant species					

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved	Clarification of boundary of the property.	-	DNP, Royal Forest Department and local administration authorities.	-	
4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
4.3.6	Few of the work plan activities implemented	Supporting implementing the work plan activities.	-	DNP	-	
4.4 Financial and Human Resources						

4.4.12	Human resources inadequate for management needs	Review of the number of personnels to the amount of work.	-	DNP	-
4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects					
4.5.1	Knowledge about the property is insufficient	Supporting all aspects of research regarding the property. Compiling all complete and on-going research systematically.	-	DNP	-
4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.5	Contact with the tourism industry is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	Organizing more meeting with tourism industry to understand each other's aspects.	-	DNP, Tourism Authority of Thailand and relevant bodies.	-
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.2	Little or no information to define key indicators	Setting up key indicators into each line of works.	-	DNP	-

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

Not applicable (for sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x)

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are predominantly intact

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

-

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

-

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

-

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Name of World Heritage Property**
Reason for update: Dong Phrayayen-Khao Yai Forest Complex
- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: The Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is currently submitted to the World Heritage Centre.
- **Geographic Information Table**
Reason for update: Khao Yai National Park , Saraburi, Nakhon Nayok, Nakhon Ratchasima, Prachinburi , Thailand Thap Lan National Park , Nakhon Ratchasima, Prachinburi , Thailand Pang Sida National Park , Sra Kaew, Prachinburi , Thailand Ta Phraya National Park , Sra Kaew, Burirum , Thailand Dong Yai Wildlife Sanctuary , Burirum , Thailand

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

-