

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Gyeongju Historic Areas

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• Korea, Republic of

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

976

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2000

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Mt. Namsan Belt	0 / 0	2650	230	2880	2000
Wolsong Belt	0 / 0	70	30	100	2000
Tumuli Park Belt	0 / 0	40	50	90	2000
Hwangnyongsa Belt	0 / 0	40	20	60	
Sansong (Fortress) Belt	0 / 0	80	20	100	2000
Total (ha)		2880	350	3230	

Comment

Name Coordinates (longitude / latitude) Property (ha) Buffer zone (ha) Total (ha) Inscription year
Mt. Namsan Belt 129.239 / 35.858 2650 230 2880 2000
Wolsong Belt 129.22 / 35.830 70 30 100 2000
Tumuli Park Belt 129.20 / 35.840 40 50 90 2000
Hwangnyongsa Belt 129.13 / 35.843 40 20 60
Sansong (Fortress) Belt 129.16 / 35.842 80 20 100 2000
Total (ha) 2880 350 3230

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map showing the location of the inscribed components and their buffer zones	28/06/1999	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Cultural Heritage Administration

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Sang Min KWON
Gyeongju City Hall

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. 1001wonders.org : visit this site in panophotographies - 360 x 180 degree images
2. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
3. [Cultural Properties Administration](#)
4. [Korea National Tourism Organization](#)
5. [Gyeongju Historic Areas \(Cultural Properties Administration\) - including virtual tour](#)

Section II - Gyeongju Historic Areas (976)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

ii) Daeneungwon Tombs, Buddhist arts and heritage in the Namsan Belt, iii) Historic sites of Silla Kingdom (palace sites, temple sites, architecture and statues), Fortresses, entire composition of the components.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

only a statement of significance was adopted at the time of inscription and the RSOUV needs to be adopted.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

		Name	Impact			Origin
3.1		Buildings and Development				
3.1.1 Housing						
3.1.2 Commercial development						
3.1.3 Industrial areas						
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.2		Transportation Infrastructure				
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
3.3		Services Infrastructures				
3.3.1 Water infrastructure						
3.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
3.3.4 Localised utilities						
3.3.5 Major linear utilities						
3.4		Pollution				
3.4.3 Surface water pollution						
3.4.4 Air pollution						
3.5		Biological resource use/modification				
3.5.3 Land conversion						
3.7		Local conditions affecting physical fabric				
3.7.1 Wind						
3.7.3 Temperature						
3.7.7 Pests						
3.7.8 Micro-organisms						
3.8		Social/cultural uses of heritage				
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.9		Other human activities				
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
3.10		Climate change and severe weather events				
3.10.2 Flooding						
3.10.6 Temperature change						
3.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
3.11		Sudden ecological or geological events				
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)						
3.12		Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species				
3.12.1 Translocated species						
3.13		Management and institutional factors				
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3 Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1	Wind	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.7.7	Pests	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	localised	frequent	minor	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

More than sixty sites and monuments are designated and managed as historic sites under the provisions of Sections 4 and 6 of the Korean Protection of Cultural Properties Act and Sections 12 and 18 of the Cultural Property Protection Ordinance of Gyeongsangbuk-do Province. The entire area was designated as a national park under Sections 4 and 5 of the National Park Law. These two sets of protection legislation severely restrict any form of development within the property. The Urban Planning Law imposes further constraints on all forms of development in and around the protected areas. Each of the components of the property is surrounded by a 100m wide buffer zone. All proposals for construction within these zones requires authorization in the form of a permit from the Provincial Governor, as prescribed in Section 8 of the Building Law Enforcement Act. Furthermore, no extraction of gravel or other aggregate material is permitted within a zone 2km wide around each of the protected areas.

Section II - Gyeongju Historic Areas (976)

The sites are also designated as Natural Environment Preservation Zones under Section 13 of the National Land Use Management Act. Any changes that might affect the topography require authorization by the Ministry of Culture and Tourism.

Comment

More than sixty sites and monuments are designated and managed as historic sites under the provisions of Sections 4 and 6 of the Cultural Heritage Protection Act and Cultural Heritage Protection Ordinance of Gyeongsangbuk-do Province. The entire area was designated as a national park under Sections 4 and 5 of the National Park Act. These two sets of protection legislation severely restrict any form of development within the property. (remaining text continued in comments of question 4.2.6)

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

(cont. 4.2.1) The Urban Planning Law imposes further constraints on all forms of development in and around the protected areas. Each of the components of the property is surrounded by a 100m wide buffer zone. All proposals for construction in these zones requires authorization in the form of a permit from the Provincial Governor, as prescribed in the Building Act Enforcement Decree. The sites are also designated as Natural Environment Preservation Zones of the National Land Use Management Act.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

At the national level, the Cultural Properties Administration is responsible for establishing protection policies and enforcing them. Its subsidiary, the National Research Institute of Cultural Properties, carries out scientific research and field surveys. Direct management is delegated to the administration of Kyongju City.

Repair work and maintenance on national designated sites and monuments is financed by national (70%) and local (30%) funds. For locally designated monuments the proportions contributed by national and local government are 50:50.


There are currently management plans in force for the Kyongju Historic Areas, on the Preservation of the Original Status of the Historic Areas, Preservation of the Surrounding Environment of the Historic Areas, and Utilizing the Kyongju Historic Areas for the Education of Citizens and for Field Studies by Students.

They include the establishment of long-term plans, the strengthening of measures against forest fires, floods, and other natural calamities, a scientific research programme, including archaeological excavations, and a policy of seeking systematic investment and site-management proposals that are eco-friendly and consistent with world-class tourist policies. In addition there are programmes for regular conservation and maintenance of sculptural and monumental antiquities and for selective restoration, based on thorough prior scientific research.

Comment

Corrections to romanization and names : Cultural Properties Administration : Cultural Heritage Administration National Research Institute of Cultural Properties : National Research Institute of Cultural Heritage Kyongju Historic Areas : Gyeongju Historic Areas Kyongju : Gyeongju

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Preservation Plan for the Kyongju Historic Areas	N/A	Available	28/06/1999	

Comment

Must substitute the Preservation Plan for the property with current plans.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

Section II - Gyeongju Historic Areas (976)

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

All component sites are state-designated heritage and has a protection zone surrounding the heritage. Any activities that apply change to the current status of the heritage and its boundaries must be reported and obtain permission from the Cultural Heritage Committee.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

None

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	70%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	20%

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	10%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

not applicable

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Gyeongju is one of the most visited cities in Korea renowned for its historic and cultural values.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	70%
Seasonal	30%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	80%
Volunteer	20%

Section II - Gyeongju Historic Areas (976)

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	Medium
Education	High
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Technical management of cultural heritage can only be conducted by licensed Heritage Conservation Specialists according to the Act on the Technical Intervention to the Physical Condition of Cultural Heritage.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Continuous research papers and projects are conducted.
<http://www.gcp.go.kr/> Gyeongju National Research Institute of Cultural Heritage <http://gyeongju.museum.go.kr/> Gyeongju National Museum

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

Section II - Gyeongju Historic Areas (976)

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Excellent
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

The railway routed within the Wolseong Belt of the property was recommended to be removed or rerouted. Currently the railway is not operating and the substitute railway is being operated. The existing railway is to be eventually removed.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing						
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1	Wind						
3.7.7	Pests						
3.7.8	Micro-organisms						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	all criterion and attributes can be affected, with special emphasis on the tomb areas and historic sites within the city.	Visitor management is being applied in various methods according to the type of heritage.	Daily monitoring takes place by the management office and long term effects of visitor increase is being monitored by the in-depth monitoring project of the Cultural Heritage Administration.	Visitor management plans are updated with 5-6 year span.	Cultural Heritage Administration, Gyeongju City, Gyeongju National Research Institute of Cultural Heritage	

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Please select your top management needs in question 4.9 before filling in the summary table.

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
External experts

Section II - Gyeongju Historic Areas (976)

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: Name Coordinates (longitude / latitude) Property (ha) Buffer zone (ha) Total (ha)
Inscription year Mt. Namsan Belt 129.239 / 35.858 2650
230 2880 2000 Wolsong Belt 129.22 / 35.830 70 30 100
2000 Tumuli Park Belt 129.20 / 35.840 40 50 90 2000
Hwangnyongsa Belt 129.13 / 35.843 40 20 60 Sansong
(Fortress) Belt 129.16 / 35.842 80 20 100 2000 Total
(ha) 2880 350 3230

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise