1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Ancient City of Sigiriya

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• Sri Lanka

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

202

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List 1982

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates		Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Ancient City of Sigiriya	7.95 / 80.75	0	0	0	1982
Total (ha)			0		

1.4 - Map(s)

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Senarath Dissanayake

Director General Department of Archaeology

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- 1. <u>1001wonders.org</u>: visit this site in panophotographies 360 x 180 degree images
- 2. <u>View photos from OUR PLACE the World</u>
 Heritage collection

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion II: *sophisticated concentric plan, *overall geometric and axial planning; * landscape design *hydraulic features criteria III *Ornamental bathing ponds and pools, fountains, pavilions, changing rooms, moated island structures, water / summer palaces, etc. into the pleasure garden and the royal palace complex. * graffiti inscribed on the mirror wall criteria IV

Section II - Ancient City of Sigiriya (202)

*towering rock to establish the royal palace *panoramic views in all directions

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

Retrospective SOUV was submitted in feb 2011

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

No additional comments

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Wear and tear of the stone steps and pathways due to high tourist population

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

3.13.1 - 1 actors summary table	Name	Impact	t			Origin
3.1	Buildings	and De		nent		
3.1.1 Housing			A			F
3.1.2 Commercial development	0		A	A		F
3.1.3 Industrial areas						E
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	0		A	9		F
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	0		Ą	A	()	F
3.2	Transpor	tation lı	nfrastru	cture		
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	O		Ą	9	•	F
3.2.2 Air transport infrastructure				9		G
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						F
3.3	Services	Infrastr	uctures			
3.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						G
3.3.4 Localised utilities			Ą	A	•	F
3.3.5 Major linear utilities						C
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.1 Pollution of marine waters			9		•	F
3.4.2 Ground water pollution			Ą		•	F
3.4.3 Surface water pollution			F		•	C
3.4.4 Air pollution					•	8
3.4.5 Solid waste			A	9	()	Œ
3.5	Biologica	l resou	rce use	/modific	ation	
3.5.3 Land conversion			Ą			(5
3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals			A	9	•	S
3.5.5 Crop production			A			F
3.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection			Ą	A		F
3.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection			4	9		F
3.5.8 Commercial hunting			A	A		F
3.5.9 Subsistence hunting			A	A		E
3.6	Physical	resourc	e extra	ction	-	
3.6.2 Quarrying			A	A		C
3.7	Local co	nditions	affecti	ng phys	ical fab	ric
3.7.1 Wind			呵	9	•	
3.7.2 Relative humidity			Ą	A	•	
3.7.3 Temperature					(
3.7.4 Radiation/light			Ą	A	(
3.7.5 Dust			A		()	F
3.7.7 Pests			Ŋ	eq.	()	F
3.7.8 Micro-organisms			ø	A	•	
3.8	Social/cu	ltural u	ses of h	eritage		!
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	0		A	A	(F
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	0		M	M	()	F
3.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting			Ŋ	A		Œ
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community			_	A	İ	F
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	0		M	ø	()	C
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		0	U	4	Page

Section II - Ancient City of Sigiriya (202)

	Name	Impact	ŀ			Origin
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage					•	Œ
3.10	Climate	change a	and sev	ere wea	ther ev	ents
3.10.1 Storms					•	C
3.10.3 Drought				A	•	3
3.10.6 Temperature change					•	3
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts				4	(Œ
3.11	Sudden	ecologic	al or ge	ologica	levents	5
3.11.2 Earthquake					•	Œ
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition			Ą	A	(
3.11.6 Fire (widlfires)				A	(Œ
3.12	Invasive	/alien sp	ecies o	r hyper	abunda	nt species
3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species					•	Œ
3.12.3 Invasive / alien freshwater species					•	3
3.13	Managei	ment and	institu	tional fa	actors	
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities	0		Ą	A	(C
3.13.3 Management activities	0		Ą	A	•	S.
Legend	(Inside)	(Dutside	,

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development		•	•	•	
3.1.1	Housing	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.1.2	Commercial development	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	widespread	frequent	minor	low capacity	increasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	on-going	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	widespread	on-going	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.4	Pollution	•	•	•	•	
3.4.1	Pollution of marine waters	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.4.2	Ground water pollution	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.4.3	Surface water pollution	restricted	on-going	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.4.5	Solid waste	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	increasing
3.5	Biological resource use/modification		•	•	•	•
3.5.3	Land conversion	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.5.5	Crop production	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.5.6	Commercial wild plant collection	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.5.7	Subsistence wild plant collection	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.5.8	Commercial hunting	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.5.9	Subsistence hunting	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.2	Quarrying	localised	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fa	bric				
3.7.1	Wind	localised	frequent	significant	low capacity	static
3.7.2	Relative humidity	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	localised	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.7.4	Radiation/light	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.5	Dust	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	static

Section II - Ancient City of Sigiriya (202)

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.7.7	Pests	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage		•	•	•	
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
	Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	widespread	on-going	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.11	11 Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	extensive	on-going	significant	low capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

No other comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had no buffer zone at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

A very deep examination on the buffer zones to be carried out specially as the panoramic view from the summit of therock has identified as one of the major attributes of the outstanding universal value.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Public ownership under Antiquities Ordinance n° 9 of 1940 and the Central Cultural Fund Act n° 57 of 1980

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the

Section II - Ancient City of Sigiriya (202)

Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property is **inadequate**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone is **inadequate** to ensure the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There are **major deficiencies** in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

The Antiquities ordinance provides legal protection for antiquities, that is objecs, monuments and site. But protecting a World heritage site is different as there are many other objectives.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Comment

The property is declared as an archaeological reserve and under the legal protection of the Department of Archaeology, which administers the Antiquities Ordinance of 1940 (revised 1998) at national level. The site is managed by the Central Cultural Fund, established under an act of the Parliament (No. 57 of 1980) and operates under a license issued by the Department of Archaeology. Considerable portion of the funds generated by the Central Cultural Fund through the gate collection from the visitors to the property is being directly used to employ staff at different levels for site management and protection. The Central Cultural Fund carries out periodic monitoring of the monuments and sites in terms of their heritage values. The present buffer zone which is 12,600 acres in extent is declared under the Urban Development Authority Law to control haphazard development to preserve the setting and ensure the visual integrity of the site. Long term expectations are the management of the buffer zone which is under pressure for development with increased tourism and the visitor management within the property with special emphasis on carrying capacity (about 50,000 visitors per day during festive season in the month of June).

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **not adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is only partially being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Poor

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

Section II - Ancient City of Sigiriya (202)

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Effective management plan has to be implemented .

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

no major changes

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	3%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	97%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is some **ad hoc** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Financial difficulties are there for infrastructural and technical needs

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time Part-time	

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	95%
Seasonal	05%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Medium		
Not available		
Not available		
Low		
Medium		
Low		
High		
Low		
Medium		
Low		
Low		

Section II - Ancient City of Sigiriya (202)

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

No capacity development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

There is a need of training personel

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are not shared at any level

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report no publications

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

scientific research is needed

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent

Local businesses and industries Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, but it could be improved

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Education and awareness programmes are essential

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Decreasing

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

As th emain visitor attraction among 6 WH properties, visitor management is essentail.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but monitoring the status of indicators could be improved

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is complete

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

There was a proposal for improving the domestic air strip close to the World Heritage Property to an international airport, but with the recommendations of World Heritage committee it was abandoned.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Monitoring based on a proper management plan is essentail

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and De	evelopment					
3.1.2		critereon IV Panoramic view from th erock summit	Defining buffer zone	continous monitoring	5 years but continous monitoring is needed	Department of Archaeology, Central Cultural Fund, Urban Development Authority, LocalAuthority	No additional comments
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	criterion VI panoramic view from the rock Summit	Imposing proper set of regulations	continous monitoring is needed	5 years	Department of Archaeology, Central Cultural Fund, Urban Development Authority, LocalAuthority	No additional comments
3.3	Services Infrastr	uctures			•		•
3.3.4	Localised utilities	Criteria IV	Implementation of well planned utility	continous monitoring is needed	5 years	Department of Archaeology, Central Cultural Fund, Urban Development Authority, LocalAuthority	No comments
3.7	Local conditions	affecting physical fab	oric			•	
3.7.7	Pests						
3.8	Social/cultural u	ses of heritage			•		
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	criterion III change of traditional visitor systems	Implementation of visitor management plan	Continous monitoring	5 years	Department of Archaeology, Central Cultural Fund, Urban Development Authority, LocalAuthority	No comments
3.11	Sudden ecologic	al or geological event	s				
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	Criterion III Landscaped boulder and water gardens	Carrying out proper maintenenca plan	continous monitoring	continous	Department of Archaeology, Central Cultural Fund,	No comments

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

	ındaries and Bu				
4.1 BOL	indaries and Bu	1		L	
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.1.1	There is a need for a buffer zone	Buffer zone must be defined	1 year	Departmnet of Archaeology, Central Cultural Fund	Essential
4.2 Pro	tective Measure	s			
4.2.5	Major deficiencies in capacity / resources to enforce legislation	establishment of a separate entity to practice different legal frameworks	3 years	Department of Archaeology, Central Cultural Fund, Urban Development Authority, LocalAuthority	No comments
4.3 Mar	nagement Syster	m / Management Plan			
4.3.4	Management system / plan is inadequate	Management plan has to be implemented	2 years	Departemnt of Archaeology, Central Cultural Fund	No comments
4.5 Sci	entific Studies a	nd Research Projects			
4.5.2	Research in the property is not planned	Reaseach mustbe planned	1 year	deaprtment of Archaeology	No comments
4.6 Edu	ıcation, Informat	ion and Awareness Building			
4.6.5	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	Conducting programmes to educate the SOUV	2 years and continous	Department of Archaeology, Central Cultural Fund,	No comments

Section II - Ancient City of Sigiriya (202)

4.7 Visi	4.7 Visitor Management					
		proper management plan to be implemented		Department of Archaeology, Central Cultural Fund, Urban Development Authority, LocalAuthority	no comments	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions.**

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

Conservation status is satisfactory

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Very positive
Very positive
Very positive
Positive
Positive
Positive
Positive
Positive
Positive
Positive
No impact
Positive
Positive
Positive
Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

World heritage status is much influencial in many sectors

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

Section II - Ancient City of Sigiriya (202)

Staff from other World Heritage properties

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

ves

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

No suggestions

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

,	
UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

The periodic reporting excercise leeds to aproper site management