

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Sacred City of Kandy

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

• Sri Lanka

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

450

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1988

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Sacred City of Kandy	7.294 / 80.64	0	0	0	1988
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>0</b>			

### 1.4 - Map(s)

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

#### Comment

Department of Archaeology Central Cultural Fund Kandy  
Municipal Council Urban Development Authority Department of Buddhist Affairs Department of Forest Conservation

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Senarath Dissanayake

Director General  
Department of Archaeology

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [1001wonders.org](http://1001wonders.org) : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
2. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)

#### Comment

3. [www.ccf.lk/kandy](http://www.ccf.lk/kandy)

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

There is Standing Orders of the Department of Archaeology for the protection of monuments in the World Heritage property.

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

'the Retrospective SOUV was submitted to WHC by 1 February 2011 and it is under review by Advisory Body'.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)(vi)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion IV: 1. Being an outstanding example of a type of ancient royal city in the Buddhist world sovereignty, reflected through the architectural ensemble of the citadel where the royal palace and the Sacred Tooth Relic Shrine are positioned. Criterion vi: 1. Tangible and intangible Buddhist rituals performed daily at the Temple of the Tooth and at the Hindu Shrines 2. Annual Pageant in homage of the Tooth Relic.

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

No proposals, as the SOUV was submitted very recently.

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Defining the SOUV can be stated as an important step leading to a proper management of the property. By paying attention to the attributes identified a proper management plan could be prepared.

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

No other factors

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact					Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.2 Commercial development						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
3.3.1 Water infrastructure						
3.3.4 Localised utilities						
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
3.4.2 Ground water pollution						
3.4.3 Surface water pollution						
3.4.4 Air pollution						
3.4.5 Solid waste						
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>					
3.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources						
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
3.7.2 Relative humidity						
3.7.3 Temperature						
3.7.5 Dust						
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
3.7.7 Pests						
3.7.8 Micro-organisms						
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>					
3.9.1 Illegal activities						
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
3.9.6 Civil unrest						
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>					
3.10.1 Storms						
3.10.6 Temperature change						
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>					
3.11.2 Earthquake						
3.11.4 Avalanche/ landslide						
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition						
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)						
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>					

		Name	Impact				Origin
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species							
3.12.3 Invasive / alien freshwater species							
3.13		<b>Management and institutional factors</b>					
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3 Management activities							
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1 Buildings and Development					
3.1.2 Commercial development	localised	one off or rare	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.4 Pollution					
3.4.2 Ground water pollution	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.4.3 Surface water pollution	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.4.4 Air pollution	widespread	on-going	catastrophic	low capacity	increasing
3.4.5 Solid waste	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2 Relative humidity	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.7.3 Temperature	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.7.5 Dust	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.7.7 Pests	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.8 Micro-organisms	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	widespread	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	extensive	on-going	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	restricted	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	static
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

No additional comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of its inscription** on the World Heritage List

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

There are varying rules for different sectors of the city at present. But a formal Buffer zone should be identified according to the OUV, considering the character and extent of the property through research.

## 4.2. Protective Measures

### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

#### Comment

Antiquities Ordinance, 1940 Urban Development Act, 1978 Local Government Act, 1999 Buddhist Temporalities Act, 1968 National Physical Planning Act, 2000 Irrigation Act, 1951 Forestry Ordinance, 1907

### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Presently the property and the surrounding area is protected under several Acts and regulations of the Department of Archaeology, Urban Development Authority, Local Government rules etc. Once a buffer zone identified and an integrated management system created it would help for better protection of the site.

## 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

### 4.3.1 - Management System

#### Comment

An integrated management plan has to be established after adopting the draft management plan prepared for the site. The current management system needs to be improved accordingly.

### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

An effective management system is in place at present to facilitate the work and a draft management plan is prepared recently which needs to be adopted.

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management**

**arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**



No significant change or improvement since the inscription.

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	10%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	90%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Assistance for restoration work for Kandy monuments following the terrorist bombing in January 1998	1998	25000.00	
On-site promotional activities for the Sacred City of Kandy	1998	5000.00	
<b>Total</b>		30000	

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

Presently the property is managed through sale of tourist tickets, which has danger fo being fluctuate. Therefore

establishment of self surviving financing and maintenance system is proposed.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	High
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

**No capacity** development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Presently the conservation, maintenance and excavation activities are carried out by labour force and the scientific staff of the Central Cultural Fund. But transferring of their knowledge and experiences to the local authorities and traditional custodians is very limited. Hence there should be an effective method to transfer the expertise to the local community .

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

Professor Nimal De Silva and Professor P.L. Prematilaka [ed.] World Heritage City of Kandy: Conservation and Development Plan

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

Research on visitor behaviour, traditional knowledge on temple activities and crafts would be helpful for better management of the property.

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average

Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Non-existent

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Not needed
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

Existing Education, Information and awareness building programmes conducted by Central Cultural Fund and Kandy Municipal Council for the temple authorities and local communities must be further improved. A comprehensive workshop series was also carried out to build awareness on heritage and architectural values in the grid city, which is to be proposed as a buffer zone to the property.

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely **confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

Number of tourists and pilgrims gathered to view the annual pageant of the Temple of the Tooth Relic must be studied together with daily numbers of visitors for the preparation of an effective visitor management plan.

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average

NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Poor

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

not relevant

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

Sufficient attention and monitoring is done around the Tooth Relic Temple and Hindu shrines but vigilance must be strengthened and proper monitoring should be established to monitor adverse activities around the Lake, Udawatta Kele forest and the grid city.

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>						
<b>3.4.2</b>	<b>Ground water pollution</b>	Ground water pollution doesn't directly affect the criteria but more research has to be done on this subject.	Undertake research on the pollution level of the lake and its impact adjoining temple complex. Effective technical measures to address the issues identified from the research needs to be implemented.	The CCF to take up the issue of improved monitoring of the pollution level in the lake in consultation with Kandy Municipal Council and the Irrigation department.	On going	Kandy Municipal Council, Departement of Irrigation	No comments
<b>3.4.4</b>	<b>Air pollution</b>	More study on long term basis has to be done to prove the effect on criteria.	Controlling regulations for vehicular traffic and deforestation of surrounding hills must be implemented	Scientific Monitoring system is needed	on going	Central Environmental Authority	no comments
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
<b>3.7.6</b>	<b>Water (rain/water table)</b>	Criterion iv. Seriously affects the built fabric and ancient materials but effect on the criteria has to be checked through a research	periodic monitoring the affects of water on paintings, the plasters, and sculptures.	periodic monitoring to assess any damages especially after heavy rains.	Continuous	Central Cultural Fund / Department of Archaeology	no comments
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
<b>3.8.2</b>	<b>Society's valuing of heritage</b>	Criterion IV Some over enthusiastic developments carried out within the Site affect visual integrity. Criterion VI. Attitudes toward traditional art & crafts, production, difficulty in finding raw materials for traditional craft manufacture	strengthening and encouraging on traditional crafts and arts and awareness building regarding form and character of the built fabric.	Periodic Monitoring	On going and continuous	Ministry of Culture and the Arts, Central Cultural Fund	No comments
<b>3.8.4</b>	<b>Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system</b>	Criteria VI vi. Decreasing knowledge about traditional crafts among the population affects Annual Pageant and other related intangible rituals.	Incentives to the Artists	periodic monitoring	On going	Ministry of Culture and the Arts	no comments
<b>3.8.6</b>	<b>Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation</b>	Criteria VI is affected due to over crowded tourists and vehicular traffic especially during festive season.	Implemetnation of a proper road diversions and visitor management plan	Identifying peak periods and planning beforehand	5 years	Sri Lanka Tourism development Authority, Road Development Authority	No comments

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
<b>4.1.1</b>	<b>There is a need for a buffer zone</b>	Buffer zones should be identified according to SOUV	1 year	Department of Achaeology	no comments	
<b>4.3 Management System / Management Plan</b>						
<b>4.3.6</b>	<b>Few of the work plan activities implemented</b>	A draft management plan is available and needs to be adopted. All necessary activities must be identified and planned for implementation	1 year	Department of Archaeology, Central Cultural Fund	no comments	

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

Although conservation activities are carried out within the property, they must be done in accordance with the proposed Management Plan.

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Negative
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

no comments

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
External experts

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

no suggestions

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: 'the Retrospective SOUV was submitted to WHC by 1 February 2011 and it is under review by Advisory Body'.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**

satisfactory & encouraging