

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Central Highlands of Sri Lanka

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• Sri Lanka

Type of Property

natural

Identification Number

1203

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2010

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Peak Wilderness Protected Area (PWPA)	6.801 / 80.625	22379	37571	59950	
Horton Plains National Park (HPNP)	6.806 / 80.797	3160	?	3160	
Knuckles Conservation Forest (KCF)	7.452 / 80.802	31305	35074	66379	
Total (ha)		56844	72645	129489	

Comment

Horton Plains National Park (HPNP): Property is 3108.82 ha
Buffer zone is 4584.5 ha Total is 7694.38 ha

1.4 - Map(s)

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Knuckles Conservation Forest - Forest Department
Peak Wilderness Protected Area - Department of Wildlife Conservation & Forest Department
Horton Plains National Park - Department of Wildlife Conservation

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Comment

Property Managers: Knuckles Conservation Forest- Divisional Forest Officer Matala. TEL. 0094 66 2231 146 , Divisional Forest Officer, Kandy TEL 0094 81 2204929
Local Institution: Forest Department Peak Wilderness Protected Area- Wildlife Ranger, Range Office, Department of Wildlife Conservation, Palabaddala. Sri Lanka Tel- 0094 45 3459562
Horton Plains National Park-Park Warden, Department of Wildlife Conservation, Ohiya, Sri Lanka Tel.0094 52 3539042

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

No comments

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

SOUV was adopted by the World Heritage Committee at the time of inscription in 2010.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ix)(x)

Comment

Of the 408 species of vertebrates 83% of indigenous fresh water fishes and 81% of the amphibians in PWPA are endemic, 91% of the amphibians and 89% of the reptiles in HPNP are endemic, and 64% of amphibians are endemic.

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development							
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure								
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities								
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure								
3.3	Services Infrastructures							
3.3.1 Water infrastructure								
3.3.2 Renewable energy facilities								
3.3.4 Localised utilities								
3.3.5 Major linear utilities								
3.4	Pollution							
3.4.2 Ground water pollution								
3.4.3 Surface water pollution								
3.4.5 Solid waste								
3.5	Biological resource use/modification							
3.5.3 Land conversion								
3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals								
3.5.5 Crop production								
3.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection								
3.6	Physical resource extraction							
3.6.1 Mining								
3.6.4 Water (extraction)								
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses								
3.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting								
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system								
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation								
3.9	Other human activities							
3.9.1 Illegal activities								
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events							
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)								
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species							
3.12.1 Translocated species								
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species								
3.13	Management and institutional factors							
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.2 High impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.3 Management activities								
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1 Buildings and Development					

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	restricted	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	increasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	restricted	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.2	Ground water pollution	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.4.3	Surface water pollution	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.4.5	Solid waste	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.3	Land conversion	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.5.5	Crop production	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.5.7	Subsistence wild plant collection	restricted	frequent	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.1	Mining	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.6.4	Water (extraction)	restricted	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.8.3	Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	low capacity	static
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	restricted	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	static
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.1	Translocated species	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	low capacity	static
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	restricted	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	increasing
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.3	Management activities	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Responsible authorities work closely with stakeholders to control above mentioned negative impacts at minimum level. The overall effect of the above factors to the outstanding universal value of the property is insignificant. However, it is recommended to improve the capacity of responsible authorities in order to further decrease the negative impacts.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Buffer zone is conceptually defined. In Wildlife national reserves (National Parks, Nature reserves etc.)buffer zone is defined as area of 1 mile (1.6 km) from the boundary of the reserve.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The whole of the PWPA is state-owned; the Conservation Forests within the PWPA are under the charge of the Forest Department whilst the newly declared Peak Wilderness Nature Reserve, and the pilgrim trails and peak are under the administrative control of the

Department of Wildlife Conservation (DWLC). The PWPA comprises several parts falling under three categories of areas under protective legislation: (a) The Peak Wilderness Nature Reserve (in nine blocks) which is a highly protected area under the provisions of the Fauna and Flora Protection Ordinance (FFPO), (b) the pilgrim trails and peak, which have the status of sanctuary under the provisions of FFPO, and (c) the three conservation forests have been designated under the provisions of Forest Ordinance.

The whole of HPNP is state-owned and under the administrative control of DWLC. HPNP has been designated under the provisions of FFPO. The FFPO also provides for prohibition of damaging activities within one mile of the boundary of both HPNP and PWPA. The KCF has also been declared as Conservation Forest in 2000 under the provisions of the Forest Ordinance. The Government of Sri Lanka has additionally notified 'Knuckles Environmental Protection Area' under the National Environment Act, 1980 under which ensures special protection in relation to planning schemes and projects to an area including KCF.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Management plan has been prepared for KCF, PWPA and HPNP For PWPA and HPNP , management plans updated in 2005 by Department of Wildlife Conservation KCF plan was prepared in 2009 by Forest Department

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but it could be improved

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Non-existent

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and /

or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	25%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	10%
Governmental (National / Federal)	62%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	3%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

No funds were received yet.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

For PWPA and HPNP received funds from Protected Area Management and Wildlife Conservation Project (PAM & WCP) by ADB till 2008. Now this project is over & no more external funds. Need more funding support for better management of sites.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	99%
Volunteer	1%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low

Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Adams peak of PWPA , is a very important religious place of Sri Lankans, mainly for Buddhist. Millions of pilgrims hike this peak annually to worship Lord Buddha's foot print. Number of visitors of Adams peak cant be controlled during the season.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Non-existent

Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable
--------------------------	----------------

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

No comments

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	over visitation, air pollution, disturbances to natural habitats,	control the visitor number, vehicles and constructions. Aware the visitors about the habitats and habits.	By using the unit managers and their staff and introducing the reporting system .	Every three months	Department of Wildlife Conservation, Forest department and all relevant local authorities	Details are included in the management plans
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.5	Solid waste	accumulation of garbage both degradable and non degradable	Analysis seasonal pattern Analysis the source of solid waste Managing the solid waste	Aware and train volunteers under the supervision of relevant authorities and apply implement programme for managing the solid waste.	Daily basis	Department of Wildlife Conservation, Forest department and all relevant local authorities	The solid wastes are been reduced, recycled and reused. Supply the technical guide lines to the local authorities.
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	This effects on PWPA, pilgrimage season only. Over visitation Destruction of habitats	Awareness, advertising Introducing guide lines. Low enforcement.	Seasonal monitoring system and evaluate the results and update the existing system.	daily basis in the season.	Department of Wildlife, Department of forest, Department of Police and local authorities.	no additional comments
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	Over Visitation Destruction of Habitats. Pollution.	Introducing visitor control system. Applying law enforcement and awareness programs. identify sources and patterns of the pollution. Applying control system under the existing law enforcement framework.	reporting and analyzing the system	monthly basis	Department of wildlife, Department of Forest, Sri Lanka Tourism Development Authority..	Under the introducing visitor control system, can be applied advance ticketing system and reservation system.
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.1	Illegal activities	Poaching. Collecting fuel wood, timber and NTFP . Fire threats. Encroachments.	Strengthening the low enforcement activities. Awareness programmers. opening of the fire lines. Proper boundary demarcation.	Mobile petrol systems. strengthening the staff and techniques. reporting and analyzing.	depends on activities and the annual action plan.	Department of wildlife, Department of Forest, Department of Police	if present law enforcement system is not satisfied, can be introduced amendments.
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	Habitat destruction Impact on the native species.	Identify the species, locations and the extends. Adopting the control measures. Awareness.	periodic monitoring system	Annual	Department of Wildlife and Department of Forest.	adoptive measures are selected according to the invasive/alien species.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	Awareness raising campaign	Annually	Department of Wildlife, Forest Department	Selected community are been aware about the buffer zone.	
4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	Study and analyzing potential of industries.	Annually	Department of Wildlife, Forest Department	After the completion of analyzing the potential, apply the suitable action.	
4.4 Financial and Human Resources						

4.4.3	The budget is inadequate for management needs	Try to get other source of funds.	Annually	Department of Wildlife, Forest Department	Prioritize the actions and implement.
4.4.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	Revise the implement program.	Quarterly	Department of Wildlife, Forest Department	No more Comments

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

Not applicable (for sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x)

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

No additional comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

No other comments

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Local community

Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Avoid repeat icons

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

Reason for update: Of the 408 species of vertebrates 83% of indigenous fresh water fishes and 81% of the amphibians in PWPA are endemic, 91% of the amphibians and 89% of the reptiles in HPNP are endemic, and 64% of amphibians are endemic.

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: SOUV was adopted by the World Heritage Committee at the time of inscription in 2010.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Horton Plains National Park (HPNP): Property is 3108.82 ha Buffer zone is 4584.5 ha Total is 7694.38 ha

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

This exercise is very important to compare the status of site with past, present and future conditions. Furthermore, this will be helpful to maintain the information of the site.