

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Old Town of Galle and its Fortifications

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Sri Lanka

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

451


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1988

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Old Town of Galle and its Fortifications	6.021 / 80.219	0	0	0	1988
Total (ha)			0		

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Fort Galle	31/12/1986	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Senarath Dissanayake

Director General
Department of Archaeology

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in [panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images](#)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

street grid, streetscape, the narrow street-houses with frontal verandahs and internal courtyards, grand public and administrative buildings religious buildings including the Dutch

Refomed Church monumental ramparts, with 14 bastions the underground sewerage system

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The retrospective SOUV was submitted in February 2011 and no modifications needed.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The SOUV leads the site managers to a comprehensive monitoring system

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

No any other factors

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact						Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1 Housing							
3.1.2 Commercial development							
3.1.3 Industrial areas							
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.3 Marine transport infrastructure							
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.4 Localised utilities							
3.3.5 Major linear utilities							
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.1 Pollution of marine waters							
3.4.4 Air pollution							
3.4.5 Solid waste							
3.5	Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.2 Aquaculture							
3.5.3 Land conversion							
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.7 Pests							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system							
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.1 Illegal activities							
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage							
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1 Storms							
3.10.5 Changes to oceanic waters							
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.3 Tsunami/tidal wave							
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)							
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.4 Invasive / alien marine species							
3.13	Management and institutional factors						
3.13.3 Management activities							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1 Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing	widespread	on-going	catastrophic	high capacity	increasing
3.1.2	Commercial development	widespread	on-going	catastrophic	high capacity	increasing
3.1.3	Industrial areas	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	static
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.3 Services Infrastructures						
3.3.4	Localised utilities	extensive	frequent	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	static
3.4 Pollution						
3.4.4	Air pollution	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.4.5	Solid waste	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.5 Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.3	Land conversion	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	localised	frequent	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	extensive	on-going	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	extensive	on-going	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	extensive	on-going	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.9 Other human activities						
3.9.1	Illegal activities	extensive	on-going	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	extensive	frequent	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1	Storms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.3	Tsunami/tidal wave	localised	one off or rare	significant	high capacity	static
3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.4	Invasive / alien marine species	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.13 Management and institutional factors						
3.13.3	Management activities	extensive	on-going	significant	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

There is an acceptable controlling system at present to work on negative factors, but needs further improvements.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of its inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Defining a buffer zone is the most important aspect. Specially due to the location of the property there is vast trend of commercialization.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

There is public and private ownership – the Institutional buildings (public) are vested in State Bodies; (Court Houses, Police, Timber Corporation, Schools, Archaeological Department, etc). The Ramparts were declared an Archaeological Monument, under the Antiquities Ordinance No. 9 of 1940 – Section 33. The old buildings and environment are protected by a declaration by Hon. Minister of Cultural Affairs in 1971, under the Antiquities Ordinance No, 9 of 1940, subsidiary Legislation – Section 27.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone is **inadequate** to ensure the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

The boundary of the property is well known as it is physically demarcated, but there is no buffer zone declared to protect the SOUV. But there is a buffer zone imposed under the Antiquities Ordinance is considered as a controlling area, which is not sufficient to protect SOUV.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

There is an acceptable management system, and a draft Management Plan has been prepared to overcome the problems identified.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists **despite an identified need**

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Poor

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Present management system is strong for some extent but need to be more strengthened through a management plan.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management

arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

No special changes except, the activation of Galle Heritage foundation, which was adopted but not in practice.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	50%
Governmental (National / Federal)	50%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

no comments

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

TRAINING IS ESSENTIAL

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **not shared** at any level

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

No publications

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

The entire property has to be surveyed and a data base has to be prepared to monitor the property.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

Not displayed at all

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

There is a vast possibility of conducting awareness and education programmes.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

There is a difficulty to collect fees and gather information about visitors as the property is a living entity, comprising with residents, offices, schools, and religious institutes.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

Recommendations are being Implemented

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Monitoring is essential as the property is a living city

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing	Criterion IV street grid, streetscape, the narrow street-houses with frontal verandahs and internal courtyards, grand public and administrative buildings	Establishing and enforcing a set of rules and regulations for controlling	Effective monitoring system is available	on going	Department of Archaeology, Urban Development Authority, Galle Heritage foundation, Galle Municipal Council	The monitoring system must be further strengthened
3.1.2	Commercial development	Criterion IV the narrow street-houses with frontal verandahs	Establishing and enforcing a set of rules and regulations for controlling	Effective monitoring system is available	on going	Department of Archaeology, Urban Development Authority, Galle Heritage foundation, Galle Municipal Council	Converting the residential spaces into commercial purposes must be controlled
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	Criterion IV It represents a unique product, which result from historic cross-fertilization between the Dutch and the Sri Lankans and the prevalent physical and social environment of its built location in Galle. The city continues to be a living place throughout the history.	A proper programme on the changing socio cultural patterns must be conducted among the residents	The awareness programmes must be continued to monitor the actions	On going	Galle Heritage Foundation	People must be educated
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Criterion VI The city continues to be a living place throughout the history	A set of guidelines on land ownership and transferring must be established	Galle Heritage Foundation, Galle Municipal Council	On going	Galle Heritage Foundation	Transfer of land ownership to the foreigners, who do not stay in them in permanently must be stopped to avoid converting the city into a dead city
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	Criteria IV The living pattern	A proper tourism plan must be established	A set of guidelines must be established	on going	Galle Heritage Foundation, Sri Lanka Tourism Development Authority	no comments
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.1	Illegal activities	Criteria IV Illegal constructions destroy the houses and other old structures	Establishing and enforcing a set of rules and regulations for controlling	Effective monitoring system is available	on going	Department of Archaeology, Urban Development Authority, Galle Heritage foundation, Galle Municipal Council	Penalties for illegal activities must be revised

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.1	There is a need for a buffer zone	defining the buffer zone based on SOUV needs	2 years	Department of Archaeology, Galle Heritage Foundation, Central Cultural Fund	Buffer zone must be defined after a thorough research.	
4.2 Protective Measures						
4.2.4	Inadequate legal framework	There are many legal frame works, All these legal aspect has to be taken under one framework for effective management.	2 years	Department of Archaeology, Galle Heritage Foundation, Central Cultural Fund, Urban development Authority	enforcement power must be given	
4.3 Management System / Management Plan						

4.3.6	No annual work / action plan exists	The draft management plan must be adopted and annual plan for all identified tasks must be prepared	Annually	Departemnt of Archaeology, Galle Heritage Foundation, Central Cultural Fund, Urban development Authority	annual plan must be funded by different institutes
4.4 Financial and Human Resources					
4.4.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	Annual funding avenues must be secured	Annually	department of Archaeology	A method of self funding is more important
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building					
4.6.5	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	Awareness programmes must be conducted	On going	Department of Archaeology, Urban Development Authority, Galle Heritage foundation, Galle Municipal Council	Interpreting SOUV is essential forprotecting the property
4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.4	Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved	Implementation of Visitor Management plan	3 years	Departemnt of Archaeology, Sri Lanka tourism development Authority, Galle Heritage Foundation	visitor Management is more essential

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

The property is managed well, but many improvements are needed

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Positive

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

no comments

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Local community

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

It can be stated as a good exercise

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

periodic reporting exercise directs the site managers to work on a plan